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#### Abstract

The main objective of the present work is to find an approximate analytical solution for the nonlinear differential equation of the vibro-impact oscillator under the influence of the electromagnetic actuation near the primary resonance. The trigger of vibro-impact regime is due to Hertzian contact. The optimal auxiliary functions method (OAFM) is utilized to give an analytical approximate solution of the problem. The influences of static normal load and electromagnetic actuation near the primary resonance are completely studied. The main novelties of the proposed procedure are the presence of some new adequate auxiliary functions, the introduction of the convergence-control parameters, the original construction of the initial and of the first iteration, and the freedom to choose the method for determining the optimal values of the convergence-control parameters. All these led to an explicit and accurate analytical solution, which is another novelty proposed in the paper. This technique is very accurate, simple, effective, and easy to apply using only the first iteration. A second objective was to perform an analysis of stability of the model using the multiple scales method and the eigenvalues of the Jacobian matrix.
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## 1. Introduction

Vibro-impact dynamics under a Hertzian contact force is present in various engineering applications, especially in gear drive, bearing, mechanisms transforming non-resonant rotations or translations, railway wheel-rail contact, and so on [1-3]. The Hertzian model is just one of the existing vibro-impact models, and many different phenomena have been reported in the field of vibro-impact systems in the last 40 years, including grazing and C-bifurcations [4], Neimark-Sacker bifurcation [5], period-doubling bifurcations [6], stochastic stability [7], fractals [8], chaos [9], and many others.

Electromechanical actuators have become widely used in various industrial applications, including aircraft, aerospace, turbomachinery, small heart pumps, transportation, and some other fields. In the last years, many studies have been devoted to the electromagnetic actuators. Tong et al. [10] utilized the dynamic Preisach model to reduce the undesired nonlinearity. A magneto-strictive actuator capable of several kN of force output is used as the fine positioning element in dual-stage system.

Liu and Wang [11] presented the actuating performance of a one-degree-of-freedom positioning device using spring-mounted piezoelectric (PZT) actuators. An experimental set-up consisting of two spring-mounted PZT actuators was configurated to examine the actuating characteristics and verified as effective in describing the actuating behaviors through numerical examinations. Askari and Tahani [12] studied the influence of the Casimir force
on dynamic pull-in instability of a nanoelectromechanical beam under ramp-input voltage by increasing the slope of a voltage-time diagram. Ma et al. [13] considered the backlash actuators, a chattering-free sliding-mode control strategy with the scope to regulate the rudder angle and suppress unknown external disturbances. A Lyapunov-based proof ensures the asymptotical stability and finite-time convergence of the closed-loop system.

Qiao et al. [14] analyzed the general configuration, limitations, and merits of a directdrive electromechanical actuator and a gear-drive electromechanical actuator. Three aspects were taken into account in elaborating the development state of electromechanical actuator testing system: performance testing in vacuum environment, iron bird, and testing based on room temperature. Li and Liu [15] explored stability and dynamical behaviors of an electromechanical actuator with nonlinear stiffness in dry clutches. Four bifurcations, two Hopf and two stable points, are found in the unregulated system, and four stable bifurcations are found in the regulated system. Forced vibration illustrated three segments in the bifurcation diagram, four in that of the proportional gain, and three in that of the derivative gain.

Morozov et al. [16] discussed the diminution of the vibratory activity of a roller screw mechanism for converting a rotational movement into a translational one. It was proved that this mechanism has a low vibroactivity that leads to create mechatronic actuators. Yoo [17] proposed an enhanced time-delay control algorithm with a novel severe nonlinearity compensator to study an electromechanical missile fin actuation system, which has high computational efficiency and a very simple structure. The effects of combined DC and fast AC electromagnetic actuations on the dynamic behavior of a faced cantilever beam were derived by Bichri et al. [18]. They analyzed the influence of the air gap and of the fast AC actuation on the nonlinear system, and it was shown that the nonlinear characteristic can be controlled by approximately tuning the air gap and the AC actuation.

Xiu and Fu [19] considered the nonlinearities specific for electrostatic and van der Waals forces and the nonlinear vibration equations of a flexible ring of the electrostatic harmonic actuator. Results showed that the effects of van der Waals are relatively obvious under some conditions. The study of Li [20] comprised the nonlinear control method of electromechanical actuation system based on genetic algorithm. The nonlinear characteristics of each part of the electric actuator were different by Mathlab/Simulink simulation. Wankhade and Bajoria [21] investigated vibration reduction and dynamic control of a piezo-laminated plate actuated with coupled electromechanical loading. They analyzed the Sommerfeld effect and vibration amplitudes encountered in a non-ideal system as well as attenuation effects using a smart material actuator.

Yang et al. [22] presented a nonlinear model for the self-powered electromechanical actuator endowed with radioactive thin films. The equations are based on Hamilton principle and take into account the effects of geometric nonlinearities due to nonlinear curvature and nonlinearity due to radioactive sources. Shivashankar et al. [23] studied vibrations of cantilever aluminum beam with a pair of d33-mode surface bondable multilayer actuators attached. The nonlinear constitutive equation was considered to represent both the nonlinear elasticity and nonlinear electromechanical actuator. The effect of nonlinear actuator dynamics and an aeroelastic simulation model of a flexible ring with control surface were explored by Tang et al. [24]. Ruan et al. [25] examined a radial basis neural network adaptive sliding-mode controller for nonlinear electromechanical actuators, which is used to compensate friction disturbance torque of the system. The stability is analyzed by Lyapunov's theory.

The objective of Kossoski et al. [26] was reduction of the mechanical vibrations and the Sommerfeld effect in a shape-memory alloy actuator in a nonideal system. Zhang and Li [27] developed a compound scheme involving an improved active disturbance controller and nonlinear compensation for electromechanical actuator. The Lu Gre model and hysteresis inverse model are used to compensate for the friction and backlash phenomenon. Simulations and experiments are developed to prove the effectiveness of the proposed method. Pravika et al. [28] considered a linear electromechanical actuator that is used
in conjunction with a positive displacement piston-type drug-dispersing syringe pump. Overall performance of the considered system is investigated by in silico studies, which offer better dynamic response, stability, and reliability. The stability is investigated by means of frequency-response plots under different load inertia values and system time delay. Ref. [29] presents an investigation on how the amplitude modulation method affects the fast and slow flows in the low-frequency excited oscillator, and in [30], a slow-varying Lu controller is proposed, the variable of which changes on much smaller time scale for investigating the dynamics of the whole system.

