

Article

A Mizoguchi–Takahashi Type Fixed Point Theorem in Complete Extended b -Metric Spaces

Nayab Alamgir ¹, Quanita Kiran ^{2,*} , Hassen Aydi ^{3,4,*}  and Aiman Mukheimer ⁵ 

¹ School of Natural Sciences, National University of Sciences and Technology (NUST), Sector H-12, Islamabad 44000, Pakistan; nayab@sns.nust.edu.pk

² School of Electrical Engineering and Computer Science (SEECs), National University of Sciences and Technology (NUST), Sector H-12, Islamabad 44000, Pakistan

³ Institut Supérieur d'Informatique et des Techniques de Communication, Université de Sousse, H. Sousse 4000, Tunisia

⁴ China Medical University Hospital, China Medical University, Taichung 40402, Taiwan

⁵ Department of Mathematics and General Sciences, Prince Sultan University Riyadh, Riyadh 11586, Saudi Arabia; mukheimer@psu.edu.sa

* Correspondence: quanita.kiran@seecs.edu.pk (Q.K.); hassen.aydi@isima.rnu.tn (H.A.)

Received: 13 April 2019; Accepted: 24 May 2019; Published: 26 May 2019



Abstract: In this paper, we prove a new fixed point theorem for a multi-valued mapping from a complete extended b -metric space \mathbf{U} into the non empty closed and bounded subsets of \mathbf{U} , which generalizes Nadler's fixed point theorem. We also establish some fixed point results, which generalize our first result. Furthermore, we establish Mizoguchi–Takahashi's type fixed point theorem for a multi-valued mapping from a complete extended b -metric space \mathbf{U} into the non empty closed and bounded subsets of \mathbf{U} that improves many existing results in the literature.

Keywords: complete extended b -metric space; Hausdorff metric; fixed point theorems

1. Introduction

Throughout this paper, (\mathbf{U}, d_ϕ) is an extended b -metric space. We denote by $\mathcal{CL}(\mathbf{U})$ the set of all subsets of \mathbf{U} that are non empty and closed, by $\mathcal{CLB}(\mathbf{U})$ the set of all subsets of \mathbf{U} that are non empty closed and bounded and by $\mathcal{K}(\mathbf{U})$ the set of all subsets of \mathbf{U} that are non empty compacts.

An element $u' \in \mathbf{U}$ is called a fixed point of a multi-valued map $F : \mathbf{U} \rightarrow \mathcal{CLB}(\mathbf{U})$ if $u' \in Fu'$. An orbit for a mapping $F : \mathbf{U} \rightarrow \mathcal{CLB}(\mathbf{U})$ at a point $u_0 \in \mathbf{U}$ denoted by $O(F)$ is a sequence $\{u_n\}_{n=0}^\infty$ in \mathbf{U} such that $u_{n+1} \in Fu_n$. A mapping $f : \mathbf{U} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is said to be F -orbitally lower semi-continuous if for any sequence $\{u_n\}_{n=0}^\infty$ in $O(F)$ and $u \in \mathbf{U}$, $u_n \rightarrow u$ implies $f(u) \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \inf f(u_n)$.

Define a function $f : \mathbf{U} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ as $f(u) = d_\phi(u, Fu)$. For a constant $q \in (0, 1)$, define the set $I_q^u \subset \mathbf{U}$ as

$$I_q^u = \{v \in Fu \mid qd_\phi(u, v) \leq d_\phi(u, Fu)\}.$$

The Pompeiu–Hausdorff distance measuring the distance between the subsets of a metric space was initiated by D. Pompeiu in [1]. The fixed point theory of set-valued contractions was initiated by Nadler [2], but later many authors extrapolated it multi directionally (see [3,4]).

Theorem 1 (Reich [5]). *Let (\mathbf{U}, d) be a complete metric space and let $F : \mathbf{U} \rightarrow \mathcal{K}(\mathbf{U})$. Assume that there exists a map $\eta : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, 1)$ such that*

$$\limsup_{s \rightarrow t^+} \eta(s) < 1, \text{ for all } t \in (0, \infty),$$

and

$$H(Fu, Fv) \leq \eta(d(u, v))d(u, v), \text{ for all } u, v \in \mathbf{U}.$$

Then F has a fixed point.

In [5] Reich raised the question if the above theorem is also true for $F : \mathbf{U} \rightarrow \mathcal{CLB}(\mathbf{U})$. In [6], Mizoguchi and Takahashi gave supportive solution to the conjecture of [5] under the hypothesis $\limsup_{s \rightarrow t^+} \eta(s) < 1$, for all $t \in [0, \infty)$. In particular, they proved the following result:

Theorem 2 (Mizoguchi, Takahashi [6]). Let (\mathbf{U}, d) be a complete metric space and let $F : \mathbf{U} \rightarrow \mathcal{CLB}(\mathbf{U})$. Assume that there exists a map $\eta : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, 1)$ such that

$$\limsup_{s \rightarrow t^+} \eta(s) < 1, \text{ for all } t \in [0, \infty),$$

and

$$H(Fu, Fv) \leq \eta(d(u, v))d(u, v), \text{ for all } u, v \in \mathbf{U}, u \neq v.$$

Then F has a fixed point.

In [7], Feng and Liu extended Nadler's fixed point theorem, other than the direction of Reich and Takahashi. They proved a theorem as follows:

Theorem 3 (Feng, Liu [7]). Let (\mathbf{U}, d) be a complete metric space and let $F : \mathbf{U} \rightarrow \mathcal{CLB}(\mathbf{U})$. Assume that:

- (i) The map $f : \mathbf{U} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by $f(u) = d(u, Fu)$, $u \in \mathbf{U}$, is lower semi-continuous;
- (ii) There exist $p, q \in (0, 1)$, $p < q$ such that for all $u \in \mathbf{U}$ there exists $v \in \{v \in Fu \mid qd(u, v) \leq d(u, Fu)\}$ satisfying

$$d(v, Fv) \leq pd(u, v).$$

Then F has a fixed point.

Hicks and Rhodes [8] and Klim and Wardowski [9] proved the following results:

Theorem 4 ([8]). Let (\mathbf{U}, d) be a complete metric space and let $g : \mathbf{U} \rightarrow \mathbf{U}$, $0 \leq h < 1$. Suppose there exists q such that

$$d(gv, g^2v) \leq hd(v, gv), \text{ for every } y \in \{x, gx, g^2x, \dots\}.$$

Then

- (i) $\lim_n g^n x = q$ exists;
- (ii) $d(g^n x, q) \leq \frac{h^n}{1-h} d(x, gx)$;
- (iii) q is a fixed point of g iff $G(x) = d(x, gx)$ is g -orbitally lower semi-continuous at q .

Theorem 5 ([9]). Let (\mathbf{U}, d) be a complete metric space and let $F : \mathbf{U} \rightarrow \mathcal{K}(\mathbf{U})$. Assume that the following conditions hold:

- (i) The map $f : \mathbf{U} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by $f(u) = d(u, Fu)$, $u \in \mathbf{U}$, is lower semi-continuous;
- (ii) There exists a map $\eta : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, 1)$ such that

$$\limsup_{s \rightarrow t^+} \eta(s) < 1, \text{ for all } t \in (0, \infty),$$

and for all $u \in \mathbf{U}$ there exists $v \in \{v \in Fu : d(u, v) \leq d(u, Fu)\}$ satisfying

$$d(v, Fv) \leq \eta(d(u, v))d(u, v).$$

Then F has a fixed point.

