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#### Abstract

In this study, we present a link between approximation theory and summability methods by constructing bivariate Bernstein-Kantorovich type operators on an extended domain with reparametrized knots. We use a statistical convergence type and power series method to obtain certain Korovkin type theorems, and we study certain rates of convergences related to these summability methods. Furthermore, we numerically analyze the theoretical results and provide some computer graphics to emphasize the importance of this study.
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## 1. Introduction

The most well known proof of Weierstrass approximation theorem (see [1]) was given in $[2,3]$. Bernstein opened a new way by constructing a sequence of polynomials depending explicitly on evaluation of a function at rational values. Researchers have successfully extended this idea for approximating functions, for instance, L.V. Kantorovich introduced a new process to approximate Lebesgue integrable real-valued functions defined on $[0,1]$ (see [4]). Recently, there has been an increasing degree of attention on approximation properties of Bernstein type operators with shape parameters (see [5-12]).

The decision on whether a sequence of positive linear operators converges strongly includes the use of Korovkin-type theorems. Using certain types of statistical convergences instead of usual convergence in Korovkin type approximation theory provides several benefits. The statistical convergence extends the scope of classical convergence of sequences of numbers or functions, and it has been used in various fields of mathematics such as summability theory [13], topology [14], optimization [15], measure theory [16], number theory [17], trigonometric series [18], approximation by positive linear operators [9,19-25]. Statistical convergence of double and single sequences were given in [26-28]. Unlike any convergent sequence, statistically convergent double or single sequences do not need to be bounded. This is why it is preferred to be used by many researchers in approximation theory (see, for instance, [29-31]).

The primary objective of this work is to establish a link between approximation theory and summability methods via four-dimensional matrices and construction of bivariate

Bernstein-Kantorovich type operators on extended domain with reparametrized knots, as well as to prove some Korovkin theorems using two summability methods motivated by the studies [32-36]. The first summability method is a statistical convergence concept which is stronger than the classical case and the second one is power series method (PSM). Since we create a link between the approximation theory and the summability theory we obtain the rate of convergence for PSM and the rate of statistical convergence by modulus of continuity (MC). Moreover, we provide some computer graphics to numerically analyze the efficiency and the accuracy of convergence of our operators, and obtain corresponding error and density plots. Finally, we provide some concluding remarks to emphasize main concepts of this article. All the results that have been obtained in the present paper can be extended for $n$-variate functions.

## 2. Auxiliary Results

Certain notions and auxiliary results are given in this section.
Let $\varrho=\left(\varrho_{r, s}\right)$ be a double sequence of real numbers. Assume that there is $N=N(\tau) \in \mathbb{N}$ for each $\tau>0$, so that $\left|\varrho_{r, s}-Q\right|<\tau$ whenever $r, s>N$, in this case double sequence $\varrho=\left(\varrho_{r, s}\right)$ is said to be convergent to $Q$ in Pringsheim's sense (or simply $\Pi$-convergent), and it is denoted by $\Pi-\lim _{r, s} \varrho_{r, s}=Q$, where $Q$ is a real number (see [37]). When there is a positive number $E$ such that $\left|\varrho_{r, s}\right| \leq E$ for all $(r, s) \in \mathbb{N}^{2}=\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$, the double sequence is said to be bounded. As it is well known, every convergent single sequence is bounded whereas a convergent double sequence need not to be bounded.

Assume that $D=\left(d_{l, 0, r, s}\right)$ is a four-dimensional summability method. Given a double sequence $\varrho=\left(\varrho_{r, s}\right), D$ transform of $\varrho$, denoted by $D \varrho:=\left((D \varrho)_{l, 0}\right)$, is defined by

$$
(D \varrho)_{l, o}=\sum_{r, s=1}^{\infty} d_{l, o, r, s} \varrho_{r, s}
$$

and the double series is $\Pi$-convergent for $(l, o) \in \mathbb{N}^{2}$. When a four-dimensional matrix $D=\left(d_{l, o r, s}\right)$ maps every bounded $\Pi$-convergent sequence into a $\Pi$-convergent sequence with the same $\Pi$-limit, it is called RH -regular (shortly $R H R$ ). A four-dimensional matrix $D=\left(d_{l, 0, r, s}\right)$ is RHR if and only if
(a) $\Pi-\lim _{l, o} d_{l, o, r, s}=0$,
(b) $\Pi-\lim _{l, o} \sum_{r, s=1}^{\infty} d_{l, o, r, s}=1$,
(c) $\Pi-\lim _{l, o} \sum_{r=1}^{\infty}\left|d_{l, o, r, s}\right|=0(\forall s \in \mathbb{N})$,
(d) $\Pi-\lim _{l, o} \sum_{s=1}^{\infty}\left|d_{l, o, r, s}\right|=0(\forall r \in \mathbb{N})$,
(e) $\sum_{r, s=1}^{\infty}\left|d_{l, 0, r, s}\right|$ is $\Pi$-convergent,
(f) The inequality $\sum_{r, s>E_{2}}\left|d_{l, 0, r, s}\right|<E_{1}$ is satisfied for finite positive integers $E_{1}$ and $E_{2}$ and for each $(l, o) \in \mathbb{N}^{2}$.
These conditions are called Robison-Hamilton conditions [38]. Assume that $D=\left(d_{l, o, r, s}\right)$ is a nonnegative RHR matrix, and $S \subset \mathbb{N}^{2}$, then the $D$-density of $S$ is defined by

$$
\rho_{D}^{2}(S):=\Pi-\lim _{l, o} \sum_{(r, s) \in S} d_{l, o, r, s}
$$

provided that the limit on the right-hand side exists in the Pringsheim sense. A real double sequence $\varrho=\left(\varrho_{r, s}\right)$ is called $D$-statistically convergent to $Q$ and denoted by $s t_{D}^{2}-\lim _{r, s} \varrho_{r, s}=Q$ if, for every $\tau>0$,

$$
\rho_{D}^{2}\left(\left\{(r, s) \in \mathbb{N}^{2}:\left|\varrho_{r, s}-Q\right| \geq \tau\right\}\right)=0
$$

(see also $[31,39]$ ). A $\Pi$-convergent double sequence is $D$-statistically convergent to the same number even if the converse statement is not true.

When the $D=C(1,1), C(1,1)$-statistical convergence becomes statistical convergence for double sequences (see also [27]), where $C(1,1)=\left(c_{l, 0, r, s}\right)$ is the double Cesàro matrix, defined by $c_{l, o, r, s}=1 / l o$ if $1 \leq r \leq o, 1 \leq s \leq l$, and $c_{l, o r, s}=0$ otherwise.