Concerning the way in which analytical solutions could be obtained for nonlinear dynamical systems involving vibro-impact and electromagnetic actuators, there are available in the literature many amenable analytical approaches, such as the homotopy perturbation method [31], the variational iteration method [32], the homotopy analysis method [33], the Adomian decomposition method [34], and many others, but in this study, the optimal auxiliary functions method was employed.

In the present research, we investigated the nonlinear forced vibration of vibro-impact oscillator under the influence of the electromagnetic actuation force in the neighborhood of primary resonance. Forced vibrations are given by the static normal load and electromagnetic force. In this situation, which involves the presence of the Hertzian force in combination with the electromagnetic force and another perturbing force, the optimal auxiliary functions method (OAFM) was applied to obtain an explicit and very accurate analytical approximate solution for the considered nonlinear differential equation, which is an important novelty presented in the paper. The present technique ensures a fast convergence of the solutions using only the first iteration, using some new adequate auxiliary functions and several convergence-control parameters independent of the presence of small or large parameters in the governing equations or in the boundary/initial conditions. The stability of the solution is established by means of the eigenvalues of Jacobian matrix and of the multiple scales method.

The rest of this paper is organized as follows: Section 2 provides the basics of the proposed procedure, namely OAFM. Section 3 describes the mathematical model of a vibro-impact, damped, and forced oscillator in the presence of electromagnetic actuation. In Section 4, the application of OAFM is detailed presented, and an approximate analytical solution is derived for the considered governing equation. A numerical example proving the accuracy of the proposed procedure is presented in Section 5. Section 6 provides an analysis of the stability of steady-state motion near the primary resonance, and finally, we conclude this paper in Section 7.

## 2. The Second Alternative of the OAFM

In order to apply OAFM [35-42], we consider the nonlinear differential equation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
L[x(t)]+N[x(t)]+g(t)=0, \quad t \in D \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

with the boundary/initial conditions

$$
\begin{equation*}
B\left[x(t), \frac{d x(t)}{d t}\right]=0 \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $L$ is a linear operator, $N$ is a nonlinear operator, $g$ is a known function, $t$ is the independent variable, $x(t)$ is an unknown function, $D$ is the domain of interest, and $B$ is a boundary operator. Henceforward, the linear operator $L$ does not necessarily coincide in its entirely with the linear part of the governing equation, and $\widetilde{x}(t)$ will be the approximate solution of Equations (1) and (2) and can be expressed in the form with only two components:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widetilde{x}(t)=x_{0}(t)+x_{1}\left(t, C_{1}, C_{2}, \ldots, C_{n}\right) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C_{i}$ are $n$ parameters unknown in this stage, and $n$ is an arbitrary positive integer number. The initial approximation $x_{0}(t)$ and the first approximation $x_{1}\left(t, C_{i}\right)$ will be determined as described below. Substituting Equation (3) into Equation (1), one obtains:

$$
\begin{equation*}
L\left[x_{0}(t)\right]+L\left[x_{1}\left(t, C_{i}\right)\right]+N\left[x_{0}(t)+x_{1}\left(t, C_{i}\right)\right]+g(t)=0 \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

The initial approximation $x_{0}(t)$ can be determined from the following linear differential equation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
L\left[x_{0}(t)\right]+g(t)=0 \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

with the boundary conditions

$$
\begin{equation*}
B\left[x_{0}(t), \frac{d x_{0}(t)}{d t}\right]=b \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is clear that the linear operator $L$ depends on the boundary/initial conditions (2), and the function $g(t)$ is not unique.

The initial approximation is well-determined from the linear differential Equation (5) with the boundary conditions (6).

Taking into consideration Equations (5) and (6), the first approximation $x_{1}\left(t, C_{i}\right)$ is obtained from the nonlinear differential equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
L\left[x_{1}\left(t, C_{i}\right)\right]+N\left[x_{0}(t)+x_{1}\left(t, C_{i}\right)\right]=0 \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

with the boundary conditions

$$
\begin{equation*}
B\left[x_{1}\left(t, C_{i}\right), \frac{d x_{1}\left(t, C_{i}\right)}{d t}\right]=-b \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

The nonlinear term of Equation (7) is developed in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
N\left[x_{0}(t)+x_{1}\left(t, C_{i}\right)\right]=N\left[x_{0}(t)\right]+\sum_{k \geq 1} \frac{x_{1}^{k}\left(t, C_{i}\right)}{k!} N^{(k)}\left[x_{0}(t)\right] \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $k!=1 \cdot 2 \cdot \ldots k$ and $N^{(k)}$ denotes the differentiation of order $k$ of nonlinear operator $N$.
To avoid the difficulties that appear in solving the nonlinear differential Equation (7) and to accelerate the convergence of the approximate solution, instead of solving the equation obtained from (8) and (9),

$$
\begin{equation*}
L\left[x_{1}\left(t, C_{i}\right)\right]+N\left[x_{0}(t)\right]+\sum_{k \geq 1} \frac{x_{1}^{k}\left(t, C_{i}\right)}{k!} N^{(k)}\left[x_{0}(t)\right]=0 \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

we make the following remarks. In general, the solution of the linear differential Equations (5) and (6) can be expressed as

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{0}(t)=\sum_{i=1}^{n_{1}} a_{i} f_{i}(t) \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the coefficients $a_{i}$, the functions $f_{i}(t)$, and the positive integer $n_{1}$ are known.
Now, the nonlinear operator $N\left[x_{0}(t)\right]$ calculated for $x_{0}(t)$ given by Equation (11) may be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
N\left[x_{0}(t)\right]=\sum_{j=1}^{n_{2}} b_{j} g_{j}(t) \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the coefficients $b_{j}$, the functions $g_{j}$, and the positive integer $n_{2}$ are known and depend on the initial approximation $x_{0}(t)$ and also on the nonlinear operator $N\left[x_{0}(t)\right]$.