In 2007, Kamran [10] logically presented Mizoguchi–Takahashi’s type fixed point theorem, that simply generalizes Theorems 4 and 5.

The idea of generalizing metric spaces into b -metric spaces was initiated from the works of Bakhtin [11], Bourbaki [12], and Czerwik [13,14]. In [15], the notion of b -metric space was generalized further by introducing the concept of extended b -metric spaces (see also [16–18]) as follows:

Definition 1 ([15]). Let \mathbf{U} be a non empty set and $\phi : \mathbf{U} \times \mathbf{U} \rightarrow [1, \infty)$. A function $d_\phi : \mathbf{U} \times \mathbf{U} \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is called an extended b -metric, if for all $u_1, u_2, u_3 \in \mathbf{U}$ it satisfies:

- (i) $d_\phi(u_1, u_2) = 0$ if and only if $u_1 = u_2$,
- (ii) $d_\phi(u_1, u_2) = d_\phi(u_2, u_1)$,
- (iii) $d_\phi(u_1, u_3) \leq \phi(u_1, u_3)[d_\phi(u_1, u_2) + d_\phi(u_2, u_3)]$.

The pair (\mathbf{X}, d_ϕ) is called an extended b -metric space.

Remark 1 ([15]). Every b -metric space is an extended b -metric space with a constant function $\phi(x_1, x_2) = s$, for $s \geq 1$, but its converse is not true in general.

Example 1. Let $\mathbf{U} = \{u \in \mathbb{R} : u \geq 1\}$. Define $d_\phi : \mathbf{U} \times \mathbf{U} \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ and $\phi : \mathbf{U} \times \mathbf{U} \rightarrow [1, \infty)$ as follows:

$$d_\phi(u_1, u_2) = (u_1 - u_2)^2, \quad \phi(u_1, u_2) = 1 + u_1 + u_2,$$

for all $u_1, u_2 \in \mathbf{U}$. Then (\mathbf{U}, d_ϕ) is an extended b -metric space.

For more examples and recent results see [19]. Also, in [20] Muhammad Usman Ali et al. established fixed point results for new F -contractions of Hardy–Rogers type in the setting of b -metric space and proved the existence theorem for Volterra-type integral inclusion. Their results generalized many existence results in the literature. Finally in [21], authors introduced the notion of a generalized Pompeiu–Hausdorff metric induced by the extended b -metric as follows:

Definition 2. ([21]) Let (\mathbf{U}, d_ϕ) be an extended b -metric space, where $\phi : \mathbf{U} \times \mathbf{U} \rightarrow [1, \infty)$ is bounded. Then for all $\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B} \in \mathcal{CLB}(\mathbf{U})$, where $\mathcal{CLB}(\mathbf{U})$ denotes the family of all non empty closed and bounded subsets of \mathbf{U} , the Hausdorff–Pompieu metric on $\mathcal{CLB}(\mathbf{U})$ induced by d_ϕ is defined by

$$\mathbf{H}_\Phi(\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B}) = \max\left\{\sup_{a \in \mathbf{A}} d_\phi(a, \mathbf{B}), \sup_{b \in \mathbf{B}} d_\phi(b, \mathbf{A})\right\},$$

where for every $a \in \mathbf{A}$, $d_\phi(a, \mathbf{B}) = \inf\{d_\phi(a, b) : b \in \mathbf{B}\}$ and $\Phi : \mathcal{CLB}(\mathbf{U}) \times \mathcal{CLB}(\mathbf{U}) \rightarrow [1, \infty)$ is such that

$$\Phi(\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B}) = \sup\{\phi(a, b) : a \in \mathbf{A}, b \in \mathbf{B}\}.$$

Theorem 6. ([21]) Let (\mathbf{U}, d_ϕ) be an extended b -metric space. Then $(\mathcal{CLB}(\mathbf{U}), \mathbf{H}_\Phi)$ is an extended Hausdorff–Pompieu b -metric space.

In this paper, we extend Nadler’s fixed point theorem for the extended b -metric space. Moreover, we improve Mizoguchi–Takahashi’s type fixed point theorem (Theorem 1.2, [10]) for the extended b -metric space when F is a multi-valued mapping from \mathbf{U} to $\mathcal{CLB}(\mathbf{U})$. Our results generalize Theorems 4 and 5 in the setting of extended b -metric spaces which in turn generalize many existing results including Theorems 1–3.

2. Main Results

We start with the following lemma.

Lemma 1. Let $\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y} \in \mathcal{CLB}(\mathbf{U})$, then for every $\eta > 0$ and $y \in \mathbf{Y}$ there exists $x \in \mathbf{X}$ such that

$$d_\phi(x, y) \leq \mathbf{H}_\Phi(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y}) + \eta.$$

Proof. By definition of the Hausdorff metric, for $\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y} \in \mathcal{CLB}(\mathbf{U})$ and for any $y \in \mathbf{Y}$, we have

$$d_\phi(\mathbf{X}, y) \leq \mathbf{H}_\Phi(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y}).$$

By the definition of an infimum, we can let $\{x_n\}_{n=0}^\infty$ be a sequence in \mathbf{X} such that

$$d_\phi(y, x_n) < d_\phi(y, \mathbf{X}) + \eta, \text{ where } \eta > 0. \quad (1)$$

We know that \mathbf{X} is closed and bounded, so there exists $x \in \mathbf{X}$ such that $x_n \rightarrow x$. Therefore by (1), we have

$$d_\phi(x, y) < d_\phi(\mathbf{X}, y) + \eta \leq \mathbf{H}_\Phi(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y}) + \eta.$$

□

Theorem 7. Let (\mathbf{U}, d_ϕ) be a complete extended b-metric space. If $F : \mathbf{U} \rightarrow \mathcal{CLB}(\mathbf{U})$ satisfies the inequality

$$\mathbf{H}_\Phi(Fu, Fv) \leq \eta d_\phi(u, v), \text{ for all } u, v \in \mathbf{U}, \quad (2)$$

where $\eta \in [0, 1)$ is a real constant such that $\lim_{n,m \rightarrow \infty} \eta d_\phi(u_n, u_m) < 1$, then F has a fixed point.

