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#### Abstract

The problem of constructing and justifying the discrete algorithms of the support operator method for numerical modeling of differential repeated rotational operations of vector analysis (curl curl) in application to problems of magnetohydrodynamics is considered. Difference schemes of the support operator method on the unstructured meshes do not approximate equations in the local sense. Therefore, it is necessary to prove the convergence of these schemes to the exact solution, which is possible after analyzing the error structure of their approximation. For this analysis, a decomposition of the space of mesh vector functions into an orthogonal direct sum of subspaces of potential and vortex fields is introduced. Generalized centroid-tensor metric representations of repeated operations of tensor analysis (div, grad, and curl) are constructed. Representations have flux-circulation properties that are integrally consistent on spatial meshes of irregular structure. On smooth solutions of the model magnetostatic problem on a tetrahedral mesh with the first order of accuracy in the rms sense, the convergence of the constructed difference schemes is proved. The algorithms constructed in this work can be used to solve physical problems with discontinuous magnetic viscosity, dielectric permittivity, or thermal resistance of the medium.
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## 1. Introduction

Support operator method (SOM) [1-3] in the construction of the difference schemes is used for a consistent approximation (in the sense of some integral identities) of the conjugate operations of vector analysis (div, grad, curl, etc.) and their combinations that are necessary for the numerical modeling of mathematical physics problems. The SOM allows for constructing the difference schemes on irregular meshes for many equations of this class, including nonlinear ones, in particular, with the fulfillment of the principle of complete conservatism [4]. In particular, to solve the problems of magnetic gas dynamics and for the development of hydrodynamic instabilities, the computational schemes should ensure conservation laws with an error that must be time-limited in order to be able to consider asymptotic solutions for the problems. These requirements together led to the development of integrally consistent approximations of systems of partial differential equations that arise in continuum mechanics.

The construction of consistent approximations is subjected to the multiphysical nature of most practical problems in continuum mechanics and magnetic gas dynamics. This branch of the theory of mesh methods for solving initial-boundary value problems for systems of partial differential equations has a long history and has turned out to be very productive. A classic example is the construction of completely conservative difference schemes for gas dynamics [4]. Matching the approximations for the momentum balance
equations, gas internal energy, and magnetic energy makes it possible to obtain their difference analogs. From these analogs, one can obtain the total energy balance equation which is free of "nonphysical" terms, i.e., those that do not have a physical nature, but occur only as a result of the chosen method of approximation. Thus, completely conservative schemes can be used in two basic equivalent forms, obtained one from another by algebraic transformations, either one that includes the total energy balance equation, or component-by-component balances of the kinetic, internal energy of the gas and magnetic energy. The latter form is important in such applications as, for example, calculations of highvelocity flows of gas mixtures, in cases where the characteristic energy relaxation time is longer than the characteristic momentum relaxation time, and the temperatures of individual components can differ markedly during the motion. Integral-consistent approximations are important in multiphysics calculations with a complicated description of energy exchange: gas dynamics of chemically reacting flows, radiation gas dynamics, magnetic gas dynamics, hydro-gasdynamic processes with energy flows to condensed matter, etc.

The SOM under consideration is actively studied and applied to practice problems. Let us take a look at some of them. Ref. [5] presents a comprehensive workflow for modeling integrally consistent single-phase flow and transport in a fractured porous medium using a discrete fracture matrix approach. In [6], the relationship between surface and underground flows was studied on completely unstructured meshes corresponding to complex soil structures. To accommodate the distorted grids that inevitably result from the explicit representation of complex soil structures, a mimetic finite-difference scheme structure (which is analogous to SOM) of spatial sampling is used to connect surface and underground flows. The Ref. [7] presents a mimetic finite-difference discretization of an arbitrary order for the diffusion equation with asymmetric positive definite tensor diffusion coefficient in a mixed formulation on general polygonal meshes. This scheme was tested on a non-stationary problem of modeling the Hall effect in resistive magnetohydrodynamics (MHD). In [8], the convergence of a new family of mimetic difference schemes for linear diffusion problems was studied. In contrast to the traditional approach, the diffusion coefficient enters both into the primary mimetic operator, i.e., into discrete divergence, and into the scalar product in the space of gradients. The diffusion coefficient is evaluated at different locations in the mesh, i.e., inside the mesh cells and on the mesh faces.

In Ref. [9], the explicit and implicit mimetic finite-difference schemes for the LandauLifshitz equation describing the dynamics of magnetization inside ferromagnetic materials were developed and analyzed. These schemes operate on common polyhedral meshes, which provide the flexibility to model magnetic devices of various shapes. The Ref. [10] presents a new family of mimetic difference schemes for solving elliptic partial differential equations in direct form on unstructured polyhedral meshes. Higher-order schemes are constructed using higher-order moments. The developed schemes are verified numerically on diffusion problems with constant and spatially variable tensor coefficients. In [11], the stability and convergence properties of the mimetic finite-difference method for diffusion-type problems on polyhedral meshes were studied. The optimal rates of convergence of scalar and vector variables in a mixed formulation of the problem are proved.

In Ref. [12], two new numerical methods for spatial discretization are presented, based on a mimetic finite-difference method for a degenerate partial differential equation in one dimension known as the Black-Scholes partial differential equation, which governs option pricing. To deal with partial differential equation degeneracy, a new customized finitedifference mimetic scheme is proposed along with the standard finite-difference mimetic method. Temporal discretization is performed according to the standard implicit scheme. In addition, rigorous proofs of convergence in the corresponding normed spaces are proposed. In [13], a systematic approach was developed to obtain mimetic finite-difference discretizations for the divergence and gradient operators, which provides the same order of accuracy at the boundary and internal mesh nodes. In Ref. [13], a second-order version of these operators is used to develop a new mimetic finite-difference method for the stationary diffusion equation. A theoretical and numerical analysis of this new method is presented,
including an original and non-standard proof of the quadratic convergence rate of this new method.

In Ref. [14], a numerical analysis was performed to study the heat transfer in a threedimensional magnetohydrodynamic flow of a magnetic nanofluid (ferrofluid) through a bidirectional exponentially stretching sheet of a hybrid nanofluid. The research results showed that, with an increase in the shape factor and generation/absorption parameters, the temperature above the surface increased. The obtained data prove that the skin friction coefficient corresponds to the magnetic parameters and the suction/injection parameters. Ref. [15] considers the magneto-hyperbolic-tangent liquid model taking into account magnetohydrodynamic processes. MHD has several applications in heat exchanger manufacturing, spacecraft strength, thermal enrichment, polymer technology, power generators, petroleum industry, and crude oil refining. In [15], hydromagnetic characteristics were studied under convective and stratified model constraints. Ref. [16] considers the magnetohydrodynamic flow and heat transfer of a non-Newtonian micropolar dusty liquid, suspended $\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{Al}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ hybrid nanoparticles, past a stretching sheet in the presence of nonlinear thermal radiation, variable thermal conductivity, and various shapes of nanoparticles (bricks, cylinders, platelets, and blades). $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ is used as base fluid. The effect of various parameters on the velocity and temperature profiles is analyzed for a given heat flux and a given surface temperature. An increase in the Hartmann number led to a decrease in speed due to the Lorentz force. The temperature also increased as a result of the increase in the Hartmann number due to the Joule heating effect.

In Ref. [17], the entropy generation of nanofluids between two stretching rotating disks under the action of magnetohydrodynamic and thermal radiation is considered. In [17], ethylene glycol $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OH}\right)_{2}$ is used as the base liquid, and carbon nanotubes, which include both single-walled carbon nanotubes and multi-walled nanotubes, are used as nanoparticles. The effect of the radiation parameter, magnetic field, porosity, suction/injection, and Brinkmann number on the skin friction coefficient and Nusselt number are studied. In [18], a magnetohydrodynamic flow is studied in the presence of microorganisms and nanoparticles on the surface. The desired results are the number of motile microorganisms, the Nusselt number, the coefficient of friction of the skin, and the Sherwood number. The influence of Brownian motion, thermal radiation, Schmidt number, thermophoresis, Peclet number, magnetic field, and bioconvection Schmidt number on the desired results have been studied. In [19], a three-dimensional estimate of the convective heat transfer properties of a magnetohydrodynamic flow of a nanofluid consisting of mobile oxytactic microorganisms and nanoparticles passing through a rotating cone was obtained. The influence of various factors on the distribution of velocity, temperature, and concentration is studied, taking into account the addition of a magnetic field, thermal radiation, and viscous dissipation to the calculations. Changing the magnetic parameter from 0 to 1 led to a decrease in the temperature distribution by approximately $3.11 \%$.

