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#### Abstract

In the current work, we introduce a special family of the function family of analytic and m -fold symmetric bi-univalent functions and obtain estimates of the Taylor-Maclaurin coefficients $\left|d_{m+1}\right|$ and $\left|d_{2 m+1}\right|$ for functions in the special family. For $\delta$ a real number, Fekete-Szegö functional $\left|d_{2 m+1}-\delta d_{m+1}^{2}\right|$ for functions in the special family is also estimated. We indicate several cases of the defined family and connections to existing results are also discussed.
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## 1. Introduction

Let $\mathcal{A}$ be the set of functions $s$ that are holomorphic in $\mathfrak{D}=\{\varsigma \in \mathbb{C}:|\zeta|<1\}$, normalized by $s(0)=s^{\prime}(0)-1=0$ having the form:

$$
\begin{equation*}
s(\varsigma)=\varsigma+\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} d_{k} \varsigma^{k} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\mathcal{S}$ stand for the subfamily of $\mathcal{A}$ which are univalent in $\mathfrak{D}$. The image of $\mathfrak{D}$ under every function $s \in \mathcal{S}$ contains a disc of radius $1 / 4$ is known as one-quarter theorem of Koebe [1]. According to this, every function $s \in \mathcal{S}$ has an inverse $g=s^{-1}$, satisfying $s^{-1}(s(\varsigma))=\varsigma, \varsigma \in \mathfrak{D}$ and $s\left(s^{-1}(\omega)\right)=\omega,|\omega|<r_{0}(s), r_{0}(s) \geq 1 / 4$ and is in fact given by:

$$
\begin{equation*}
g(\omega)=s^{-1}(\omega)=\omega-d_{2} \omega^{2}+\left(2 d_{2}^{2}-d_{3}\right) \omega^{3}-\left(5 d_{2}^{3}-5 d_{2} d_{3}+d_{4}\right) \omega^{4}+\cdots \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

If a function $s$ and its inverse $s^{-1}$ are both univalent in $\mathfrak{D}$, then a member $s$ of $\mathcal{A}$ is called bi-univalent in $\mathfrak{D}$. We symbolize by $\sigma$ the family of bi-univalent functions in $\mathfrak{D}$ given by (1). Some functions in the family $\sigma$ are given by $\frac{\zeta}{1-\zeta},-\log (1-\varsigma)$ and $\frac{1}{2} \log \left(\frac{1+\zeta}{1-\zeta}\right)$. However, the Koebe function does not belong to the set $\sigma$. Other functions $\in \mathcal{S}$ such as $\varsigma-\frac{\varsigma^{2}}{2}$ and $\frac{\varsigma}{1-\varsigma^{2}}$ are not members of $\sigma$.

Lewin [2] examined the family $\sigma$ and proved that $\left|d_{2}\right|<1.51$ for elements of the family $\sigma$. Later, Brannan and Clunie [3] claimed that $\left|d_{2}\right|<\sqrt{2}$ for $s \in \sigma$. Subsequently, Tan [4] obtained some initial coefficient estimates of functions in the class $\sigma$. Brannan and Taha, in [5], proposed bi-convex and bi-starlike functions which are similar to well-known subfamilies of $\mathcal{S}$. The research trend in the last decade was the study of subfamilies of $\sigma$. Generally, interest was shown in obtaining the initial coefficient bounds for the special subfamilies of $\sigma$. In 2010, Srivastava et al. [6] introduced two interesting subclasses of the function class $\sigma$ and found bounds for $\left|d_{2}\right|$ and $\left|d_{3}\right|$ of functions belonging to these subclasses. In 2011, Frasin and Aouf [7] studied two new subclasses of the function class $\sigma$
and obtained bounds for $\left|d_{2}\right|$ and $\left|d_{3}\right|$ of functions belonging to these subclasses. Deniz [8], in 2013, introduced four subclasses of the class $\sigma$ and investigated bounds for $\left|d_{2}\right|$ and $\left|d_{3}\right|$ of functions belonging to these four subclasses. Tang et al. [9] in 2013 determined the coefficient estimates for new subclasses of Ma-Minda bi-univalent functions. Frasin [10] in 2014 examined two more new subclasses of $\sigma$. The recent research trend is the study of functions in $\sigma$ linked with certain polynomials such as Lucas polynomials, Legendrae polynomials, Fibonacci polynomials, Chebyshev polynomials, Horadam polynomials and Gegenbauer polynomials. Interesting results related to initial coefficient estimates and the Fekete-Szegö functional problem $\left|d_{3}-\delta d_{2}^{2}\right|$ for some special subclasses of $\sigma$ associated with any of the above mentioned polynomials appeared to be like the ones in [11-14].

Let $m \in \mathbb{N}:=\{1,2,3, \ldots\}$. If a rotation of the domain $\mathfrak{E}$ about the origin with an angle $2 \pi$ maps $\mathfrak{E}$ on itself, then $\mathfrak{E}$ is known as $m$-fold symmetric. A holomorphic function $s$ in $\mathfrak{D}$ is called m -fold symmetric if $s\left(e^{\frac{2 \pi i}{m}} \zeta\right)=e^{\frac{2 \pi i}{m}} S(\varsigma)$. For each function $f \in \mathcal{S}, s(\varsigma)=\sqrt[m]{f\left(\varsigma^{m}\right)}$ is univalent and maps $\mathfrak{D}$ into a region with m-fold symmetry. We symbolize the family of m -fold symmetric univalent functions in $\mathfrak{D}$ by $\mathcal{S}_{m}$. Clearly, $\mathcal{S}_{1}=\mathcal{S}$. A function $s \in \mathcal{S}_{m}$ has a series expansion given by:

$$
\begin{equation*}
s(\varsigma)=\varsigma+\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} d_{m k+1} \varsigma^{m k+1} \quad(m \in \mathbb{N} ; \varsigma \in \mathfrak{D}) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

A natural extension of $\mathcal{S}_{m}$ was explored by Srivastava et al. [15] and they introduced the family $\sigma_{m}$ of m -fold symmetric bi-univalent functions. The series expansion for $g=s^{-1}$ obtained by them is as below:

$$
\begin{align*}
& g(\omega)=s^{-1}(\omega)=\omega-d_{m+1} \omega^{m+1}+\left[(m+1) d_{m+1}^{2}-d_{2 m+1}\right] \omega^{2 m+1}  \tag{4}\\
& -\left[\frac{(m+1)(3 m+2)}{2} d_{m+1}^{3}-(3 m+2) d_{m+1} d_{2 m+1}+d_{3 m+1}\right] \omega^{3 m+1}+\cdots
\end{align*}
$$

Some functions in the family $\sigma_{m}$ are $\left(\frac{\varsigma^{m}}{1-\varsigma^{m}}\right)^{1 / m},\left[\frac{1}{2} \log \left(\frac{1+\varsigma^{m}}{1-\varsigma^{m}}\right)\right]^{1 / m}$ and $[-\log (1-$ $\left.\left.\zeta^{m}\right)\right]^{1 / m}$ and $\left(\frac{\omega^{m}}{1+\omega^{m}}\right)^{1 / m},\left(\frac{e^{2 \omega^{m}}-1}{e^{2 \omega^{m}}-1}\right)^{1 / m}$ and $\left(\frac{e^{\omega^{m}}-1}{e^{\omega^{m}}}\right)^{1 / m}$ are respective inverse functions. The momentum on the investigation of the family $\sigma_{m}$ was gained in recent years, which is due to two papers $[16,17]$ of Srivastava et al. and it has led to a large number of papers on the subfamilies of $\sigma_{m}$. Note that $\sigma_{1}=\sigma$. In 2018, Srivastava et al. [18] addressed initial coefficient estimations of the Taylor-Maclaurin series of functions in a new subfamily of $\sigma_{m}$. Sakar and Tasar [19] introduced new subfamilies of $\sigma_{m}$ and obtained initial coefficient bounds for functions belonging to these families, coefficient bounds for new subclasses of analytic and $m$-fold symmetric bi-univalent functions were determined in [20], and a comprehensive subclass of $\sigma_{m}$ using the subordination principle was examined in [21]. Interesting results related to the initial coefficient estimates and Fekete-Szegö functional problem $\left|d_{2 m+1}-\delta d_{m+1}^{2}\right|$ for certain subfamilies of $\sigma_{m}$ appeared like the ones in [22-24].