Proof. Let us consider $\eta > 0$. Let $u_0 \in \mathbf{U}$ and choose $u_1 \in Fu_0$. Since $Fu_0, Fu_1 \in \mathcal{CLB}(\mathbf{U})$ and $u_1 \in Fu_0$, then by Lemma 1, there exists $u_2 \in Fu_1$ such that

$$d_\phi(u_1, u_2) \leq \mathbf{H}_\Phi(Fu_0, Fu_1) + \eta.$$

Now since $Fu_1, Fu_2 \in \mathcal{CLB}(\mathbf{U})$ and $u_2 \in Fu_1$, there is a point $u_3 \in Fu_2$ such that

$$d_\phi(u_2, u_3) \leq \mathbf{H}_\Phi(Fu_1, Fu_2) + \eta^2.$$

Continuing in this fashion, we obtain a sequence $\{u_n\}_{n=0}^\infty$ of elements of \mathbf{U} such that $u_{n+1} \in Fu_n$ and

$$d_\phi(u_n, u_{n+1}) \leq \mathbf{H}_\Phi(Fu_{n-1}, Fu_n) + \eta^n, \text{ for all } n \geq 1.$$

By (2), we note that

$$\begin{aligned} d_\phi(u_n, u_{n+1}) &\leq \eta d_\phi(u_{n-1}, u_n) + \eta^n \\ &\leq \eta(\eta d_\phi(u_{n-2}, u_{n-1}) + \eta^{n-1}) + \eta^n \\ &\leq \eta^2 d_\phi(u_{n-2}, u_{n-1}) + 2\eta^n. \end{aligned}$$

Continuing in this way, we have

$$d_\phi(u_n, u_{n+1}) \leq \eta^n d_\phi(u_0, u_1) + n\eta^n, \text{ for all } n \geq 1. \quad (3)$$

By the triangle inequality and (3) for $m > n$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} d_\phi(u_n, u_m) \leq & \phi(u_n, u_m)[\eta^n d_\phi(u_0, u_1) + n\eta^n] + \phi(u_n, u_m)\phi(u_{n+1}, u_m)[\eta^{n+1} d_\phi(u_0, u_1) \\ & + (n+1)\eta^{n+1}] + \dots + \phi(u_n, u_m)\phi(u_{n+1}, u_m) \dots \phi(u_{m-1}, u_m) \\ & [\eta^{m-1} d_\phi(u_0, u_1) + (m-1)\eta^{m-1}], \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} d_\phi(u_n, u_m) \leq & d_\phi(u_0, u_1)[\phi(u_n, u_m)\eta^n + \phi(u_n, u_m)\phi(u_{n+1}, u_m)\eta^{n+1} + \dots + \phi(u_n, u_m) \\ & \phi(u_{n+1}, u_m) \dots \phi(u_{m-1}, u_m)\eta^{m-1}] + [\phi(u_n, u_m)n\eta^n + \phi(u_n, u_m) \\ & \phi(u_{n+1}, u_m)(n+1)\eta^{n+1} + \dots + \phi(u_n, u_m)\phi(u_{n+1}, u_m) \dots \\ & \phi(u_{m-1}, u_m)(m-1)\eta^{m-1}], \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} d_\phi(u_n, u_m) \leq & d_\phi(u_0, u_1)[\phi(u_1, u_m)\phi(u_2, u_m) \dots \phi(u_n, u_m)\eta^n + \phi(u_1, u_m)\phi(u_2, u_m) \dots \\ & \phi(u_{n+1}, u_m)\eta^{n+1} + \dots + \phi(u_1, u_m)\phi(u_2, u_m) \dots \phi(u_n, u_m)\phi(u_{n+1}, u_m) \dots \\ & \phi(u_{m-1}, u_m)\eta^{m-1}] + [\phi(u_1, u_m)\phi(u_2, u_m) \dots \phi(u_n, u_m)n\eta^n + \phi(u_1, u_m) \\ & \phi(u_2, u_m) \dots \phi(u_{n+1}, u_m)(n+1)\eta^{n+1} + \dots + \phi(u_1, u_m)\phi(u_2, u_m) \dots \\ & \phi(u_n, u_m)\phi(u_{n+1}, u_m) \dots \phi(u_{m-1}, u_m)(m-1)\eta^{m-1}]. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\lim_{n,m \rightarrow \infty} \phi(u_{n+1}, u_m)\eta < 1$, the series

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \eta^n \prod_{i=1}^n \phi(u_i, u_m) \text{ and } \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n\eta^n \prod_{i=1}^n \phi(u_i, u_m)$$

converges by the ratio test for each $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Let

$$S = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \eta^n \prod_{i=1}^n \phi(u_i, u_m), \quad S_n = \sum_{j=1}^n \eta^j \prod_{i=1}^j \phi(u_i, u_m),$$

and

$$S' = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n\eta^n \prod_{i=1}^n \phi(u_i, u_m), \quad S'_n = \sum_{j=1}^n j\eta^j \prod_{i=1}^j \phi(u_i, u_m).$$

Thus for $m > n$, the above inequality implies

$$d_\phi(u_n, u_m) \leq d_\phi(u_0, u_1)[S_{m-1} - S_n] + [S'_{m-1} - S'_n].$$

By letting $n \rightarrow \infty$, we conclude that $\{u_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is a Cauchy sequence. Since \mathbf{U} is complete, there exists $u \in \mathbf{U}$ such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} u_n = u$ (so $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} u_{n+1} = u$). Now by the triangle inequality

$$\begin{aligned} d_\phi(Fu, u) & \leq \phi(Fu, u)[d_\phi(Fu, u_n) + d_\phi(u_n, u)] \\ & \leq \phi(Fu, u)[\eta d_\phi(u, u_{n-1}) + d_\phi(u_n, u)]. \end{aligned}$$

This implies that

$$d_\phi(Fu, u) \leq 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

$$d_\phi(Fu, u) = 0.$$

Hence u is a fixed point of F . \square

Theorem 8. Let us consider a multi-valued mapping $F : \mathbf{U} \rightarrow \mathcal{CLB}(\mathbf{U})$, where (\mathbf{U}, d_ϕ) is a complete extended b -metric space. Furthermore, let us consider that the following two conditions hold:

- (i) The map $f : \mathbf{U} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by $f(u) = d_\phi(u, Fu)$, $u \in \mathbf{U}$, is lower semi-continuous;
(ii) There exist $p, q \in (0, 1)$, $p < q$ such that for all $u \in \mathbf{U}$ there exists $v \in I_q^u$ satisfying

$$d_\phi(v, Fv) \leq p d_\phi(u, v).$$

Moreover $\lim_{n,m \rightarrow \infty} \alpha \phi(u_n, u_m) < 1$, for all $\alpha \in (0, 1)$. Then F has a fixed point in \mathbf{U} .

Proof. As $Fu \in \mathcal{CLB}(\mathbf{U})$ for any $u \in \mathbf{U}$, I_q^u is non void for any constant $q \in (0, 1)$. For some arbitrary point $u_0 \in \mathbf{U}$, there exists $u_1 \in I_q^{u_0}$ such that

$$d_\phi(u_1, Fu_1) \leq p d_\phi(u_0, u_1).$$

And, for $u_1 \in \mathbf{U}$, there exists $u_2 \in I_q^{u_1}$ satisfying

$$d_\phi(u_2, Fu_2) \leq p d_\phi(u_1, u_2).$$

Continuing in this fashion, we can get an iterative sequence $\{u_n\}_{n=0}^\infty$, where $u_{n+1} \in I_q^{u_n}$ and

$$d_\phi(u_{n+1}, Fu_{n+1}) \leq p d_\phi(u_n, u_{n+1}), \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots.$$

Now we will prove that $\{u_n\}_{n=0}^\infty$ is a Cauchy sequence. On the one hand,

$$d_\phi(u_{n+1}, Fu_{n+1}) \leq p d_\phi(u_n, u_{n+1}), \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots. \quad (4)$$

