



Brief Report Return to Work in Breast Cancer Patients following an Interdisciplinary Rehabilitation Program in a Community-Based Cancer Rehabilitation Center: A Pilot Study

Matthew Rong Jie Tay ^{1,2,*}, Chin Jung Wong ¹ and Hui Zhen Aw ¹

- ¹ Department of Rehabilitation Medicine, Tan Tock Seng Hospital, 11 Jalan Tan Tock Seng, Singapore 308433, Singapore
- ² Singapore Cancer Society Rehabilitation Centre, 30 Hospital Boulevard, National Cancer Centre Singapore Building, Singapore 168583, Singapore
- * Correspondence: matthew_rj_tay@ttsh.com.sg; Tel.: +65-64506164

Abstract: Despite curative treatment and discharge from acute hospital settings, breast cancer patients often have cancer- and treatment-related morbidity which impairs them from returning to work. Hence, the role of community-based return to work rehabilitation programs is important to help these patients transition back to work. This was a retrospective cohort study involving patients with breast cancer conducted at a community-based cancer rehabilitation center. Patients were involved in an interdisciplinary vocational rehabilitation program involving physiatrists, occupational therapists, physiotherapists and social workers. We recruited 63 patients for this study cohort, with 46 (73.0%) patients \leq 60 years old. After undergoing the rehabilitation program, there were 37 (58.7%) participants who successfully returned to work. These participants returned to work at either within 6 months (27.0%), 12 months (29.7%) or 24 months (43.2%) after enrollment into the program, with a majority enrolling in white collar jobs. Multivariate regression analysis revealed that significant negative factors for return to work were advanced stage of cancer (p = 0.004), along with clinically significant fatigue, measured on the Brief Fatigue Inventory (p < 0.001). However, perceived work ability (p = 0.020) was found to be a positive factor.

Keywords: return to work; cancer rehabilitation; breast neoplasms; fatigue; rehabilitation centers

1. Introduction

Successful breast cancer treatment has led to an increased survival rate and a growing number of people living with a previous cancer diagnosis [1]. Despite progress in breast cancer treatment, the majority of patients experience morbidity, either from the disease itself or treatment toxicity, which has negative impacts on all aspects of their lives [2,3]. Many of these patients are of working age [4], with a substantial proportion of cancer patients being younger than 65 years [5]. Patients often express a desire to return to work (RTW) as being an important aspect of cancer survivorship, and re-engaging in paid employment can provide a sense of productivity and recovery, along with fulfilling financial needs [6]. However, impaired physical functioning amongst patients often results in an inability to work or limitation in their ability to work, with unemployment or reduced employed hours commonly being reported [7,8]. This has resulted in cancer patients being more likely to be unemployed than healthy controls, with a systemic review revealing that more than 33% of cancer patients were unemployed, compared to 15% in healthy controls [4]. Additionally, a higher reported unemployment rate has been reported in patients with breast, gastrointestinal and female reproductive organ cancers compared with other cancers [4].

Return to work has profound implications across economic, psychological, social and educational domains for breast cancer patients. By re-entering the workforce, survivors



Citation: Tay, M.R.J.; Wong, C.J.; Aw, H.Z. Return to Work in Breast Cancer Patients following an Interdisciplinary Rehabilitation Program in a Community-Based Cancer Rehabilitation Center: A Pilot Study. *Healthcare* **2024**, *12*, 805. https:// doi.org/10.3390/healthcare12070805

Academic Editors: Edward J. Pavlik and Tadashi Ito

Received: 21 February 2024 Revised: 4 April 2024 Accepted: 5 April 2024 Published: 7 April 2024



Copyright: © 2024 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by/ 4.0/). can mitigate the financial burdens associated with cancer treatment, including medical expenses and lost or diminished earning capacity [9]. Returning to work can also improve the psychological well-being of breast cancer patients and increase social contact at work. Impaired RTW has been significantly associated with depressive symptoms, with temporal patterns of general quality of life (QoL) being significantly worse among women with no RTW compared with those working [10]. The process of returning to work can give patients a sense of identity and purpose, and can be seen as a means for returning to normality [11].