Suppose that $\left(\xi_{r, s}\right)$ is a double sequence of nonnegative numbers with condition $\xi_{0,0}>0$, then the power series

$$
\xi(a, b):=\sum_{r, s=0}^{\infty} \xi_{r, s} a^{r} b^{s}
$$

has radius of convergence $R$, where $R \in(0, \infty]$ and $a, b \in(0, R)$. When the equality

$$
\lim _{a, b \rightarrow R^{-}} \frac{1}{\xi(a, b)} \sum_{r, s=0}^{\infty} \xi_{r, s} a^{r} b^{s} \varrho_{r, s}=Q
$$

is satisfied $\forall a, b \in(0, R)$, then the double sequence $\varrho=\left(\varrho_{r, s}\right)$ is said to be convergent to $Q$ in the sense of PSM [40]. PSM for double sequences is regular if and only if

$$
\lim _{a, b \rightarrow R^{-}} \frac{\sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \xi_{r, v} a^{r}}{\xi(a, b)}=0 ; \quad \lim _{a, b \rightarrow R^{-}} \frac{\sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \xi_{\mu, s} b^{s}}{\xi(a, b)}=0
$$

are satisfied for any $\mu, v$ [40].
In this work, we assume that PSM is regular.
Remark 1. The power series method becomes an Abel summability method when $R=1$ and $\xi_{r, s}=1$ and it becomes a logarithmic summability method if $\xi_{r, s}=\frac{1}{(r+1)(s+1)}$. Moreover, it becomes a Borel summability method when $R=\infty$ and $\xi_{r, s}=\frac{1}{r!s!}$.

## 3. Statistical Convergence via Four Dimensional Matrices

A bivariate case of the $\gamma$ Kantorovich operators, defined in [41], is constructed in this section. Moreover, the $D$-statistical convergence of these bivariate operators is studied.

The Bernstein-Schurer polynomials $v_{r, u}(y)$ were introduced by Frans Schurer in [42] as

$$
v_{r, u}(y)=\binom{r+\kappa}{u} y^{u}(1-y)^{r+\kappa-u} \quad(u=0,1, \ldots, r+\kappa),
$$

where $\kappa$ is a non-negative integer. Note that these polynomials are actually Bernstein polynomials with $r+\kappa=n$. Let $C[0,1]=\mathbf{C}, C[0,1+\kappa]=\mathbf{C}_{\kappa}$ and $C[0,1+\beta]=\mathbf{C}_{\beta}$. The operators generated by these polynomials, are called Bernstein-Schurer operators, were introduced to extend the domain of function from $\mathbf{C}$ to $\mathbf{C}_{\kappa}$. The bases in [43] were modified by adding parameter $\kappa$ to introduce the following modified Bernstein-Schurer polynomials in [23]:

$$
\begin{align*}
\bar{v}_{s, 0}(\gamma ; z) & =v_{s, 0}(z)-\frac{\gamma}{s+\kappa+1} v_{s+1,1}(z), \\
\bar{v}_{s, u}(\gamma ; z) & =v_{s, u}(z)+\frac{\gamma}{(s+\kappa)^{2}-1}\left[(s+\kappa-2 u+1) v_{s+1, u}(z)\right. \\
& \left.-(s+\kappa-2 u-1) v_{s+1, u+1}(z)\right](u=1,2 \ldots, s+\kappa-1), \\
\bar{v}_{s, s+\kappa}(\gamma ; z) & =v_{s, s+\kappa}(z)-\frac{\gamma}{s+\kappa+1} v_{s+1, s+\kappa}(z), \tag{1}
\end{align*}
$$

where shape parameter $\gamma \in[-1,1]$.
Let $C[0, w]$ be the space of all continuous real valued functions on $[0, w]$ and let $\|h\|_{C[0, w]}$ denote the usual supremum norm of $h$.

From here on, considering given non-negative integers $\kappa$ and $\beta$, and the shape parameters $\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2} \in[-1,1]$, the operators $K_{r, \kappa}^{\gamma_{1}}: \mathbf{C}_{\kappa} \longrightarrow \mathbf{C}, K_{s, \beta}^{\gamma_{2}}: \mathbf{C}_{\beta} \longrightarrow \mathbf{C}$ for any $r, s \in \mathbb{N}$ be given as follows, respectively,

$$
\begin{align*}
& K_{r, \kappa}^{\gamma_{1}}(f ; y)=(r+\kappa+1) \sum_{u=0}^{r+\kappa} \bar{v}_{r, u}\left(\gamma_{1} ; y\right) \int_{\frac{u}{r+\kappa+1}}^{\frac{u+1}{r+\kappa+1}} f(s) \mathrm{d} s,  \tag{2}\\
& K_{s, \beta}^{\gamma_{2}}(g ; z)=(s+\beta+1) \sum_{k=0}^{s+\beta} \tilde{v}_{s, k}\left(\gamma_{2} ; z\right) \int_{\frac{k}{s+\beta+1}}^{\frac{k+1}{s+\beta+1}} g(t) \mathrm{d} t, \tag{3}
\end{align*}
$$

where modified Bernstein-Schurer polynomials $\bar{v}_{r, u}\left(\gamma_{1} ; y\right)$ and $\bar{v}_{s, k}\left(\gamma_{2} ; z\right)$ are given in (1).
Let $C([0,1] \times[0,1])=\overline{\mathbf{C}}, C([0,1+\kappa] \times[0,1+\beta])=\overline{\mathbf{C}}_{\kappa, \beta}$ and $C([0,1+\alpha] \times[0,1+\beta])$ $=\overline{\mathbf{C}}_{\alpha, \beta}$. The parametric extensions of (2) and (3) for $r, s \in \mathbb{N}$ and $h \in \overline{\mathbf{C}}_{\kappa, \beta}$ are the operators

$$
\begin{equation*}
K_{r, \kappa}^{\gamma_{1}, y}, K_{s, \beta}^{\gamma_{2}, z}: \overline{\mathbf{C}}_{\kappa, \beta} \longrightarrow \overline{\mathbf{C}}, \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
& K_{r, \kappa}^{\gamma_{1}, y}(h ; y, z)=(r+\kappa+1) \sum_{u=0}^{r+\kappa} \bar{v}_{r, u}\left(\gamma_{1} ; y\right) \int_{\frac{u}{r+\kappa+1}}^{\frac{u+1}{r+\kappa+1}} h(s, z) \mathrm{d} s,  \tag{5}\\
& K_{s, \beta}^{\gamma_{2}, z}(h ; y, z)=(s+\beta+1) \sum_{k=0}^{s+\beta} \tilde{v}_{s, k}\left(\gamma_{2} ; z\right) \int_{\frac{k}{s+\beta+1}}^{\frac{k+1}{s+\beta+1}} h(y, t) \mathrm{d} t . \tag{6}
\end{align*}
$$