The expansion of the practice of using grids of irregular structure is due to the need to solve applied problems of mathematical physics in geometrically nontrivial areas. One such very effective method for constructing consistent approximations is the method of support or integrally consistent operators. The idea of constructing integrally consistent approximations of differential operators was realized in [20,21], where approximations on rectangular grids were obtained. Generalizations of this method to the case of irregular grids appeared as a result of the analysis of the development of projection-grid and variational-difference schemes for equations of elliptic type [22], as well as variationaldifference schemes for equations of gas and magnetic gas dynamics [23]. In the works of this direction, in particular, the possibilities of constructing difference schemes without assumptions about the structure of the difference grid were studied [24-26]. It turned out that the natural basis for the corresponding difference constructions approximating differential operators is the integral identities of vector and tensor analysis [27]. Since these identities connect vector analysis operators in an invariant form, for their use in constructing grid approximations, the natural form of representation of the original differential equations
(and the corresponding boundary value problems) is invariantly defined operators. In this case, it turns out to be possible to construct an approximation of any one of the operators, for example, the gradient. Some integral identities link operators of different types, i.e., acting on scalar and vector functions, which allows, for example, to obtain an approximation of the divergence of a vector field as a generated operator [28,29], which, together with the gradient difference operator, satisfies the difference analogue of the corresponding integral identity.

The problems of research and justification for these schemes are less developed. In this work, on the classical solutions of the model magnetostatic problem on tetrahedral irregular meshes, the convergence of SOM difference schemes for repeated rotational operations of vector analysis (curl curl) with zero eigenvalues of the spectral problem is proved. All equations are linear and, moreover, with constant coefficients. This choice is explained by the desire to highlight the problems associated exclusively with the irregularity of the mesh and to show the commonality of approaches to their solution. Convergence is proved at the geometric level, i.e., depending on the degree of coordination of the geometry of the mesh and its metric properties with template functionals that determine the specific form of the difference scheme. In this case, the sufficient smoothness of the solution of the original differential problem is assumed.

The considered difference schemes generally do not approximate the equations in the local sense; therefore, the proof of convergence is possible after analysis of the structure of the approximation error. An investigation of this problem leads to a splitting of the space of vector mesh functions into an orthogonal direct sum of the subspaces of potential and vortex ones. As applied to the SOM difference scheme for the magnetostatic problem, the difference rotor of the circulations calculation error is zero. Therefore, this error is the difference gradient of some mesh function $\operatorname{grad} \xi$. The norm of circulation error $\operatorname{grad\xi }$ is determined by the energy of the metric mesh operator $G$ [30]. The action of the metric operator $G$ on the area of the faces of the cells $\vec{S}$, in turn, is consistent with the sizes of the cells (the location of the centers of gravity of the cells, faces, and edges). We introduce a decomposition of the space of mesh vector functions into the orthogonal direct sum of the subspaces of potential and vortex fields, so that the error in computing the circulations is a potential function.

Thus, in the present work, on sufficiently smooth solutions of the differential problem on tetrahedral meshes with the first order of accuracy in the mean-square sense, the convergence of SOM difference schemes for repeated rotational operations (curl curl) with zero eigenvalues of the spectral problem is proved. There are no restrictions on the tetrahedral mesh, except for its non-degeneracy.

## 2. Covariant Representation of a Mesh Dot Product on the Mesh $(\sigma) \cdot(\phi)$

Cells $(\Omega)$ are made up of faces $(\sigma)$ and nodes $(\omega)$. The bases $\varphi(\Omega)$ are formed by the unit normal $\vec{e}(\sigma)$ of the faces $\sigma$ forming the given basis. Its central node $\omega(\Omega)$ corresponds to such a basis $\varphi(\Omega)$. In the bases $\varphi(\Omega)$, their volumes $V_{\varphi}>0$ are selected with the normalization condition in the cell $\sum_{\varphi(\Omega)} V_{\varphi}=V_{\Omega}$. Spatial meshes with the above-described structural elements were introduced in $[1,30]$ and are shown in Figure 1a. The strengths of the magnetic field are componentwise related to the normals $\vec{e}(\sigma)$ of which the bases $\varphi(\Omega)$ are composed.


Figure 1. Mesh and mesh bases: (a) tetrahedron; (b) boundary approximation of the Poynting flux; (c) magnetic circulation on the boundary contour $\overrightarrow{C_{\partial \sigma} C_{\partial \lambda}}, s_{\sigma}(\lambda)=-1, s_{\partial \sigma}=-1$. Beyond the face $\partial \sigma$, the cell $\partial \Omega$ is located.

Using the facet weight volume $V_{\sigma}=\sum_{\varphi(\sigma)} V_{\varphi}>0$, we introduce the mesh dot product approximating the integral $\int_{O}(\vec{\hbar}, \vec{g}) d V$ in the domain $O$ in its covariant representation

$$
\left(\bar{\hbar}, g^{\prime}\right)_{\sigma}=\sum_{\varphi} V_{\varphi} \sum_{\sigma(\varphi), \tilde{\sigma}(\varphi)} G r_{\varphi}^{\prime}(\sigma, \tilde{\sigma}) \hbar^{\prime}(\tilde{\sigma}) g^{\prime}(\sigma)
$$

Here, by the definition,

$$
\left(\hbar_{1}, \hbar_{2}\right)_{\sigma}=\sum_{\sigma} V_{\sigma} \hbar_{1}(\sigma) \hbar_{2}(\sigma)
$$

Thus, the metric operator $G$, including $\bar{\hbar}=G \hbar^{\prime}$, is actually introduced according to the formula

$$
\bar{\hbar}(\sigma)=\frac{1}{V_{\sigma}} \sum_{\varphi(\sigma)} V_{\varphi} \sum_{\tilde{\sigma}(\varphi)} G r_{\varphi}^{\prime}(\sigma, \tilde{\sigma}) \hbar^{\prime}(\tilde{\sigma})
$$

We have constructed a positive definite self-adjoint operator $G:(\sigma) \rightarrow(\sigma), G=$ $G^{*}>0$ on the mesh. It is given by a family of Gram matrices $\operatorname{Gr}_{\varphi}^{\prime}(\sigma, \tilde{\sigma})=\left(\vec{e}_{\varphi}^{\prime}(\sigma), \vec{e}_{\varphi}^{\prime}(\tilde{\sigma})\right)$ in local bases $\varphi$. Here, $\vec{e}_{\varphi}^{\prime}(\sigma)$ are the vectors of the mutual basis $\varphi$ with respect to the initial one formed by the vectors $\vec{e}(\sigma)$. This operator $G$ connects the covariant $h^{\prime}(\sigma)$ and contravariant $\bar{\hbar}(\sigma)$ representations of the magnetic field strength. For triangular 2d cells $\Omega$ according to [1], the base volume is defined as $V_{\varphi}=\frac{1}{6}\left|\overrightarrow{e_{1}} \times \overrightarrow{e_{2}}\right|$. Here, $\overrightarrow{e_{1}}$ and $\overrightarrow{e_{2}}$ are sides of the triangle forming the basis $\varphi$.

Likewise in a quadrangular cell, it is $V_{\varphi}=\frac{1}{4}\left|\overrightarrow{e_{1}} \times \overrightarrow{e_{2}}\right|$. For tetrahedral 3D cells $\Omega$, it is selected as $V_{\varphi}=\frac{1}{4} V_{\Omega}, \varphi \in \Omega$.

What has been described in this section is generalized to non-unit normals $\vec{e}(\sigma)$ to cell faces $\sigma$.

Mesh divergence DIV : $\sigma$ ) $\rightarrow(\Omega)$ is given as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{DIV} \vec{g}=\frac{1}{V_{\Omega}} \sum_{\sigma(\Omega)} s_{\sigma}(\Omega) g^{\prime}(\sigma) S(\sigma) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here, $S(\sigma)$ is the area of the face $\sigma$ divided by the length $\vec{e}(\sigma)$ of the ort $\sqrt{(\vec{e}(\sigma), \vec{e}(\sigma))}$, i.e., the specific area. If the normal $\vec{e}(\sigma)$ to the cell $\Omega$ is external, then $s_{\sigma}(\Omega)=+1$. For the inner normal, this sign function is equal to minus one.

The cell scalar product is defined as

$$
\left(F_{1}, F_{2}\right)_{\Omega}=\sum_{\Omega} V_{\Omega} F_{1 \Omega} F_{2 \Omega}
$$

Using (1) from the difference analogue of the integral identity [3]:

$$
\int_{O} g r a d F \vec{\hbar} d V+\int_{O} F d i v \vec{\hbar} d V=\int_{\Sigma} F \vec{\hbar} d \vec{S}
$$

in the area $O$ closed by the surface $\Sigma$, we obtain the mesh operator $G R A D:(\Omega) \rightarrow(\sigma)$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\overline{G R A D F}, \hbar^{\prime}\right)_{\sigma}+(F, D I V \vec{\hbar})_{\Omega}=\sum_{\partial \sigma} s_{\partial \sigma} F_{\partial \sigma} \hbar^{\prime}(\partial \sigma) S(\partial \sigma) . \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the boundary faces $\partial \sigma$ in this identity, $S(\partial \sigma)$ is the specific area. $s_{\partial \sigma}=+1$ for the outer surface normal $\vec{e}(\partial \sigma)$ and $s_{\partial \sigma}=-1$ if otherwise. In addition, the function $F_{\partial \sigma}$ is defined on the boundary faces $\partial \sigma$. The quantities $\bar{\hbar}=G \hbar^{\prime}$ and $F$ are arbitrary mesh functions; therefore, on the faces $\sigma$, we obtain

$$
\overline{G R A D F}=\frac{\Delta F}{h^{\prime}}, h^{\prime}=\frac{V_{\sigma}}{S(\sigma)},
$$

where

$$
\Delta F=-\sum_{\Omega(\sigma)} s_{\sigma}(\Omega) F(\Omega)+s_{\partial \sigma} F_{\partial \Omega}
$$

The last term in the expression for $\Delta F$ on the face $\sigma$ is added at the boundary of the region (i.e., $\sigma=\partial \sigma$ ).