Inspired substantially by the works of Ma and Minda [25] and Tang et al. [26], we define a special subfamily $\mathfrak{M}_{\sigma_{m}}(\mu, v, \eta, \varphi)\left(0 \leq v \leq 1, \mu \geq 0, \eta \in \mathbb{C}^{*}=\mathbb{C}-\{0\}\right)$ of m -fold symmetric bi-univalent functions.

Definition 1. A function $s \in \sigma_{m}$ is said to be in the class $\mathfrak{M}_{\sigma_{m}}(\mu, v, \eta, \varphi)(0 \leq v \leq 1, \mu \geq$ $\left.0, \eta \in \mathbb{C}^{*}\right)$, if

$$
\left[1+\frac{1}{\eta}\left(\frac{\varsigma s^{\prime}(\varsigma)+\mu \varsigma^{2} s^{\prime \prime}(\varsigma)}{(1-v) \varsigma+v s(\varsigma)}-1\right)\right] \prec \varphi(\varsigma),
$$

and

$$
\left[1+\frac{1}{\eta}\left(\frac{\omega g^{\prime}(\omega)+\mu \omega^{2} g^{\prime \prime}(\omega)}{(1-v) \omega+v g(\omega)}-1\right)\right] \prec \varphi(\omega)
$$

where $\varsigma, \omega \in \mathfrak{D}, g(\omega)=s^{-1}(\omega)$ is as stated in (4).

We observe that the certain choice of $v$ and $\mu$ leads the class $\mathfrak{M}_{\sigma_{m}}(\mu, v, \eta, \varphi)$ to the following few subfamilies:
(i). $\mathscr{G}_{\sigma_{m}}(v, \eta, \varphi) \equiv \mathfrak{M}_{\sigma_{m}}(0, v, \eta, \varphi)\left(0 \leq v \leq 1, \eta \in \mathbb{C}^{*}\right)$ is the family of $s \in \sigma_{m}$ of the form (1) satisfying:

$$
\left[1+\frac{1}{\eta}\left(\frac{\varsigma s^{\prime}(\varsigma)}{(1-v) \varsigma+v s(\varsigma)}-1\right)\right] \prec \varphi(\varsigma),
$$

and

$$
\left[1+\frac{1}{\eta}\left(\frac{\omega g^{\prime}(\omega)}{(1-v) \omega+v g(\omega)}-1\right)\right] \prec \varphi(\varsigma),
$$

where $\varsigma, \omega \in \mathfrak{D}, g(\omega)=s^{-1}(\omega)$ is as stated in (4).
(ii) $\mathscr{H}_{\sigma_{m}}(\mu, \eta, \varphi) \equiv \mathfrak{M}_{\sigma_{m}}(\mu, 0, \eta, \varphi)\left(\mu \geq 0, \eta \in \mathbb{C}^{*}\right)$ is the family of $s \in \sigma_{m}$ of the form (1) satisfying:

$$
\left[1+\frac{1}{\eta}\left(s^{\prime}(\varsigma)+\mu \varsigma s^{\prime \prime}(\varsigma)-1\right)\right] \prec \varphi(\varsigma),
$$

and

$$
\left[1+\frac{1}{\eta}\left(g^{\prime}(\omega)+\mu \omega g^{\prime \prime}(\omega)-1\right)\right] \prec \varphi(\varsigma),
$$

where $\varsigma, \omega \in \mathfrak{D}, g(\omega)=s^{-1}(\omega)$ is as stated in (4).
(iii) $\mathscr{I}_{\sigma_{m}}(\mu, \eta, \varphi) \equiv \mathfrak{M}_{\sigma_{m}}(\mu, 1, \eta, \varphi)\left(\mu \geq 0, \eta \in \mathbb{C}^{*}\right)$ is the family of $s \in \sigma_{m}$ of the form (1) satisfying:

$$
\left[1+\frac{1}{\eta}\left(\left(\frac{\varsigma s^{\prime}(\varsigma)}{s(\varsigma)}\right)\left(1+\mu \frac{\varsigma s^{\prime \prime}(\varsigma)}{s^{\prime}(\varsigma)}\right)-1\right)\right] \prec \varphi(\varsigma)
$$

and

$$
\left[1+\frac{1}{\eta}\left(\left(\frac{\omega g^{\prime}(\omega)}{g(\omega)}\right)\left(1+\mu \frac{\omega g^{\prime \prime}(\omega)}{g^{\prime}(\omega)}\right)-1\right)\right] \prec \varphi(\varsigma),
$$

where $\varsigma, \omega \in \mathfrak{D}, g(\omega)=s^{-1}(\omega)$ is as stated in (4).
Remark 1. We note that $\mathscr{G}_{\sigma_{m}}(1, \eta, \varphi) \equiv \mathscr{I}_{\sigma_{m}}(0, \eta, \varphi)$ and $\mathscr{G}_{\sigma_{m}}(0, \eta, \varphi) \equiv \mathscr{H}_{\sigma_{m}}(0, \eta, \varphi)$.
In Section 2, we find bounds on $\left|d_{m+1}\right|$ and $\left|d_{2 m+1}\right|$ in the Taylor-Maclaurin's expansion and Fekete-Szegö [27] functional problem for functions in the class $\mathfrak{M}_{\sigma_{m}}(\mu, \nu, \eta, \varphi)$. We also indicate interesting cases of the main results. In Section 3, we obtain bounds on $\left|d_{m+1}\right|$ and $\left|d_{2 m+1}\right|$ in the Taylor-Maclaurin's expansion and Fekete-Szegö functional problem for functions in the class $\mathfrak{W}_{\sigma_{m}}^{0}(\mu, v, \eta)$. In Section 4, we determine bounds on $\left|d_{m+1}\right|$ and $\left|d_{2 m+1}\right|$ in the Taylor-Maclaurin's expansion and Fekete-Szegö functional problem for functions in the class $\mathfrak{X}_{\sigma_{m}}^{\tau}(\mu, \nu, \eta)$, respectively. We also indicate interesting cases of the main results. Relevant connections to the existing results are also mentioned.

## 2. Coefficient Bounds for Function Family $\mathfrak{M}_{\sigma_{m}}(\mu, v, \eta, \varphi)$

We denote by $\mathscr{P}$ the family of holomorphic functions of the form: $p(\varsigma)=1+p_{1} \varsigma+$ $p_{2} \varsigma^{2}+p_{3} \varsigma^{3}+\cdots$ with $\mathfrak{R}(\mathscr{P}(\varsigma))>0(\varsigma \in \mathfrak{D})$. In view of the study of Pommerenke [28], the $m$-fold symmetric function $p$ in the family $\mathscr{P}$ is of the form: $p(\varsigma)=1+p_{m} \varsigma+p_{2 m} \varsigma^{2 m}+$ $p_{3 m} \varsigma^{3 m}+\cdots$. In the sequel, it is assumed that $\varphi(\varsigma)$ is a holomorphic function, having a positive real part in $\mathfrak{D}$ satisfying $\varphi(0)=1, \varphi^{\prime}(0)>0$ and $\varphi(\mathfrak{D})$ is symmetric with respect to the real axis. Such a function has an infinite series expansion of the form: $\varphi(\varsigma)=1+B_{1} \varsigma+B_{2} \varsigma^{2}+B_{3} \varsigma^{3}+\cdots\left(B_{1}>0\right)$. Let $\mathfrak{h}(\varsigma)$ and $\mathfrak{p}(\omega)$ be two holomorphic functions in $\mathfrak{D}$ with $\mathfrak{h}(0)=\mathfrak{p}(0)=0$ and $\max \{|\mathfrak{h}(\varsigma)| ;|\mathfrak{p}(\omega)|\}<1$. We suppose that
$\mathfrak{h}(\varsigma)=h_{m} \varsigma^{m}+h_{2 m} \varsigma^{2 m}+h_{3 m} \varsigma^{3 m}+\cdots$ and $\mathfrak{p}(\omega)=p_{m} \omega^{m}+p_{2 m} \omega^{2 m}+p_{3 m} \omega^{3 m}+\cdots$. We also know that:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|h_{m}\right|<1 ;\left|h_{2 m}\right| \leq 1-\left|h_{m}\right|^{2} ;\left|p_{m}\right|<1 ;\left|p_{2 m}\right| \leq 1-\left|p_{m}\right|^{2} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