In the following, since the Equation (10) is difficult to be solved, we will not solve this equation, but from theory of differential equations [43], Cauchy method, the method of
influence functions, the operator method, and so on, it is more convenient to consider the unknown first approximation $x_{1}\left(t, C_{i}\right)$ depending on $x_{0}(t)$ and $N\left[x_{0}(t)\right]$. More precisely, we have the freedom to choose the first approximation in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{1}\left(t, C_{i}\right)=\sum_{i=1}^{p} F_{i}\left(C_{j}, f_{k}, g_{r}\right), \quad B=\left(x_{1}, \frac{d x_{1}}{d t}\right)=-b \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $F_{i}$ are auxiliary functions depending on $n$ unknown convergence-control parameters $C_{i}$ and also on the functions $f_{i}$ defined in Equation (11) and on the functions $g_{i}$, which appear in the composition of $N\left[x_{0}(t)\right]$.

Consequently, the first approximation $x_{1}\left(t, C_{i}\right)$ is determined from Equation (13), and the approximate solution of Equation (1) is determined from Equations (3), (5) and (13). Finally, the unknown parameters $C_{j}, j=1,2, \ldots, n$ can be optimally identified using rigorous mathematical procedures, such as the Ritz method, the collocation method, the Galerkin method, the least squares method, and the Kantorovich method or by minimizing the square residual error.

In this way, the optimal values of the convergence-control parameters and the optimal auxiliary functions $F_{i}$ are known. Further, with these values known, the approximate solution is well-determined. It is noteworthy to remark that the accuracy of the results obtained through OAFM grow along with increasing the number of convergence-control parameters $C_{i}$.

Let us note that the nonlinear differential Equations (1) and (2) are reduced to two linear differential equations, which do not depend on all terms of the nonlinear operator $N\left[x_{0}(t)\right]$. This technique leads to very accurate results, is effective and explicit, and provides a rigorous way to control and adjust the convergence of the solutions using only the first iteration, without the presence of any small or large parameter into Equations (1) and (2).

## 3. Derivation of the Mathematical Model of Vibro-Impact, Damped, and Forced Oscillator in the Presence of Electromagnetic Actuation

In the present study, we consider an asymmetric electromagnetic actuator EA on the loss of contact in a forced Hertzian contact oscillator near primary resonance. The schematic model of the system is depicted in Figure 1, and the governing equation is written as [3]:

$$
\begin{equation*}
m \ddot{\delta}+c \dot{\delta}+k \delta^{3 / 2}=N_{s}(1+\sigma \cos v t)+F_{e m} \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$



Figure 1. Sketch of damped, forced Hertzian oscillator with an asymmetric EA.
Where the dot denotes differentiation with respect to time $t$, and $\delta$ is the normal displacement of the rigid mass $m, c$ is the damping coefficient, $k$ is the constant of elasticity given by the Hertzian theory, $N_{S}$ is the static normal load, $\sigma$ is the amplitude, and $v$ is the frequency of the harmonic excitation load, respectively, and $F_{e m}$ is the electromagnetic force.

In Equation (14), it was considered that the deformation between the solids in contact are elastic, and the contact is maintained, and the dry contact is equivalent with the linear viscous damping. The electromagnetic force can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{e m}=\frac{c_{0} l_{0}^{2}}{(e-\delta)^{2}} \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $c_{0}$ and $l_{0}$ are coefficients depending on the geometric characteristics of the actuator and on the current induced in the magnetic circuit, respectively; $e$ is the initial air gap between electromagnet and the rigid mass.

Using the notations

$$
\delta_{s}=\frac{N_{s}}{k} ; \quad \delta=\delta_{s}\left(1+\frac{2 z}{3}\right) ; \quad \omega_{0}^{2}=\left(\frac{3 k}{2 m}\right) \sqrt{\delta_{s}} ; \quad \tau=\omega_{0} t ; \quad \omega=\frac{v}{\omega_{0}} ; \quad \alpha=\frac{c}{m \omega_{0}} ; \quad a_{0}=\frac{3 c_{0} l_{0}^{2}}{2 m \omega_{0}^{2} \delta_{s}^{3}} ; \quad R=\frac{e}{\delta_{s}}-1
$$

the Equation (14) can be rewritten in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
z^{\prime \prime}+\alpha z^{\prime}+\left(1+\frac{2 z}{3}\right)^{3 / 2}=1+\sigma \cos \omega \tau-\frac{a_{0}}{\left(R-\frac{2 z}{3}\right)^{2}} \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the prime denotes differentiation with respect to variable $\tau$. Since the amplitude of the mass is small, the nonlinear terms in Equation (17) can be approximated by Taylor expansion

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(1+\frac{2 z}{3}\right)^{3 / 2}=1+z+\frac{z^{2}}{16}+\frac{z^{3}}{54}-\frac{z^{4}}{72}+\frac{z^{5}}{648}+\ldots \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Retaining only the terms up to order three, from Equations (16) and (17), one can obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
z^{\prime \prime}+\alpha z^{\prime}+\omega_{1}^{2} z+\beta_{1} z^{2}-\gamma_{1} z^{3}-G_{1}=\sigma \cos \omega \tau \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega_{1}^{2}=1-\frac{4 a_{0}}{3 R^{3}} ; \quad \beta_{1}=\beta-\frac{4 a_{0}}{3 R^{4}} ; \quad \gamma_{1}=\frac{1}{54}+\frac{32 a_{0}}{27 R^{5}} ; \quad G_{1}=-\frac{a_{0}}{R^{2}} ; \quad \beta=\frac{1}{6} \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

The initial conditions for the nonlinear differential Equation (18) are

$$
\begin{equation*}
z(0)=A, \quad z^{\prime}(0)=0 \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the present paper, we consider only the primary resonance

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega_{1}^{2}=\omega^{2}+\lambda \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

in which $\lambda$ is the detuning parameter from the primary resonance such that Equation (18) can be rewritten as

$$
\begin{equation*}
z^{\prime \prime}+\alpha z^{\prime}+\omega^{2} z+\lambda z+\beta_{1} z^{2}-\gamma_{1} z^{3}+G_{1}-\sigma \cos \omega \tau=0 \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

By means of the transformation

$$
\begin{equation*}
z=Z A e^{-\frac{1}{2} \alpha \tau} \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

the Equations (18) and (22) become

$$
\begin{gather*}
Z^{\prime \prime}+p^{2} Z+\beta_{1} A Z^{2} e^{-\frac{1}{2} \alpha \tau}-\gamma_{1} A^{2} Z^{3} e^{-\alpha \tau}+\frac{G_{1}}{A} e^{\frac{1}{2} \alpha \tau}-\frac{\sigma}{A} e^{\frac{1}{2} \alpha \tau} \cos \omega \tau=0  \tag{24}\\
Z(0)=1, \quad Z^{\prime}(0)=\frac{1}{2} \alpha \tag{25}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $p^{2}=\omega^{2}+\lambda-\frac{1}{4} \alpha^{2}$.
The Equation (24) with the initial conditions (25) is a second-order nonlinear differential equation with variable coefficients, and therefore, is difficult to solve it analytically. In what follows, the OAFM is applied for Equations (24) and (25) to study the nonlinear vibrations near to the primary resonance.