## 3. Difference Evolution Model of the Magnetic Energy of the System

### 3.1. Metric Support-Operator Meshes for MHD Processes

$h_{\tau}(\lambda)>0$ is the length of the oriented edge $\lambda$ divided by the length of the ort vector $\sqrt{\left(\vec{e}_{\tau}(\lambda), \vec{e}_{\tau}(\lambda)\right)}$. This is the specific length of the edge. The oriented area $\vec{S}_{\partial \sigma}$ of the boundary face $\partial \sigma$ is divided into the sum of the areas of the surface bases $\vec{S}_{\partial \varphi}$ inside this face $\vec{S}_{\partial \sigma}=\sum_{\partial \varphi(\partial \sigma)} \vec{S}_{\partial \varphi}$. The boundary edge $\partial \lambda$ has a superficial near edge area $S_{\partial \lambda}=\sum_{\partial \varphi(\partial \lambda)} S_{\partial \varphi}$ and a transverse length $h_{\Sigma}^{\prime}(\partial \lambda)=S_{\partial \lambda} / h_{\tau}(\partial \lambda)$. The mesh $(\lambda) \cdot(\varphi)$ is formed by local bases $\varphi$ of edges $\lambda$ with oriented vectors $\vec{e}_{\tau}(\lambda)$. Electric field strengths $\vec{e}$ are componentwise related to the edges of a given mesh. To define the metric operator $G_{\tau}$ on $(\lambda) .(\varphi)$, we consider the dot product: $\left(e_{1}, e_{2}\right)_{\lambda}=\sum_{\lambda} V_{\lambda} e_{1}(\lambda) e_{2}(\lambda)$ with near-edge volume $V_{\lambda}=\sum_{\varphi(\lambda)} V_{\varphi}>0$. The continual scalar product $\int_{O}(\vec{e}, \vec{b}) d V$ in the entire region $O$ is approximated by the representation

$$
\left(\bar{e}, b^{\prime}\right)_{\lambda}=\sum_{\varphi} V_{\varphi} \sum_{\tilde{\lambda}(\varphi), \lambda(\varphi)} G r^{\prime}{ }_{\tau \varphi}(\lambda, \tilde{\lambda}) e^{\prime}(\tilde{\lambda}) b^{\prime}(\lambda) .
$$

Thus, the metric operator $G_{\tau}$, including $\bar{e}=G_{\tau} e^{\prime}$, is actually introduced by the formula

$$
\bar{e}(\lambda)=\frac{1}{V_{\lambda}} \sum_{\varphi(\lambda)} V_{\varphi} \sum_{\tilde{\lambda}(\varphi)} G r^{\prime}{ }_{\tau \varphi}(\lambda, \tilde{\lambda}) e^{\prime}(\tilde{\lambda}) .
$$

In the sense of the scalar product $\left(e_{1}, e_{2}\right)_{\lambda}$, we have constructed a positive definite selfadjoint operator $G_{\tau}:(\lambda) \rightarrow(\lambda), G_{\tau}=G_{\tau}^{*}>0$. It is given by a family of Gram matrices in local mutual basis $\varphi$. Here, $\vec{e}_{\tau \varphi}^{\prime}(\lambda)$ are the vectors of the mutual basis $\varphi$ with respect to the initial one formed by the vectors $\vec{e}_{\tau}(\lambda)$. The index $\tau$ determines the object relation to the mesh $(\lambda) \cdot(\varphi)$. This operator $G_{\tau}$ connects the covariant $e^{\prime}(\lambda)$ and contravariant $\bar{e}(\lambda)$ representations of the electric field intensity. Approximating Stokes' theorem on the cell face $\sigma$, we obtain a mesh rotor $R O D:(\lambda) \rightarrow(\sigma)$ (see Figure 2a) acting on an electric field $\vec{e}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
(R O D \vec{e})^{\prime}=\frac{1}{S(\sigma)} \sum_{\lambda(\sigma)} s_{\lambda}(\sigma) e^{\prime}(\lambda) h_{\tau}(\lambda) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$



Figure 2. Circular matching of oriented normals to faces $\sigma$ on the left and tangents along mesh edges $\lambda$ on the right. The sign function $s_{\lambda}(\sigma)= \pm 1$ (a) takes values according to whether the orientation of the edge $\vec{e}_{\tau}(\lambda)$ coincides with the direction of rotation about the normal $\vec{e}(\sigma)$. The sign function $s_{\sigma}(\lambda)= \pm 1(\mathbf{b})$ is defined similarly, but the contour is made up of oriented face normals $\vec{e}(\sigma)$, and the rotation is around the tangent along the edges $\vec{e}_{\tau}(\lambda)$.

Here, $S(\sigma)$ is the area of the face $\sigma$ divided by the length of the ort $\sqrt{(\vec{e}(\sigma), \vec{e}(\sigma))}$. From Figure 2 , sign functions $s_{\lambda}(\sigma)= \pm 1, s_{\sigma}(\lambda)= \pm 1$ are set (including $s_{\lambda}(\sigma)=s_{\sigma}(\lambda)$ ). The boundary sign function $s_{\partial \lambda(\partial \varphi)}$ is equal to plus one if in the surface basis $\partial \varphi(\partial \sigma)$ the rotation from the unit vector $\vec{e}_{\tau}(\partial \lambda)$ to the complementary to it in $\partial \varphi(\partial \sigma)$ determines the outward normal to the region. Otherwise, $s_{\partial \lambda}(\partial \varphi)=-1$.

On the edges $\partial \lambda$ of the boundary of the region $O$, as well as an electric field $e^{\prime}(\partial \lambda)$, we consider a magnetic field $\vec{\hbar}_{\tau}$ with components $\hbar_{\tau}^{\prime}(\partial \lambda)$ tangential to the surface of the region. Using (3) from the difference analog of the integral identity [3]:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{O} \vec{e} \operatorname{curl} \vec{\hbar} d V-\int_{O} \vec{\hbar} \operatorname{curl} \vec{e} d V=\int_{\Sigma}\left[\vec{\hbar}_{\tau} \times \vec{e}\right] d \vec{s} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

in the area $O$, closed by the surface $\Sigma$, we obtain the mesh operator

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(e^{\prime}, \overrightarrow{R O G \vec{\hbar}}\right)_{\lambda}-\left(\vec{\hbar},(R O D \vec{e})^{\prime}\right)_{\sigma}=\sum_{\partial \lambda} e^{\prime}(\partial \lambda) h_{\tau}(\partial \lambda)(\vec{\hbar} d \vec{h})_{\Sigma}(\partial \lambda) . \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

The operator ROG acts on magnetic field $\vec{\hbar}$.
From the approximation in the surface basis $\partial \varphi$ of the mixed product $\left[\vec{\hbar}_{\tau} \times \vec{e}\right] d \vec{s}$ in (4), the magnetic circulation transverse to the edge $\partial \lambda$ is determined on the surface of the region (see Figure 1b)

$$
\begin{equation*}
(\vec{\hbar} d \vec{h})_{\Sigma}(\partial \lambda)=-\left.\frac{1}{h_{\tau}(\partial \lambda)} \sum_{\partial \varphi(\partial \lambda)} \frac{s_{\partial \lambda}(\partial \varphi)}{} S_{\partial \varphi} \hbar_{\operatorname{det}\left\|G r_{\tau \partial \varphi}\right\|}^{\prime} \hbar_{\tau}^{\prime}(\partial \tilde{\lambda})\right|_{\partial \tilde{\lambda}(\partial \varphi) \neq \partial \lambda} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

$G r_{\tau \partial \varphi}$ is Gram matrix composed of orts $\vec{e}_{\tau}(\partial \lambda)$ and $\vec{e}_{\tau}(\partial \tilde{\lambda})$ in a surface basis $\partial \varphi$. Its determinant is:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{det}\left\|G r_{\tau \partial \varphi}\right\|=\left(\vec{e}_{\tau}(\partial \lambda), \vec{e}_{\tau}(\partial \lambda)\right) \cdot\left(\vec{e}_{\tau}(\partial \tilde{\lambda}), \vec{e}_{\tau}(\partial \tilde{\lambda})\right) \cdot \sin ^{2} \vec{e}_{\tau}\left(\left.\widehat{\partial \lambda) \vec{e}_{\tau}}(\partial \tilde{\lambda})\right|_{\partial \lambda(\partial \varphi) \neq \partial \tilde{\lambda}(\partial \varphi)}\right. \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