By simple calculations, we obtain:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi(\mathfrak{h}(\varsigma))=1+B_{1} h_{m} \varsigma^{m}+\left(B_{1} h_{2 m}+B_{2} h_{m}^{2}\right) \varsigma^{2 m}+\ldots(|\zeta|<1) \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi(\mathfrak{p}(\omega))=1+B_{1} p_{m} \omega^{m}+\left(B_{1} p_{2 m}+B_{2} p_{m}^{2}\right) \omega^{2 m}+\ldots(|\omega|<1) . \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Theorem 1. Let $\mu \geq 0,0 \leq v \leq 1$ and $\eta \in \mathbb{C}^{*}$. If a function $s$ in $\mathcal{A}$ belongs to the class $\mathfrak{M}_{\sigma_{m}}(\mu, v, \eta, \varphi)$, then:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left|d_{m+1}\right| \leq \frac{|\eta| B_{1} \sqrt{2 B_{1}}}{\sqrt{\left|((m+1)(N-v)-2 v(L-v)) \eta B_{1}^{2}-2(L-v)^{2} B_{2}\right|+2(L-v)^{2} B_{1}}},  \tag{8}\\
& \left\{\begin{array}{l}
\frac{|\eta| B_{1}}{N-v} \\
\frac{|\eta| B_{1}}{N-v}+\left(\frac{m+1}{2}-\frac{(L-v)^{2}}{|\eta| B_{1}(N-v)}\right) \frac{2 d^{2}+1}{\left|((m+1)(N-v)-2 v(L-v)) \eta B_{1}^{2}-2(L-v)^{2} B_{2}\right|+2(L-v)^{2} B_{1}} \\
\quad ; B_{1} \geq \frac{2(L-v)^{2}}{|\eta|(m+1)(N-v)}
\end{array}\right. \tag{9}
\end{align*}
$$

and for $\delta$ a real number:

$$
\left|d_{2 m+1}-\delta d_{m+1}^{2}\right| \leq \begin{cases}\frac{|\eta| B_{1}}{N-v} & ;|m+1-2 \delta|<J  \tag{10}\\ \frac{|\eta|^{2} B_{1}^{3}|m+1-2 \delta|}{\left|((m+1)(N-v)-2 v(L-v)) \eta B_{1}^{2}-2(L-v)^{2} B_{2}\right|} & ;|m+1-2 \delta| \geq J\end{cases}
$$

where

$$
\begin{gather*}
L=(m+1)(\mu m+1)  \tag{11}\\
N=(2 m+1)(2 \mu m+1) \tag{12}
\end{gather*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
J=\left|\frac{((m+1)(N-v)-2 v(L-v)) \eta B_{1}^{2}-2(L-v)^{2} B_{2}}{\eta(N-v) B_{1}^{2}}\right| . \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Let the function $s$ given by (1) be in the family $\mathfrak{M}_{\sigma_{m}}(\mu, v, \eta, \varphi)$. Then there are holomorphic functions $\mathfrak{h}: \mathfrak{D} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{D}$ and $\mathfrak{p}: \mathfrak{D} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{D}$ with $\mathfrak{h}(0)=\mathfrak{p}(0)=0$ satisfying:

$$
\begin{equation*}
1+\frac{1}{\eta}\left(\frac{\varsigma s^{\prime}(\varsigma)+\mu \varsigma^{2} s^{\prime \prime}(\varsigma)}{(1-v) \varsigma+v s(\varsigma)}-1\right)=\varphi(\mathfrak{h}(\varsigma)) \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
1+\frac{1}{\eta}\left(\frac{\omega g^{\prime}(\omega)+\mu \omega^{2} g^{\prime \prime}(\omega)}{(1-v) \omega+v g(\omega)}-1\right)=\varphi(\mathfrak{p}(\omega)) \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\varsigma, \omega \in \mathfrak{D}, g(\omega)=s^{-1}(\omega)$.
Taylor-Maclaurin series expansions of the left hand side of Equations (14) and (15) are, respectively:

$$
\begin{gather*}
1+\frac{1}{\eta}\left\{((m+1)(\mu m+1)-v) d_{m+1} \varsigma^{m}+\left[((2 m+1)(2 \mu m+1)-v) d_{2 m+1}\right.\right.  \tag{16}\\
\left.\left.-((m+1)(\mu m+1)-v) v d_{m+1}^{2}\right] \varsigma^{2 m}+\cdots\right\}
\end{gather*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
& 1+\frac{1}{\eta}\left\{-((m+1)(\mu m+1)-v) d_{m+1} \omega^{m}+[((2 m+1)(2 \mu m+1)-v)\right.  \tag{17}\\
& \left.\left.\quad\left((m+1) d_{m+1}^{2}-d_{2 m+1}\right)-((m+1)(\mu m+1)-v) v d_{m+1}^{2}\right] \omega^{2 m}+\cdots\right\}
\end{align*}
$$

Comparing the coefficients in (6) and (16), (7) and (17), we get:

$$
\begin{gather*}
(L-v) d_{m+1}=\eta B_{1} h_{m}  \tag{18}\\
(N-v) d_{2 m+1}-v(L-v) d_{m+1}^{2}=\eta\left[B_{1} h_{2 m}+B_{2} h_{m}^{2}\right],  \tag{19}\\
-(L-v) d_{m+1}=\eta B_{1} p_{m} \tag{20}
\end{gather*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[(N-v)\left((m+1) d_{m+1}^{2}-d_{2 m+1}\right)-v(L-v) d_{m+1}^{2}\right]=\eta\left[B_{1} p_{2 m}+B_{2} p_{m}^{2}\right] \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $L$ is given by (11) and $N$ is as in (12).
From (18) and (20), we get:

$$
\begin{equation*}
h_{m}=-p_{m} \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
2(L-v)^{2} d_{m+1}^{2}=\eta^{2} B_{1}^{2}\left(h_{m}^{2}+p_{m}^{2}\right) . \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using (23) in the addition of (19) and (21), we obtain:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[((m+1)(N-v)-2 v(L-v)) \eta B_{1}^{2}-2(L-v)^{2} B_{2}\right] d_{m+1}^{2}=\eta^{2} B_{1}^{3}\left(h_{2 m}+p_{2 m}\right) \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

By using (5) and (18) in (24) for the coefficients $h_{2 m}$ and $p_{2 m}$, we obtain:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[\left|((m+1)(N-v)-2 v(L-v)) \eta B_{1}^{2}-2(L-v)^{2} B_{2}\right|+2(L-v)^{2} B_{1}\right]\left|d_{m+1}\right|^{2} \leq 2 \eta^{2} B_{1}^{3} \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

which achieves the desired estimate (8).
Subtracting (21) from (19), we get:

$$
\begin{equation*}
d_{2 m+1}=\frac{\eta B_{1}\left(h_{2 m}-p_{2 m}\right)}{2(N-v)}+\left(\frac{m+1}{2}\right) d_{m+1}^{2} \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

In view of (18), (22), (26) and applying inequalities (5), it follows that:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left|d_{2 m+1}\right| \leq \frac{|\eta| B_{1}}{N-v}+\left(\frac{m+1}{2}-\frac{(L-v)^{2}}{|\eta| B_{1}(N-v)}\right)  \tag{27}\\
& \frac{2 \eta^{2} B_{1}^{3}}{\left|((m+1)(N-v)-2 v(L-v)) \eta B_{1}^{2}-2(L-v)^{2} B_{2}\right|+2(L-v)^{2} B_{1}}
\end{align*}
$$

which implies the assertion (9).
It follows from (24) and (26) that:

$$
d_{2 m+1}-\delta d_{m+1}^{2}=\frac{\eta B_{1}}{2}\left[\left(T(\delta)+\frac{1}{N-v}\right) h_{2 m}+\left(T(\delta)-\frac{1}{N-v}\right) p_{2 m}\right]
$$

where

$$
T(\delta)=\frac{\eta B_{1}^{2}(m+1-\delta)}{((m+1)(N-v)-2 v(L-v)) \eta B_{1}^{2}-2(L-v)^{2} B_{2}} .
$$

In view of (5), we conclude that:

$$
\left|d_{2 m+1}-\delta d_{m+1}^{2}\right| \leq \begin{cases}\frac{|\eta| B_{1}}{N-v} & ; 0 \leq|T(\delta)|<\frac{1}{N-v} \\ |\eta| B_{1}|T(\delta)| & ;|T(\delta)| \geq \frac{1}{N-v}\end{cases}
$$

which implies the assertion (10) with $J$ as in (13). This completes the proof.
We note that, for specializing the parameters, as mentioned in special cases (i)-(iii) of Definition 1, we deduce the following new results.