## 4. The Application of OAFM

The linear operator and the nonlinear operator corresponding to Equation (24) are, respectively:

$$
\begin{gather*}
L[Z(\tau)]=Z^{\prime \prime}+p^{2} Z  \tag{26}\\
N[Z(\tau)]=\beta_{1} A Z^{2} e^{-\frac{1}{2} \alpha \tau}-\gamma_{1} A^{2} Z^{3} e^{-\alpha \tau}+\frac{G_{1}}{A} e^{\frac{1}{2} \alpha \tau}-\frac{\sigma}{A} e^{\frac{1}{2} \alpha \tau} \cos \omega \tau \tag{27}
\end{gather*}
$$

The approximate solution of Equation (24) is given by Equation (3), which becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widetilde{Z}(\tau)=Z_{0}(\tau)+Z_{1}\left(\tau, C_{i}\right), \quad i=1,2, \ldots, n \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

The initial approximation $Z_{0}(\tau)$ is obtained from Equations (5) and (26)

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z^{\prime \prime}{ }_{0}+p^{2} Z_{0}=0, \quad Z_{0}(0)=1, Z^{\prime}{ }_{0}(0)=\frac{1}{2} \alpha \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

whose solution is

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z_{0}(\tau)=\cos p \tau+\frac{\alpha}{2 p} \sin p \tau \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

Inserting Equation (30) into (27), after simple manipulations, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& N\left(Z_{0}\right)=\beta_{1} A e^{-\frac{1}{2} \alpha \tau}\left(\frac{1+\alpha^{2}}{2 p^{2}}+\frac{p^{2}-\alpha^{2}}{2 p^{2}} \cos 2 p \tau+\frac{\alpha}{p} \sin 2 p \tau\right)-\gamma_{1} A^{2} e^{-\alpha \tau}\left[\frac{12 p^{2}+3 \alpha^{2}}{16 p^{2}} \cos p \tau+\right. \\
& \left.\quad+\frac{4 p^{2}-3 \alpha^{2}}{16 p^{2}} \cos 3 p \tau+\frac{12 p^{2}+3 \alpha^{3}}{32 p^{2}} \sin p \tau+\frac{12 \alpha p^{2}-3 \alpha^{3}}{32 p^{2}} \sin 3 p \tau\right]+\frac{G_{1}}{A} e^{-\frac{1}{2} \alpha \tau}-\frac{\sigma}{A} e^{\frac{1}{2} \alpha \tau} \cos p \tau \tag{31}
\end{align*}
$$

The first approximate solution $Z_{1}\left(\tau, C_{i}\right)$ can be obtained from Equation (13) in which the functions $\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{i}}$ are obtained from Equations (11) and (30), and the functions $g_{j}$ are obtained from Equations (12) and (31). The auxiliary functions $F_{i}$ are a combination of functions $f_{i}$ and $g_{j}$ but are not unique. For example, the auxiliary functions can be of the forms
$F_{1}=e^{\frac{1}{2} \alpha \tau} \cos p \tau ; F_{2}=\sin p \tau ; F_{3}=e^{\frac{1}{2} \alpha \tau} \cos 2 p \tau ; F_{4}=e^{\alpha \tau} \sin p \tau ; F_{5}=e^{-\frac{1}{2} \alpha \tau} \cos 3 p \tau$ $F_{6}=e^{\alpha \tau} \sin 2 p \tau ; F_{7}=e^{\frac{1}{2} \alpha \tau} \sin 3 p \tau ; F_{8}=e^{\frac{1}{2} \alpha \tau} \cos 4 p \tau$

The initial conditions for the first iteration $Z_{1}\left(\tau, C_{i}\right)$ are obtained from Equations (25), (28) and (29):

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z_{1}(0)=0, \quad Z_{1}^{\prime}(0)=0 \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
$$

The first approximation can be chosen as

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z_{1}\left(\tau, C_{i}\right)=\frac{C_{1}}{A} e^{\frac{1}{2} \alpha \tau}(1-\cos p \tau)+\frac{C_{2}}{A} e^{\frac{1}{2} \alpha \tau}(1-\cos 2 p \tau)+\frac{C_{3}}{A} e^{\frac{1}{2} \alpha \tau}(1-\cos 3 p \tau)+\frac{C_{4}}{A} e^{\frac{1}{2} \alpha \tau}(1-\cos 4 p \tau) \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

or

$$
\begin{align*}
& Z_{1}\left(\tau, C_{i}\right)=\frac{C_{1}}{A} e^{\frac{1}{2} \alpha \tau}(1-\cos p \tau)+\frac{C_{2}}{A} e^{\frac{1}{2} \alpha \tau}(1-\cos 2 p \tau)+\frac{C_{3}}{A} e^{\frac{1}{2} \alpha \tau}(1-\cos 3 p \tau)+ \\
& +\frac{C_{4}}{A} e^{\frac{1}{2} \alpha \tau}(1-\cos 4 p \tau)+\frac{C_{5}}{A} e^{\frac{1}{2} \alpha \tau}(1-\cos 5 p \tau) \tag{35}
\end{align*}
$$

or yet

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{Z}_{1}\left(\tau, C_{i}\right)=\frac{C_{1}}{A} e^{\frac{1}{2} \alpha \tau}(1-\cos p \tau)+\frac{C_{2}}{A} e^{\alpha \tau}(1-\cos 3 p \tau)+\frac{C_{3}}{A} e^{2 \alpha \tau}(1-\cos 5 p \tau) \tag{36}
\end{equation*}
$$

and so on.