Although there is strong evidence of the benefits of cancer rehabilitation on physical function [12,13], there have been limited studies on the effects of community-based cancer rehabilitation programs on RTW outcomes in Asian patients. Moreover, although factors such as ethnicity, low educational level, older age, chemotherapy and heavy work have been negatively associated with return to work, it is unknown if these factors apply in a cancer rehabilitation setting [14].

Hence, our objective was to investigate the RTW outcomes of breast cancer patients undergoing a community-based RTW rehabilitation program in a cancer rehabilitation center. An additional objective was to investigate the factors associated with RTW in this population.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Study Design

This was a retrospective cohort pilot study of breast cancer patients who had presented at a national community-based cancer rehabilitation center and enrolled in a RTW rehabilitation program from Jan. 2018 to Sep. 2019. Cancer patients were referred from clinicians from local healthcare institutions or from primary care following completion of acute cancer treatment.

2.2. Patients

Eligible patients for this study were breast cancer patients, aged 21–65 years old, who had been in paid employment prior to cancer diagnosis and were treated and were enrolled in the RTW rehabilitation program. Patients were excluded if they had retired, had severe cognitive or physical impairment limiting rehabilitation or refused to participate. Sociodemographic and clinical information were obtained from medical records. The stage of breast cancer was based on the American Joint Committee on Cancer (AJCC) staging system [15]. This clinical study adhered to the principles of the Declaration of Helsinki. The study was approved by the local institutional ethics committee—Agency for Integrated Care Institutional Review Board (2020-009).

2.3. Return to Work Program

All patients first attended an initial assessment visit, where they would be assessed by a physiatrist, physical therapist and occupational therapist. Based on medical suitability, patients would then be invited to enroll in the RTW rehabilitation program.

The RTW rehabilitation program is a community-based outpatient interdisciplinary vocational rehabilitation program established by the Singapore Cancer Society Rehabilitation Center, as part of the comprehensive rehabilitation services offered there [16]. The overall goals of the RTW rehabilitation program were to improve the work and quality of life of the participants through interdisciplinary rehabilitation.

Key components of the program included physical exercise, use of assistive technology, pain control, psychosocial support, work resumption advice and energy conservation strategies. Participants were also provided advice on cancer treatment, side effects and coping strategies. These services were individualized to the needs of each participant, and delivered by an interdisciplinary team of physiatrists, occupational therapists, physiotherapists and social workers. Each participant's progress was routinely reviewed at interdisciplinary meetings. Participants were discharged when they had returned to sustained employment. Further details of the program are in Table 1.

Parameters	Details
Frequency	Typically fortnightly or monthly
Duration of each session	30 min
Healthcare professionals involved	Physiatrists, physical therapists, occupational therapists, social workers
Components	Compensatory strategies, structured aerobic and resistance exercise program, workplace accessibility, pain control, functional skill training, assistive technology, mobility aids, transport
Mode of delivery	Individual

Table 1. Details of the return to work rehabilitation program.

Fatigue prior to enrollment in rehabilitation was evaluated using the Brief Fatigue Inventory (BFI), which is widely used for screening of fatigue severity in cancer patients [17]. The BFI comprises 9 items on fatigue which rate severity on an 11-point scale from 0 (no fatigue) to 10 (as bad as you can imagine). The initial 3 items ask patients to describe their fatigue now, at its usual level, and at its worst level during the previous 24 h. The next 6 items ask patients to describe how much fatigue has interfered with different aspects of their life during the previous 24 h from 0 (does not interfere) to 10 (completely interferes), in the areas of general activity, mood, walking ability, normal work, relations with other people and enjoyment of life. The global score for the BFI is derived from the mean value of these 9 items, with clinically significant BFI scores defined as ≥ 4 [17]. Good internal consistency has been reported with Cronbach alphas of 0.96 for fatigue-related severity and 0.91 for interference [18].