Lemma 1. The parametric extensions of operators defined in (5) and (6) are linear and positive.
Proof. The assertion follows from the definitions of $K_{r, \kappa}^{\gamma_{1}, y}$ and $K_{s, \beta}^{\gamma_{2}, z}$.
Lemma 2. The parametric extensions of Bernstein-Kantorovich type operators on extended domain with reparametrized knots commute on $\overline{\boldsymbol{C}}_{\kappa, \beta}$. Their product establishes bivariate BernsteinKantorovich type operators on extended domain with reparametrized knots $K_{r, s, \kappa, \beta}^{\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}}: \overline{\boldsymbol{C}}_{\alpha, \beta} \longrightarrow \overline{\boldsymbol{C}}$ defined for any $r, s \in \mathbb{N}$ and any $h \in \overline{\boldsymbol{C}}_{\kappa, \beta}$ by the relation

$$
\begin{align*}
K_{r, s, \kappa, \beta}^{\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}}(h ; y, z)= & (r+\alpha+1)(s+\beta+1) \\
& \times \sum_{u=0}^{r+\kappa} \sum_{k=0}^{s+\beta} \bar{v}_{r, u}\left(\gamma_{1} ; y\right) \bar{v}_{s, k}\left(\gamma_{2} ; z\right) \int_{\frac{k}{s+\beta+1}}^{\frac{k+1}{s+\beta+1}} \int_{\frac{u}{r+\kappa+1}}^{\frac{u+1}{r+k+1}} h(w, t) \mathrm{d} w \mathrm{~d} t . \tag{7}
\end{align*}
$$

Proof. We get the desired result by direct computation, taking into account the definitions (5), (6) and Lemma 1.

Lemma 3. The bivariate Bernstein-Kantorovich type operators on extended domain with reparametrized knots (7) are linear and positive.

Proof. Using the fact that product of linear and positive operators are also linear and positive, and applying Lemma 1 we obtain desired result.

In the recent paper [41], the following results were provided:
Lemma 4. Let $\gamma \in[-1,1]$ and $\kappa$ be a non-negative integer, then the moments of BernsteinKantorovich type operators on extended domain with reparametrized knots are as follows:

$$
\begin{gather*}
K_{r, \kappa}^{\gamma}(1 ; y)=1  \tag{8}\\
K_{r, \kappa}^{\gamma}(s ; y)=\frac{1+2(r+\kappa) y}{2(r+\kappa+1)}+\frac{1-2 y+y^{r+\kappa+1}-(1-y)^{r+\kappa+1}}{(r+\kappa)^{2}-1} \gamma \tag{9}
\end{gather*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
K_{r, \kappa}^{\gamma}\left(s^{2} ; y\right)= & \frac{(r+\kappa)^{2}}{(r+\kappa+1)^{2}} y^{2}+\frac{r+\kappa}{(r+\kappa+1)^{2}} y(2-y)+\frac{1+6 y \gamma}{3(r+\kappa+1)^{2}} \\
& +\frac{2(r+\kappa+1) y^{r+\kappa+1}-4(r+\kappa) y^{2}}{(r+\kappa+1)\left((r+\kappa)^{2}-1\right)} \gamma . \tag{10}
\end{align*}
$$

Lemma 5. The parametric extension $K_{r, \kappa}^{\gamma_{1}, y}$ satisfies the identities (8), (9) and (10).
Proof. By using the definition (5) of $K_{r, \kappa}^{\gamma_{1}, y}$ and Lemma 4, we get the result.
Remark 2. The parametric extension $K_{s, \beta}^{\gamma_{2}, z}$ satisfies identities similar to the identities (8), (9) and (10).

The following lemmas are stated to give moments.
Lemma 6. Let $e_{u v}=s^{u} t^{v}, u, v \in \mathbb{N}, y, z \in \mathbb{R}$ be the two-dimensional test functions. The bivariate operators defined in (7) satisfy
(i) $K_{r, s, \kappa, \beta}^{\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}}\left(e_{00} ; y, z\right)=1$,
(ii) $K_{r, s, \kappa, \beta}^{\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}}\left(e_{10} ; y, z\right)=\frac{1+2(r+\kappa) y}{2(r+\kappa+1)}+\frac{1-2 y+y^{r+\kappa+1}-(1-y)^{r+\kappa+1}}{(r+\kappa)^{2}-1} \gamma_{1}$,
(iii) $K_{r, s, \kappa, \beta}^{\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}}\left(e_{01} ; y, z\right)=\frac{1+2(s+\beta) z}{2(s+\beta+1)}+\frac{1-2 z+z^{s+\beta+1}-(1-z)^{s+\beta+1}}{(s+\beta)^{2}-1} \gamma_{2}$,
(iv) $K_{r, s, \kappa, \beta}^{\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}}\left(e_{20} ; y, z\right)=\frac{(r+\kappa)^{2}}{(r+\kappa+1)^{2}} y^{2}+\frac{r+\kappa}{(r+\kappa+1)^{2}} y(2-y)+\frac{1+6 y \gamma_{1}}{3(r+\kappa+1)^{2}}+\frac{2 y^{r+\kappa+1}}{(r+\kappa)^{2}-1} \gamma_{1}$
$-\frac{4(r+\kappa) y^{2}}{(r+\kappa+1)\left((r+\kappa)^{2}-1\right)} \gamma_{1}$,
(v) $K_{r, s, \kappa, \beta}^{\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}}\left(e_{02} ; y, z\right)=\frac{(s+\beta)^{2}}{(s+\beta+1)^{2}} z^{2}+\frac{s+\beta}{(s+\beta+1)^{2}} z(2-z)+\frac{1+6 z \gamma_{1}}{3(s+\beta+1)^{2}}+\frac{2 z^{s+\beta+1}}{(s+\beta)^{2}-1} \gamma_{2}$ $-\frac{4(s+\beta) y^{2}}{(s+\beta+1)\left((s+\beta)^{2}-1\right)} \gamma_{2}$.