On the other hand, $u_{n+1} \in I_q^{u_n}$ implies

$$q d_\phi(u_n, u_{n+1}) \leq d_\phi(u_n, Fu_n), \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots.$$

By the above two equations, we have

$$d_\phi(u_{n+1}, u_{n+2}) \leq \frac{p}{q} d_\phi(u_n, u_{n+1}), \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots, \quad (5)$$

$$d_\phi(u_{n+1}, Fu_{n+1}) \leq \frac{p}{q} d_\phi(u_n, Fu_n), \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots.$$

By inequality (5), it is easy to prove that

$$\begin{aligned} d_\phi(u_n, u_{n+1}) &\leq \frac{p^n}{q^n} d_\phi(u_0, u_1), \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots, \\ d_\phi(u_n, Fu_n) &\leq \frac{p^n}{q^n} d_\phi(u_0, Fu_0), \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots. \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

Let $\alpha = \frac{p}{q}$. Since $p < q$ we have $\alpha = \frac{p}{q} < 1$. By taking $n \rightarrow \infty$ in (6), we obtain

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d_\phi(u_n, Fu_n) = 0. \quad (7)$$

By the triangle inequality and (6), for $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$, $m > n$

$$d_\phi(u_n, u_m) \leq \phi(u_n, u_m) [d_\phi(u_n, u_{n+1}) + d_\phi(u_{n+1}, u_m)],$$

$$d_\phi(u_n, u_m) \leq \phi(u_n, u_m) d_\phi(u_n, u_{n+1}) + \phi(u_n, u_m) \phi(u_{n+1}, u_m) [d_\phi(u_{n+1}, u_{n+2}) + d_\phi(u_{n+2}, u_m)],$$

$$d_\phi(u_n, u_m) \leq \phi(u_n, u_m)d_\phi(u_n, u_{n+1}) + \phi(u_n, u_m)\phi(u_{n+1}, u_m)d_\phi(u_{n+1}, u_m) + \dots + \phi(u_n, u_m)\phi(u_{n+1}, u_m) \dots \phi(u_{m-1}, u_m)d_\phi(u_{m-1}, u_m),$$

$$d_\phi(u_n, u_m) \leq \phi(u_n, u_m)\alpha^n d_\phi(u_0, u_1) + \phi(u_n, u_m)\phi(u_{n+1}, u_m)\alpha^{n+1}d_\phi(u_0, u_1) + \dots + \phi(u_n, u_m)\phi(u_{n+1}, u_m) \dots \phi(u_{m-1}, u_m)\alpha^{m-1}d_\phi(u_0, u_1),$$

$$d_\phi(u_n, u_m) \leq d_\phi(u_0, u_1)[\phi(u_1, u_m)\phi(u_2, u_m) \dots \phi(u_n, u_m)\alpha^n + \phi(u_1, u_m)\phi(u_2, u_m) \dots \phi(u_{n+1}, u_m)\alpha^{n+1} + \dots + \phi(u_1, u_m)\phi(u_2, u_m) \dots \phi(u_n, u_m)\phi(u_{n+1}, u_m) \dots \phi(u_{m-1}, u_m)\alpha^{m-1}].$$

Since $\alpha < 1$ so $\lim_{n,m \rightarrow \infty} \alpha \phi(u_n, u_m) < 1$. Therefore the series $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha^n \prod_{i=1}^n \phi(u_i, u_m)$ converges by ratio test for all $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Let

$$S = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha^n \prod_{i=1}^n \phi(u_i, u_m), \quad \text{and} \quad S_n = \sum_{j=1}^n \alpha^j \prod_{i=1}^j \phi(u_i, u_m).$$

Thus for $m > n$ the above inequality implies

$$d_\phi(u_n, u_m) \leq d_\phi(u_0, u_1)[S_{m-1} - S_n].$$

By taking $n \rightarrow \infty$, we conclude that $\{u_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ is a Cauchy sequence. As \mathbf{U} is complete, there exists $u \in \mathbf{U}$ such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} u_n = u$.

On the other hand as f is lower semi-continuous, so from (7) we have

$$0 \leq f(u) \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} f(u_n) = 0.$$

Hence $f(u) = d_\phi(u, Fu) = 0$. Finally, by the closeness of Fu , we have $u \in Fu$. \square

Theorem 9. Let us consider a multi-valued mapping $F : \mathbf{U} \rightarrow \mathcal{CLB}(\mathbf{U})$, where (\mathbf{U}, d_ϕ) is a complete extended b -metric space. Furthermore, let us consider that the following two conditions hold:

- (i) The map $f : \mathbf{U} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by $f(u) = d_\phi(u, Fu)$, $u \in \mathbf{U}$, is lower semi-continuous;
- (ii) There exist $q \in (0, 1)$ and $\eta : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, q)$ such that

$$\limsup_{s \rightarrow t^+} \eta(s) < q, \text{ for all } t \in [0, \infty) \quad (8)$$

and for all $u \in \mathbf{U}$, there exists $v \in I_q^u$ satisfying

$$d_\phi(v, Fv) \leq \eta(d_\phi(u, v))d_\phi(u, v), \text{ for all } u \in \mathbf{U} \text{ and } v \in Fu. \quad (9)$$

Moreover $\lim_{n,m \rightarrow \infty} \alpha \phi(u_n, u_m) < 1$, for all $\alpha \in (0, 1)$. Then F has a fixed point in \mathbf{U} .

Proof. Let us assume that F has no fixed point, so $d_\phi(u, Fu) > 0$ for each $u \in \mathbf{U}$. Since $Fu \in \mathcal{CLB}(\mathbf{U})$, for any $u \in \mathbf{U}$, I_q^u is non void for any constant $q \in (0, 1)$. If $v = u$ then $u \in Fu$, which is a contradiction. Hence for all $q \in (0, 1)$ and $u \in \mathbf{U}$, there exist $v \in Fu$ with $u \neq v$ such that

$$qd_\phi(u, v) \leq d_\phi(u, Fu). \quad (10)$$

Let us take an arbitrary point $u_0 \in \mathbf{U}$. By (10) and (ii), there exists $u_1 \in Fu_0$ with $u_1 \neq u_0$, satisfying

$$qd_{\phi}(u_0, u_1) \leq d_{\phi}(u_0, Fu_0), \quad (11)$$

and

$$d_{\phi}(u_1, Fu_1) \leq \eta(d_{\phi}(u_0, u_1))d_{\phi}(u_0, u_1), \quad \eta(d_{\phi}(u_0, u_1)) < q. \quad (12)$$

From (11) and (12), we have

$$\begin{aligned} d_{\phi}(u_0, Fu_0) - d_{\phi}(u_1, Fu_1) &\geq qd_{\phi}(u_0, u_1) - \eta(d_{\phi}(u_0, u_1))d_{\phi}(u_0, u_1) \\ &\geq [q - \eta(d_{\phi}(u_0, u_1))]d_{\phi}(u_0, u_1) > 0. \end{aligned}$$

Further, for u_1 , there exists $u_2 \in Fu_1$, $u_2 \neq u_1$, such that

$$qd_{\phi}(u_1, u_2) \leq d_{\phi}(u_1, Fu_1), \quad (13)$$

and

$$d_{\phi}(u_2, Fu_2) \leq \eta(d_{\phi}(u_1, u_2))d_{\phi}(u_1, u_2), \quad \eta(d_{\phi}(u_1, u_2)) < q. \quad (14)$$