Perceived work ability was evaluated with the Work Ability Index (WAI) [19]. This is a participant-reported measure of current work ability, compared with the lifetime best, which asks the question: "Assume that your work ability at its best has a value of 10 points. What score would you give your current work ability?" This is graded on a 11-point scale from 0 to 10, which was then categorized into as low (0–5) or adequate (6–10) [20]. Current perceived work ability is a reliable and valid indicator of work ability [21,22], and has the highest discriminating power in the entire index [23]. It is also associated strongly with the whole Work Ability Index [24,25].

2.4. Outcome Measures

The primary outcome measure studied was RTW as a dichotomous outcome, which was defined as any work resumption for at least 6 months.

We also obtained information on the date of work entry, occupation type (blue collar or white collar) and whether it was a part- or full-time job.

2.5. Statistical Analysis

Continuous variables with normal distribution were presented as mean and standard deviation, while those with non-parametric distribution were presented as median and 25–75th percentiles as a measure of position. Frequencies and percentages were used to present categorical variables. Normality was determined by the Kolmogorov–Smirnov test [26]. The distribution of categorical and continuous variables was compared using chi-squared test and the independent T-test, respectively. Paired data were analyzed with paired-sample T-test or McNemar test for continuously and categorical variables. To analyze the association between clinical variables and RTW, we performed univariate analyses, with the covariates of age, ethnicity, education level, previous job type, previous job working hours, cancer stage, cancer treatment, presence of clinically significant fatigue on BFI and perceived work ability on WAI at baseline. Significant factors on univariate analysis were then adjusted for in a multivariable logistic regression model. SPSS version 26.0 (IBM Corp., Armonk, NY, USA) software was used for statistical analysis. Statistical

significance was set at $p \le 0.05$. Given a sample size of 63 patients, the expected effect size is approximately 1.03 at 80% power, $\alpha = 0.05$.

3. Results

There was a total of 63 patients with breast cancer who were recruited into the RTW rehabilitation program. There were two patients excluded due to death (2), while two patients stopped rehabilitation due to cancer recurrence resulting in physical and cognitive deterioration. There were 41 (65.1%) patients with a cancer stage of 1–2 (Table 2).

Table 2. Characteristics of the study population (N = 63).

Characteristics	n (%)/Mean \pm SD
Age, <i>n</i> (%)	
≤60 >60	46 (73.0) 17 (27.0)
Ethnicity, n (%)	
- Chinese - Malay	56 (88.9) 7 (11.1)
Breadwinner, n (%)	
- Sole - Shared	22 (34.9) 41 (65.1)
Education level, <i>n</i> (%)	
- Primary - Secondary - Tertiary	14 (22.2) 24 (38.1) 25 (39.7)
Previous job, <i>n</i> (%)	
- Blue collar - White collar	16 (25.4) 47 (74.6)
Previous job work hours, <i>n</i> (%)	
- Part time - Full time	4 (6.3) 59 (93.7)
Cancer stage, <i>n</i> (%)	
- 1-2 - 3-4	41 (65.1) 22 (34.9)
Treatment, n (%)	
- Surgery - Chemotherapy - Radiation therapy - Hormone therapy	54 (85.7) 50 (79.4) 41 (65.1) 33 (52.4)
BFI of \geq 4, <i>n</i> (%)	23 (36.5)
Perceived work ability on WAI at baseline, mean \pm SD	2.14 ± 0.74
Average number of physiotherapy sessions, mean \pm SD	5.10 ± 5.06
Average number of occupational therapy sessions, mean \pm SD	7.00 ± 4.85

WAI: Work Ability Index.

A large proportion of patients had clinically significant fatigue levels on the BFI (36.5%) at baseline. The study population had a mean score of 2.14 (SD = 0.74) on the perceived work ability at baseline prior upon enrolment into the RTW rehabilitation program. After the program, there were significantly fewer patients with clinically significant fatigue levels (11.1%, p = 0.001) and an improved perceived work ability on the WAI with a mean score of 4.54 (SD = 0.77, p < 0.001).

After undergoing the RTW rehabilitation program, there were 37 (58.7%) participants who successfully returned to work. These participants returned to work at either within 6 months (27.0%), 6–12 months (29.7%) or 12–24 months (43.2%) after enrollment into the program (Table 3).

Table 3. Clinical outcomes for participants who were successful in returning to work (N = 37).