Proof. Taking into account definition (7) and Lemma 5, the result follows.
Lemma 7. The bivariate Bernstein-Kantorovich type operators on extended domain with reparametrized knots (7) satisfy the relations

$$
\begin{align*}
K_{r, s, k, \beta}^{\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}}\left(\left(e_{10}-y\right)^{2} ; y, z\right)= & \frac{y-y^{2}}{r+\kappa+1}+\frac{1+6 y \gamma_{1}}{3(r+\kappa+1)^{2}} \\
& -\frac{y(1-2 y)+y^{r+\kappa+1}(y-1)-y(1-y)^{r+\kappa+1}}{(r+\kappa)^{2}-1} 2 \gamma_{1} \\
& -\frac{4(r+\kappa) y^{2}}{(r+\kappa+1)\left((r+\kappa)^{2}-1\right)} \gamma_{1},  \tag{11}\\
K_{r, s, \kappa, \beta}^{\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}}\left(\left(e_{01}-z\right)^{2} ; y, z\right)= & \frac{z-z^{2}}{s+\beta+1}+\frac{1+6 z \gamma_{2}}{3(s+\beta+1)^{2}} \\
& -\frac{z(1-2 z)+z^{s+\beta+1}(z-1)-z(1-z)^{s+\beta+1}}{(s+\beta)^{2}-1} 2 \gamma_{2} \\
& -\frac{4(s+\beta) z^{2}}{(s+\beta+1)\left((s+\beta)^{2}-1\right)} \gamma_{2} . \tag{12}
\end{align*}
$$

Proof. Since $K_{r, s, \kappa, \beta}^{\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}}$ is linear, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
K_{r, s, \kappa, \beta}^{\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}}\left(\left(e_{10}-y\right)^{2} ; y, z\right)= & K_{r, s, \kappa, \beta}^{\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}}\left(e_{20} ; y, z\right) \\
& -2 y K_{r, s, \kappa, \beta}^{\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}}\left(e_{10} ; y, z\right)+y^{2} K_{r, s, \kappa, \beta}^{\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}}\left(e_{10} ; y, z\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

By applying Lemma 6, we get the relation (11). Similarly we have the equality (12).

The following theorem gives Korovkin type approximation for $D$-statistical convergence:
Theorem 1 ([31]). Let $D=\left(d_{l, o, r, s}\right)$ be a nonnegative RHR matrix. Let $\left(Q_{r, s}\right)$ be a double sequence of operators acting from $C([0, w] \times[e, i])$ into itself. So, for each $h \in C([0, w] \times[e, i])$,

$$
s t_{D}^{2}-\lim _{r, s}\left\|Q_{r, s}(h)-h\right\|_{C([o, w] \times[e, i])}=0
$$

if and only if for $u=0,1,2,3$

$$
s t_{D}^{2}-\lim _{r, s}\left\|Q_{r, s}\left(h_{u}\right)-h_{u}\right\|_{C([o, w] \times[e, i])}=0,
$$

where $h_{0}(y, z)=1, h_{1}(y, z)=y, h_{2}(y, z)=z$ and $h_{3}(y, z)=y^{2}+z^{2}$.
Theorem 1 provides next result.
Theorem 2. Let $h \in \overline{\boldsymbol{C}}_{\kappa, \beta}$, then

$$
s t_{D}^{2}-\lim _{r, S}\left\|K_{r, s, k, \beta}^{\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}}(h)-h\right\|_{\bar{C}}=0,
$$

where $h_{0}(y, z)=1, h_{1}(y, z)=y, h_{2}(y, z)=z$ and $h_{3}(y, z)=y^{2}+z^{2}$.
Proof. We now claim that

$$
\begin{equation*}
s t_{D}^{2}-\lim _{r, s}\left\|K_{r, s, \kappa, \beta}^{\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}}\left(h_{u}\right)-h_{u}\right\|_{\overline{\mathbf{C}}}=0 . \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Following result is satisfied by Lemma 6 (a):

$$
s t_{D}^{2}-\lim _{r, s}\left\|K_{r, s, \kappa, \beta}^{\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}}\left(h_{0}\right)-h_{0}\right\|_{\overline{\mathbf{C}}}=0
$$

This result guarantees that (13) holds for $u=0$.

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|K_{r, \kappa, \kappa, \beta}^{\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}}\left(h_{1}\right)-h_{1}\right\|_{\overline{\mathbf{C}}} & =\sup _{(y, z) \in[0,1] \times[0,1]}\left|\frac{1+2(r+\kappa) y}{2(r+\kappa+1)}+\frac{1-2 y+y^{r+\kappa+1}-(1-y)^{r+\kappa+1}}{(r+\kappa)^{2}-1} \gamma_{1}-y\right|  \tag{14}\\
& \leq\left|\frac{1+2(r+\kappa)}{2(r+\kappa+1)}-1\right|+\frac{5}{(r+\kappa)^{2}-1} .
\end{align*}
$$

Defining the sets

$$
\begin{aligned}
S & : \\
S & =\left\{(r, s):\left\|K_{r, s, \kappa, \beta}^{\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}}\left(h_{1}\right)-h_{1}\right\|_{C([0,1] \times[0,1])} \geq \tau\right\}, \\
S_{1}: & =\left\{(r, s):\left|\frac{1+2(r+\kappa)}{2 r+2 \kappa+2}-1\right| \geq \frac{\tau}{2}\right\}, \\
S_{2}: & =\left\{(r, s): \frac{5}{r^{2}+\kappa^{2}-1+2 \kappa r} \geq \frac{\tau}{2}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

we see that $S \subseteq \bigcup_{u=1}^{2} S_{u}$. Hence $\rho_{D}^{2}(S) \leq \sum_{u=1}^{2} \rho_{D}^{2}\left(S_{u}\right)$ and one can obtain

$$
s t_{D}^{2}-\lim _{r, s}\left\|K_{r, s, \kappa, \beta}^{\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}}\left(h_{1}\right)-h_{1}\right\|_{\overline{\mathbf{C}}}=0
$$