By (13) and (14), we have

$$\begin{aligned} d_{\phi}(u_1, Fu_1) - d_{\phi}(u_2, Fu_2) &\geq qd_{\phi}(u_1, u_2) - \eta(d_{\phi}(u_1, u_2))d_{\phi}(u_1, u_2) \\ &\geq [q - \eta(d_{\phi}(u_1, u_2))]d_{\phi}(u_1, u_2) > 0. \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore from (12) and (13)

$$d_{\phi}(u_1, u_2) \leq \frac{1}{q}d_{\phi}(u_1, Fu_1) \leq \frac{1}{q}\eta(d_{\phi}(u_0, u_1))d_{\phi}(u_0, u_1) < d_{\phi}(u_0, u_1).$$

Continuing in this fashion, for u_n , $n > 1$, there exists $u_{n+1} \in Fu_n$, $u_{n+1} \neq u_n$ satisfying

$$qd_{\phi}(u_n, u_{n+1}) \leq d_{\phi}(u_n, Fu_n), \quad (15)$$

and

$$d_{\phi}(u_{n+1}, Fu_{n+1}) \leq \eta(d_{\phi}(u_n, u_{n+1}))d_{\phi}(u_n, u_{n+1}), \quad \eta(d_{\phi}(u_n, u_{n+1})) < q. \quad (16)$$

From (15) and (16), we have

$$\begin{aligned} d_{\phi}(u_n, Fu_n) - d_{\phi}(u_{n+1}, Fu_{n+1}) &\geq qd_{\phi}(u_n, u_{n+1}) - \eta(d_{\phi}(u_n, u_{n+1}))d_{\phi}(u_n, u_{n+1}) \\ &\geq [q - \eta(d_{\phi}(u_n, u_{n+1}))]d_{\phi}(u_n, u_{n+1}) > 0 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$d_{\phi}(u_n, u_{n+1}) < d_{\phi}(u_{n-1}, u_n). \quad (17)$$

From above both equations, it follows that the sequences $\{d_{\phi}(u_n, Fu_n)\}$ and $\{d_{\phi}(u_n, u_{n+1})\}$ are decreasing, and hence convergent. Now from (8), there exists $q' \in [0, q)$ such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup \eta(d_{\phi}(u_n, u_{n+1})) = q'$. Therefore for any $q_0 \in (q', q)$, there exists $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\eta(d_{\phi}(u_n, u_{n+1})) < q_0, \quad \text{for all } n > n_0 \quad (18)$$

Consequently from (15) and (16), we have

$$d_{\phi}(u_n, u_{n+1}) < \alpha d_{\phi}(u_{n-1}, u_n), \quad (19)$$

where $\alpha = \frac{q_0}{q}$ and $n > n_0$. Furthermore, from (15)–(17), for $n > n_0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} d_\phi(u_n, Fu_n) &\leq \eta d_\phi(u_{n-1}, u_n) \leq \frac{\eta(d_\phi(u_{n-1}, u_n))}{q} d_\phi(u_{n-1}, Fu_{n-1}) \\ &\leq \dots \leq \frac{(\eta(d_\phi(u_{n-1}, u_n)) \dots \eta(d_\phi(u_0, u_1)))}{q^n} d_\phi(u_0, Fu_0) \\ &= \frac{\eta(d_\phi(u_{n-1}, u_n)) \dots \eta(d_\phi(u_{n_0+1}, u_{n_0+2}))}{q^{n-n_0}} \\ &\quad \times \frac{\eta(d_\phi(u_{n_0}, u_{n_0+1})) \dots \eta(d_\phi(u_0, u_1))}{q^{n_0}} d_\phi(u_0, Fu_0) \\ &< \left(\frac{q_0}{q}\right)^{n-n_0} \frac{\eta(d_\phi(u_{n_0}, u_{n_0+1})) \dots \eta(d_\phi(u_0, u_1))}{q^{n_0}} d_\phi(u_0, Fu_0). \end{aligned}$$

Since $q_0 < q$, clearly $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\frac{q_0}{q}\right)^{n-n_0} = 0$. This gives

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d_\phi(u_n, Fu_n) = 0.$$

Let $m > n > n_0$, from the triangle inequality and (19), we have

$$\begin{aligned} d_\phi(u_n, u_m) &\leq \phi(u_n, u_m) d_\phi(u_n, u_{n+1}) + \phi(u_n, u_m) \phi(u_{n+1}, u_m) d_\phi(u_{n+1}) + \dots \\ &\quad + \phi(u_n, u_m) \phi(u_{n+1}, u_m) \dots \phi(u_{m-1}, u_m) d_\phi(u_{m-1}, u_m), \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} d_\phi(u_n, u_m) &\leq \phi(u_n, u_m) \alpha^n d_\phi(u_0, u_1) + \phi(u_n, u_m) \phi(u_{n+1}, u_m) \alpha^{n+1} d_\phi(u_0, u_1) + \dots \\ &\quad + \phi(u_n, u_m) \phi(u_{n+1}, u_m) \dots \phi(u_{m-1}, u_m) \alpha^{m-1} d_\phi(u_0, u_1). \end{aligned}$$

By using the analogous procedure as in Theorem 8, there exists a Cauchy sequence $\{u_n\}_{n=0}^\infty$ such that $u_{n+1} \in Fu_n$, $u_{n+1} \neq u_n$. As \mathbf{U} is complete, therefore there exists $u \in \mathbf{U}$ such that $u_n \rightarrow u$. By (i), we obtain

$$0 \leq d_\phi(u, Fu) \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} d_\phi(u_n, Fu_n) = 0.$$

By the closedness of Fu , we have $u \in Fu$, which contradicts our assumption that F has no fixed point. \square

Corollary 1. Let $F : \mathbf{U} \rightarrow \mathcal{K}(\mathbf{U})$ be a multi-valued mapping, where (\mathbf{U}, d_ϕ) is a complete extended b-metric space. Furthermore, let us consider that the following conditions hold:

- (i) The map $f : \mathbf{U} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by $f(u) = d_\phi(u, Fu)$, $u \in \mathbf{U}$, is lower semi-continuous;
- (ii) There exists $\eta : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, 1)$ such that

$$\limsup_{s \rightarrow t^+} \eta(s) < 1, \text{ for all } t \in [0, \infty),$$

and for all $u \in \mathbf{U}$, there exists $v \in I_1^u$ satisfying

$$d_\phi(v, Fv) \leq \eta(d_\phi(u, v)) d_\phi(u, v), \text{ for all } u \in \mathbf{U} \text{ and } v \in Fu.$$

Moreover $\lim_{n,m \rightarrow \infty} \alpha d_\phi(u_n, u_m) < 1$, for all $\alpha \in (0, 1)$. Then F has a fixed point in \mathbf{U} .