Clinical Outcomes	n (%)	
Date of work entry, <i>n</i> (%)		
- 6 months - 6–12 months - 12–24 months	10 (27.0) 11 (29.7) 16 (43.2)	
Change of working hours from full time to part time, <i>n</i> (%)	10 (27.0)	
Type of job, <i>n</i> (%)		
- Blue collar - White collar	5 (13.5) 32 (86.5)	
New job work hours, <i>n</i> (%)		
- Part time - Full time	10 (27.0) 27 (73.0)	

On univariate analyses, positive associations with RTW were education of secondary level (Odds ratio [OR] = 6.07; 95% confidence interval [CI] = 1.42–26.0; p = 0.015) or tertiary level (OR = 4.44; 95% CI = 1.08–18.4; p = 0.039), working full time in their prior job (OR = 2.68; 95% CI = 1.93–3.73; p = 0.025) and a higher perceived work ability on WAI (OR = 3.58; 95% CI = 1.48–8.66; p = 0.005). Negative associations on univariate analyses with RTW were cancer stage of 3–4 (OR = 0.121; 95% CI = 0.037–0.393; p < 0.001), radiotherapy (OR = 0.280; 95% CI = 0.087–0.903; p = 0.033) and presence of clinically significant fatigue on BFI (OR = 0.069; 95% CI = 0.020–0.244; p < 0.001) (Table 4).

Table 4. Univariate analysis of associations with successful return to work.

Characteristics	Univariate Analysis		
Characteristics	Odds Ratio (95% CI)	<i>p</i> -Value	
Age			
- <=60 years	1.0		
- >60 years	0.37 (0.12–1.17)	0.085	
Ethnicity			
- Chinese	1.0		
- Malay	0.929 (0.19–4.55)	0.928	
Education level			
- Primary	1.0		
- Secondary	6.07 (1.42–26.0)	0.015	
- Tertiary	4.44 (1.08–18.4)	0.039	
Previous job			
- Blue collar	1.0		
- White collar	3.23 (0.99–10.49)	0.051	
Previous job work hours			
- Part time	1.0		
- Full time	2.68 (1.93–3.73)	0.025	

Table 4	4. C	ont.
---------	-------------	------

	Univariate Analysis	
Characteristics	Odds Ratio (95% CI)	<i>p</i> -Value
Cancer stage		
- 1–2	1.0	
- 3–4	0.121 (0.037–0.393)	< 0.001
Freatment		
Surgery	1.16 (0.28–4.83)	0.835
Chemotherapy	0.863 (0.247–3.014)	0.817
Radiotherapy	0.280 (0.087–0.903)	0.033
Hormonal therapy	0.905 (0.331–2.47)	0.845
Brief Fatigue Inventory ≥ 4		
<4	1.0	
≥4	0.069 (0.020-0.244)	< 0.001
Perceived work ability on WAI	3.58 (1.48-8.66)	0.005

WAI: Work Ability Index.

In the multivariate regression model, negative factors for RTW were advanced stage of cancer (OR = 0.125; 95% CI = 0.030–0.51; p = 0.004), along with clinically significant fatigue on BFI (OR = 0.064; 95% CI = 0.014–0.30; p < 0.001). However, perceived work ability on WAI (OR = 4.16; 95% CI = 1.25–13.82; p = 0.020) was found to be a positive factor for RTW (Table 5).

Table 5. Multivariate analysis of associations with successful return to work.

Characteristics	Multivariate Analysis		
Characteristics	Odds Ratio (95% CI)	<i>p</i> -Value	
Cancer stage			
- 1–2	1.0		
- 3–4	0.125 (0.030–0.51)	0.004	
Brief Fatigue Inventory			
- <4	1.0		
->=4	0.064 (0.014–0.30)	<0.001	
Perceived work ability on WAI	4.16 (1.25–13.82)	0.020	

WAI: Work Ability Index.