Similarly we have

$$
s t_{D}^{2}-\lim _{r, s}\left\|K_{r, s, \kappa, \beta}^{\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}}\left(h_{2}\right)-h_{2}\right\|_{\overline{\mathbf{C}}}=0,
$$

that is (13) holds for $u=2$. Finally, taking into account the inequalities

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\|K_{r, s, \kappa, \beta}^{\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}}\left(h_{3}\right)-h_{3}\right\|_{\overline{\mathbf{C}}}  \tag{15}\\
\leq & \left\|K_{r, s, \kappa, \beta}^{\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}}\left(e_{20}\right)-e_{20}\right\|_{\overline{\mathbf{C}}}+\left\|K_{r, s, \kappa, \beta}^{\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}}\left(e_{02}\right)-e_{02}\right\|_{\overline{\mathbf{C}}} \\
\leq & \left|\frac{(r+\kappa)^{2}}{(r+\kappa+1)^{2}}-1\right|+\frac{r+\kappa}{(r+\kappa+1)^{2}}+\frac{7}{3(r+\kappa+1)^{2}}+\frac{2}{(r+\kappa)^{2}-1} \\
& +\frac{4(r+\kappa)}{(r+\kappa+1)\left((r+\kappa)^{2}-1\right)}+\left|\frac{(s+\beta)^{2}}{(s+\beta+1)^{2}}-1\right|+\frac{s+\beta}{(s+\beta+1)^{2}} \\
& +\frac{7}{3(s+\beta+1)^{2}}+\frac{2}{(s+\beta)^{2}-1}+\frac{4(s+\beta)}{(s+\beta+1)\left((s+\beta)^{2}-1\right)}
\end{align*}
$$

and defining the sets

$$
\begin{aligned}
& M:=\left\{(r, s):\left\|K_{r, s, \kappa, \beta}^{\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}}\left(h_{3}\right)-h_{3}\right\| \geq \tau\right\}, \quad M_{1}:=\left\{(r, s): \frac{r+\kappa}{(r+\kappa+1)^{2}} \geq \frac{\tau}{10}\right\} \text {, } \\
& M_{2}:=\left\{(r, s): \frac{4(r+\kappa)}{(r+\kappa+1)\left((r+\kappa)^{2}-1\right)} \geq \frac{\tau}{10}\right\}, \quad M_{3}:=\left\{(r, s): \frac{s+\beta}{(s+\beta+1)^{2}} \geq \frac{\tau}{10}\right\} \text {, } \\
& M_{4}:=\left\{(r, s):\left|\frac{(r+\kappa)^{2}}{(r+\kappa+1)^{2}}-1\right| \geq \frac{\tau}{10}\right\}, \quad M_{5}:=\left\{(r, s):\left|\frac{(s+\beta)^{2}}{(s+\beta+1)^{2}}-1\right| \geq \frac{\tau}{10}\right\} \text {, } \\
& M_{6}:=\left\{(r, s): \frac{7}{3(r+\kappa+1)^{2}} \geq \frac{\tau}{10}\right\}, \quad M_{7}:=\left\{(r, s): \frac{2}{(r+\kappa)^{2}-1} \geq \frac{\tau}{10}\right\} \text {, } \\
& M_{8}:=\left\{(r, s): \frac{7}{3(s+\beta+1)^{2}} \geq \frac{\tau}{10}\right\}, \quad M_{9}:=\left\{(r, s): \frac{2}{(s+\beta)^{2}-1} \geq \frac{\tau}{10}\right\} \text {, } \\
& M_{10}:=\left\{(r, s): \frac{4(s+\beta)}{(s+\beta+1)\left((s+\beta)^{2}-1\right)} \geq \frac{\tau}{10}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

we see that $M \subseteq \bigcup_{u=1}^{10} M_{u}$. Hence $\rho_{D}^{2}(M) \leq \sum_{u=1}^{10} \rho_{D}^{2}\left(M_{u}\right)$ and one can obtain

$$
s t_{D}^{2}-\lim _{r, s}\left\|K_{r, s, \kappa, \beta}^{\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}}\left(h_{3}\right)-h_{3}\right\|_{\overline{\mathbf{C}}}=0
$$

that is (13) holds for $u=3$. As a result, $K_{r, s, \kappa, \beta}^{\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}}$ satisfies all hypothesis of Theorem 1 which concludes the proof.

The following corollary is obtained by replacing the double matrix $D$ in Theorem 1 with the double identity matrix.

Corollary 1. Let $h \in \overline{\boldsymbol{C}}_{\kappa, \beta}$, then

$$
\Pi-\lim _{r, s}\left\|K_{r, s, \kappa, \beta}^{\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}}(h)-h\right\|_{\bar{C}}=0 .
$$

The $C(1,1)$-statistical convergence becomes statistical convergence for double sequences if $D=C(1,1)$ is chosen. This leads us to the following corollary:

Corollary 2. Let $h \in \overline{\boldsymbol{C}}_{\kappa, \beta}$, then

$$
s t_{C(1,1)}^{2}-\lim _{r, s}\left\|K_{r, s, k, \beta}^{\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}}(h)-h\right\|_{\bar{C}}=0 .
$$

## 4. Korovkin Theorem for the Operators $K_{r, s, \kappa, \beta}^{\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}}$ via Power Series Method

Korovkin type approximation theory by power series method have been studied in several function spaces by many researchers (see [44-47]). In this section, certain Korovkin type theorems for linear positive operators, and specifically for bivariate Bernstein-Kantorovich type operators on extended domain with reparametrized knots are proven by the power series method. Let us assume that $\Psi:=[o, w] \times[e, i]$ and that $\left(Q_{r, s}\right)$ is a double sequence of positive linear operators acting from $C(\Psi)$ into itself such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{0<a, b<R} \frac{1}{\xi(a, b)} \sum_{r, s=0}^{\infty} \xi_{r, s} a^{r} b^{s}\left\|Q_{r, S}(1)\right\|_{C(\Psi)}<\infty \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

throughout this section. Set

$$
S_{a, b}(h ; y, z)=\frac{1}{\xi(a, b)} \sum_{r, s=0}^{\infty} \xi_{r, s} a^{r} b^{s} Q_{r, s}(h ; y, z), a, b \in(0, R)
$$

and

$$
T_{a, b}(h ; y, z)=\frac{1}{\xi(a, b)} \sum_{r, s=0}^{\infty} \xi_{r, s} a^{r} b^{s} K_{r, s, k, \beta}^{\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}}(h ; y, z), a, b \in(0, R) .
$$