For the angle $\varphi=\vec{e}_{\tau}\left(\widehat{\partial \lambda) \vec{e}_{\tau}}(\partial \tilde{\lambda})\right.$ between the vectors of the surface basis $\partial \varphi$, the identity is fulfilled

$$
\left|\left[\vec{e}_{\tau \varphi}^{\prime}(\lambda) \times \vec{e}_{\tau \varphi}^{\prime}(\tilde{\lambda})\right]\right|=\sin (\pi-\varphi) /\left\{\left|\vec{e}_{\tau}(\lambda)\right| \cdot\left|\vec{e}_{\tau}(\tilde{\lambda})\right| \cos ^{2}(\pi / 2-\varphi)\right\}=1 / \sqrt{\operatorname{det}\left\|G r_{\tau \partial \varphi}\right\|}
$$

Hence, the integral conjugate to the operator $R O D$, the operator $R O G$ of interest to us, will be obtained from (5):

$$
\begin{equation*}
\overrightarrow{R O G \vec{\hbar}}=1 / S_{\tau}^{\prime}\left[\sum_{\sigma(\lambda)} s_{\sigma}(\lambda) \vec{\hbar}(\sigma) h^{\prime}(\sigma)+(\vec{\hbar} d \vec{h})_{\Sigma}(\partial \lambda)\right], \quad S_{\tau}^{\prime}=V_{\lambda} / h_{\tau} . \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

If the magnetic circulation $(\vec{\hbar} d \vec{h})_{\Sigma}\left(\partial_{1} \lambda\right)$ is used to close the contour around the surface edge $\partial_{1} \lambda$ in the ROG operator, then the first boundary value problem (Dirichlet) is considered to be posed on this edge $\partial_{1} \lambda$. The edge $\partial \lambda=\left\{\partial_{1} \lambda \mid \partial_{0} \lambda\right\}$, in other words, we will understand as $\sigma\left(\partial_{0} \lambda\right)$, and we will put on it the second boundary value problem (Neumann), if the electric circulation $e^{\prime}\left(\partial_{0} \lambda\right) \cdot h_{\tau}\left(\partial_{0} \lambda\right)$ along the surface edge $\partial_{0} \lambda$ is defined. This edge closes the contours of the faces $\sigma\left(\partial_{0} \lambda\right)$ in (3) for the operator $R O D$. In this case, the operation ROD ROG: $(\sigma) \rightarrow(\sigma)$, including ROD $R O G=(R O D R O G)^{*} \geq 0$ turns out to be selfadjoint and non-negative according to (5) in the sense of the scalar product $(\cdot, \cdot)_{\sigma}$. For the operation ROG ROD : $(\lambda) \rightarrow(\lambda)$, we similarly have $R O G R O D=(R O G R O D)^{*} \geq 0$, in the sense of the scalar product $(\cdot, \cdot)_{\lambda}$. Due to the metric properties of the meshes $(\sigma) \cdot(\varphi)$ and $(\lambda) \cdot(\varphi)$, as well as mesh-oriented flow-circulation relationships (see Figure 2), the properties of operations DIV ROD $\vec{e}=0$ in the mesh cells $\Omega$ are fulfilled.

### 3.2. Metric Properties of Rotary Operations on Tetrahedral Meshes

Let us justify the approximation of the operation $\overline{R O G \vec{\hbar}}$ (see (5), (8)) and its circulation properties.

On the tetrahedral mesh, we also set the form of a closed conjugate magnetic contour $(\sigma(\lambda))$ with an axis around the edge $\lambda$. For the surface edges $\partial \lambda$, the contribution to the spatial closure of the contour will be made taking into account the approximation of the Poynting vector on the faces $\partial \sigma$.

Consider the contour of magnetic circulation around the edge $\lambda$, formed by adjacent tetrahedra (see Figure 1a). We introduce the centroid points of the tetrahedron (for $\Omega, \sigma$ and $\lambda$ ):

$$
\vec{c}_{\Omega}=\frac{1}{4} \sum_{\omega(\Omega)} \vec{r}_{\omega}, \vec{c}_{\sigma}=\frac{1}{3} \sum_{\omega(\sigma)} \vec{r}_{\omega}, \vec{c}_{\lambda}=\frac{1}{2} \sum_{\omega(\lambda)} \vec{r}_{\omega} .
$$

Here, $\vec{r}_{\omega}$ are vectors defining the spatial arrangement of nodes forming cells $\Omega$, faces $\sigma$ and edges $\lambda$. Deferring these vectors from the node $O$ (see Figure 1a), we obtain

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\overrightarrow{O C}_{\Omega}=\frac{1}{4}(\overrightarrow{O A}+\overrightarrow{O B}+\overrightarrow{O D}), \overrightarrow{O C}_{\sigma}=\frac{1}{3}(\overrightarrow{O A}+\overrightarrow{O B}+\overrightarrow{O D}), \\
\overrightarrow{O C}_{\lambda}=\frac{1}{2}(\overrightarrow{O A}+\overrightarrow{O D}), \overrightarrow{C_{\Omega} C_{\sigma}}=\overrightarrow{C_{\sigma}}-\overrightarrow{C_{\Omega}}
\end{array}
$$

We transform the expression from (8)

$$
\begin{array}{r}
S_{\tau}^{\prime} \overline{R O G \vec{\hbar}}-(\vec{\hbar} d \vec{h})_{\Sigma}(\partial \lambda)=\sum_{\sigma(\lambda)} s_{\sigma}(\lambda) \vec{\hbar}(\sigma) h^{\prime}(\sigma)=\sum_{\sigma(\lambda)} s_{\sigma}(\lambda)\left(G \hbar^{\prime}\right)(\sigma) h^{\prime}(\sigma)= \\
=\sum_{\sigma(\lambda)} s_{\sigma}(\lambda) \frac{1}{V_{\sigma}} \sum_{\varphi(\sigma)} V_{\varphi} \sum_{\tilde{\sigma}(\varphi)} G r_{\varphi}^{\prime}(\sigma, \tilde{\sigma}) \hbar^{\prime}(\tilde{\sigma}) \frac{V_{\sigma}}{S(\sigma)}=\sum_{\sigma(\lambda)} \frac{s_{\sigma}(\lambda)}{S(\sigma)} \sum_{\Omega(\sigma)} \sum_{\varphi(\sigma) \in \Omega} V_{\varphi} \vec{e}_{\varphi}^{\prime}(\sigma) \cdot \vec{\hbar}_{\varphi} \\
=\sum_{\sigma(\lambda)} s_{\sigma}(\lambda) \sum_{\Omega(\sigma)} \frac{1}{4} \frac{1}{3} h_{\Omega \perp \sigma} \sum_{\varphi(\sigma) \in \Omega} \vec{e}_{1 \varphi}^{\prime}(\sigma) \cdot \vec{\hbar}_{\varphi} .
\end{array}
$$

The vector of the magnetic field intensity in the basis $\varphi$ is $\vec{\hbar}_{\varphi}=\sum_{\tilde{\sigma}(\varphi)} \hbar^{\prime}(\tilde{\sigma}) \vec{e}_{\varphi}^{\prime}(\tilde{\sigma})$. The height in the tetrahedron $\Omega$ drawn to the face $\sigma$ is denoted as $h_{\Omega \perp \sigma}$. In addition, on the $\varphi$-basis, $\vec{e}_{1 \varphi}^{\prime}(\sigma)$ is the mutual (contravariant) vector corresponding to the unit normal to the face $\sigma$. The representation takes place (see Figure 1a)

$$
\frac{1}{12} h_{\Omega \perp \sigma} \sum_{\varphi(\sigma) \in \Omega} \vec{e}_{1 \varphi}^{\prime}(\sigma)=s_{\sigma}(\Omega) \overrightarrow{C_{\Omega} C_{\sigma}} .
$$

This identity constructs the circulation of the magnetic field intensity between the gravity centers of the cell $\Omega$ and one of its faces $\sigma$ as

$$
s_{\sigma}(\Omega)\left(\int_{C_{\Omega}}^{C_{\sigma}} \vec{\hbar} d \vec{e}\right)_{\Delta}=\frac{1}{12} h_{\Omega \perp \sigma} \sum_{\varphi(\sigma) \in \Omega} \vec{e}_{1 \varphi}^{\prime}(\sigma) \vec{\hbar}_{\varphi}
$$

Because of this, (8) can be represented in the form

$$
S_{\tau}^{\prime} \overline{R O G \vec{\hbar}}-(\vec{\hbar} d \vec{h})_{\Sigma}(\partial \lambda)=\sum_{\sigma(\lambda)} s_{\sigma}(\lambda) \sum_{\Omega(\sigma)} s_{\sigma}(\Omega) \cdot\left(\int_{C_{\Omega}}^{C_{\sigma}} \vec{\hbar} d \vec{e}\right)_{\Delta} .
$$

The Pointing electromagnetic energy flux approximated on the surface of the region $\Sigma$ on the right-hand side of (4) is consistent with the discrete representation of the boundary magnetic circulation (5), (6) between the gravity centers of the surface faces $\partial \sigma$ and the midpoints of their edges $\partial \lambda(\partial \sigma)$ (see Figure 1c). The corresponding contours have a geometric representation (see (6))

$$
s_{\sigma}(\lambda) s_{\partial \sigma} \overrightarrow{C_{\partial \sigma} C_{\partial \lambda}}=-1 /\left.h_{\tau}(\partial \lambda) \sum_{\partial \varphi(\partial \lambda) \in \partial \sigma} \frac{s_{\partial \lambda(\partial \varphi)} \cdot S_{\partial \varphi}}{\sqrt{\operatorname{det}\left\|G r_{\tau \partial \varphi}\right\|}} \vec{e}_{\tau}(\partial \tilde{\lambda})\right|_{\partial \tilde{\lambda}(\partial \varphi) \neq \partial \lambda}
$$

The intersection point of the medians $C_{\partial \sigma}$ in the triangle $\partial \sigma$ is connected to vectors $\overrightarrow{C_{\partial \sigma} C_{\partial \lambda}}$ by the midpoint of one of its edges $\partial \lambda(\partial \sigma) . \partial \varphi$ are surface bases in the triangle $\partial \sigma$. Their area can be represented as $S_{\partial \varphi}=\frac{1}{3} S_{\partial \sigma \supset \partial \varphi}$ through the area of the triangle $S_{\partial \sigma \supset \partial \varphi}$ containing this basis $\partial \varphi \cdot s_{\partial \sigma}=+1$ is true for the outer surface normal $\vec{e}(\partial \sigma)$ and $s_{\partial \sigma}=-1$, if otherwise.