4. Discussion

Our study reports a RTW success rate of 58.7% in breast cancer patients after undergoing a multidisciplinary RTW rehabilitation program. A systemic review by Islam et al. found a prevalence of RTW ranging between 43% to 93% within 1 year of diagnosis [14], although most of these patients did not receive any structured RTW rehabilitation. There have been studies highlighting the success of rehabilitation in enabling RTW [27,28], which may have enabled a relatively high RTW success in our population. Addressing physical symptom burden through rehabilitation reduces work disability [29], and may also increase self confidence in physical ability [30]. Supporting the need for rehabilitation are the findings of a Cochrane review looking at 1835 patients with various cancers, which found moderate quality evidence that multi-disciplinary interventions, including physical, psycho-educational and/or vocational components, were effective in improving RTW rates compared to usual care [31]. Our study shows the importance of a rehabilitation-based program to improve the functional status of patients. For example, vocational counseling and rehabilitation services offer specialized support in navigating the RTW process, addressing vocational barriers and optimizing workplace accommodations and job modifications. For example, occupational therapy and physical therapy interventions focus on adaptive strategies, assistive devices and environmental modifications to facilitate task performance, optimize energy conservation and minimize disability in the context of advanced cancer. By integrating functional skill training, healthcare providers can enable patients to maintain work roles amidst the challenges of illness and debilitation.

Although even higher rates of RTW success have been reported in intervention programs for breast cancer patients [32], differences exist between those study settings and our patient population. It is likely that different welfare systems and labor laws have varying impacts on long term sick leave and disability policies [33,34], resulting in different RTW rates among countries. For example, the United Kingdom and Norway have universal health coverage, whereas the United States have both public and private health insurance coverage [32]. Additionally, given our study population of breast cancer patients, we speculate that there may be lower participation in the workplace by women in an Asian country, due to increased family commitments or sedentary behavior [35]. This is supported by a study in South Korea which had a relatively low rate of RTW of 37.1% within 3 years in breast cancer patients [34].

The study findings highlight the need for a structured and individualized approach in preparing breast cancer patients for return to employment. RTW can be challenging due to the presence of multiple physical and mental impairments related to physical disability, cancer itself and the treatment [36]. Although many studies report RTW outcomes at 1 year [31], we found that 43.2% of our study participants returned to work between 1 to 2 years after starting the RTW rehabilitation program. This suggests that such RTW programs may have to factor in a prolonged period of multidisciplinary rehabilitation to overcome these impairments. Studies with a follow-up duration of only several months may also be underestimating the potential for patients to RTW. This is supported by other studies with a follow-up duration of more than 12 months, which have demonstrated that a substantial percentage of cancer patients re-enter the workforce at 36 months post-treatment [34,37].

Additionally, despite interdisciplinary rehabilitation, we found that 27% of breast cancer patients who successfully returned to work required a change to part-time working hours. This highlights the need for flexibility in the working hours, as these patients may not have been able to regain full physical and cognitive function, and would benefit from work adjustments [38,39].

We also wish to highlight the role of cancer stage, fatigue and WAI scores in successful RTW. Advanced cancer is characterized by extensive tumor spread, metastasis to distant organs and often a higher tumor burden. Patients with advanced stage of cancer often have increased symptomatology, exacerbated treatment side effects and heightened overall debilitation. Patients with advanced cancer report higher levels of cancer related fatigue, pain and compromised organ function, which poses formidable barriers to the resumption of normal work activities [40–42]. Additionally, the nature of treatments required for advanced stages, such as intensified or multiple cycles of chemotherapy regimens and invasive surgeries, further extends recovery times, contributing to a prolonged period of functional impairment. Cumulative toxicities from aggressive treatments contribute to a higher prevalence of long-term side effects, ranging from chronic pain and neuropathy to cardiovascular and pulmonary complications [43]. Hence, these patients face a prolonged and challenging recovery period marked by persistent physical and cognitive impairment, which can hinder successful RTW.