Theorem 3. Let $h \in C(\Psi)$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{a, b \rightarrow R^{-}}\left\|S_{a, b}(h)-h\right\|_{C(\Psi)}=0 \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

if and only if for $u=0,1,2,3$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{a, b \rightarrow R^{-}}\left\|S_{a, b}\left(h_{u}\right)-h_{u}\right\|_{C(\Psi)}=0 \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $h_{0}(y, z)=1, h_{1}(y, z)=y, h_{2}(y, z)=z$ and $h_{3}(y, z)=y^{2}+z^{2}$.
Proof. The implication $(17) \Rightarrow(18)$ is clear, since $h_{u} \in C(\Psi)$ for each $u=0,1,2,3$. Let $h \in C(\Psi)$ and $(y, z) \in \Psi$ be fixed. Since function $h$ is continuous on $\Psi$, following inequality is satisfied:

$$
|h(y, z)| \leq M_{h} .
$$

Therefore

$$
|h(s, t)-h(y, z)| \leq 2 M_{h}
$$

Also, since $h$ is continuous on $\Psi$, there is a number $\rho>0$ such that $|h(s, t)-h(y, z)|<\tau$ holds for each $\tau>0$ and $(s, t) \in \Psi$ satisfying $|s-y|<\rho$ and $|t-z|<\rho$. Hence, we get

$$
|h(s, t)-h(y, z)|<\tau+\frac{2 M_{h}}{\rho^{2}}\left\{(s-y)^{2}+(t-z)^{2}\right\} .
$$

This means

$$
-\tau-\frac{2 M_{h}}{\rho^{2}}\left\{(s-y)^{2}+(t-z)^{2}\right\}<h(s, t)-h(y, z)<\tau+\frac{2 M_{h}}{\rho^{2}}\left\{(s-y)^{2}+(t-z)^{2}\right\}
$$

So, we can write

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mid S_{a, b}(h ; y, z) & -h(y, z) \mid \\
= & \left|\frac{1}{\xi(a, b)} \sum_{r, s=0}^{\infty} \xi_{r, s} a^{r} b^{s} Q_{r, s}(h ; y, z)-h(y, z)\right| \\
\leq & \frac{1}{\xi(a, b)} \sum_{r, s=0}^{\infty} \xi_{r, s} a^{r} b^{s} Q_{r, s}(|h(s, t)-h(y, z)|) \\
& +|h(y, z)|\left|\frac{1}{\xi(a, b)} \sum_{r, s=0}^{\infty} \xi_{r, s} s^{r} b^{s} Q_{r, s}\left(h_{0} ; y, z\right)-h_{0}(y, z)\right| \\
\leq & \tau+\left(\tau+M_{h}+\frac{2 M_{h}\left\|h_{3}\right\|_{C(\Psi)}}{\rho^{2}}\right)\left|S_{a, b}\left(h_{0} ; y, z\right)-h_{0}(y, z)\right| \\
& +\frac{4 M_{h}\left\|h_{h}\right\|_{\mathcal{C}(\Psi)}}{\rho^{2}}\left|S_{a, b}\left(h_{1} ; y, z\right)-h_{1}(y, z)\right| \\
& +\frac{4 M_{h}\left\|h_{2}\right\|_{\mathcal{C}(\Psi)}}{\rho^{2}}\left|S_{a, b}\left(h_{2} ; y, z\right)-h_{2}(y, z)\right| \\
& +\frac{2 M_{h}}{\rho^{2}}\left|S_{a, b}\left(h_{3} ; y, z\right)-h_{3}(y, z)\right| .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then taking the supremum over $(y, z) \in \Psi$, we have

$$
\left\|S_{a, b}(h)-h\right\|_{C(\Psi)} \leq \tau+N\left\{\sum_{u=0}^{3}\left\|S_{a, b}\left(h_{u} ; y, z\right)-h_{u}(y, z)\right\|_{C(\Psi)}\right\}
$$

where $N:=\max \left\{\tau+M_{h}+\frac{2 M_{h}\left\|h_{3}\right\|_{C(\Psi)}}{\rho^{2}}, \frac{4 M_{h}\left\|h_{1}\right\|_{\mathcal{C}(\Psi)}}{\rho^{2}}, \frac{4 M_{h}\left\|h_{2}\right\|_{\mathcal{C}(\Psi)}}{\rho^{2}}, \frac{2 M_{h}}{\rho^{2}}\right\}$. By relation (18), following result is obtained and this completes the proof:

$$
\lim _{a, b \rightarrow R^{-}}\left\|S_{a, b}(h)-h\right\|_{C(\Psi)}=0
$$

Theorem 4. Let $h \in \overline{\boldsymbol{C}}_{\kappa, \beta}$, then

$$
\lim _{a, b \rightarrow R^{-}}\left\|T_{a, b}(h)-h\right\|_{\bar{C}}=0
$$

Proof. Since $K_{r, s, \kappa, \beta}^{\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}}\left(e_{00} ; y, z\right)=1$, we see that (16) holds. Also, taking into account Lemma 6 and the inequalities (14) and (15), the proof is completed.

## 5. The Convergence Rate of Operators

The rate of $D$-statistical convergence and the rate of convergence for the power series method are calculated in this section with the help of MC. MC is expressed as

$$
\omega(h, \rho)=\sup _{\sqrt{(s-y)^{2}+(t-z)^{2}} \leq \rho}|h(s, t)-h(y, z)| \quad(\rho>0), h \in C([o, w] \times[e, i]) .
$$

We know that, for any $\gamma>0$ and for all $h \in C([0, w] \times[e, i])$,

$$
\omega(h, \gamma \rho) \leq(1+[\gamma]) \omega(h, \rho)
$$

where $[\gamma]$ is greatest integer less than or equal to $\gamma$ (see [48]).
The next theorem provides a rate of $D$-statistical convergence for the proposed operators.
Theorem 5. Let $r, s \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}$and $D=\left(d_{l, 0, r, s}\right)$ be a nonnegative RHR matrix. Let $h \in \overline{\boldsymbol{C}}_{\kappa, \beta}$ and $\left(c_{r, s}\right)$ be a positive non-increasing double sequence such that $\omega\left(h, \rho_{r, s}\right)=s t_{D}^{2}-o\left(c_{r, s}\right)$, where lowercase o(.) notion indicates the rate of convergence, then

$$
\left\|K_{r, s, \kappa, \beta}^{\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}}(h)-h\right\|_{\bar{C}}=s t_{D}^{2}-o\left(c_{r, s}\right)
$$

where

$$
\rho_{r, s}:=\left\{\frac{3}{r+\kappa+1}+\frac{10}{(r+\kappa)^{2}-1}+\frac{3}{s+\beta+1}+\frac{10}{(s+\beta)^{2}-1}\right\}^{\frac{1}{2}}
$$