By virtue of the above geometric reasoning, we see that the discrete magnetic circulation on $\overrightarrow{C_{\partial \sigma} C_{\partial \lambda}}$ can be represented as

$$
s_{\sigma}(\lambda) s_{\partial \sigma}\left(\int_{C_{\partial \sigma}}^{C_{\partial \lambda}} \vec{\hbar} d \vec{e}\right)_{\Delta}=-\left.\frac{1}{h_{\tau}(\partial \lambda)} \sum_{\partial \varphi(\partial \lambda) \in \partial \sigma} \frac{s_{\partial \lambda(\partial \varphi)} \cdot S_{\partial \varphi}}{\sqrt{\operatorname{det}\left\|G r_{\tau \partial \varphi}\right\|}} \hbar_{\tau}^{\prime}(\partial \tilde{\lambda})\right|_{\partial \tilde{\lambda}(\partial \varphi) \neq \partial \lambda} .
$$

Finally,

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{\tau}^{\prime} \overline{R O G \vec{\hbar}}=\sum_{\sigma(\lambda)} s_{\sigma}(\lambda) \sum_{\Omega(\sigma)} s_{\sigma}(\Omega)\left(\int_{C_{\Omega}}^{C_{\sigma}} \vec{\hbar} d \vec{e}\right)_{\Delta}+\sum_{\partial \sigma(\partial \lambda)} s_{\sigma}(\lambda) s_{\partial \sigma}\left(\int_{C_{\partial \sigma}}^{C_{\partial \lambda}} \vec{\hbar} d \vec{e}\right)_{\Delta} . \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equality (9) means that the rotor of the magnetic field (see (8)) can be represented by a spatially closed centroid contour circulation around the axis of the edge $\lambda$. A spatial contour connects the gravity centers of cells $\Omega$ and faces $\sigma$ around the edge $\lambda$. On the boundary face $\partial \sigma$, the intersection point of its medians is connected to the middle of the axial edge $\partial \lambda(\partial \sigma)$, on which $S_{\tau}^{\prime} \overrightarrow{R O G \vec{\hbar}}(\lambda)$ is defined. From the spatial closeness of the circulation representation (9) on the mesh, it follows that

$$
\overline{R O G \vec{\hbar}} \equiv 0 \text { at } \vec{\hbar}=\text { const } .
$$

## 4. Convergence of Difference Schemes of the Support Operator Method for Rotational Operations of Vector Analysis on Tetrahedral Meshes

### 4.1. Formulation of the Problem

On sufficiently smooth solutions, the approximation by difference schemes of support operator method [31]

$$
\begin{gather*}
\text { curl } \vec{H}=\vec{f}(\vec{r}),  \tag{10}\\
\vec{H}=\operatorname{curl} \vec{E} \tag{11}
\end{gather*}
$$

with solenoidal magnetic field $(\operatorname{div} \vec{H}=0)$ is considered, for which with necessity [32] a certain field $\vec{E}$ exists, incl. (11) holding. It is also assumed that a certain distribution of current densities $\vec{f}(\vec{r})$, for which (10) holds, and boundary tangential components of magnetic field $\left.\vec{H}_{\tau}\right|_{\Sigma}$ are explicitly given. Obviously, "electric" field $\vec{E}$ is determined to be accurate for a constant and homogeneous spectral problem (with $\vec{f}(\vec{r})=0$ and $\left.\vec{H}_{\tau}\right|_{\Sigma}=0$ ) having zero eigenvalue.

For the solenoidality of the function $\vec{f}$ (i.e., $\operatorname{div} \vec{f}=0$ ), the existence of the function $\vec{F}$, incl. $\vec{f}=\operatorname{curl} \vec{F}$ is necessary and sufficient [32]. For Equations (10) and (11), we consider the boundary-value problem with the tangential components of the magnetic field defined on the boundary $\Sigma$ of the region $O$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\vec{H}_{\tau}\right|_{\Sigma}=\left.\vec{F}_{\tau}\right|_{\Sigma} \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

In addition, obviously, for any closed loop $\Gamma$ bounding the surface $\Sigma_{\Gamma}$ the following will be satisfied:

$$
\int_{\Gamma} \vec{H} d \vec{h}=\int_{\Sigma_{\Gamma}} \vec{f} d \vec{S}
$$

The difference scheme of the support operator method will have the form

$$
\begin{align*}
& V_{\lambda} \overrightarrow{R O G \vec{\hbar}}=f_{\lambda},  \tag{13}\\
& \hbar^{\prime}=(R O D \vec{e})^{\prime} . \tag{14}
\end{align*}
$$

Hereinafter, when investigating the convergence of this difference scheme (13) and (14), the specific lengths of the edges $h_{\tau}(\lambda)$ and the areas of faces $S(\sigma)$ are considered to be unit (see (3), (1)). We represent the electric flow $f_{\lambda}$ through the area

$$
\overrightarrow{S_{\lambda}}=\bigcup_{(\Omega(\lambda), \sigma(\lambda)) \in \Omega} \vec{S}_{\Omega \sigma \lambda}
$$

penetrated by the vector $\overrightarrow{e_{\tau}}(\lambda)$ in the form

$$
\begin{array}{r}
f_{\lambda}=\sum_{\Omega(\lambda)} \sum_{\sigma(\lambda) \in \Omega} \int_{\vec{S}_{\Omega \sigma \lambda}} \vec{f}(\vec{r}) d \vec{S}= \\
=\sum_{\sigma(\lambda)} s_{\sigma}(\lambda) \sum_{\Omega(\sigma)} s_{\sigma}(\Omega)\left(\int_{C_{\Omega}}^{C_{\sigma}} \vec{F} d \vec{e}\right)+\sum_{\partial \sigma(\partial \lambda)} s_{\sigma}(\lambda) s_{\partial \sigma}\left(\int_{C_{\partial \sigma}}^{C_{\partial \lambda}} \vec{F} d \vec{e}\right) . \tag{15}
\end{array}
$$

Here, $\vec{S}_{\Omega \sigma \lambda}$ is the area vector of the triangle connecting the gravity center of cell $C_{\Omega}$, face $C_{\sigma}$, edge $C_{\lambda}$ and oriented towards the vector $\overrightarrow{e_{\tau}}(\lambda)$ (see Figure 1a). Equality (15) is obtained using the Stokes theorem for a joint contour of triangles $\vec{S}_{\lambda}$ around an edge $\lambda$.

By reasoning of Section 3.2 (see also (8), (9)), we can assume

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{\lambda}=V_{\lambda} \overrightarrow{R O G \vec{F}} \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

believing

$$
\begin{gather*}
\bar{F}(\sigma) h^{\prime}(\sigma)=\sum_{\Omega(\sigma)} s_{\sigma}(\Omega)\left(\int_{C_{\Omega}}^{C_{\sigma}} \vec{F} d \vec{e}\right),  \tag{17}\\
(\vec{F} d \vec{h})_{\Sigma}(\partial \lambda)=\sum_{\partial \sigma(\partial \lambda)} s_{\sigma}(\lambda) s_{\partial \sigma}\left(\int_{C_{\partial \sigma}}^{C_{\partial \lambda}} \vec{F} d \vec{e}\right) . \tag{18}
\end{gather*}
$$

By virtue of condition (12), when studying the convergence of the difference scheme (13), (14), we will also instead of (6) assume

$$
\begin{gather*}
(\vec{\hbar} d \vec{h})_{\Sigma}(\partial \lambda)=\sum_{\partial \sigma(\partial \lambda)} s_{\sigma}(\lambda) s_{\partial \sigma}\left(\int_{C_{\partial \sigma}}^{C_{\partial \lambda}} \vec{H} d \vec{e}\right),  \tag{19}\\
\int_{C_{\partial \sigma}}^{C_{\partial \lambda}} \vec{H} d \vec{e}=\int_{C_{\partial \sigma}}^{C_{\partial \lambda}} \vec{F} d \vec{e} . \tag{20}
\end{gather*}
$$