Having in view Equations (34) and (35), two approximate solutions of Equations (22) and (20) can be obtained, and taking into account Equations (23), (28), (30), (34) and (35), respectively,

$$
\begin{gather*}
\widetilde{z}\left(\tau, C_{i}\right)=A e^{-\frac{1}{2} \alpha \tau}\left(\cos p \tau+\frac{\alpha}{2 p} \sin p \tau\right)+C_{1}(1-\cos p \tau)+C_{2}(1-\cos 2 p \tau)  \tag{37}\\
\widetilde{z}\left(\tau, C_{i}\right)=A e^{-\frac{1}{2} \alpha \tau}\left(\cos p \tau+\frac{\alpha}{2 p} \sin p \tau\right)+C_{1}(1-\cos p \tau)+C_{2}(1-\cos 2 p \tau)+C_{3}(1-\cos 3 p \tau) \tag{38}
\end{gather*}
$$

## 5. Numerical Example for Equations (37) and (38)

To prove the high efficiency of OAFM, we consider a particular case characterized by the following parameters :

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{1}=1, \quad \omega=0.98, \quad \alpha_{1}=0.001, \quad \beta_{1}=\frac{1}{6}, \quad \lambda=0.003, \quad \gamma_{1}=\frac{1}{54}, \quad G_{1}=-0.07, \quad \sigma=0.01 \tag{39}
\end{equation*}
$$

Following the described procedure, the optimal convergence-control parameters are obtained for Equation (37) as: $C_{1}=0.026565458544, C_{2}=-0.032800035657$.

In this subcase, the approximate solution of Equations (22) and (18) becomes:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \widetilde{x}(\tau)=A e^{-0.0005 \tau}\left(\cos 0.98 \tau+5.1020408 \cdot 10^{-4} \sin 0.98 \tau\right)+0.0265654585(1-\cos 0.98 \tau)- \\
& -0.0328000356(1-\cos 1.96 \tau) \tag{40}
\end{align*}
$$

For Equation (38), the values of the optimal convergence-control parameters are: $C_{1}=0.017395180116, C_{2}=-0.028650838223$, and $C_{3}=-0.001183797642$, and the corresponding approximate solution can be written in the form

$$
\begin{align*}
& \widetilde{x}(\tau)=A e^{-0.0005 \tau}\left(\cos 0.98 \tau+5.1020408 \cdot 10^{-4} \sin 0.98 \tau\right)+0.0173951801(1-\cos 0.98 \tau)- \\
& -0.0286508382(1-\cos 1.96 \tau)-0.0011837976(1-\cos 2.94 \tau) \tag{41}
\end{align*}
$$

The Figure 2 shows the comparison between the approximate solution (40) of nonlinear Equations (22) and (20), the approximate solution (41), and numerical integration results obtained by means of a Runge-Kutta approach.


Figure 2. Comparison between the approximate solution (40), the approximate solution (41), and numerical results for Equations (2) and (20): $\qquad$ numerical; ___ Equation (40); $\qquad$ Equation (41).

It can be seen that the two solutions obtained using our technique are nearly identical to that obtained through numerical integration method. On the other hand, from Figure 2, it is observed that the accuracy obtained through OAFM grows along with the increasing number of convergence-control parameters.

## 6. Analysis of the Stability of Steady-State Motion near the Primary Resonance

In this section, we consider the dynamic near the primary resonance: $\omega_{1} \approx \omega$, and to analyze this situation, it is needed to order the damping, the nonlinearities, and the perturbed force so that these to appear at the same time in the perturbation procedure.

Therefore, if we let $z=\varepsilon x$ into Equation (18), we need to order $\alpha_{1} z^{\prime}$ as $\alpha_{1} \varepsilon x^{\prime}, G_{1}$ as $G_{1} \varepsilon^{2}$, and $\sigma$ as $\varepsilon^{2} \Delta$ so that the Equation (18) can be rewritten as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ddot{x}+\omega_{1}^{2} x+\varepsilon^{2} \alpha_{1} \dot{x}+\beta_{1} \varepsilon x^{2}-\gamma_{1} \varepsilon^{2} x^{3}+\varepsilon G_{1}=\varepsilon^{2} \Delta \cos \omega t \tag{42}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the point denotes differentiation with respect to variable $t$, and for the primary resonance, we introduce the detuning parameter $\delta$ according to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega=\omega_{1}+\varepsilon^{2} \delta \tag{43}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using the method of multiple scales, we introduce the new independent variables

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{i}=\varepsilon^{i} t, \quad i=0,1,2, \ldots \tag{44}
\end{equation*}
$$

such that we seek an approximate solution of Equation (42) by letting:

$$
\begin{equation*}
x(t, \varepsilon)=x_{0}\left(T_{0}, T_{1}, T_{2}\right)+\varepsilon x_{1}\left(T_{0}, T_{1}, T_{2}\right)+\varepsilon^{2} x_{2}\left(T_{0}, T_{1}, T_{2}\right) \tag{45}
\end{equation*}
$$

In term of new variables $T_{\mathrm{i}}$, the time derivative becomes, in terms of the partial derivative:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{d}{d t}=D_{0}+\varepsilon D_{1}+\varepsilon^{2} D_{2}  \tag{46}\\
& \frac{d^{2}}{d t^{2}}=D_{0}^{2}+2 \varepsilon D_{0} D_{1}+\varepsilon^{2}\left(D_{1}^{2}+2 D_{0} D_{2}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

where $D_{i}=\partial / \partial T_{i}, \quad i=0,1,2$.
Inserting Equations (45) and (46) into Equation (42) and equating the coefficients of $\varepsilon$ to zero, it holds that:

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{0}^{2} x_{0}+\omega_{1}^{2} x_{0}=0 \tag{47}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{gather*}
D_{0}^{2} x_{1}+\omega_{1}^{2} x_{1}=-2 D_{0} D_{1} x_{0}-\beta_{1} x_{0}^{2}-G_{1}  \tag{48}\\
D_{0}^{2} x_{2}+\omega_{1}^{2} x_{2}=-2 D_{0} D_{1} x_{1}-2 D_{0} D_{2} x_{0}-D_{1}^{2} x_{0}-\alpha_{1} D_{0} x_{0}-2 \beta_{1} x_{0} x_{1}+\gamma_{1} x_{0}^{3}+\Delta \cos \left(\omega_{1} T_{0}+\delta T_{2}\right) \tag{49}
\end{gather*}
$$

The solution of Equation (47) is

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{0}=A\left(T_{1}, T_{2}\right) \exp \left(i \omega_{1} T_{0}\right)+c . c . \tag{50}
\end{equation*}
$$

where c.c. means the complex conjugate of the preceding terms.
If Equation (50) is substituted into Equation (48), one obtains:

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{0}^{2} x_{1}+\omega_{1}^{2} x_{1}=-2 i \omega_{1} D_{1} A \exp \left(i \omega_{1} T_{0}\right)-\beta_{1}\left[A \bar{A}+\bar{A}^{2} \exp \left(2 i \omega_{1} T_{0}\right)\right]-\frac{G_{1}}{2}+c . c . \tag{51}
\end{equation*}
$$

The secular term into Equation (51) will be eliminated if $D_{1} A=0$, or $A=A\left(T_{2}\right)$. The solution of Equation (9) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{1}=-\frac{\beta_{1}}{\omega_{1}^{2}}\left[2 A \bar{A}-\frac{1}{3} A^{2} \exp \left(i \omega_{1} T_{0}\right)-\frac{1}{3} \bar{A}^{2} \exp \left(-2 i \omega_{1} T_{0}\right)\right]-\frac{G_{1}}{\omega_{1}^{2}} \tag{52}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, substituting Equations (50) and (51) into Equation (49), we obtain
$D_{0}^{2} x_{2}+\omega_{1}^{2} x_{2}=\left[\left(\frac{10 \beta_{1}}{3 \omega_{1}^{2}}+3 \gamma_{1}\right) A^{2} \bar{A}-2 i \omega_{1}\left(A^{\prime}+\frac{\alpha_{1}}{2} A\right)+\frac{\Delta}{2} \exp \left(i \delta T_{2}\right)+\frac{\beta_{1} G_{1} A}{\omega_{1}^{2}}\right] \exp \left(i \omega_{1} T_{0}\right)+c . c+N T$
where $A^{\prime}=\partial A / \partial T_{2}$, and $N T$ stands for nonlinear terms.
Avoiding the secular terms into Equation (53), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{10 \beta_{1}}{3 \omega_{1}^{2}}+3 \gamma_{1}\right) A^{2} \bar{A}-2 i \omega_{1}\left(A^{\prime}+\frac{\alpha_{1}}{2} A\right)+\frac{\Delta}{2} \exp \left(i \delta T_{2}\right)+\frac{\beta_{1} G_{1} A}{\omega_{1}^{2}}=0 \tag{54}
\end{equation*}
$$

Letting $A=0.5 a \exp (i \beta)$, where $a$ and $\beta$ are real, and then separating real and imaginary parts, respectively, from the last equation, we have:

$$
\begin{gather*}
a^{\prime}=-\frac{\alpha_{1}}{2} a+\frac{\Delta}{2 \omega_{1}} \sin \left(\delta T_{2}-\beta\right)  \tag{55}\\
a \beta^{\prime}=-\left(\frac{5 \beta_{1}}{12 \omega_{1}^{3}}+\frac{3 \gamma_{1}}{8 \omega_{1}}\right) a^{3}-\frac{\beta_{1} G_{1} a}{8 \omega_{1}^{3}}-\frac{\Delta}{2 \omega_{1}} \cos \left(\delta T_{2}-\beta\right) \tag{56}
\end{gather*}
$$

Letting $\alpha=\sigma T_{2}-\beta$, the Equations (55) and (56) can be rewritten as:

$$
\begin{gather*}
a^{\prime}=-\frac{\alpha_{1}}{2} a+\frac{\Delta}{2 \omega_{1}} \sin \alpha  \tag{57}\\
a \alpha^{\prime}=\left(\frac{5 \beta_{1}}{12 \omega_{1}^{3}}+\frac{3 \gamma_{1}}{8 \omega_{1}}\right) a^{3}+\left(\delta+\frac{\beta_{1} G_{1}}{8 \omega_{1}^{3}}\right) a+\frac{\Delta}{2 \omega_{1}} \cos \alpha \tag{58}
\end{gather*}
$$

For the steady-state solution $a^{\prime}=\alpha^{\prime}=0$, and therefore, from Equations (57) and (58) it follows that

$$
\begin{gather*}
\alpha_{1} a_{0}=\frac{\Delta}{\omega_{1}} \sin \alpha_{0}  \tag{59}\\
\left(\frac{5 \beta_{1}}{6 \omega_{1}^{3}}+\frac{3 \gamma_{1}}{4 \omega_{1}}\right) a_{0}^{3}+\left(2 \delta+\frac{\beta_{1} G_{1}}{4 \omega_{1}^{3}}\right) a_{0}=-\frac{\Delta}{\omega_{1}} \cos \alpha_{0} \tag{60}
\end{gather*}
$$

Squaring and adding Equations (59) and (60) yields the amplitude $a_{0}$ of the steady-state solution:

$$
\begin{equation*}
M U^{3}+N U^{2}+P U+Q=0 \tag{61}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
U=a_{0}^{2} ; \quad M=\left(\frac{5 \beta_{1}}{6 \omega_{1}^{3}}+\frac{3 \gamma_{1}}{4 \omega_{1}}\right)^{2} ; \quad N=4\left(\frac{5 \beta_{1}}{6 \omega_{1}^{3}}+\frac{3 \gamma_{1}}{4 \omega_{1}}\right)\left(\delta+\frac{\beta_{1} G_{1}}{8 \omega_{1}^{3}}\right) ; \quad P=\alpha_{1}^{2}+\left(\delta+\frac{\beta_{1} G_{1}}{8 \omega_{1}^{3}}\right)^{2} ; \quad Q=-\frac{\Delta^{2}}{\omega_{1}^{2}} \tag{62}
\end{equation*}
$$