Proof. Let us assume that F has no fixed point, so $d_\phi(u, Fu) > 0$ for any $u \in \mathbf{U}$. Since $Fu \in \mathcal{K}(\mathbf{U})$ for any $u \in \mathbf{U}$, I_1^u is non empty. If $v = u$ then $u \in Fu$, which is a contradiction. Hence for all $u \in \mathbf{U}$, there exists $v \in Fu$ with $u \neq v$ such that

$$d_\phi(u, v) \leq d_\phi(u, Fu). \quad (20)$$

Let us consider an arbitrary point $u_0 \in \mathbf{U}$. From (20), by using the analogous procedure as in Theorem 9, we obtain the existence of a Cauchy sequence $\{u_n\}_{n=0}^\infty$ such that $u_{n+1} \in Fu_n$, $u_{n+1} \neq u_n$, satisfying

$$d_\phi(u_n, u_{n+1}) = d_\phi(u_n, Fu_n)$$

and

$$d_\phi(u_n, Fu_n) \leq \eta(d_\phi(u_{n-1}, u_n))d_\phi(u_{n-1}, u_n), \quad \eta(d_\phi(u_{n-1}, u_n)) < 1.$$

Since \mathbf{U} is complete, there exists $u \in \mathbf{U}$ such that $u_n \rightarrow u$. By (i), we obtain

$$0 \leq d_\phi(u, Fu) \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} d_\phi(u_n, Fu_n) = 0.$$

By the closedness of Fu , we have $u \in Fu$, which contradicts our assumption that F has no fixed point. \square

Lemma 2. Let (\mathbf{U}, d_ϕ) be an extended b -metric space. Then for any $u \in \mathbf{U}$ and $\alpha > 1$, there exists an element $x \in \mathbf{X}$, where $\mathbf{X} \in \mathcal{CLB}(\mathbf{U})$ such that

$$d_\phi(u, x) \leq \alpha d_\phi(u, \mathbf{X}). \quad (21)$$

Proof. Let us suppose that $d_\phi(u, \mathbf{X}) = 0$ then $u \in \mathbf{X}$, since \mathbf{X} is a closed subset of \mathbf{U} . Further, let us suppose that $x = u$, so (21) holds. Now, suppose that $d_\phi(u, \mathbf{X}) > 0$ and choose

$$\epsilon = (\alpha - 1)d_\phi(u, \mathbf{X}). \quad (22)$$

Then using the definition of $d_\phi(u, \mathbf{X})$, there exists $x \in \mathbf{X}$ such that

$$d_\phi(u, x) \leq d_\phi(u, \mathbf{X}) + \epsilon, \quad \text{where } \epsilon > 0. \quad (23)$$

By putting (22) in (23), we get

$$d_\phi(u, x) \leq \alpha d_\phi(u, \mathbf{X}).$$

\square

Theorem 10. Let (\mathbf{U}, d_ϕ) be a complete extended b -metric space and $F : \mathbf{U} \rightarrow \mathcal{CLB}(\mathbf{U})$ be a multi-valued mapping satisfying

$$d_\phi(v, Fv) \leq \eta(d_\phi(u, v))d_\phi(u, v), \quad \text{for all } u \in \mathbf{U} \text{ and } v \in Fu, \quad (24)$$

where $\eta : (0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, 1)$ such that

$$\limsup_{s \rightarrow t^+} \eta(s) < 1, \quad \text{for all } t \in [0, \infty). \quad (25)$$

Moreover, let us suppose that $\lim_{n,m \rightarrow \infty} \alpha d_\phi(u_n, u_m) < 1$, for all $\alpha \in (0, 1)$. Then

- (i) There exists an orbit $\{u_n\}_{n=0}^\infty$ of F for each $u_0 \in \mathbf{U}$ such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} u_n = u$ for $u \in \mathbf{U}$;
- (ii) u is a fixed point of F , if and only if the function $f(u) = d_\phi(u, Fu)$ is F -orbitally lower semi-continuous at u .

Proof. Let us assume $u_0 \in \mathbf{U}$ and choose $u_1 \in Fu_0$, since $Fu_0 \neq 0$. If $u_0 = u_1$, then u_0 is a fixed point of F . Let $u_0 \neq u_1$, by taking $\alpha = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\eta(d_\phi(u_0, u_1))}}$, it follows from Lemma 2 that there exists $u_2 \in Fu_1$ such that

$$d_\phi(u_1, u_2) \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{\eta(d_\phi(u_0, u_1))}} d_\phi(u_1, Fu_1).$$

Continuing in this fashion, we produce a sequence $\{u_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ of points in \mathbf{U} such that $u_{n+1} \in Fu_n$ and

$$d_\phi(u_n, u_{n+1}) \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{\eta(d_\phi(u_{n-1}, u_n))}} d_\phi(u_n, Fu_n). \quad (26)$$

Now assume that $u_{n-1} \neq u_n$, for otherwise u_{n-1} is fixed point of F . Using (24), it follows from (26) that

$$\begin{aligned} d_\phi(u_n, u_{n+1}) &\leq \sqrt{\eta(d_\phi(u_{n-1}, u_n))} d_\phi(u_{n-1}, u_n) \\ &< d_\phi(u_{n-1}, u_n). \end{aligned} \quad (27)$$

Hence $\{d_\phi(u_n, u_{n+1})\}$ is a decreasing sequence, so it converges to some non-negative real number. Let a be the limit of $\{d_\phi(u_n, u_{n+1})\}$. Clearly, $a = 0$, for otherwise by taking limits in (27), we obtain $a \leq \sqrt{c}a$, where $c = \limsup_{s \rightarrow a^+} \eta(s)$. From (27), we have

$$\begin{aligned} d_\phi(u_n, u_{n+1}) &\leq \sqrt{\eta(d_\phi(u_{n-1}, u_n))} \sqrt{\eta(d_\phi(u_{n-2}, u_{n-1}))} d_\phi(u_{n-2}, u_{n-1}) \dots \\ &\dots \leq \sqrt{\eta(d_\phi(u_{n-1}, u_n))} \dots \sqrt{\eta(d_\phi(u_0, u_1))} d_\phi(u_0, u_1). \end{aligned}$$

From (25), we can choose $\delta > 0$ and $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ such that

$$\eta(t) < \alpha^2, \text{ for } t \in (0, \delta).$$

Let N be such that $d_\phi(u_{n-1}, u_n) < \delta$ for $n \geq N$. From (27), we have

$$\begin{aligned} d_\phi(u_n, u_{n+1}) &\leq \alpha d_\phi(u_{n-1}, u_n) \leq \dots \\ &\leq \alpha^{n-N+1} d_\phi(u_{N-1}, u_N). \end{aligned}$$

Hence from the inequality (27), we get

$$\begin{aligned} d_\phi(u_n, u_{n+1}) &\leq \alpha^{n-N+1} [\sqrt{\eta(d_\phi(u_{N-2}, u_{N-1}))} \dots \sqrt{\eta(d_\phi(u_0, u_1))}] d_\phi(u_0, u_1) \\ &< \alpha^{n-N+1} d_\phi(u_0, u_1). \end{aligned} \quad (28)$$