### 4.2. Solvability of the Difference Problem

We study the solvability conditions for problems (13), (14), and (20). Introduce internal rotation $R N G:(\sigma) \rightarrow(\lambda)$ as

$$
\begin{gathered}
S_{\tau}^{\prime} \overline{R N G \vec{\hbar}}=\sum_{\sigma(\lambda)} s_{\sigma}(\lambda) \bar{\hbar}(\sigma) h^{\prime}(\sigma)=S_{\tau}^{\prime} \overline{R O G \vec{\hbar}}-(\vec{\hbar} d \vec{h})_{\Sigma}(\partial \lambda) \\
S_{\tau}^{\prime}(\lambda)=V_{\lambda} / h_{\tau}(\lambda), \quad h^{\prime}(\sigma)=V_{\sigma} / S(\sigma)
\end{gathered}
$$

Obviously, by virtue of (5),

$$
\left(e^{\prime}, \overline{R N G \vec{\hbar}}\right)_{\lambda}=\left(\bar{\hbar},(R O D \vec{e})^{\prime}\right)_{\sigma} .
$$

The homogeneous system of equations corresponding to the problems (13), (14), and (20) has the form

$$
\begin{gather*}
V_{\lambda} \overline{R N G \vec{\hbar}}=0, \hbar^{\prime}=(R O D \vec{e})^{\prime},  \tag{21}\\
\left(e^{\prime}, \overline{R N G \vec{\hbar}}\right)_{\lambda}=\left(\vec{\hbar},(R O D \vec{e})^{\prime}\right)_{\sigma}=\left(G(R O D \vec{e})^{\prime},(R O D \vec{e})^{\prime}\right)_{\sigma} \geq 0 .
\end{gather*}
$$

Hence, we see that the operator of homogeneous system $R N G R O D:(\lambda) \rightarrow(\lambda)$ is self-adjoint and non-negative. Thus, the solution of a conjugate homogeneous system has the property $(R O D \vec{e})^{\prime}=0$ (for example, $\vec{e}=$ const). The orthogonality condition on the right-hand side in (13) according to (8) has the form

$$
\sum_{\lambda} e^{\prime}(\lambda)\left[f_{\lambda}-(\vec{\hbar} d \vec{h})_{\Sigma}(\partial \lambda)\right]=0
$$

or, taking into account (16)-(20), we have

$$
\left(e^{\prime}, \overline{R N G \vec{F}}\right)_{\lambda}=\left(\bar{F},(R O D \vec{e})^{\prime}\right)_{\sigma}=0
$$

Thus, since $(R O D \vec{e})^{\prime}=0$, for any $\vec{F}$ in the solenoidal representation $\vec{f}=\operatorname{curl} \vec{F}$ in accordance with (17), the condition of orthogonality of the solution of the homogeneous conjugate system of Equation (21) and the right-hand side (13) under condition (20) is fulfilled. This is the condition for the solvability of problem (13), (14), (20) by the Fredholm matrix theorem [33].

### 4.3. Accuracy of the Difference Scheme

Now, consider the question of accuracy of difference scheme (13), (14), (20).

Integrating (10) over the area $\vec{S}_{\lambda}$ around the edge $\lambda$, we find

$$
V_{\lambda} \overrightarrow{R O G \vec{H}}=f_{\lambda}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
\bar{H}(\sigma) h^{\prime}(\sigma) & =\sum_{\Omega(\sigma)} s_{\sigma}(\Omega)\left(\int_{C_{\Omega}}^{C_{\sigma}} \vec{H} d \vec{e}\right),  \tag{22}\\
(\vec{H} d \vec{h})_{\Sigma}(\partial \lambda) & =\sum_{\partial \sigma(\partial \lambda)} s_{\sigma}(\lambda) s_{\partial \sigma}\left(\int_{C_{\partial \sigma}}^{C_{\partial \lambda}} \vec{H} d \vec{e}\right) \tag{23}
\end{align*}
$$

and, subtracting (13) from this equation, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{\lambda} \overline{R G N(\vec{H}-\vec{\hbar})}=0 . \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

Conditions (24) mean that, in the cell $\Omega$, there is a grid function $\xi_{\Omega}$ such that

$$
\bar{H}-\bar{\hbar}=\overline{G R A D \xi}=\frac{\Delta \xi}{h^{\prime}}
$$

and constant on the boundary faces $\partial \sigma$, i.e., $\xi_{\partial \sigma}=$ const. This constant will be considered equal to zero, const $=0$. We obtain the equation that the function $\xi$ satisfies. We have

$$
G^{-1} \overline{G R A D \tilde{\xi}}=H^{\prime}-\hbar^{\prime}, \quad G H^{\prime}=\bar{H} .
$$

Summing up this equality over the cell faces $\Omega$, taking into account $D I V \vec{\hbar}=0$ (see (14)), we obtain

$$
D I V \overrightarrow{G R A D \vec{\xi}}=D I V \vec{H}
$$

by (2); taking into account $\xi_{\partial \sigma}=0$, we have

$$
\left(G(G R A D \xi)^{\prime},(G R A D \xi)^{\prime}\right)_{\sigma}=\left(G(G R A D \xi)^{\prime}, H^{\prime}\right)_{\sigma} \geq 0
$$

From identity (2) for $\xi_{\partial \sigma}=0$, for any difference solenoidal function $\overrightarrow{R O D} \overrightarrow{\vec{E}}$ (i.e., DIV $\overrightarrow{R O D \vec{E}}=0$ ), by virtue of its orthogonality to $\overrightarrow{G R A D \vec{\xi}}$, we have

$$
\|G R A D \xi\|_{\sigma}^{2}=\left(G(G R A D \xi)^{\prime}, H^{\prime}-(R O D \vec{E})^{\prime}\right)_{\sigma} \leq\|G R A D \xi\|_{\sigma} \cdot\|H-R O D \vec{E}\|_{\sigma}
$$

Here, as applied (3), which determines $(R O D \vec{E})^{\prime}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
E^{\prime}(\lambda) h_{\tau}(\lambda)=\int_{\lambda} \vec{E} d \vec{e} \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the integrals of the exact solution $\vec{E}$ (see (11)) are taken along the edges $\lambda$ oriented by $\overrightarrow{\varepsilon_{\tau}}(\lambda)$. The norms of grid vectors on the faces $\sigma$ here are understood $\|X\|_{\sigma}=\sqrt{\left(G X^{\prime}, X^{\prime}\right)_{\sigma}}$, as well as $\|X\|_{*}=\sqrt{(X, X)_{*}}(X, Y)_{*}=\sum_{\sigma} X(\sigma) Y(\sigma)$.

The boundaries of the spectrum of a self-adjoint, positive definite operator $V_{\sigma} G$ : $(\sigma) \rightarrow(\sigma)$ consisting of Gram matrices $G r_{\sigma}^{\prime}(\sigma, \tilde{\sigma})$ in mutual bases (see Section 2), provided that tetrahedral mesh is non-degenerate, can be estimated as

$$
0<\frac{\gamma_{1}}{h}(X, X)_{*} \leq\left(V_{\sigma} G X, X\right)_{*} \leq \frac{\gamma_{2}}{h}(X, X)_{*}
$$

The corresponding estimate for the inverse operator has the form

$$
0<\frac{h}{\gamma_{2}}(X, X)_{*} \leq\left(\left(V_{\sigma} G\right)^{-1} X, X\right)_{*} \leq \frac{h}{\gamma_{1}}(X, X)_{*} .
$$

Here, $\gamma_{1}$ and $\gamma_{2}$ are bounded $(O(1))$ quantities that do not tend to zero and do not depend on the grid step $h>0$.

A mesh is considered non-degenerate if:

1. There is a parameter $h>0$ characterizing the partition detail of computational domain $O$ and having the meaning of linear dimensions of grid elements.
2. The unreasonable sizes of grid elements are uniformly evaluated for the entire grid family:

$$
a_{1} h^{3} \leq V_{\Omega} \leq a_{2} h^{3}, \quad b_{1} h^{2} \leq S(\sigma) \leq b_{2} h^{2}, \quad c_{1} h \leq h_{\tau}(\lambda) \leq c_{2} h .
$$

3. The ratio of nonspecific areas of faces $S(\sigma)$, as well as the lengths of edges $h_{\tau}(\lambda)$ included in one basis $\phi$, uniformly across $h$, does not tend to zero and is bounded above by the number $O(1)$.
4. Among the dihedral and flat corners of the cells $\Omega$, there are no very sharp and very obtuse ones, i.e., they are all uniformly across $h$ enclosed in the range from $\Theta$ to $\pi-\Theta$ with a non-zero angle $\Theta=O(1)$.

Next, we obtain

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\|G R A D \xi\|_{\sigma}^{2} \leq\|H-R O D \vec{E}\|_{\sigma}^{2}= \\
=\left(\left(H^{\prime}-(R O D \vec{E})^{\prime}\right),\left(V_{\sigma} G\right)\left(H^{\prime}-(R O D \vec{E})^{\prime}\right)\right)_{*} \leq \frac{h}{\gamma_{1}}\left\|\left(V_{\sigma} G\right)\left(H^{\prime}-(R O D \vec{E})^{\prime}\right)\right\|_{*}^{2} .
\end{array}
$$

On the face $\sigma$ according to (22), we have

$$
\left[\left(V_{\sigma} G\right) H^{\prime}\right](\sigma)=\bar{H}(\sigma) h^{\prime}(\sigma)=\sum_{\Omega(\sigma)} s_{\sigma}(\Omega)\left[\vec{H}_{C \sigma} \cdot \overrightarrow{C_{\Omega} C_{\sigma}}+O\left(h^{2}\right)\right]
$$

Here, $\vec{H}_{C \sigma}$ is the magnetic field for solving problems (10), (11), and (20) at the gravity center $C_{\sigma}$ of face $\sigma$ (see Figure 1a).