Corollary 1. Let $0 \leq v \leq 1$ and $\eta \in \mathbb{C}^{*}$. If a function $\sin \mathcal{A}$ belongs to the family $\mathscr{G}_{\sigma_{m}}(v, \eta, \varphi)$, then:

$$
\left|d_{m+1}\right| \leq
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{|\eta| B_{1} \sqrt{2 B_{1}}}{\sqrt{\left|((m+1)(2 m+1-v)-2 v(m+1-v)) \eta B_{1}^{2}-2(m+1-v)^{2} B_{2}\right|+2(m+1-v)^{2} B_{1}}}, \\
& \left|d_{2 m+1}\right| \leq \\
& \begin{cases}\frac{|\eta| B_{1}}{2 m+1-v} & ; B_{1}<\frac{2(m+1-v)^{2}}{|\eta|(m+1)(2 m+1-v)} \\
\frac{|\eta| B_{1}}{2 m+1-v}+\left(\frac{m+1}{2}-\frac{(m+1-v)^{2}}{|\eta| B_{1}(2 m+1-v)}\right) \frac{2 \eta^{2} B_{1}^{3}}{\left|((m+1)(2 m+1-v)-2 v(m+1-v)) \eta B_{1}^{2}-2(m+1-v)^{2} B_{2}\right|+2(m+1-v)^{2} B_{1}} \\
& ; B_{1} \geq \frac{2(m+1-v)^{2}}{|\eta|(m+1)(2 m+1-v)},\end{cases} \\
& \text { and for } \delta \text { a real number: }
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\left|d_{2 m+1}-\delta d_{m+1}^{2}\right| \leq \begin{cases}\frac{|\eta| B_{1}}{2 m+1-v} & ;|m+1-2 \delta|<J_{1} \\ \frac{|\eta|^{2} B_{1}^{3}|m+1-2 \delta|}{\left.\left.\mid((m+1)(2 m+1-v)-2 v(m+1-v)) \eta B_{1}^{2}\right)-2(m+1-v)^{2} B_{2}\right) \mid} ;|m+1-2 \delta| \geq J_{1}\end{cases}
$$

where

$$
J_{1}=\left|\frac{((m+1)(2 m+1-v)-2 v(m+1-v)) \eta B_{1}^{2}-2(m+1-v)^{2} B_{2}}{\eta(2 m+1-v) B_{1}^{2}}\right| .
$$

Remark 2. For $\eta=1$ and $v=0$ in Corollary 1, we get Theorems 1 and 2 of Tang et al. [26]. Further, for $m=1$ the case of one-fold symmetric functions, we obtain a result of Peng et al. [29] (which is recalled as Corollary 1 by Tang et al. in [26]) and Corollary 4 of Tang et al. [26].

Corollary 2. Let $\mu \geq$ and $\eta \in \mathbb{C}^{*}$. If a function $s \in \mathcal{A}$ belongs to the family $\mathscr{H}_{\sigma_{m}}(\mu, \eta, \varphi)$, then

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left|d_{m+1}\right| \leq \frac{|\eta| B_{1} \sqrt{2 B_{1}}}{\sqrt{\mid\left((m+1) N \eta B_{1}^{2}-2 L^{2} B_{2} \mid+2 L^{2} B_{1}\right.}}, \\
\left|d_{2 m+1}\right| \leq \begin{cases}\frac{|\eta| B_{1}}{N} & ; B_{1}<\frac{2 L^{2}}{N|\eta|(m+1)} \\
\frac{|\eta| B_{1}}{N}+\left(\frac{m+1}{2}-\frac{L^{2}}{N|\eta| B_{1}}\right) \frac{22^{2} B_{1}^{3}}{\mid\left((m+1) N \eta B_{1}^{2}-2 L^{2} B_{2} \mid+2 L^{2} B_{1}\right.} & ; B_{1} \geq \frac{2 L^{2}}{N|\eta|(m+1)},\end{cases}
\end{gathered}
$$

and for $\delta$ a real number

$$
\left|d_{2 m+1}-\delta d_{m+1}^{2}\right| \leq \begin{cases}\frac{|\eta| B_{1}}{N} & ;|m+1-2 \delta|<\left|\frac{\left((m+1) N \eta B_{1}^{2}-2 L^{2} B_{2}\right.}{N \eta B_{1}^{2}}\right| \\ \frac{|\eta|^{2} B_{1}^{3}|m+1-2 \delta|}{\left|(m+1) N \eta B_{1}^{2}-2 L^{2} B_{2}\right|} & ;|m+1-2 \delta| \geq\left|\frac{\left((m+1) N \eta B_{1}^{2}-2 L^{2} B_{2}\right.}{N \eta B_{1}^{2}}\right|\end{cases}
$$

where $L$ is as in (11) and $N$ is as in (12).
Remark 3. For $\eta=1$ and $\mu=0$ in Corollary 2, we get Theorems 1 and 2 of Tang et al. [26]. Further, for $m=1$ the case of a one-fold symmetric function, we obtain a result of Peng et al. [29] (which is recalled as Corollary 1 by Tang et al. in [26]) and Corollary 4 of Tang et al. [26].

Corollary 3. Let $\mu \geq 0$ and $\eta \in \mathbb{C}^{*}$. If the function $\sin \mathcal{A}$ belongs to the family $\mathscr{J}_{\sigma_{m}}(\mu, \eta, \varphi)$, then:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left|d_{m+1}\right| \leq \frac{|\eta| B_{1} \sqrt{2 B_{1}}}{\sqrt{\left|\left((m+1) N_{2}-2 L_{2}\right) \eta B_{1}^{2}-2 L_{2}^{2} B_{2}\right|+2 L_{2}^{2} B_{1}}}, \\
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\left|d_{2 m+1}\right| \leq \\
\frac{|\eta| B_{1}}{N_{2}} \\
\frac{|\eta| B_{1}}{N_{2}}+\left(\frac{m+1}{2}-\frac{L_{2}^{2}}{|\eta| B_{1} N_{2}}\right) \frac{2 B_{1}<\frac{2 L_{2}^{2}}{|\eta|(m+1) N_{2}}}{\left|\left((m+1) N_{2}-2 L_{2}\right) \eta B_{1}^{2}-2 L_{2}^{2} B_{2}\right|+2 L_{2}^{2} B_{1}}
\end{array} ; B_{1} \geq \frac{2 L_{2}^{2}}{|\eta|(m+1) N_{2}},\right.
\end{gathered}
$$

and for $\delta$ a real number:
$\left|d_{2 m+1}-\delta d_{m+1}^{2}\right| \leq \begin{cases}\frac{|\eta| B_{1}}{N_{2}} & ;|m+1-2 \delta|<\left|\frac{\left((m+1) N_{2}-2 L_{2}\right) \eta B_{1}^{2}-2 L_{2}^{2} B_{2}}{\eta N_{2} B_{1}^{2}}\right| \\ \frac{|\eta|^{2} B_{1}^{3}|m+1-2 \delta|}{\left|\left((m+1) N_{2}-2 L_{2}\right) \eta B_{1}^{2}-2 L_{2}^{2} B_{2}\right|} & ;|m+1-2 \delta| \geq\left|\frac{\left((m+1) N_{2}-2 L_{2}\right) \eta B_{1}^{2}-2 L_{2}^{2} B_{2}}{\eta N_{2} B_{1}^{2}}\right|,\end{cases}$
where

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{2}=m(\mu m+\mu+1), \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
N_{2}=2 m(2 \mu m+\mu+1) . \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

Remark 4. For $\eta=1$ in Corollary 3, we get Theorems 3 and 4 of Tang et al. [26]. Further, for $m=1$ we obtain Corollary 12 of Tang et al. [26].