Therefore from the triangle inequality and (28) for any $m \in \mathbb{N}$ with $m > n$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} d_\phi(u_n, u_{n+m}) &\leq \phi(u_n, u_{n+m}) d_\phi(u_n, u_{n+1}) + \phi(u_n, u_{n+m}) \phi(u_{n+1}, u_{n+m}) d_\phi(u_{n+1}, u_{n+2}) + \\ &\dots + \phi(u_n, u_{n+m}) \phi(u_{n+1}, u_{n+m}) \dots \phi(u_{n+m-1}, u_{n+m}) \\ &d_\phi(u_{n+m-1}, u_{n+m}), \\ d_\phi(u_n, u_{n+m}) &\leq \alpha^{n-N+1} [\phi(u_n, u_{n+m}) + \alpha^2 \phi(u_n, u_{n+m}) \phi(u_{n+1}, u_{n+m}) + \dots + \alpha^{m-n-1} \\ &\phi(u_n, u_{n+m}) \phi(u_{n+1}, u_{n+m}) \dots \phi(u_{n+m-1}, u_{n+m})] d_\phi(u_0, u_1), \end{aligned}$$

$$d_{\phi}(u_n, u_{n+m}) \leq \alpha^{n-N+1} [\phi(u_1, u_{n+m}) \phi(u_2, u_{n+m}) \dots \phi(u_n, u_{n+m}) + \phi(u_1, u_{n+m}) \phi(u_2, u_{n+m}) \dots \phi(u_{n+m-1}, u_{n+m})] d_{\phi}(u_0, u_1).$$

Since $\lim_{n,m \rightarrow \infty} \phi(u_n, u_m) \alpha < 1$, the series $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \alpha^j \prod_{i=1}^j \phi(u_i, u_{n+m})$ converges by the ratio test for each $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Let

$$S = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \alpha^j \prod_{i=1}^j \phi(u_i, u_{n+m}), \quad S_n = \sum_{j=1}^n \alpha^j \prod_{i=1}^j \phi(u_i, u_{n+m}).$$

Thus for $m \in \mathbb{N}$ with $m > n$, the above inequality implies

$$d_{\phi}(u_n, u_{n+m}) \leq \alpha^{n-N+1} [S_{m-1} - S_n].$$

By letting $n \rightarrow \infty$, we conclude that $\{u_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is a Cauchy sequence in \mathbf{U} . As \mathbf{U} is complete, there exists $u \in \mathbf{U}$ such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} u_n = u$. Since $u_n \in Fu_{n-1}$, it follows from (24) that

$$\begin{aligned} d_{\phi}(u_n, Fu_n) &\leq \eta(d_{\phi}(u_{n-1}, u_n)) d_{\phi}(u_{n-1}, u_n) \\ &< d_{\phi}(u_{n-1}, u_n). \end{aligned}$$

Letting $n \rightarrow \infty$, from the above inequality we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d_{\phi}(u_n, Fu_n) = 0.$$

Suppose $f(u) = d_{\phi}(u, Fu)$ is F orbitally semi-continuous at u ,

$$d_{\phi}(u, Fu) = f(u) \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} f(u_n) = \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} d_{\phi}(u_n, Fu_n) = 0.$$

Hence $u \in Fu$, since Fu is closed. Conversely let us suppose that u is a fixed point of F ($u \in Fu$), then $f(u) = 0 \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} f(u_n)$. Hence f is F orbitally semi-continuous at u . \square

Remark 2. Theorem 10 improves Theorem 1, since F may take values in $\mathcal{CLB}(\mathbf{U})$. Since $d_{\phi}(v, Fv) \leq H(Fu, Fv)$ for $v \in Fu$. We have the following corollary.

Corollary 2. Let (\mathbf{U}, d_{ϕ}) be a complete extended b -metric space and $F : \mathbf{U} \rightarrow \mathcal{CLB}(\mathbf{U})$ be such that

$$\mathbf{H}_{\Phi}(Fu, Fv) \leq \eta(d_{\phi}(u, v)) d_{\phi}(u, v), \quad \text{for each } u \in \mathbf{U} \text{ and } v \in Fu,$$

where $\eta : (0, \infty) \rightarrow (0, 1]$ is such that

$$\limsup_{s \rightarrow t^+} \eta(s) < 1, \quad \text{for all } t \in [0, \infty).$$

Then

- (i) there exist an orbit $\{u_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ of F for each $u_0 \in \mathbf{U}$ and $u \in \mathbf{U}$ such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} u_n = u$;
- (ii) u is a fixed point of F , if and only if the function $f(u) = d_{\phi}(u, Fu)$ is F -orbitally lower semi-continuous at u .

Remark 3. Theorem 7 extends Nadler's fixed point theorem when \mathbf{U} is the extended b -metric space.

Remark 4. Theorem 8 is a generalization of 7. The following example shows that generalization.

Example 2. Let $\mathbf{U} = \{\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{4}, \dots, \frac{1}{2^n}, \dots\} \cup \{0, 1\}$ and $d_\phi : \mathbf{U} \times \mathbf{U} \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ be a mapping defined as $d_\phi(u_1, u_2) = (u_1 - u_2)^2$, for $u_1, u_2 \in \mathbf{U}$, where $\phi : \mathbf{U} \times \mathbf{U} \rightarrow [1, \infty)$ is a mapping defined by $\phi(u_1, u_2) = u_1 + u_2 + 2$. Then (\mathbf{U}, d_ϕ) is a complete extended b-metric space. Define $F : \mathbf{U} \rightarrow \mathcal{CLB}(\mathbf{U})$ as

$$F(u) = \begin{cases} \{\frac{1}{2^{n+1}}, 1\}, & u = \frac{1}{2^n}, \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots \\ \{0, \frac{1}{2}\}, & u = 0. \end{cases}$$

In a sense of Theorem 7, clearly F is not contractive, in fact

$$\mathbf{H}_\Phi\left(F\left(\frac{1}{2^n}\right), F(0)\right) = \frac{1}{2} \geq \frac{1}{2^{2n}} = d_\phi(u_1, u_2), \quad \text{for } n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$$

On the other way,

$$f(u) = \begin{cases} (\frac{1}{2^{n+1}})^2, & u = \frac{1}{2^n}, \quad n = 1, 2, \dots \\ u, & u = 0, 1 \end{cases}$$

Hence f is continuous, so it is clearly lower semi-continuous. Furthermore there exists $v \in I_{0.7}^u$ for any $u \in \mathbf{U}$ such that

$$d_\phi(v, F(v)) = \frac{1}{4} d_\phi(u, v).$$

Thus the existence of a fixed point follows from Theorem 8. Hence Theorem 8 is a generalization of Theorem 7.

