

On the Ninth Coefficient of the Inverse of a Convex Function

Toshiyuki Sugawa

Graduate School of Information Sciences, Tohoku University, Aoba-ku, Sendai 980-8579, Japan;
sugawa@math.is.tohoku.ac.jp

Abstract: We consider the inverse function $z = g(w) = w + b_2w^2 + \dots$ of a normalized convex univalent function $w = f(z) = z + a_2z^2 + \dots$ on the unit disk in the complex plane. So far, it is known that $|b_n| \leq 1$ for $n = 2, 3, \dots, 8$. On the other hand, the inequality $|b_n| \leq 1$ is not valid for $n = 10$. It is conjectured that $|b_9| \leq 1$. The present paper offers the estimate $|b_9| < 1.617$.

Keywords: convex functions; inverse function; coefficient estimates

MSC: Primary 30C45; Secondary 30C50

1. Introduction

An analytic function f on the unit disk $\mathbb{D} = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 1\}$ of the complex plane \mathbb{C} is called convex if f maps \mathbb{D} univalently onto a convex domain in \mathbb{C} . Let \mathcal{K} denote the class of convex functions f normalized so that $w = f(z) = z + a_2z^2 + a_3z^3 + \dots$. For a function $f \in \mathcal{K}$, we expand the inverse function $g = f^{-1} : f(\mathbb{D}) \rightarrow \mathbb{D}$ as a power series of the form

$$g(w) = w + b_2w^2 + b_3w^3 + \dots$$

It is known that $|a_n| \leq 1$ for every $n \geq 2$. This is sharp for every n and, indeed, the function $f_0(z) = z/(1-z) = z + z^2 + z^3 + \dots$ satisfies the equality for all n . Note that $g_0(w) = f_0^{-1}(w) = w/(1+w) = w - w^2 + w^3 - \dots$ satisfies $|b_n| = 1$ for all n . Since $f(\mathbb{D})$ contains the disk $|w| < 1/2$ for every $f \in \mathcal{K}$, the radius of convergence of the above $g(w)$ is at least $1/2$; namely, $\limsup |b_n|^{1/n} \leq 2$, and the number 2 is sharp. Thus we cannot expect small bounds for b_n . Nevertheless, it has been proved so far that $|b_n| \leq 1$ for $n = 2, 3, \dots, 8$ (Libera-Złotkiewicz [1] for $n \leq 7$ and Campschroer [2] for $n = 8$). For clarity, we define the quantity

$$M_n = \sup_{f \in \mathcal{K}} |b_n| = \sup_{f \in \mathcal{K}} \frac{|(f^{-1})^{(n)}(0)|}{n!}$$

for $n \geq 2$. Then $M_n = 1$ for $n = 2, 3, \dots, 8$. On the other hand, Kirwan and Schober [3] showed that $M_{10} > 1$. In the same paper, Kirwan and Schober also gave the estimate

$$M_n < \frac{2^n \Gamma(\frac{n+1}{2})}{\sqrt{\pi} n \Gamma(\frac{n}{2} + 1)} \sim \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \frac{2^n}{n^{3/2}}. \quad (1)$$

Moreover, for each $0 < \varepsilon < 2$, there is a number n_ε such that

$$M_n > \frac{2 - \varepsilon}{e^2} \cdot \frac{2^n}{n^3}, \quad n \geq n_\varepsilon.$$

Clunie [4] showed that $M_n = O(2^n n^{-3} \log n)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ and conjectured that $M_n = O(2^n n^{-3})$. The conjecture was confirmed by Campschroer [5].



Citation: Sugawa, T. On the Ninth Coefficient of the Inverse of a Convex Function. *Mathematics* **2021**, *9*, 706.
<https://doi.org/10.3390/math9070706>

Academic Editor: Teodor Bulboacă

Received: 2 March 2021

Accepted: 24 March 2021

Published: 25 March 2021

Publisher's Note: MDPI stays neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.



Copyright: © 2021 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).

It is believed that $M_9 = 1$ but this has neither been proved nor disproved so far. The estimate in Equation (1) gives in this case

$$M_9 < \frac{2^9 \cdot 4!}{9\sqrt{\pi} \Gamma(11/2)} = \frac{131072}{2835\pi} \approx 14.7166.$$

The main purpose of this short note is to show the following.

Theorem 1.

$$M_9 \leq \frac{12223}{7560} \approx 1.6168.$$

The estimate is not optimal. We may find a better partition of the expression of b_9 for the proof in Section 3. However, it seems difficult to prove $|b_9| \leq 1$.

2. Some Results on Carathéodory Functions

An analytic function P on the unit disk \mathbb{D} is called Carathéodory if $\operatorname{Re} P(z) > 0$ for $z \in \mathbb{D}$ and $P(0) = 1$. We denote by \mathcal{P} the class of Carathéodory functions. We expand $P \in \mathcal{P}$ in the forms

$$P(z) = 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} d_n z^n = 1 + 2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} p_n z^n, \quad |z| < 1.$$

The following general estimates are useful.