## 3. Coefficient Bounds for Function Family $\mathfrak{W}_{\sigma_{m}}^{\rho}(\mu, v, \eta)$

If $\varphi(\varsigma)=\left(\frac{1+\varsigma^{m}}{1-\varsigma^{m}}\right)^{\varrho}(0<\varrho \leq 1)$, in the Definition 1, then we get $\mathfrak{M}_{\sigma_{m}}\left(\mu, v, \eta,\left(\frac{1+\varsigma^{m}}{1-\varsigma^{m}}\right)^{\varrho}\right)=$ $\mathfrak{W}_{\sigma_{m}}^{\rho}(\mu, v, \eta)$, the subclass of functions $s \in \sigma_{m}$ satisfying the conditions

$$
\left|\arg \left[1+\frac{1}{\eta}\left(\frac{\varsigma s^{\prime}(\varsigma)+\mu \varsigma^{2} s^{\prime \prime}(\varsigma)}{(1-v) \varsigma+v s(\varsigma)}-1\right)\right]\right|<\frac{\varrho \pi}{2}
$$

and

$$
\left|\arg \left[1+\frac{1}{\eta}\left(\frac{\omega g^{\prime}(\omega)+\mu \omega^{2} g^{\prime \prime}(\omega)}{(1-v) \omega+v g(\omega)}-1\right)\right]\right|<\frac{\varrho \pi}{2} .
$$

where $\varsigma, \omega \in \mathfrak{D}, g(\omega)=s^{-1}(\omega)$ is as stated in (4).
We observe that the certain choice of $v$ and $\mu$ leads the class $\mathfrak{W}_{\sigma_{m}}^{\rho}(\mu, v, \eta)$ to the following few subfamilies:
(i) $\mathscr{A}_{\sigma_{m}}^{\varrho}(v, \eta) \equiv \mathfrak{W}_{\sigma_{m}}^{\varrho}(0, v, \eta)\left(0 \leq v \leq 1,0<\varrho \leq 1, \eta \in \mathbb{C}^{*}\right)$ is the family of $s \in \sigma_{m}$ of the form (1) satisfying:

$$
\left|\arg \left[1+\frac{1}{\eta}\left(\frac{\varsigma s^{\prime}(\varsigma)}{(1-v) \varsigma+v s(\varsigma)}-1\right)\right]\right|<\frac{\varrho \pi}{2},
$$

and

$$
\left|\arg \left[1+\frac{1}{\eta}\left(\frac{\omega g^{\prime}(\omega)}{(1-v) \omega+v g(\omega)}-1\right)\right]\right|<\frac{\varrho \pi}{2}
$$

where $\varsigma, \omega \in \mathfrak{D}, g(\omega)=s^{-1}(\omega)$ is as stated in (4).
(ii) $\mathscr{B}_{\sigma_{m}}^{\varrho}(\mu, \eta) \equiv \mathfrak{W}_{\sigma_{m}}^{\varrho}(\mu, 0, \eta)\left(0<\varrho \leq 1, \mu \geq 0, \eta \in \mathbb{C}^{*}\right)$ is the family of $s \in \sigma_{m}$ of the form (1) satisfying:

$$
\left|\arg \left[1+\frac{1}{\eta}\left(s^{\prime}(\varsigma)+\mu \zeta s^{\prime \prime}(\varsigma)-1\right)\right]\right|<\frac{\varrho \pi}{2},
$$

and

$$
\left|\arg \left[1+\frac{1}{\eta}\left(g^{\prime}(\omega)+\mu \omega g^{\prime \prime}(\omega)-1\right)\right]\right|<\frac{\varrho \pi}{2},
$$

where $\varsigma, \omega \in \mathfrak{D}, g(\omega)=s^{-1}(\omega)$ is as stated in (4).
(iii) $\mathscr{C}_{\sigma_{m}}^{\varrho}(\mu, \eta) \equiv \mathfrak{W}_{\sigma_{m}}^{\varrho}(\mu, 1, \eta)\left(0<\varrho \leq 1, \mu \geq 0, \eta \in \mathbb{C}^{*}\right)$ is the family of $s \in \sigma_{m}$ of the form (1) satisfying:

$$
\left|\arg \left[1+\frac{1}{\eta}\left(\left(\frac{\varsigma s^{\prime}(\varsigma)}{\varsigma(\varsigma)}\right)\left(1+\mu \frac{\varsigma s^{\prime \prime}(\varsigma)}{s^{\prime}(\varsigma)}\right)-1\right)\right]\right|<\frac{\varrho \pi}{2}
$$

and

$$
\left|\arg \left[1+\frac{1}{\eta}\left(\left(\frac{\omega g^{\prime}(\omega)}{g(\omega)}\right)\left(1+\mu \frac{\omega g^{\prime \prime}(\omega)}{g^{\prime}(\omega)}\right)-1\right)\right]\right|<\frac{\varrho \pi}{2},
$$

where $\varsigma, \omega \in \mathfrak{D}, g(\omega)=s^{-1}(\omega)$ is as stated in (4).
Remark 5. We note that $\mathscr{A}_{\sigma_{m}}^{\varrho}(1, \eta) \equiv \mathscr{C}_{\sigma_{m}}^{\varrho}(0, \eta)$ and $\mathscr{A}_{\sigma_{m}}^{\varrho}(0, \eta) \equiv \mathscr{B}_{\sigma_{m}}^{\varrho}(0, \eta)$.
If we take $\varphi(\varsigma)=\left(\frac{1+\varsigma^{m}}{1-\varsigma^{m}}\right)^{\varrho}$ in Theorem 1, we get:
Corollary 4. Let $\mu \geq 0,0 \leq v \leq 1,0<\varrho \leq 1$ and $\eta \in \mathbb{C}^{*}$. If a function $\sin \mathcal{A}$ belongs to the class $\mathfrak{W}_{\sigma_{m}}^{\varrho}(\mu, v, \eta)$, then

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left|d_{m+1}\right| \leq \frac{2|\eta| \varrho}{\sqrt{\varrho\left|((m+1)(N-v)-2 v(L-v)) \eta-(L-v)^{2}\right|+(L-v)^{2}}}, \\
\left|d_{2 m+1}\right| \leq \\
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\frac{2|\eta| \varrho}{N-v} \\
\frac{2|\eta| \varrho}{N-v}
\end{array}+\left(m+1-\frac{(L-v)^{2}}{|\eta| \varrho(N-v)}\right) \frac{2 \eta^{2} \varrho^{2}}{\varrho\left|((m+1)(N-v)-2 v(L-v)) \eta-(L-v)^{2}\right|+(L-v)^{2}} ; \varrho \geq \frac{(L-v)^{2}}{|\eta|(m+1)(N-v)^{2}},\right.
\end{gathered}
$$

and for $\delta$ a real number:

$$
\left|d_{2 m+1}-\delta d_{m+1}^{2}\right| \leq\left\{\begin{array}{ll}
\frac{2|\eta| \varrho}{N-v} & \quad 2|\eta|^{2} \varrho|m+1-2 \delta| \\
\frac{\mid(m+1)(N-v)-2 v(L-v)) \eta-(L-v)^{2} \mid}{\mid\left(\left(m+1-2 \delta \mid<J_{2}\right.\right.}
\end{array} \quad ;|m+1-2 \delta| \geq J_{2}, ~ l\right.
$$

where $L$ is as in (11), $N$ is as in (12) and

$$
J_{2}=\left|\frac{((m+1)(N-v)-2 v(L-v)) \eta-(L-v)^{2}}{\eta(N-v)}\right|
$$

We note that for specializing the parameters, as mentioned in special cases (i)-(iii) of the class $\mathfrak{W}_{\sigma_{m}}^{0}(\mu, v, \eta)$, we deduce the following new results.