The solutions of algebraic Equation (62) are

$$
\begin{equation*}
U_{1}=-\frac{N}{3 M}+\sqrt[3]{\sqrt{p^{3}+q^{2}}-q}-\sqrt[3]{\sqrt{p^{3}+q^{2}}+q} \tag{63}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& U_{2}=-\frac{N}{3 M}-\frac{1}{2}\left(\sqrt[3]{\sqrt{p^{3}+q^{2}}-q}-\sqrt[3]{\sqrt{p^{3}+q^{2}}+q}\right)+\frac{i \sqrt{3}}{2}\left(\sqrt[3]{\sqrt{p^{3}+q^{2}}-q}-\sqrt[3]{\sqrt{p^{3}+q^{2}}+q}\right)  \tag{64}\\
& U_{3}=-\frac{N}{3 M}-\frac{1}{2}\left(\sqrt[3]{\sqrt{p^{3}+q^{2}}-q}-\sqrt[3]{\sqrt{p^{3}+q^{2}}+q}\right)-\frac{i \sqrt{3}}{2}\left(\sqrt[3]{\sqrt{p^{3}+q^{2}}-q}-\sqrt[3]{\sqrt{p^{3}+q^{2}}+q}\right) \tag{65}
\end{align*}
$$

where $p=\frac{P}{3 M}-\frac{N^{2}}{9 M^{2}} ; \quad q=\frac{N^{3}}{27 M^{3}}-\frac{N P}{6 M^{2}}+\frac{P}{6 M}$.
We remark that if $p^{3}+q^{2}>0$, then Equation (61) has a single real solution and two complex conjugate solutions. If $p^{3}+q^{2}<0$, then Equation (61) has three real and distinct solutions. If $p^{3}+q^{2}=0$, then $U_{1}=U_{2}=U_{3}=0$ for $p=q=0$, and $U_{1}=U_{2}, U_{3} \neq U_{1}$ for $p^{3}=-q^{2} \neq 0$. The parameter $a_{0}$ can be obtained as $a_{0}= \pm \sqrt{U_{k}}$, and $\mathrm{k}=1,2,3$, and the parameter $\alpha_{0}$ can be obtained from Equations (59) and (60):

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tan \alpha_{0}=-\alpha_{1}\left[\left(\frac{5 \beta_{1}}{6 \omega_{1}^{3}}+\frac{3 \gamma_{1}}{4 \omega_{1}}\right) a_{0}^{2}+\left(2 \delta+\frac{\beta_{1} G_{1}}{8 \omega_{1}^{3}}\right) a_{0}\right]^{-1} \tag{66}
\end{equation*}
$$

With $a_{0}$ and $\alpha_{0}$ known, we can study the stability of steady-state motion considering

$$
\begin{gather*}
a=a_{0}+\Delta a \\
\alpha=\alpha_{0}+\Delta \alpha \tag{67}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $\Delta a$ and $\Delta \alpha$ are small.
Substituting Equation (67) into Equation (58) and keeping only the linear terms in $\Delta a$ and $\Delta \alpha$, we have

$$
\begin{gather*}
(\Delta a)^{\prime}=-\frac{\alpha_{1}}{2} \Delta a+\frac{\Delta}{2 \omega_{1}}\left(\cos \alpha_{0}\right) \Delta \alpha  \tag{68}\\
a_{0}(\Delta \alpha)^{\prime}=\left[\left(\frac{5 \beta_{1}}{4 \omega_{1}^{3}}+\frac{9 \gamma_{1}}{8 \omega_{1}}\right) a_{0}^{2}+\delta+\frac{\beta_{1} G_{1}}{8 \omega_{1}^{3}}\right] \Delta a-\frac{\Delta}{2 \omega_{1}}\left(\sin \alpha_{0}\right) \Delta \alpha \tag{69}
\end{gather*}
$$

The stability of the steady-state motion is determined by the eigenvalues of the Jacobian matrix obtained from Equations (68) and (69):

$$
[J]=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
-\frac{1}{2} \alpha_{1} & \frac{\Delta}{2 \omega_{1}} \cos \alpha_{0}  \tag{70}\\
\left(\frac{5 \beta_{1}}{4 \omega_{1}^{3}}+\frac{9 \gamma_{1}}{8 \omega_{1}}\right)^{2} a_{0}^{2}+\delta+\frac{\beta_{1} G_{1}}{8 \omega_{1}^{3}} & -\frac{\Delta}{2 \omega_{1}} \sin \alpha_{0}
\end{array}\right]
$$

The sign of the real parts of the eigenvalues of the Jacobian matrix are obtained from the characteristic equation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{det}\left([J]-\lambda\left[I_{2}\right]\right)=0 \tag{71}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\left[I_{2}\right]$ is the unity matrix of the second order, and $\lambda$ is the eigenvalue of the Jacobian matrix. Taking into account the expression (31), the characteristic equation becomes:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda^{2}+(\operatorname{tr} J) \lambda+\operatorname{det} J=0 \tag{72}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the trace of $J$ and the determinant of $J$ are given by

$$
\begin{gather*}
\operatorname{tr} J=\frac{1}{2}\left(\alpha_{1}+\frac{\Delta}{\omega_{1}} \sin \alpha_{0}\right)  \tag{73}\\
\operatorname{det} J=\frac{\alpha_{2}}{4} \frac{\Delta}{\omega_{1}} \sin \alpha_{0}-\frac{\Delta}{2 \omega_{1}} \cos \alpha_{0}\left[\left(\frac{5 \beta_{1}}{4 \omega_{1}^{3}}+\frac{9 \gamma_{1}}{4 \omega_{1}}\right) a_{0}^{2}+\delta+\frac{\beta_{1} G_{1}}{8 \omega_{1}^{3}}\right] \tag{74}
\end{gather*}
$$

Substituting $\sin \alpha_{0}$ and $\cos \alpha_{0}$ from Equations (59) and (60) into Equations (73) and (74), one can obtain

$$
\begin{gather*}
\operatorname{tr} J=\frac{1}{2}\left(1+a_{0}\right)  \tag{75}\\
\operatorname{det} J=\frac{1}{4} a_{0} \alpha_{1}^{2}+\left[\left(\frac{5 \beta_{1}}{4 \omega_{1}^{3}}+\frac{9 \gamma_{1}}{4 \omega_{1}}\right) a_{0}^{2}+\delta+\frac{\beta_{1} G_{1}}{8 \omega_{1}^{3}}\right]\left[\left(\frac{5 \beta_{1}}{6 \omega_{1}^{3}}+\frac{3 \gamma_{1}}{4 \omega_{1}}\right) a_{0}^{3}+2 \delta+\frac{\beta_{1} G_{1}}{4 \omega_{1}^{3}}\right] \tag{76}
\end{gather*}
$$

The discriminant of Equation (72) is

$$
\begin{equation*}
D=(\operatorname{tr} J)^{2}-4 \operatorname{det} J=-6 M a_{0}^{5}-12 N a_{0}^{3}-2 P a_{0}-\frac{\alpha_{1}^{2}}{4}\left(1+a_{0}^{2}\right) \tag{77}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $M, N$, and $P$ are given by Equation (62).
The formula for the solution of quadratic Equation (72) is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{1,2}=-\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{tr} J \pm \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{D} \tag{78}
\end{equation*}
$$

The signs of the eigenvalues $\lambda_{1}$ and $\lambda_{2}$ determine stability so that we will leave discussion of so-called "borderline" cases.