Lemma 1. Let $P \in \mathcal{P}$ be expanded as above. Then

- (i) $|d_n| \leq 2$ ($n = 1, 2, \dots$),
- (ii) $|d_{n+k} - d_n d_k| \leq 2$ ($k, n = 1, 2, \dots$),
- (iii) $|d_{n+k} - d_n d_k / 2| \leq 2 - |d_n d_k| / 2$ ($k, n = 1, 2, \dots$).

The inequalities in (i), (ii) and (iii) are due to Carathéodory [6], Livingston [7] and Campschroer [2], respectively. See also [8]. Note that (ii) follows from (iii); in other words, (iii) is a refinement of (ii). Let A and B be square matrices of order n . We will say that A is majorized by B and write $A \ll B$ if the inequality $|Ax| \leq |Bx|$ holds for each vector $x \in \mathbb{C}^n$. Here, the norm of a vector $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n)^T \in \mathbb{C}^n$ is defined by $|x| = \sqrt{|x_1|^2 + \dots + |x_n|^2}$ as usual. For $P(z) = 1 + d_1 z + d_2 z^2 + \dots$, we define two kinds of Toeplitz matrices of order n by

$$A_n = \begin{pmatrix} d_1 & d_2 & d_3 & \cdots & d_n \\ 0 & d_1 & d_2 & \cdots & d_{n-1} \\ 0 & 0 & d_1 & \cdots & d_{n-2} \\ & & & \ddots & \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & d_1 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad B_n = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & d_1 & d_2 & \cdots & d_{n-1} \\ 0 & 2 & d_1 & \cdots & d_{n-2} \\ 0 & 0 & 2 & \cdots & d_{n-3} \\ & & & \ddots & \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then Campschroer [2] (Example 1.XIII) showed the following.

Lemma 2. $A_n \ll B_n$ for a Carathéodory function $P(z) = 1 + d_1 z + d_2 z^2 + \dots$.

For $n = 6$, we take $x = (0, 0, d_1 d_2 - d_3, -d_2, 0, 1)^T$ and apply the above lemma to get $|A_n x| \leq |B_n x|$ for $P \in \mathcal{P}$; that is,

$$|d_1 d_2 d_3 - d_3^2 - d_2 d_4 + d_6| \leq 2.$$

Similarly, for $n = 8$, $x = (0, 0, d_1 d_4 - d_5, -d_4, 0, 0, 0, 1)^T$, we obtain

$$|d_1 d_3 d_4 - d_3 d_5 - d_4^2 + d_8| \leq 2.$$

The coefficient inequalities for $P \in \mathcal{P}$ are more convenient for the later use if we express them in terms of $p_n = d_n/2$. Thus we summarize the above inequalities in the following form.

Lemma 3. Let $P(z) = 1 + 2p_1z + 2p_2z^2 + \dots$ be a Carathéodory function. Then the following inequalities hold.

- (i) $|p_n| \leq 1$ ($n = 1, 2, \dots$),
- (ii) $|p_{n+k} - 2p_n p_k| \leq 1$ ($k, n = 1, 2, \dots$),
- (iii) $|p_{n+k} - p_n p_k| \leq 1 - |p_n p_k|$ ($k, n = 1, 2, \dots$),
- (iv) $|R| \leq 1$, where $R = 4p_1 p_2 p_3 - 2p_3^2 - 2p_2 p_4 + p_6$,
- (v) $|S| \leq 1$, where $S = 4p_1 p_3 p_4 - 2p_3 p_5 - 2p_4^2 + p_8$.

Note that the above inequalities are all sharp because the function $P_0(z) = (1 + z)/(1 - z) = 1 + 2z + 2z^2 + \dots$ satisfies equalities.

3. Proof of the Theorem

We will show Theorem 1 in this section. Since computations are often involved, we need symbolic computations by computers. Suppose that a function $w = f(z) = z + a_2 z^2 + \dots$ belongs to \mathcal{K} and let $z = g(w) = f^{-1}(w) = w + b_2 w^2 + \dots$. Then, by a formal calculation, we have the formula

$$\begin{aligned} b_9 = & 1430a_2^8 - 5005a_2^6 a_3 + 2002a_2^5 a_4 + 5005a_2^4 a_3^2 - 715a_2^4 a_5 - 2860a_2^3 a_3 a_4 + 220a_2^3 a_6 \\ & - 1430a_2^2 a_3^3 + 330a_2^2 a_4^2 + 660a_2^2 a_3 a_5 - 55a_2^2 a_7 + 660a_2 a_3^2 a_4 - 110a_2 a_4 a_5 - 110a_2 a_3 a_6 \\ & + 10a_2 a_8 + 55a_3^4 - 55a_3 a_4^2 + 5a_5^2 - 55a_3^2 a_5 + 10a_4 a_6 + 10a_3 a_7 + 10a_2 a_8 - a_9. \end{aligned}$$