Corollary 5. Let $0 \leq v \leq 1,0<\varrho \leq 1$ and $\eta \in \mathbb{C}^{*}$. If a function $s$ in $\mathcal{A}$ belongs to the class $\mathscr{A}_{\sigma_{m}}^{\varrho}(\nu, \eta)$, then:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|d_{m+1}\right| \leq \frac{2|\eta| \varrho}{\sqrt{\varrho\left|((m+1)(2 m+1-v)-2 v(m+1-v)) \eta-(m+1-v)^{2}\right|+(m+1-v)^{2}}}, \\
& \left\{\begin{array}{c}
\left|d_{2 m+1}\right| \leq \\
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\frac{2|\eta| \varrho}{2 m+1-v} \\
\frac{2|\eta| \varrho}{2 m+1-v}+\left(m+1-\frac{(m+1-v)^{2}}{|\eta| \varrho(2 m+1-v)}\right) \frac{2 \eta^{2} \varrho^{2}}{\varrho\left|((m+1)(2 m+1-v)-2 v(m+1-v)) \eta-(m+1-v)^{2}\right|+(m+1-v)^{2}} \\
\\
\\
\quad \varrho \geq \frac{(m+1)}{|\eta|(m+1)(2 m+1-v)}
\end{array}\right.
\end{array},\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

and for $\delta$ any real number:

$$
\left|d_{2 m+1}-\delta d_{m+1}^{2}\right| \leq \begin{cases}\frac{2|\eta| \varrho}{2 m+1-v} & \quad 2|\eta|^{2} \varrho|m+1-2 \delta| \\ \frac{1((m+1)(2 m+1-v)-2 v(m+1-v)) \eta-(m+1-v)^{2} \mid}{\mid\left(m+2 \delta \mid<J_{3}\right.}\end{cases}
$$

where

$$
J_{3}=\left|\frac{((m+1)(2 m+1-v)-2 v(m+1-v)) \eta-(m+1-v)^{2}}{\eta(2 m+1-v)}\right| .
$$

Remark 6. (i) For $\eta=1$ and $v=0$ in Corollary 5, we get Corollary 2 of Tang et al. [26]; (ii) For $\eta=v=1$ in Corollary 4, bound on $\left|d_{m+1}\right|$ reduce to the bound given in [30]. Further, for $m=1$ we obtain a result of [31]; (iii) For $\eta=v=1$ in Corollary 5, the result shown on $\left|d_{2 m+1}\right|$ is better than the bound given in [30], in terms of ranges of $\varrho$ as well as the bounds.

Corollary 6. Let $\mu \geq 0,0<\varrho \leq 1$ and $\eta \in \mathbb{C}^{*}$. If a function $s$ in $\mathcal{A}$ belongs to the class $\mathscr{B}_{\sigma_{m}}^{\varrho}(\mu, \eta)$, then

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left|d_{m+1}\right| \leq \frac{2|\eta| \varrho}{\sqrt{\varrho \mid\left((m+1) N \eta-L^{2} \mid+L^{2}\right.}}, \\
\left|d_{2 m+1}\right| \leq\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\frac{2|\eta| \varrho}{N} \\
\frac{2|\eta| \varrho}{N}+\left(m+1-\frac{L^{2}}{N|\eta| \varrho}\right) \frac{2 \eta^{2} \varrho^{2}}{\varrho \mid\left((m+1) N \eta-L^{2} \mid+L^{2}\right.} ; \varrho \geq \frac{L^{2}}{N|\eta|(m+1)} \\
N|\eta|(m+1)
\end{array}\right.
\end{gathered}
$$

and for $\delta$ a real number:

$$
\left|d_{2 m+1}-\delta d_{m+1}^{2}\right| \leq \begin{cases}\frac{2|\eta| \varrho}{N} & ;|m+1-2 \delta|<\left|\frac{\left((m+1) N \eta-L^{2}\right.}{\eta N}\right| \\ \frac{2|\eta|^{2} \varrho|m+1-2 \delta|}{\left|(m+1) N \eta-L^{2}\right|} & ;|m+1-2 \delta| \geq\left|\frac{\left((m+1) N \eta-L^{2}\right.}{\eta N}\right|,\end{cases}
$$

where $L$ is as in (11) and $N$ is as in (12).
Remark 7. For $\eta=1$ and $\mu=0$ in Corollary 6, we get Corollary 2 of Tang et al. [26].

Corollary 7. Let $\mu \geq 0,0<\varrho \leq 1$ and $\eta \in \mathbb{C}^{*}$. If a function $s$ in $\mathcal{A}$ belongs to the class $\mathscr{C}_{\sigma_{m}}^{\varrho}(\mu, \eta, \varphi)$, then:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left|d_{m+1}\right| \leq \frac{2|\eta| \varrho}{\sqrt{\varrho\left|\left((m+1) N_{2}-2 L_{2}\right) \eta-L_{2}^{2}\right|+L_{2}^{2}}}, \\
\left|d_{2 m+1}\right| \leq\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\frac{2|\eta| \varrho}{N_{2}} \\
\frac{2|\eta| \varrho}{N_{2}}+\left(m+1-\frac{L_{2}^{2}}{|\eta| \varrho N_{2}}\right) \frac{2 \eta^{2} \varrho^{2}}{\varrho\left|\left((m+1) N_{2}-2 L_{2}\right) \eta-L_{2}^{2}\right|+L_{2}^{2}} ; \varrho \geq \frac{L_{2}^{2}}{|\eta|(m+1) N_{2}} \\
|\eta|(m+1) N_{2}
\end{array}\right.
\end{gathered}
$$

and for $\delta$ a real number:

$$
\left|d_{2 m+1}-\delta d_{m+1}^{2}\right| \leq \begin{cases}\frac{2|\eta| \varrho}{N_{2}} & ;|m+1-2 \delta|<\left|\frac{\left((m+1) N_{2}-2 L_{2}\right) \eta-L_{2}^{2}}{\eta N_{2}}\right| \\ \frac{2|\eta|^{2} \varrho|m+1-2 \delta|}{\left|\left((m+1) N_{2}-2 L_{2}\right) \eta-L_{2}^{2}\right|} & ;|m+1-2 \delta| \geq\left|\frac{\left((m+1) N_{2}-2 L_{2}\right) \eta-L_{2}^{2}}{\eta N_{2}}\right|\end{cases}
$$

where $L_{2}$ is as in (28) and $N_{2}$ is as in (29).

## 4. Coefficient Bounds for Function Family $\mathfrak{X}_{\sigma_{m}}^{\tau}(\mu, v, \eta)$

If $\varphi(\varsigma)=\frac{1+(1-2 \tau) \varsigma^{m}}{1-\varsigma^{m}}(0 \leq \tau<1)$ in the Definition 1 , then we obtain $\mathfrak{M}_{\sigma_{m}}(\mu, \nu, \eta$, $\left(\frac{1+(1-2 \tau) \varsigma^{m}}{1-\varsigma^{m}}\right)=\mathfrak{X}_{\sigma_{m}}^{\tau}(\mu, \nu, \eta)$, a subclass of functions $s \in \sigma_{m}$ satisfying the conditions:

$$
\mathfrak{R}\left[1+\frac{1}{\eta}\left(\frac{\varsigma^{\prime}(\varsigma)+\mu \varsigma^{2} s^{\prime \prime}(\varsigma)}{(1-v) \varsigma+v s(\varsigma)}-1\right)\right]>\tau
$$

and

$$
\mathfrak{R}\left[1+\frac{1}{\eta}\left(\frac{\omega g^{\prime}(\omega)+\mu \omega^{2} g^{\prime \prime}(\omega)}{(1-v) \omega+v g(\omega)}-1\right)\right]>\tau
$$

where $\varsigma, \omega \in \mathfrak{D}, g(\omega)=s^{-1}(\omega)$ is as stated in (4).
We observe that the certain values of $v$ and $\mu$ lead the class $\mathfrak{X}_{\sigma_{m}}^{\tau}(\mu, v, \eta)$ to the following few subfamilies:
(i) $\mathscr{D}_{\sigma_{m}}^{\tau}(v, \eta) \equiv \mathfrak{X}_{\sigma_{m}}^{\tau}(0, v, \eta)\left(0 \leq v \leq 1,0 \leq \tau<1, \eta \in \mathbb{C}^{*}\right)$, is the family of functions $s$ $\in \sigma_{m}$ of the form (1) satisfying:

$$
\mathfrak{R}\left[1+\frac{1}{\eta}\left(\frac{\varsigma s^{\prime}(\varsigma)}{(1-v) \varsigma+v s(\varsigma)}-1\right)\right]>\tau
$$

and

$$
\mathfrak{R}\left[1+\frac{1}{\eta}\left(\frac{\omega g^{\prime}(\omega)}{(1-v) \omega+v g(\omega)}-1\right)\right]>\tau
$$

where $\varsigma, \omega \in \mathfrak{D}, g(\omega)=s^{-1}(\omega)$ is as stated in (4).
(ii) $\mathscr{E}_{\sigma_{m}}^{\tau}(\mu, \eta) \equiv \mathfrak{X}_{\sigma_{m}}^{\tau}(\mu, 0, \eta)\left(0 \leq \tau<1, \mu \geq 0, \eta \in \mathbb{C}^{*}\right)$ is the class of functions $s \in \sigma_{m}$ of the form (1) satisfying:

$$
\mathfrak{R}\left[1+\frac{1}{\eta}\left(s^{\prime}(\varsigma)+\mu \varsigma s^{\prime \prime}(\varsigma)-1\right)\right]>\tau
$$

and

$$
\mathfrak{R}\left[1+\frac{1}{\eta}\left(g^{\prime}(\omega)+\mu \omega g^{\prime \prime}(\omega)-1\right)\right]>\tau
$$

where $\varsigma, \omega \in \mathfrak{D}, g(\omega)=s^{-1}(\omega)$ is as stated in (4).
(iii) $\mathscr{F}_{\sigma_{m}}^{\tau}(\mu, \eta) \equiv \mathfrak{X}_{\sigma_{m}}^{\beta}(\mu, 1, \eta)\left(0 \leq \tau<1, \mu \geq 0, \eta \in \mathbb{C}^{*}\right)$, is the family of functions $s \in \sigma_{m}$ of the form (1) satisfying:

$$
\mathfrak{R}\left[1+\frac{1}{\eta}\left(\left(\frac{\varsigma s^{\prime}(\varsigma)}{s(\varsigma)}\right)\left(1+\mu \frac{\varsigma s^{\prime \prime}(\varsigma)}{s^{\prime}(\varsigma)}\right)-1\right)\right]>\tau
$$

and

$$
\mathfrak{R}\left[1+\frac{1}{\eta}\left(\left(\frac{\omega g^{\prime}(\omega)}{g(\omega)}\right)\left(1+\mu \frac{\omega g^{\prime \prime}(\omega)}{g^{\prime}(\omega)}\right)-1\right)\right]>\tau
$$

Remark 8. We note that $\mathscr{D}_{\sigma_{m}}^{\tau}(1, \eta) \equiv \mathscr{F}_{\sigma_{m}}^{\tau}(0, \eta)$ and $\mathscr{D}_{\sigma_{m}}^{\tau}(0, \eta) \equiv \mathscr{E}_{\sigma_{m}}^{\tau}(0, \eta)$.
If we take $\varphi(\varsigma)=\frac{1+(1-2 \tau) \varsigma^{m}}{1-\varsigma^{m}}$ in Theorem 1, we get:
Corollary 8. Let $\mu \geq 0,0 \leq v \leq 1,0 \leq \tau<1$ and $\eta \in \mathbb{C}^{*}$. If a function $\sin \mathcal{A}$ belongs to the class $\mathfrak{X}_{\sigma_{m}}^{\tau}(\mu, v, \eta)$, then:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left|d_{m+1}\right| \leq \frac{2|\eta|(1-\tau)}{\sqrt{\left|((m+1)(N-v)-2 v(L-v)) \eta(1-\tau)-(L-v)^{2}\right|+(L-v)^{2}}} \\
\left|d_{2 m+1}\right| \leq
\end{gathered}
$$

$$
\begin{cases}\frac{2(1-\tau)|\eta|}{N-v} & ;(1-\tau)<\frac{(L-v)^{2}}{|\eta|(m+1)(N-v)} \\ \frac{2(1-\tau)|\eta|}{N-v}+\left(m+1-\frac{(L-v)^{2}}{|\eta|(1-\tau)(N-v)}\right) \frac{2|\eta|^{2}(1-\tau)^{2}}{\left|((m+1)(N-v)-2 v(L-v))(1-\tau) \eta-(L-v)^{2}\right|+(L-v)^{2}} \\ & ;(1-\tau) \geq \frac{(L-v)^{2}}{|\eta|(m+1)(N-v)}\end{cases}
$$

and for $\delta$ a real number:

$$
\left|d_{2 m+1}-\delta d_{m+1}^{2}\right| \leq \begin{cases}\frac{2|\eta|(1-\tau)}{N-v} & \quad ;|m+1-2 \delta|<J_{4}^{2}(1-\tau)^{2}|m+1-2 \delta| \\ \frac{2 \mid(m+1)(N-v)-2 v(L-v))(1-\tau) \eta-(L-v)^{2} \mid}{} ;|m+1-2 \delta| \geq J_{4}\end{cases}
$$

where $L$ is as in (11) and $N$ is as in (12) and

$$
J_{4}=\left|\frac{((m+1)(N-v)-2 v(L-v)) \eta(1-\tau)-(L-v)^{2}}{\eta(N-v)(1-\tau)}\right| .
$$

We note that for specializing $\mu$ and $v$, as mentioned in special cases (i)-(iii) of the class $\mathfrak{X}_{\sigma_{m}}^{\tau}(\mu, v, \eta)$, we deduce the following new results.

Corollary 9. Let $0 \leq v \leq 1,0 \leq \tau<1$ and $\eta \in \mathbb{C}^{*}$. If a function $\sin \mathcal{A}$ belongs to the class $\mathscr{D}_{\sigma_{m}}^{\tau}(\nu, \eta)$, then:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|d_{m+1}\right| \leq \frac{2|\eta|(1-\tau)}{\sqrt{\left|((m+1)(2 m+1-v)-2 v(m+1-v)) \eta(1-\tau)-(m+1-v)^{2}\right|+(m+1-v)^{2}}}, \\
& \left\{\begin{array}{c}
\frac{2(1-\tau)|\eta|}{2 m+1-v} \\
\frac{2|\eta|(1-\tau)}{N_{1}-v}+\left(m+1-\frac{(m+1-v)^{2}}{|\eta|(1-\tau)(2 m+1-v)}\right) \frac{\left|d_{2 m+1}\right| \leq}{\left|\left((m+1)(2 m+1-v)-2 v(m+1-v) \eta(1-\tau)-(m+1-v)^{2}\right)\right|+(m+1-v)^{2}} \\
\quad ;(1-\tau) \geq \frac{(m+1-v)^{2}}{|\eta|(m+1)(2 m+1-v)},
\end{array}\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

and for $\delta$ a real number:

$$
\left|d_{2 m+1}-\delta d_{m+1}^{2}\right| \leq \begin{cases}\frac{2|\eta|(1-\tau)}{2 m+1-v} & ;|m+1-2 \delta|<J_{5} \\ \frac{4|\eta|^{2}(1-\tau)^{2}|m+1-2 \delta|}{\left|((m+1)(2 m+1-v)-2 v(m+1-v)) \eta(1-\tau)-(m+1-v)^{2}\right|} & ;|m+1-2 \delta| \geq J_{5}\end{cases}
$$

where

$$
J_{5}=\left|\frac{((m+1)(2 m+1-v)-2 v(m+1-v)) \eta(1-\tau)-(m+1-v)^{2}}{\eta(2 m+1-v)(1-\tau)}\right|
$$

Remark 9. $\eta=1$ and $v=0$ in Corollary 4, we get Corollary 2 of Tang et al. (i) For $\eta=v=1$ in Corollary 9, bound on $\left|d_{m+1}\right|$ reduce to the bound given in [30]. Further, for $m=1$ we obtain a result of [31]; (ii) For $\eta=v=1$ in Corollary 9, the result proved on $\left|d_{2 m+1}\right|$ is better than the bound given in [30], in terms of ranges of $\tau$ as well as the bounds.