Reported fatigue was high in this study, with more than a third of the participants reporting clinically significant fatigue on the BFI, which was additionally found to have a significant negative association with RTW on multivariate analysis. It is well-established that fatigue is a particularly common complication experienced by breast cancer patients and is a considerable barrier for successful RTW [44,45]. Various factors, such as physical inactivity, obesity and aromatase inhibitors, have been found to be associated with long-term cognitive and physical fatigue [46]. Our finding reinforces the importance of rehabilitative interventions, which have been proven to be effective in reducing fatigue, increasing cognition functioning and improving work performance [47]. Aerobic and multimodal exercises, which were a major component of our RTW rehabilitation program, are thought to combat cancer-related fatigue by improving aerobic capacity, muscular strength and endurance, flexibility and body composition. We believe that these physiological benefits synergistically contributed to fatigue reduction in cancer patients and enabled a successful RTW [48].

We also found that perceived work ability at baseline, assessed via the WAI, had a significant positive association with successful RTW. This indicates that perceived work ability is an important independent factor for the RTW process in breast cancer patients. A cancer patient's cognitive representation of illness may influence their coping strategy. This suggests that, irrespective of impairments, the perception a cancer patient has about his or her work ability can also be a facilitator or barrier towards RTW [31].

5. Limitations

This study has several limitations that should be highlighted. Firstly, the exploratory and retrospective nature of this pilot study meant that there was a small sample size with an underpowered study design and lack of a control group, which limits generalizability and interpretation of results. We are also unable to determine the demographics, clinical factors and RTW rate of patients who have not enrolled in a rehabilitation program. Second, we were unable to obtain data on socioeconomic factors (e.g., household income, presence of children, social support), psychological factors (e.g., anxiety, depression) and detailed work-related factors (e.g., type of job, job facility, flexibility, support from colleagues and employers, duration of sick leave), which may affect RTW. Third, although perceived work ability was found to be a significant factor for RTW, further investigation into the various subscales of WAI and psychological factors (e.g., emotional states, fear of environmental hazards, self-motivation) will be useful to determine the subcomponents influencing perceived work ability.

6. Conclusions

In summary, we report a successful RTW rate of nearly half of all breast cancer patients enrolled in an interdisciplinary, outpatient-based RTW rehabilitation program. Our findings emphasize the key role of cancer rehabilitation in improving RTW rates in breast cancer patients. Further studies are required to determine the optimal duration of rehabilitation and type of interventions to address identified barriers so as to reduce exclusion of breast cancer patients from the job market. Clinicians also play an important role in detecting at-risk patients, especially those with advanced cancers, high levels of fatigue and low perceived work ability, such that these patients can receive suitable interventions early in the RTW process.

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, M.R.J.T., C.J.W. and H.Z.A.; Methodology, M.R.J.T. and H.Z.A.; Formal Analysis, M.R.J.T.; Investigation, M.R.J.T.; Resources, H.Z.A.; Data Curation, M.R.J.T. and H.Z.A.; Writing—Original Draft Preparation, M.R.J.T.; Writing—Review & Editing, M.R.J.T., C.J.W. and H.Z.A., Supervision, M.R.J.T.; Project Administration, H.Z.A. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This research received no external funding.

Institutional Review Board Statement: The study was conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki, and approved by the Institutional Review Board of Agency for Integrated Care (2020-009; approval date 9 February 2021).

Informed Consent Statement: Patient consent was waived due to the retrospective and non-invasive nature of this study.

Data Availability Statement: The data presented in this study are available on request from the corresponding author.

Acknowledgments: The authors wish to thank Singapore Cancer Society for their administrative support and research assistance as well as granting of permission for research involving the patients undergoing rehabilitation at their center.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