Remark 5. Theorem 9 is an extension of Theorem 8. In fact, let us consider a constant map $\eta = c$, where $0 < c < q$. Thus the hypotheses of Theorem 9 are fulfilled. On the other hand, there exists a map which fulfills the hypotheses of Theorem 9, but does not fulfill the hypotheses of Theorem 8. See the following example:

Example 3. Let $\mathbf{U} = [0, 1]$ and $d_\phi : \mathbf{U} \times \mathbf{U} \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ be a mapping defined as $d_\phi(u_1, u_2) = (u_1 - u_2)^2$, for $u_1, u_2 \in \mathbf{U}$, where $\phi : \mathbf{U} \times \mathbf{U} \rightarrow [1, \infty)$ is a mapping defined by $\phi(u_1, u_2) = u_1 + u_2 + 2$. Then (\mathbf{U}, d_ϕ) is a complete extended b-metric space. Let $F : \mathbf{U} \rightarrow \mathcal{CLB}(\mathbf{U})$ be such that

$$F(u) = \begin{cases} \{\frac{1}{2u^2}\}, & u \in [0, \frac{15}{32}) \cup (\frac{15}{32}, 1], \\ \{\frac{17}{96}, \frac{1}{4}\}, & u = \frac{15}{32}. \end{cases}$$

Let $q = \frac{3}{4}$ and let $\eta : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, q)$ be of the form

$$\eta(t) = \begin{cases} \frac{3}{2}t, & \text{for } t \in [0, \frac{7}{24}) \cup (\frac{7}{24}, \frac{1}{2}), \\ \frac{425}{768}, & \text{for } t = \frac{7}{24}, \\ \frac{1}{2}, & \text{for } t = [\frac{1}{2}, \infty). \end{cases}$$

Since

$$f(u) = \begin{cases} (u - \frac{1}{2}u^2)^2, & \text{for } u \in [0, \frac{15}{32}) \cup (\frac{15}{32}, 1], \\ \frac{49}{1024}, & \text{for } u = \frac{15}{32}. \end{cases}$$

Obviously f is a lower semi-continuous. Further, for any $u \in [0, \frac{15}{32}) \cup (\frac{15}{32}, 1]$ and $v = \frac{1}{2}u^2$, we have

$$qd_\phi(u, v) \leq d_\phi(u, Fu),$$

and

$$d_\phi(v, Fv) \leq \eta(d_\phi(u, v))d_\phi(u, v).$$

Of course these both inequalities hold for $u = \frac{15}{32}$ and $v = \frac{17}{96}$. Hence all the hypotheses of Theorem 9 are satisfied and the fixed point of F is $\{0\}$. Next let us suppose that, if $q \in (0, \frac{3}{4}]$ and $p \in (0, 1)$ is such that $p < q$, then, for $u = 1$, we have $v = 1/2$ and consequently

$$d_{\phi}\left(\frac{1}{2}, F\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)\right) > pd_{\phi}\left(1, \frac{1}{2}\right).$$

If $q \in (3/4, 1)$ and $p \in (0, 1)$ is such that $p < q$, then for $u = \frac{15}{32}$, we have $Fu = \{\frac{17}{96}, \frac{1}{4}\}$. Thus, in the case $v = \frac{17}{96}$, we obtain

$$qd_{\phi}\left(\frac{15}{32}, \frac{17}{96}\right) > d_{\phi}\left(\frac{15}{32}, F\left(\frac{15}{32}\right)\right),$$

and, in the case $v = \frac{1}{4}$, we have

$$d_{\phi}\left(\frac{1}{4}, F\left(\frac{1}{4}\right)\right) > pd_{\phi}\left(\frac{15}{32}, \frac{1}{4}\right).$$

Hence hypotheses of Theorem 8 are not fulfilled.

Remark 6. Theorem 10 is an extension of (Theorem 2.1, [10]) for the case when F is a multi-valued mapping from \mathbf{U} to $\mathcal{CLB}(\mathbf{U})$ and hence generalizes Theorems 4 and 5 and also the results of [2,5,7,22].

Author Contributions: All authors contributed equally in writing this article. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Funding: The fourth author would like to thank Prince Sultan University for funding this work through research group Nonlinear Analysis Methods in Applied Mathematics (NAMAM) group number RG-DES-2017-01-17.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

- Pompeiu, D. Sur la continuité des fonctions de variables complexes. *Ann. Fac. Sci. Toulouse* **1905**, *7*, 264–315.
- Nadler, S.B., Jr. Multi-valued contraction mappings. *Not. Am. Math. Soc.* **1967**, *14*, 930. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
- Aydi, H.; Abbas, M.; Vetro, C. Partial Hausdorff Metric and Nadler's Fixed Point Theorem on Partial Metric Spaces. *Topol. Appl.* **2012**, *159*, 3234–3242. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
- Aydi, H.; Abbas, M.; Vetro, C. Common Fixed points for multivalued generalized contractions on partial metric spaces. *RACSAM* **2014**, *108*, 483–501. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
- Reich, S. Fixed points of contractive functions. *Boll. Unione Mater. Ital.* **1972**, *4*, 26–42.
- Mizoguchi, N.; Takahashi, W. Fixed point theorem for multivalued mappings on complete metric space. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **1989**, *141*, 177–188. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
- Feng, Y.; Liu, S. Fixed point theorems for multi-valued contractive mappings and multi-valued Caristi type mappings. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **2006**, *317*, 103–112. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
- Hicks, T.L.; Rhoades, B.E. A Banach type fixed point theorem. *Math. Jpn.* **1979**, *24*, 327–330.
- Klim, D.; Wardowski, D. Fixed point theorems for set-valued contractions in complete metric spaces. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **2007**, *334*, 132–139. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
- Kamran, T. Mizoguchi-Takahashi's type fixed point theorem. *Comput. Math. Appl.* **2009**, *57*, 507–511. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
- Bakhtin, I.A. The contraction mapping principle in almost metric spaces. *Funct. Anal.* **1989**, *30*, 26–37.
- Bourbaki, N. *Topologie Generale*; Hermann: Paris, France, 1974.
- Czerwik, S. Contraction mappings in b -metric spaces. *Acta Math. Inform. Univ. Ostrav.* **1993**, *1*, 5–11.
- Czerwik, S. Nonlinear set-valued contraction mappings in b -metric spaces. *Atti Sem. Mater. Fis. Univ. Modena* **1998**, *46*, 263–276.
- Kamran, T.; Samreen, M.; UL Ain, Q. A generalization of b -metric space and some fixed point theorems. *Mathematics* **2017**, *5*, 19. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
- Mlaiki, N.; Aydi, H.; Souayah, N.; Abdeljawad, T. Controlled metric type spaces and the related contraction principle. *Mathematics* **2018**, *6*, 194. [\[CrossRef\]](#)

17. Abdeljawad, T.; Mlaiki, N.; Aydi, H.; Souayah, N. Double Controlled Metric Type Spaces and Some Fixed Point Results. *Mathematics* **2018**, *6*, 320. [[CrossRef](#)]
18. Kiran, Q.; Alamgir, N.; Mlaiki, N.; Aydi, H. On some new fixed point results in complete extended b -metric spaces. *Mathematics* **2019**, in press.
19. Samreen, M.; Kamran, T.; Postolache, M. Extended b -metric space, extended b -comparison function and nonlinear contractions. *Univ. Politeh. Buchar. Sci. Bull. Ser. A* **2018**, *4*, 21–28.
20. Ali, M.U.; Kamran, T.; Postolache, M. Solution of Volterra integral inclusion in b -metric spaces via new fixed point theorem. *Nonlinear Anal. Model. Control* **2017**, *22*, 17–30. [[CrossRef](#)]
21. Subashi, L.; Gjini, N. Some results on extended b -metric spaces and Pompeiu-Hausdorff metric. *J. Progres. Res. Math.* **2017**, *12*, 2021–2029.
22. Suzuki, T. Mizoguchi-Takahashi's fixed point theorem is a real generalization of Nadler's. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **2007**. [[CrossRef](#)]



© 2019 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).