It is well known (see [9] for example) that a normalized analytic function f on \mathbb{D} is convex if and only if $1 + zf''(z)/f'(z)$ has a positive real part for each $z \in \mathbb{D}$. Therefore, there is a function $P \in \mathcal{P}$ such that

$$1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} = \frac{1}{P(z)}, \quad z \in \mathbb{D}.$$

If we expand P in the form $P(z) = 1 + 2p_1z + 2p_2z^2 + \dots$, we have the following relations

$$\begin{aligned} a_2 = & -p_1, \quad 3a_3 = 4p_1^2 - p_2, \quad 6a_4 = -12p_1^3 + 7p_1 p_2 - p_3, \\ 30a_5 = & 96p_1^4 - 92p_1^2 p_2 + 9p_2^2 + 20p_1 p_3 - 3p_4, \\ 90a_6 = & -480p_1^5 + 652p_1^3 p_2 - 172p_1^2 p_3 - 157p_1 p_2^2 + 39p_1 p_4 + 34p_2 p_3 - 6p_5, \\ 630a_7 = & 5760p_1^6 - 10224p_1^4 p_2 + 3024p_1^3 p_3 + 4184p_1^2 p_2^2 - 828p_1^2 p_4 - 1468p_1 p_2 p_3 \\ & + 192p_1 p_5 - 225p_2^3 + 80p_2^2 + 165p_2 p_4 - 30p_6, \\ 2520a_8 = & -40320p_1^7 + 88848p_1^5 p_2 - 28368p_1^4 p_3 - 52760p_1^3 p_2^2 + 8676p_1^3 p_4 + 23368p_1^2 p_2 p_3 \\ & - 2424p_1^2 p_5 + 7227p_1 p_2^3 - 2060p_1 p_2^2 - 4239p_1 p_2 p_4 + 570p_1 p_6 - 1899p_2^2 p_3 \\ & + 465p_3 p_4 + 486p_2 p_5 - 90p_7, \\ 22680a_9 = & 645120p_1^8 - 1703808p_1^6 p_2 + 574848p_1^5 p_3 + 1345136p_1^4 p_2^2 - 189216p_1^4 p_4 \\ & - 686368p_1^3 p_2 p_3 + 58944p_1^3 p_5 - 320648p_1^2 p_2^3 + 76304p_1^2 p_2^2 + 156696p_1^2 p_2 p_4 \\ & - 16680p_1^2 p_6 + 141880p_1 p_2^2 p_3 - 27768p_1 p_3 p_4 - 28944p_1 p_2 p_5 + 3960p_1 p_7 \\ & + 11025p_2^4 - 12488p_2 p_2^3 + 1575p_4^2 - 12810p_2^2 p_4 + 3192p_3 p_5 + 3360p_2 p_6 - 630p_8. \end{aligned}$$

We substitute these relations into the above expression of b_9 to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} B := 22680b_9 = & 16p_1^8 + 224p_1^6p_2 + 1752p_1^5p_3 - 464p_1^4p_2^2 + 7020p_1^4p_4 \\ & - 3512p_1^3p_2p_3 + 12336p_1^3p_5 + 412p_1^2p_2^3 - 3764p_1^2p_3^2 - 2454p_1^2p_2p_4 \\ & + 10380p_1^2p_6 + 650p_1p_2^2p_3 - 4002p_1p_3p_4 - 36p_1p_2p_5 + 4140p_1p_7 + p_2^4 \\ & + 158p_2p_3^2 - 441p_4^2 + 66p_2^2p_4 - 672p_3p_5 + 240p_2p_6 + 630p_8. \end{aligned}$$

We now write B as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} B = & 336S + 1882p_1^2R + 232p_1^2p_2(p_1^2 - p_2)^2 \\ & + (8p_1^6 + 3386p_1^2p_4 + 36p_1p_5)(2p_1^2 - p_2) + 4696p_1p_4(p_1p_2 - p_3) \\ & + 650p_1p_3(p_2^2 - p_4) + 1752p_1^3p_3(p_1^2 - p_2) + 9288p_1^3(p_5 - p_2p_3) \\ & + 180p_1^2p_2^3 + p_2^4 + 158p_2p_3^2 + 248p_1^4p_4 + 66p_2^2p_4 + 231p_4^2 \\ & + 2976p_1^3p_5 + 8498p_1^2p_6 + 240p_2p_6 + 4140p_1p_7 + 294p_8 \end{aligned}$$