According to (3) and (25), on face $\sigma$, we estimate

$$
\begin{array}{r}
(R O D \vec{E})^{\prime}(\tilde{\sigma})=\frac{1}{S(\tilde{\sigma})} \sum_{\lambda(\tilde{\sigma})} s_{\lambda}(\tilde{\sigma}) \int_{\lambda} \vec{E} d \vec{e}= \\
=\frac{1}{S(\tilde{\sigma})} \int_{\tilde{\sigma}} c u r l \vec{E} d \vec{S}=\frac{1}{S(\tilde{\sigma})} \int_{\tilde{\sigma}} \vec{H} d \vec{S}=\left[\vec{H}_{C \sigma}+O(h)\right] \vec{e}(\tilde{\sigma}) .
\end{array}
$$

The last integral is taken over the oriented area of the face $S(\tilde{\sigma}) \vec{e}(\tilde{\sigma})$ from the operator template $V_{\sigma} G$ on the face $\sigma . S(\tilde{\sigma})$ is a specific area of the face $\tilde{\sigma}$. Obviously on the face $\sigma$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
{\left[\left(V_{\sigma} G\right) \vec{e}\right](\sigma)=\sum_{\Omega(\sigma)} \sum_{\varphi(\sigma) \in \Omega} \sum_{\tilde{\sigma}(\varphi)} V_{\varphi} G r_{\phi}^{\prime}(\sigma, \tilde{\sigma}) \vec{e}(\tilde{\sigma})=} & \sum_{\Omega(\sigma)} \sum_{\varphi(\sigma) \in \Omega} V_{\varphi} \vec{e}_{\varphi}^{\prime}(\sigma)= \\
& =\sum_{\Omega(\sigma)} s_{\sigma}(\Omega) \cdot \overrightarrow{C_{\Omega} C_{\sigma}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence,

$$
\begin{array}{r}
{\left[\left(V_{\sigma} G\right)(R O D \vec{E})^{\prime}\right](\sigma)=\sum_{\Omega(\sigma)} \sum_{\varphi(\sigma) \in \Omega} \sum_{\tilde{\sigma}(\varphi)} V_{\varphi} G r_{\phi}^{\prime}(\sigma, \tilde{\sigma})\left[\vec{H}_{C \sigma}+\right.} \\
O(h)] \vec{e}(\tilde{\sigma})=\vec{H}_{C \sigma} \sum_{\Omega(\sigma)} s_{\sigma}(\Omega) \overrightarrow{C_{\Omega} C_{\sigma}}+O\left(h^{2}\right) .
\end{array}
$$

We see

$$
\left[\left(V_{\sigma} G\right)\left(H^{\prime}-(R O D \vec{E})^{\prime}\right)\right](\sigma)=O\left(h^{2}\right)
$$

i.e.,

$$
\|H-\hbar\|_{\sigma}^{2} \leq \frac{h}{\gamma_{1}} \sum_{\sigma} O\left(h^{4}\right)=O\left(h^{2}\right)
$$

because in total, the summation $\sum_{\sigma}$ contains $O\left(h^{-3}\right)$ terms.
Finally, we obtain an estimation

$$
\|H-\hbar\|_{\sigma}=O(h)
$$

which shows the convergence of the considered difference problems (13), (14), and (20) to the continuum problems (10) and (11) with the boundary condition $\left.\vec{H}_{\tau}\right|_{\Sigma}$ with the first order of accuracy on smooth solutions.

## 5. Evolution of Electromagnetic Energy

In the numerical modeling of astrophysical problems with consideration of the magnetohydrodynamic phenomena, the processes of matter supercompression may occur (the density changes by several orders of magnitude). Thus, it is important to take into account the corresponding energy transformations of magnetohydrodynamic, as well as kinetic, and internal energy during the evolution of a star at a discrete level. This problem is solved by constructing a completely conservative difference transformation [4] of the magnetic energy of the medium, which considers these magnetohydrodynamic processes.

Accounting for magnetohydrodynamic forces in the construction of completely conservative difference schemes is associated with significant difficulties [4]. Using the method of support operators [1], an integrally consistent difference scheme is proposed in this work, which makes it possible to match the change in the kinetic, internal, and magnetohydrodynamic energies [30]. As a basic operator, this method uses the result of varying the magnetohydrodynamic energy of the system, which is a discrete convolution of two tensors. The first tensor is the Maxwell tensor in the difference medium under study, which is fully responsible for all magnetohydrodynamic processes unfolding against the background of the hydrodynamic motion of matter. The second tensor is the symmetrized strain rate tensor, which represents kinematic motions in this system. The operator conjugate to the convolution of these tensors, due to the technology of the support operator method, automatically gives a magnetic force (the divergence of the Maxwell tensor) acting on the nodal balance domains of the difference medium.

In conclusion, let us consider the issue of applying the developed tools for discrete integrally consistent modeling of rotational operations of vector analysis in application to magnetohydrodynamic problems.

Let $O$ be a flux region with a surface $\Sigma(d \vec{s}$ is the externally oriented area) and a mass $M$ concentrated in it. $d M$ is the constant mass of Lagrangian particles of the medium occupying the volume $d V$. In the magnetohydrodynamic approximation, the integral balance of magnetic energy in a medium has the form [31]:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{c^{2}}{8 \pi} \int_{O(\hat{t})} \vec{\hbar}^{2} d \hat{V}=\frac{c^{2}}{8 \pi} \int_{O(t)} \vec{\hbar}^{2} d V+\int_{t}^{\hat{t}} d \tau\left(\int_{O(\tau)}\left(D_{\hbar}-D\right) d V-\int_{\Sigma(\tau)} \vec{q} d \vec{s}\right) \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

The Maxwell tensor is given by the representation $t_{\hbar}=\left(c^{2} / 4 \pi\right)\left(\vec{\hbar} \cdot \vec{\hbar}-0.5 \vec{\hbar}^{2} \delta\right)$ where $\delta$ is the metric tensor, $\vec{\hbar}$ is the magnetic field strength divided by the speed of light $c$, and $\vec{e}$ is the electric field strength in the coordinate system associated with the moving particle. $D=\left(c^{2} / 4 \pi\right) \vec{e} c u r l \vec{\hbar}$ is the Joule heating per unit volume of the medium. $D_{\hbar}=\operatorname{tr}\left(t_{\hbar} t_{v}\right)$ is the magnetic dissipative function. The kinematic tensor is given by the representation
$t_{v}=0.5(d \vec{v} / d \vec{r}+\operatorname{grad} \vec{v})$, where $\vec{v}$ is the velocity of the medium particles. $\vec{q}=\left(c^{2} / 4 \pi\right)[\vec{e} \times \vec{h}]$ is the Poynting vector, which determines the external flux of electromagnetic energy.

The corresponding Maxwell equations for the electromagnetic field in the circulation circuits $H_{\tau}$ and $H$ limiting the surface $\Sigma$ are written as [31]:

$$
\begin{equation*}
d\left(\int_{\Sigma} \vec{\hbar} d \vec{s}\right) / d t=\oint_{H_{\tau}} \vec{e} d \overrightarrow{h_{\tau}}, \quad \int_{\Sigma} X_{\tau}^{-1} \vec{e} d \vec{s}=\oint_{H} \hbar d \vec{h} . \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here, $d / d t$ is the substantial derivative. $X_{\tau}$ is the positive definite tensor of magnetic viscosity in the medium $\left(X_{\tau}=c^{2} /(4 \pi \sigma)\right)$. For the current density in a medium with conductivity $\sigma$, Ohm's law is also valid in the form: $\vec{j}=\sigma \vec{e}=\left(c^{2} / 4 \pi\right) c u r l \vec{\hbar}, \vec{e}=X_{\tau} c u r l \vec{\hbar}$. On a closed surface $\Sigma$, the relation $\int_{\Sigma} \vec{\hbar} d \vec{s}=0$ follows from the condition of the absence of magnetic charges.

Passing to discretization, we represent the evolution of the magnetic field on the faces of the mesh $(\sigma) .(\varphi)$ (the first Maxwell equation from (27)) in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
d\left(\hbar^{\prime} S\right) / d t=-S(R O D \vec{e})^{\prime} \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (28), we see that, in the absence of magnetic charges in the mesh cell $\Omega$ at the initial moment, the condition of their absence is always satisfied in this cell. The normal components of the magnetic field $\hbar^{\prime}(\sigma)$ are assumed to be continuous on the mesh faces.