In the case of Hopf bifurcation, there exists one pair of conjugate, purely imaginary eigenvalues, $\lambda_{1}=i \Omega$ and $\lambda_{2}=-i \Omega$, in the characteristic Equation (72). This means that $\operatorname{trJ}=0$, and $\operatorname{det} J>0$, and it follows that $a_{0}=-1$, and $3 M+6 N+P+0.25 \alpha_{1}^{2}<0$. Based on the saddle-node bifurcation theory, there is one zero eigenvalue of the Jacobian matrix, and this condition corresponds to $\mathrm{det} J=0$, or $3 M+6 N+P+0.25 \alpha_{1}^{2}=0$. In this case, the value of the detuning parameter becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta=-\frac{1}{4}\left[3\left(\frac{5 \beta_{1}}{6 \omega_{1}^{3}}+\frac{3 \gamma_{1}}{4 \omega_{1}}\right)\left(\frac{5 \beta_{1}}{6 \omega_{1}^{3}}+\frac{3 \gamma_{1}}{4 \omega_{1}}+\frac{\beta_{1} G_{1}}{\omega_{1}^{3}}\right)+\alpha_{1}^{2}+\frac{\beta_{1} G_{1}}{2 \omega_{1}^{3}}\right]\left(1+\frac{5 \beta_{1}}{6 \omega_{1}^{3}}+\frac{3 \gamma_{1}}{4 \omega_{1}}\right)^{-1} \tag{79}
\end{equation*}
$$

In what follows, we will graphically examine the numerical study of stability near primary resonance. For this aim, we use the parameters:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega=0.98, \alpha_{1}=0.001, \beta_{1}=1 / 6, \gamma=1 / 54, G_{1}=-0.07, \delta \in[-0.2,0.2] \tag{80}
\end{equation*}
$$

Figure 3 shows the variation of the amplitude $a_{0}$ obtained from Equation (61) in respect to detuning parameter $\delta$. The positive values of $\mathrm{a}_{0}$ increase up to $\delta \approx-0.02$, and then, the amplitude $\mathrm{a}_{0}$ decreases for $\delta>-0.02$ and vice versa if $a_{0}$ is negative. It is obvious that the graph of the amplitude $\mathrm{a}_{0}$ is symmetrical with respect to the horizontal axis $\mathrm{O} \delta$.


Figure 3. The variation of $a_{0}$ given by Equation (61) on the domain [ $-0.2,0.2$ ].
If the detuning parameter $\delta$ is defined on the domain $[-0.2,0.2]$, then from Equation (61), the amplitude $a_{0}$ is defined on the domain $[-0.59,0.59]$.

The variation of $t r J$ given by Equation (75) and the variation of the discriminant $D$ given by Equation (77) are plotted in Figures 4-6, respectively. The trace of matrix $J$ is decreasing with respect to the amplitude, and $\operatorname{trJ}$ is negative for all $a_{0}[-0.59,0.59]$.


Figure 4. Variation of $\operatorname{trJ}$ with respect to amplitude $a_{0}$, given by Equation (77).


Figure 5. Variation of $D$ with respect to amplitude $a_{0}$ for $\delta>0$, given by Equatiuon (77).


Figure 6. Variation of $D$ with respect to amplitude $a_{0}$ for $\delta<0$, given by Equation (77).
The graph of D with respect to $a_{0}$ for $\delta>0$ is plotted in Figure 5 , and the graph of $D$ with respect to $a_{0}$ for $\delta<0$ is plotted in Figure 6. The graphs of the discriminant $D$ are not monotonous on the entire domain $\delta \in[-0.2,0.2]$ and $a_{0} \in[-0.002,0.002]$ for $\delta>0$. The same discussion appears for $\delta<0$. The discriminant D for $\delta<0$ increases on each domain $(-0.59,-0.4),(-0.3,-0.08),(0.08,0.3)$, and $(0.4,0.59)$.

Finally, the graph of $a_{0}$ for $D=0$ is presented in Figure 7, and the nodes are unstable. It should be emphasized that from the quintic algebraic equation $D=0$, where $D$ is given in Equation (77), one can obtain at most five solutions. However, the amplitude $a_{0}$ depends on the coefficients $M, N$, and $P$ defined in Equation (62) and implicitly on the $\delta[-0.2,0.2]$. It follows that $D=0$ led to the amplitude $a_{0}$ in the domain $[-3,0]$.


Figure 7. Variation of $a_{0}$ with $D=0$ for $\delta \in[-0.2,0.2]$, given by Equation (77).

## 7. Conclusions

Vibro-impact dynamics under a Hertzian contact force and the influence of an asymmetric electromagnetic actuators are analyzed near the primary resonance. The vibroimpact is created by the loss of contact generated near resonance. The mathematical model depending on one nonlinear differential equation was studied, and accurate analytical approximate solutions are presented by means of OAFM. Explicit solutions are given for a complex problem. For the first time, a small number of new auxiliary functions and involved convergence-control parameters were employed in the constructions of the initial and of the first iteration, and the determination of these parameters was successfully implemented in our approach. We remark that these parameters lead to a high precision by comparing our approximate solution with numerical integration results. The nonlinear differential equation was reduced to two linear differential equations, which do not depend on all terms of the nonlinear operator. We have a great freedom to choose the number of convergence-control parameters, the number of auxiliary functions, and some terms from the nonlinear operator. We proved that the accuracy of our solution could be increased, if needed, along with increasing the number of convergence-control parameters, which is an important facility of the proposed approach. The optimal values of the convergence-control parameters were determined using rigorous mathematical procedures. Our approach does not suppose the presence of a small or large parameter in the governing equation or in the boundary/initial conditions. The stability analysis was carried out to the steady-state motion. Using MMS and the eigenvalues of the Jacobian matrix, we studied some cases depending on the trace of the Jacobian and the discriminant of the characteristic equation. The Hopf bifurcation and the saddle node bifurcation were taken into account.

Some future works will be further developed to study the global stability by means of Liapunov function; two symmetrical EA, active control of vibro-impact, and corresponding experimental validation of the obtained results will be carried out.
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