where R and S are given in Lemma 3. We now apply Lemma 3 to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |B| \leq & 336 + 1882t_1^2 + 232t_1^2t_2(1 - t_1^2)^2 \\ & + (8t_1^6 + 3386t_1^2t_4 + 36t_1t_5) + 4696t_1t_4(1 - t_1t_2) \\ & + 650t_1t_3(1 - t_2^2) + 1752t_1^3t_3(1 - t_1^2) + 9288t_1^3(1 - t_2t_3) \\ & + 180t_1^2t_2^3 + t_2^4 + 158t_2t_3^2 + 248t_1^4t_4 + 66t_2^2t_4 + 231t_4^2 \\ & + 2976t_1^3t_5 + 8498t_1^2t_6 + 240t_2t_6 + 4140t_1t_7 + 294t_8, \end{aligned}$$

where $t_j = |p_j|$ ($j = 1, 2, \dots, 8$). Note that $0 \leq t_j \leq 1$ by Lemma 3 (i). Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} |B| \leq & 336 + 1882t_1^2 + 232t_1^2t_2(1 - t_1^2)^2 \\ & + (8t_1^6 + 3386t_1^2 + 36t_1) + 4696t_1(1 - t_1t_2) \\ & + 650t_1(1 - t_2^2) + 1752t_1^3(1 - t_1^2) + 9288t_1^3 \\ & + 180t_1^2t_2^3 + t_2^4 + 158t_2 + 248t_1^4 + 66t_2^2 + 231 \\ & + 2976t_1^3 + 8498t_1^2 + 240t_2 + 4140t_1 + 294 \\ & = h(t_1, t_2), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} h(x, y) = & 232x^6y + 8x^6 - 1752x^5 - 464x^4y + 248x^4 + 14016x^3 + 180x^2y^3 \\ & - 4464x^2y + 13766x^2 - 650xy^2 + 9522x + y^4 + 66y^2 + 398y + 861. \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial h}{\partial x} = & x^5(1392y + 48) - 8760x^4 + x^3(992 - 1856y) + 42048x^2 \\ & + x(360y^3 - 8928y + 27532) - 650y^2 + 9522 \\ \geq & 48x^5 - 8760x^4 - 864x^3 + 42048x^2 + 18964x + 8872 > 0 \end{aligned}$$

for $0 \leq x, y \leq 1$, we observe that $h(x, y)$ is increasing in $0 \leq x \leq 1$ for a fixed $y \in [0, 1]$. Therefore, $h(x, y) \leq h(1, y) = y^4 + 180y^3 - 584y^2 - 4298y + 36669 =: H(y)$. We compute

$H'(y) = 2(2y^3 + 270y^2 - 584y - 2149) \leq 2(2 + 270 - 2149) < 0$ and thus conclude that $H(y) \leq H(0) = 36669$ for $0 \leq y \leq 1$. In summary, we have obtained

$$|b_9| = \frac{|B|}{22680} \leq \frac{36669}{22680} = \frac{12223}{7560} \approx 1.6167989.$$

The proof is now complete.

Funding: The present research received no external funding.

Institutional Review Board Statement: Not applicable.

Informed Consent Statement: Not applicable.

Data Availability Statement: Not applicable.

Acknowledgments: The author would like to express his thanks to the referees for careful checking of the manuscript. The author is supported in part by JSPS KAKENHI Grant Number JP17H02847.

Conflicts of Interest: The author declares no conflict of interest.

References

1. Libera, R.J.; Zlotkiewicz, E.J. Early coefficients of the inverse of a regular convex function. *Proc. Am. Math. Soc.* **1982**, *85*, 225–230. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
2. Campschoer, J.T.P. Inverse Coefficients and Symmetrization of Univalent Functions. Ph.D. Thesis, University of Nijmegen, Nijmegen, The Netherlands, 1984.
3. Kirwan, W.E.; Schober, G. Inverse coefficients for functions of bounded boundary rotation. *J. Anal. Math.* **1979**, *36*, 167–178. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
4. Clunie, J.G. Inverse coefficients for convex univalent functions. *J. Anal. Math.* **1979**, *36*, 31–35. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
5. Campschoer, J.T.P. Growth of the coefficients of the inverse of a convex function. *Indag. Math.* **1984**, *46*, 1–6. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
6. Carathéodory, C. Über den Variabilitätsbereich der Fourier'schen Konstanten von positiven harmonischen Funktionen. *Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo* **1911**, *32*, 193–217. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
7. Livingston, A.E. The coefficients of multivalent close-to-convex functions. *Proc. Am. Math. Soc.* **1969**, *21*, 545–552. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
8. Efraimidis, I.; Vukotić, D. Applications of Livingston-type inequalities to the generalized Zalcman functional. *Math. Natchr.* **2018**, *291*, 1502–1513. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
9. Duren, P.L. *Univalent Functions*; Springer: Berlin/Heidelberg, Germany, 1983.