Furthermore, on the mesh $(\lambda) .(\varphi)$, analogous with the metric operator $G_{\tau}:(\lambda) \rightarrow(\lambda)$ (see Section 3.1), for a self-adjoint, positive-definite magnetic conductivity tensor $\rho_{\tau \phi}=$ $\left(X_{\tau}^{-1}\right)_{\varphi}$, which approximates the reciprocal magnetic viscosity in the medium in the mesh bases $\varphi$, we introduce the metric conductivity operator $G_{\rho \tau}:(\lambda) \rightarrow(\lambda)$ and the second Maxwell equation from (27) for the electric field flux can be represented as

$$
\begin{equation*}
G_{\rho \tau} e^{\prime}=\overline{R O G \vec{\hbar}}, \quad G_{\rho \tau} e^{\prime}=1 / V_{\lambda} \sum_{\varphi(\lambda)} V_{\varphi} \sum_{\tilde{\lambda}(\varphi)} G r_{\rho \tau \varphi}^{\prime}(\lambda, \tilde{\lambda}) e^{\prime}(\tilde{\lambda}) . \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here, the Gram matrices of the conductivity of the medium are defined as

$$
G r_{\rho \tau \varphi}^{\prime}(\lambda, \tilde{\lambda})=\left(\vec{e}_{\tau \varphi}^{\prime}(\lambda), \rho_{\tau \varphi} \vec{e}_{\tau \varphi}^{\prime}(\tilde{\lambda})\right)
$$

Obviously, the operator $G_{\rho \tau}=\left(G_{\rho \tau}\right)^{*}>0$ is also self-adjoint and positive definite on the mesh $(\lambda) \cdot(\varphi)$. The tangential components $e^{\prime}(\lambda)$ of the electric field are considered continuous on the mesh $(\lambda) \cdot(\varphi)$.

From (28), taking into account (3) from Section 3.1, it follows

$$
\left(c^{2} / 4 \pi\right) \sum_{\sigma} V_{\sigma} \bar{\hbar}(\sigma)[1 / S(\sigma)]\left[d\left(\hbar^{\prime}(\sigma) S(\sigma)\right) / d t\right]=-\left(\int_{O} D d V\right)_{\underline{\Delta}}-\left(\int_{\Sigma} \vec{q} d \vec{s}\right)_{\underline{\Delta}} .
$$

Here, the Joule heating of the entire volume of the medium

$$
\left(\int_{O} D d V\right)_{\underline{\Delta}}=\left(c^{2} / 4 \pi\right)\left(G_{\rho \tau} e^{\prime}, e^{\prime}\right)_{\lambda}=\sum_{\varphi} D_{\varphi} V_{\varphi} \geq 0
$$

is defined as its sum in local bases $\varphi$

$$
D_{\varphi}=\left(c^{2} / 4 \pi\right) \sum_{\lambda(\varphi), \tilde{\lambda}(\varphi)} G r_{\rho \tau \varphi}^{\prime}(\lambda, \tilde{\lambda}) e^{\prime}(\lambda) e^{\prime}(\tilde{\lambda})=\left(c^{2} / 4 \pi\right)\left(\vec{e}_{\varphi}, \rho_{\tau \varphi} \vec{e}_{\varphi}\right) \geq 0
$$

with electric field strengths in these bases $\vec{e}_{\varphi}=\sum_{\lambda(\varphi)} e^{\prime}(\lambda) \vec{e}_{\tau \varphi}^{\prime}(\lambda)$. It is also obvious that, for the flux of the Poynting vector $\vec{q}=\frac{c^{2}}{4 \pi}[\vec{e} \times \vec{h}]$ through the surface $\Sigma$, bounding the required area $O$, the representation follows

$$
\left(\int_{\Sigma} \vec{q} d \vec{s}\right)_{\underline{\Delta}}=-\left(c^{2} / 4 \pi\right) \sum_{\partial \lambda} e^{\prime}(\partial \lambda) h_{\tau}(\partial \lambda)(\vec{\hbar} d h)_{\Sigma}(\partial \lambda)
$$

Let us now transform the expression for changing the magnetic energy (see (26)) on the mesh $(\sigma) .(\varphi)$ with the metric operator $G$

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left(c^{2} / 8 \pi\right) d\left[\left(\bar{\hbar}, \hbar^{\prime}\right)_{\sigma}\right] / d t=\left(c^{2} / 4 \pi\right) \sum_{\sigma} V_{\sigma} \bar{\hbar}(\sigma)[1 / S(\sigma)]\left[d\left(\hbar^{\prime}(\sigma) S(\sigma)\right) / d t\right]+\sum_{\varphi} D_{\hbar \varphi} V_{\varphi}, \\
D_{\hbar \varphi}=\left(c^{2} / 4 \pi\right) \sum_{\sigma(\varphi), \tilde{\sigma}(\varphi)} \hbar^{\prime}(\sigma) \hbar^{\prime}(\tilde{\sigma})\left(t_{v(-1 / 2) \varphi}^{\hbar}\right)^{\sigma \tilde{\sigma}}, \\
\left(t_{\nu(-1 / 2) \varphi}^{\hbar}\right)^{\sigma \tilde{\sigma}}=\frac{V_{\varphi}}{2 h_{\varphi}^{\prime}(\sigma) h_{\varphi}^{\prime}(\tilde{\sigma})} \frac{d}{d t}\left(\frac{h_{\varphi}^{\prime}(\sigma) h_{\varphi}^{\prime}(\tilde{\sigma}) G r_{\varphi}^{\prime}(\sigma, \tilde{\sigma})}{V_{\varphi}}\right), \\
\left(t_{\nu \varphi}^{\hbar}\right)^{\sigma \tilde{\sigma}}=1 /\left[2 h_{\varphi}^{\prime}(\sigma) h_{\varphi}^{\prime}(\tilde{\sigma})\right] \frac{d}{d t}\left(h_{\varphi}^{\prime}(\sigma) h_{\varphi}^{\prime}(\tilde{\sigma}) G r_{\varphi}^{\prime}(\sigma, \tilde{\sigma})\right), \quad h_{\varphi}^{\prime}(\sigma)=V_{\varphi} / S(\sigma) .
\end{gathered}
$$

Finally, we have the law of conservation of magnetic energy:

$$
\left(c^{2} / 8 \pi\right) d\left[\left(G \hbar^{\prime}, \hbar^{\prime}\right)_{\sigma}\right] / d t=\sum_{\varphi} D_{\hbar \varphi} V_{\varphi}-\left(\int_{O} D d V\right)_{\underline{\Delta}}-\left(\int_{\Sigma} \vec{q} d \vec{s}\right)_{\underline{\Delta}} .
$$

Since $D_{\hbar}=\left(c^{2} / 4 \pi\right) \operatorname{tr}\left(\vec{\hbar} \cdot \vec{\hbar}\left(t_{v}-0.5 \operatorname{tr}\left(t_{v}\right) \delta\right)\right)$, we conclude that the quantities $\left(t_{v(-1 / 2) \varphi}^{\hbar}\right)^{\sigma \tilde{\sigma}}$ and $\left(t_{v \varphi}^{\hbar}\right)^{\sigma \tilde{\sigma}}$ approximate on the $\operatorname{mesh}(\sigma) .(\varphi)$ the magnetically consistent contravariant tensor $\left(t_{v}-0.5 \operatorname{tr}\left(t_{v}\right) \delta\right)^{\sigma \tilde{\sigma}}$ and contravariant symmetrized strain velocity tensor $\left(t_{v}\right)^{\sigma \tilde{\sigma}}$, respectively.

## 6. Conclusions

The work is devoted to the construction and justification of difference schemes of the support operator method in relation to the modeling of repeated rotational operations of vector analysis (curl curl) for problems of magnetohydrodynamics. Discrete algorithms of the method of support operators on spatial grids of irregular structure do not approximate equations in the local sense. Because of this, it becomes necessary to prove the convergence of these schemes to the exact solution. The solution to this problem is possible after analyzing the structure of the approximation error of the schemes under consideration. In this work, the convergence of difference schemes of the support operator method is proved for repeated rotational operations of vector analysis based on classical solutions of a model magnetostatic problem. Convergence is proved in the root-mean-square sense with the first order of accuracy on irregular tetrahedral meshes. The case of zero eigenvalues of the spectral problem is considered. The proof is carried out in mesh-dependent norms related to the energy of the metric operator of the mesh, which is not subject to any restrictions, except for its non-degeneracy. For the research carried out, generalized centroidtensor metric representations of repeated operations of tensor analysis (div, grad, and curl) have been developed. Metric representations have flux-circulation properties and are integrally consistent on meshes of irregular structure. The developed mesh centroid-tensor metric formalism is also used in this work to analyze the time evolution of electromagnetic energy on a mesh in the magnetohydrodynamic approximation. Generalized centroidtensor metric transformations can also be used in other problems in the theory of the support operator method. In addition, an algorithm for the evolution of electromagnetic energy, integrally consistent with the kinetic and internal energies of the medium, has been developed in the work. As a result, a completely conservative difference transformation
of the magnetic energy of the medium was constructed, which takes into account these magnetohydrodynamic processes. Accounting for magnetohydrodynamic forces in the construction of completely conservative difference schemes is associated with significant difficulties. Integral matching of kinetic and magnetohydrodynamic energies due to the methodology of support operators automatically gives the magnetic force acting on the nodal balance domains of the difference medium.
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