Proof. Suppose that the hypotheses are fulfilled. Since $K_{r, s, k, \beta}^{\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}}$ is positive and monotonic we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|K_{r, s, \kappa, \beta}^{\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}}(h ; y, z)-h(y, z)\right| & \leq K_{r, s, \kappa, \beta}^{\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}}(|h(s, t)-h(y, z)| ; y, z) \\
& \leq K_{r, s, \kappa, \beta}^{\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}}\left(\left(1+\frac{(s-y)^{2}+(t-z)^{2}}{\rho^{2}}\right) \omega(h, \rho) ; y, z\right) \\
& =\omega(h, \rho)+\frac{\omega(h, \rho)}{\rho^{2}} K_{r, s, \kappa, \beta}^{\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}}\left((s-y)^{2}+(t-z)^{2} ; y, z\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then taking the supremum over $(y, z) \in[0,1] \times[0,1]$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\|K_{r, s, s, \beta}^{\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}}(h)-h\right\|_{\overline{\mathbf{C}}} \\
& \quad \leq \omega(h, \rho)+\frac{\omega(h, \rho)}{\rho^{2}}\left\{\left\|K_{r, s, \kappa, \beta}^{\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}}\left((s-.)^{2}\right)\right\|_{\overline{\mathbf{C}}}+\left\|K_{r, s, \kappa, \beta}^{\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}}\left((t-.)^{2}\right)\right\|_{\overline{\mathbf{C}}}\right\} \\
& \quad \leq \omega(h, \rho)+\frac{\omega(h, \rho)}{\rho^{2}}\left\{\frac{3}{r+\kappa+1}+\frac{10}{(r+\kappa)^{2}-1}+\frac{3}{s+\beta+1}+\frac{10}{(s+\beta)^{2}-1}\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Taking

$$
\rho=\rho_{r, s}:=\left\{\frac{3}{r+\kappa+1}+\frac{10}{(r+\kappa)^{2}-1}+\frac{3}{s+\beta+1}+\frac{10}{(s+\beta)^{2}-1}\right\}^{\frac{1}{2}}
$$

we get for any positive integers $r, s$ that

$$
\left\|K_{r, s, \kappa, \beta}^{\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}}(h)-h\right\|_{\overline{\mathbf{C}}} \leq 2 \omega\left(h, \rho_{r, s}\right) .
$$

Therefore for any $\tau>0$ we have

$$
\frac{1}{c_{r, s}} \sum_{\left\|K_{r, s, k, \beta}^{\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}}(h)-h\right\|_{\overline{\mathrm{c}}} \geq \tau} d_{l, o, r, s} \leq \frac{1}{c_{r, s}} \sum_{\omega\left(h, \rho_{r, s}\right) \geq \frac{\tau}{2}} d_{l, o, r, s}
$$

and from the hypothesis it follows that

$$
\left\|K_{r, s, \kappa, \beta}^{\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}}(h)-h\right\|_{\overline{\mathbf{C}}}=s t_{D}^{2}-o\left(c_{r, s}\right) .
$$

Next theorem provides a rate of convergence for PSM.
Theorem 6. Suppose that $h \in \overline{\mathcal{C}}_{\kappa, \beta}$ and $\zeta$ is a positive real function defined on $(0, R) \times(0, R)$. If $\omega(h, \psi)=o(\zeta)$, as $a, b \rightarrow R^{-}$and $o($.$) indicates the rate of convergence, then$

$$
\left\|T_{a, b}(h)-h\right\|_{\bar{c}}=o(\zeta)
$$

as $a, b \rightarrow R^{-}$, where $\psi:(0, R) \times(0, R) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is given as

$$
\psi(a, b):=\left\{\frac{1}{\xi(a, b)} \sum_{r, s=0}^{\infty} \xi_{r, s} a^{r} b^{s}\left\|K_{r, s, \kappa, \beta}^{\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}}\left((s-.)^{2}+(t-.)^{2}\right)\right\|_{\bar{c}}\right\}^{\frac{1}{2}} .
$$

Proof. Let $h \in \overline{\mathbf{C}}_{\kappa, \beta}$. Using linearity and positivity, following relations are satisfied for any $a, b \in(0, R)$ and $(y, z) \in[0,1] \times[0,1]:$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|T_{a, b}(h ; y, z)-h(y, z)\right| \\
& =\left|\frac{1}{\xi(a, b)} \sum_{r, s=0}^{\infty} \xi_{r, s} a^{r} b^{s} K_{r, s, k, \beta}^{\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}}(h ; y, z)-h(y, z)\right| \\
& \leq \frac{1}{\xi(a, b)} \sum_{r, s=0}^{\infty} \xi_{r, s} a^{r} b^{s} K_{r, s, \kappa, \beta}^{\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}}(|h(s, t)-h(y, z)| ; y, z) \\
& \leq \omega(h, \rho)+\frac{\omega(h, \rho)}{\rho^{2}}\left\{\frac{1}{\xi(a, b)} \sum_{r, s=0}^{\infty} \xi_{r, s} a^{r} b^{s} K_{r, s, \kappa, \beta}^{\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}}\left((s-y)^{2}+(t-z)^{2} ; y, z\right)\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then taking the supremum over $(y, z) \in[0,1] \times[0,1]$, we have

$$
\left\|T_{a, b}(h)-h\right\|_{\overline{\mathbf{c}}} \leq 2 \omega(h, \rho)
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
\rho & =\psi(a, b) \\
& :=\left\{\frac{1}{\xi(a, b)} \sum_{r, s=0}^{\infty} \xi_{r, s} a^{r} b^{s}\left\|K_{r, s, k, \beta}^{\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}}\left((s-.)^{2}+(t-.)^{2}\right)\right\|_{\overline{\mathbf{C}}}\right\}^{\frac{1}{2}},
\end{aligned}
$$

which completes the proof.

## 6. Numerical Results

Final section of this work provides certain numerical experiments and computer graphs supporting the theoretical results. We consider two functions for which we study approximations of our bivariate operators $K_{r, s, \kappa, \beta}^{\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}}$ with them and obtain corresponding errors of approximations for different $\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}, \kappa, \beta, r$ and $s$ values. point represents the evaluation of the plotted function at that point. In Figures 1 and 2, larger values are shown with lighter color. In Figures 1D-F and 2D-F, the color of each point represents the evaluation of the plotted function at that point. In Figures 1 and 2, larger values are shown with lighter color.