Corollary 10. Let $\mu \geq 0,0 \leq \tau<1$ and $\eta \in \mathbb{C}^{*}$. If a function $s$ in $\mathcal{A}$ belongs to the class $\mathscr{E}_{\sigma_{m}}(\mu, \eta)$, then:

$$
\left|d_{m+1}\right| \leq \frac{2|\eta|(1-\tau)}{\sqrt{\left|(m+1) \eta(1-\tau) N-L^{2}\right|+L^{2}}}
$$

$$
\left|d_{2 m+1}\right| \leq\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\frac{2(1-\tau)|\eta|}{N} \\
\frac{2|\eta|(1-\tau)}{N}+\left(m+1-\frac{L^{2}}{|\eta|(1-\tau) N}\right) \frac{2 \eta^{2}(1-\tau)^{2}}{\left|(m+1) \eta(1-\tau) N-L^{2}\right|+L^{2}} \quad ;(1-\tau) \geq \frac{L^{2}}{|\eta|(m+1) N},
\end{array}\right.
$$

and for $\delta$ a real number:

$$
\left|d_{2 m+1}-\delta d_{m+1}^{2}\right| \leq \begin{cases}\frac{2|\eta|(1-\tau)}{N} & ;|m+1-2 \delta|<\left|\frac{\left((m+1) \eta(1-\tau) N-L^{2}\right.}{\eta(1-\tau) N}\right| \\ \frac{2|\eta|^{2}(1-\tau)^{2}|m+1-2 \delta|}{\mid\left((m+1) \eta(1-\tau) N-L^{2} \mid\right.} & ;|m+1-2 \delta| \geq\left|\frac{\left((m+1) \eta(1-\tau) N-L^{2}\right.}{\eta(1-\tau) N}\right|,\end{cases}
$$

where $L$ is as in (11) and $N$ is as in (12).

$$
\mu=0 \text { and } \eta=1 \text { in Corollary 10, we obtain Corollary } 11 .
$$

Corollary 11. Let $0 \leq \tau<1$. If a function $\sin \mathcal{A}$ belongs to the class $\mathscr{E}_{\sigma_{m}}^{\tau}(0,1)$, then:

$$
\left|d_{m+1}\right| \leq \frac{2(1-\tau)}{\sqrt{(m+1)[|(2 m+1)(1-\tau)-(m+1)|+(m+1)]}}
$$

$\left|d_{2 m+1}\right| \leq\left\{\begin{array}{l}\frac{2(1-\tau)}{2 m+1} \\ \frac{2(1-\tau)}{2 m+1}+\left(1-\frac{m+1}{(1-\tau)(2 m+1)}\right) \frac{2(1-\tau)^{2}}{|(2 m+1)(1-\tau)-(m+1)|+(m+1)} ;(1-\tau) \geq \frac{L^{2}}{|\eta|(m+1) N} \\ |\eta|(m+1) N\end{array}\right.$,
and for $\delta$ a real number

$$
\left|d_{2 m+1}-\delta d_{m+1}^{2}\right| \leq\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\frac{2(1-\tau)}{2 m+1} ;|m+1-2 \delta|<\left|\frac{\left((m+1)(2 m+1)(1-\tau)-(m+1)^{2}\right.}{(1-\tau) N}\right| \\
\frac{2(1-\tau)^{2}|m+1-2 \delta|}{\mid\left((m+1)(2 m+1)(1-\tau)-(m+1)^{2} \mid\right.} ;|m+1-2 \delta| \geq\left|\frac{\left((m+1)(2 m+1)(1-\tau)-(m+1)^{2}\right.}{(1-\tau)(2 m+1)}\right|
\end{array}\right.
$$

Corollary 11 would lead us to the following result, when $m=1$.
Corollary 12. Let $0 \leq \tau<1$. If a function $\sin \mathcal{A}$ belongs to the class $\mathscr{E}_{\sigma_{1}}^{\tau}(0,1)$, then:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left|d_{2}\right| \leq \frac{\sqrt{2}(1-\tau)}{\sqrt{2+|1-3 \tau|}}, \\
\left|d_{3}\right| \leq \begin{cases}\frac{2(1-\tau)}{3} & \text { for } \frac{1}{3}<\tau<1 \\
\frac{4}{9}(2-3 \tau) & \text { for } 0 \leq \tau \leq 1,\end{cases}
\end{gathered}
$$

and for $\delta$ a real number:

$$
\left|d_{3}-\delta d_{2}^{2}\right| \leq\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\frac{2(1-\tau)}{3} \quad ;|1-\delta|<\frac{1}{3(1-\tau)}|1-3 \tau| \\
\frac{2(1-\tau)^{2}|1-\delta|}{|1-3 \tau|} ;|1-\delta| \geq \frac{1}{3(1-\tau)}|1-3 \tau| .
\end{array}\right.
$$

Remark 10. (i) The bounds of $\left|d_{2}\right|$ and $\left|d_{3}\right|$ stated in Corollary 12 were obtained in Corollary 3 by Tang et al. [26]; (ii) Taking $\delta=0$ in Corollary 12, we have $\left|d_{3}-d_{2}^{2}\right|<\frac{2}{3}(1-\tau)$, provided $|1-3 \tau|>0$.

Corollary 13. Let $\mu \geq 0,0 \leq \tau<1$ and $\eta \in \mathbb{C}^{*}$. If a function $\sin \mathcal{A}$ belongs to the class $\mathscr{F}_{\sigma_{m}}^{\tau}(\mu, \eta)$, then:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left|d_{m+1}\right| \leq \frac{2|\eta|(1-\tau)}{\sqrt{\mid\left((m+1) N_{2}-2 L_{2}\right)(1-\tau) \eta}-L_{2}^{2} \mid+L_{2}^{2}} \\
\left|d_{2 m+1}\right| \leq\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\frac{2(1-\tau)|\eta|}{N_{2}} \\
\frac{2|\eta|(1-\tau)}{N_{2}}+\left(m+1-\frac{L_{2}^{2}}{|\eta|(1-\tau) N_{2}}\right) \frac{2 \eta^{2}(1-\tau)^{2}}{\left|\left((m+1) N_{2}-2 L_{2}\right)(1-\tau) \eta-L_{2}^{2}\right|+L_{2}^{2}} \\
\quad ;(1-\tau) \geq \frac{L_{1}^{2}}{|\eta|(m+1) N_{2}} \\
|\eta|(m+1) N_{2}
\end{array}\right.
\end{gathered}
$$

and for $\delta$ a real number:

$$
\left|d_{2 m+1}-\delta d_{m+1}^{2}\right| \leq \begin{cases}\frac{2|\eta|(1-\tau)}{N_{2}} & ;|m+1-2 \delta|<\left|\frac{\left((m+1) N_{2}-2 L_{2}\right) \eta(1-\tau)-L_{2}^{2}}{\eta N_{2}(1-\tau)}\right| \\ \frac{2|\eta|^{2}(1-\tau)^{2}|m+1-2 \delta|}{\left|\left((m+1) N_{2}-2 L_{2}\right) \eta(1-\tau)-L_{2}^{2}\right|} & ;|m+1-2 \delta| \geq\left|\frac{\left((m+1) N_{2}-2 L_{2}\right) \eta(1-\tau)-L_{2}^{2}}{\eta N_{2}(1-\tau)}\right|,\end{cases}
$$

where $L_{2}$ is as in (28) and $N_{2}$ is as in (29).

## 5. Conclusions

In this study, we have introduced a special family $\mathfrak{M}_{\sigma_{m}}(\mu, v, \eta, \varphi)$ of m -fold symmetric bi-univalent functions in the disc $\{\varsigma \in \mathbb{C}:|\varsigma|<1\}$ and studied coefficient problems associated with the defined family. For functions belonging to this family, we have determined the upper bounds for $\left|d_{m+1}\right|$ and $\left|d_{2 m+1}\right|$. The Fekete-Szegö functional problem for functions in this family is also considered. Various cases of the special family $\mathfrak{M}_{\sigma_{m}}(\mu, \nu, \eta, \varphi)$ are discussed. Our results generalize many results of Tang et al. [26].

A special family examined in this paper could inspire further research related to some aspects such as a special family of bi-univalent functions using a Hohlov operator associated with Legendrae polynomial [32], a special family using an integro-differential operator [33], meromorphic univalent function family [34], a special family using q-derivative operator [35], and so on.
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