Example 1. We first consider the function

$$
h_{1}(y, z)=\cos \left(y^{3}\right) \cos \left(z^{2}\right)
$$

on $(y, z) \in[0,1] \times[0,1]$. Choosing $\gamma_{1}=\gamma_{2}=1, \kappa=\beta=2$ we obtain some graphs to see the accuracy of the approximations for the function $h_{1}(y, z)$. In Figure 3, we give three graphs; the yellow one is the graph of function $h_{1}(y, z)$, the blue one is the graph for approximation of our operators when $r=s=20$, and finally the green one is also the graph for approximation of our operators when $r=s=60$. We present the graph of function $h_{1}(y, z)$ and approximations for $r=s=20$ and $r=s=60$ in Figure 1A-C, respectively. In Figure 1D-F, we give the graphs of corresponding density plots, for instance, $(D)$ is the density plot of $(A)$. In density plots $(D)-(F)$, we represent the color of each corresponding point of the function. Finally we give the errors of approximations of our operators for $r=s=20$ and $r=s=60$ in Figure 4. It can be seen that the error decreases when the values of $r$ and s increase, as it is expected.


Figure 1. The function $h_{1}(y, z)$, and approximations for $r, s=20$ and $r, s=60$ with density graphs. (A) $h_{1}(y, z) ;(\mathbf{B}) r, s=20$; (C) $r, s=60$; (D) is the density plot of $(\mathbf{A})$; $(\mathbf{E})$ is the density plot of $(\mathbf{B}) ;(\mathbf{F})$ is the density plot of (C).


Figure 2. The function $h_{2}(y, z)$, and approximations for $r, s=20$ and $r, s=60$ with density graphs. (A) $h_{2}(y, z) ;(\mathbf{B}) r, s=20$; (C) $r, s=60 ;(\mathbf{D})$ is the density plot of $(\mathbf{A}) ;(\mathbf{E})$ is the density plot of $(\mathbf{B}) ;(\mathbf{F})$ is the density plot of $(\mathbf{C})$.


Figure 3. Approximation with different $r$ and $s$ values.


Figure 4. Error functions for some $r$ and $s$ values. (A) $r, s=20 ;$ (B) $r, s=60$.
Example 2. We now consider the function

$$
h_{2}(y, z)=\frac{3 y^{2}-3 y}{z^{3}+0.5}
$$

on $(y, z) \in[0,1] \times[0,1]$. We take $\gamma_{1}=\gamma_{2}=-0.5, \kappa=\beta=3$ to study approximation of operators $K_{r, s, \kappa, \beta}^{\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}}$ for the function $h_{2}(y, z)$. We provide graph of $h_{2}(y, z)$, graph for approximation of our operators when $r=s=20$, and graph for approximation of our operators when $r=s=60$ in Figure 5, and we use the colors yellow, blue, and green, respectively. We give the graph of function $h_{1}(y, z)$ and approximations for $r=s=20$ and $r=s=60$ in Figure $2 A-C$, respectively. In Figure 2D-F, we give the corresponding density plots. Moreover, we obtain the errors of approximations when $r=s=20$ and $r=s=60$ in Figure 6.


Figure 5. Approximation with different $r$ and $s$ values.


Figure 6. Error functions for some $r$ and $s$ values. (A) $r, s=20 ;(\mathbf{B}) r, s=60$.
As a result, we show that the operators defined in this paper approximate different kind of functions for certain $\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}, \kappa, \beta, r$ and $s$ values.

## 7. Concluding Remarks

Many mathematicians have investigated the Korovkin-type approximation theorems for a sequence of positive linear operators by different types of convergences. In this study, we focus on two summability methods including double sequences to prove Korovkin type theorems for the proposed operators. We also prove certain rates of convergence theorems connected with these two summability methods and support our theoretical results with numerical experiments. This is why the content of this paper is absolutely different from other types of papers in the literature like [9]. We also note that we reparametrize knots of operators defined in [9] and extend domain of the functions.

Now, we show that our results related to power series method are non-trivial generalization of the classical Korovkin results. Using the double sequence $\varrho_{r, s}=1+(-1)^{r+s}$, we consider the following operators:

$$
U_{r, s, \kappa, \beta}^{\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}}=\left(1+(1-)^{r+s}\right) K_{r, s, \kappa, \beta}^{\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}} .
$$

We have the following results for $U_{r, s, k, \beta}^{\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}}$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{U}_{r, s, \kappa, \beta}^{\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}}\left(h_{0} ; y, z\right)=1+(-1)^{r+s}, \\
& U_{r, s, \kappa, \beta}^{\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}}\left(h_{1} ; y, z\right)=\left[1+(-1)^{r+s}\right] K_{r, s, k, \beta}^{\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}}\left(e_{01} ; y, z\right), \\
& U_{r, s, \kappa, \beta}^{\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}}\left(h_{2} ; y, z\right)=\left[1+(-1)^{r+s}\right] K_{r, s, \kappa, \beta}^{1, \gamma_{2}}\left(e_{10} ; y, z\right), \\
& U_{r, s, k, \beta}^{\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}}\left(h_{3} ; y, z\right)=\left[1+(-1)^{r+s}\right]\left[K_{r, s, k, \beta}^{\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}}\left(e_{02} ; y, z\right)+K_{r, s, \kappa, \beta}^{\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}}\left(e_{20} ; y, z\right)\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

It is clear that the operators $U_{r, s, \kappa, \beta}^{\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}}$ do not satisfy Korovkin conditions for functions of two variables since $U_{r, s, \kappa, \beta}^{\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}}\left(h_{0}\right)=1+(-1)^{r+s} \nrightarrow 1$ as $r, s \rightarrow \infty$. On the other hand, choosing $R=1, \xi_{r, s}=1$ and $\xi(a, b)=\frac{1}{(1-a)(1-b)}$, we have

$$
\left\|(1-a)(1-b) \sum_{r, s=0}^{\infty} a^{r} b^{s} U_{r, s, \kappa, \beta}^{\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}}\left(h_{u}\right)-h_{u}\right\|_{\overline{\mathbf{C}}} \longrightarrow 0 \text { as } a, b \rightarrow 1^{-}
$$

for $u=0,1,2,3$. This means the operators $U_{r, s, \kappa, \beta}^{\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}}$ converge in the sense of power series method, so Theorem 4 is valid.
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