References

- 1. Siegel, R.L.; Miller, K.D.; Jemal, A. Cancer statistics, 2020. CA Cancer J. Clin. 2020, 70, 7–30. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Weaver, K.E.; Forsythe, L.P.; Reeve, B.B.; Alfano, C.M.; Rodriguez, J.L.; Sabatino, S.A.; Hawkins, N.A.; Rowland, J.H. Mental and physical health-related quality of life among U.S. cancer survivors: Population estimates from the 2010 National Health Interview Survey. *Cancer Epidemiol. Biomark. Prev.* 2012, 21, 2108–2117. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Muñoz-Alcaraz, M.N.; Jiménez-Vílchez, A.J.; Pérula-de Torres, L.Á.; Serrano-Merino, J.; García-Bustillo, Á.; Pardo-Hernández, R.; González-Bernal, J.J.; González-Santos, J. Effect of Conservative Rehabilitation Interventions on Health-Related Quality of Life in Women with Upper Limb Lymphedema Secondary to Breast Cancer: A Systematic Review. *Healthcare* 2023, 11, 2568. [CrossRef]
- 4. de Boer, A.G.; Verbeek, J.H.; Spelten, E.R.; Uitterhoeve, A.L.; Ansink, A.C.; de Reijke, T.M.; Kammeijer, M.; Sprangers, M.A.; van Dijk, F.J. Work ability and return-to-work in cancer patients. *Br. J. Cancer* **2008**, *98*, 1342–1347. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 5. Sankaranarayanan, R.; Ramadas, K.; Qiao, Y.L. Managing the changing burden of cancer in Asia. *BMC Med.* 2014, 12, 3. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 6. Stergiou-Kita, M.; Grigorovich, A.; Tseung, V.; Milosevic, E.; Hebert, D.; Phan, S.; Jones, J. Qualitative meta-synthesis of survivors' work experiences and the development of strategies to facilitate return to work. *J. Cancer Surviv.* **2014**, *8*, 657–670. [CrossRef]
- van Maarschalkerweerd, P.E.A.; Schaapveld, M.; Paalman, C.H.; Aaronson, N.K.; Duijts, S.F.A. Changes in employment status, barriers to, and facilitators of (return to) work in breast cancer survivors 5–10 years after diagnosis. *Disabil. Rehabil.* 2020, 42, 3052–3058. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 8. Bae, K.R.; Cho, J. Changes after cancer diagnosis and return to work: Experience of Korean cancer patients. *BMC Cancer* 2021, 21, 86. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Lee, S.; Olvera, R.G.; Shiu-Yee, K.; Rush, L.J.; Tarver, W.L.; Blevins, T.; McAlearney, A.S.; Andersen, B.L.; Paskett, E.D.; Carson, W.E.; et al. Short-term and long-term financial toxicity from breast cancer treatment: A qualitative study. *Support. Care Cancer* 2023, *32*, 24. [CrossRef]
- 10. Schmidt, M.E.; Scherer, S.; Wiskemann, J.; Steindorf, K. Return to work after breast cancer: The role of treatment-related side effects and potential impact on quality of life. *Eur. J. Cancer Care* **2019**, *28*, 13051. [CrossRef]
- 11. Tan, F.S.I.; Shorey, S. Experiences of women with breast cancer while working or returning to work: A qualitative systematic review and meta-synthesis. *Support. Care Cancer* 2022, *30*, 2971–2982. [CrossRef]
- 12. Tay, M.R.J.; Wong, C.J. Cancer Rehabilitation-Improving Cancer Survivorship in Singapore. *Asia Pac. J. Oncol. Nurs.* **2021**, *8*, 346–348. [CrossRef]
- van Waart, H.; Stuiver, M.M.; van Harten, W.H.; Geleijn, E.; Kieffer, J.M.; Buffart, L.M.; de Maaker-Berkhof, M.; Boven, E.; Schrama, J.; Geenen, M.M.; et al. Effect of Low-Intensity Physical Activity and Moderate- to High-Intensity Physical Exercise During Adjuvant Chemotherapy on Physical Fitness, Fatigue, and Chemotherapy Completion Rates: Results of the PACES Randomized Clinical Trial. *J. Clin. Oncol.* 2015, *33*, 1918–1927. [CrossRef]
- 14. Islam, T.; Dahlui, M.; Majid, H.A.; Nahar, A.M.; Mohd Taib, N.A.; Su, T.T.; MyBCC study group. Factors associated with return to work of breast cancer survivors: A systematic review. *BMC Public Health* **2014**, *14* (Suppl. 3), S8.
- Amin, M.B.; Greene, F.L.; Edge, S.B.; Compton, C.C.; Gershenwald, J.E.; Brookland, R.K.; Meyer, L.; Gress, D.M.; Byrd, D.R.; Winchester, D.P. The Eighth Edition AJCC Cancer Staging Manual: Continuing to build a bridge from a population-based to a more "personalized" approach to cancer staging. *CA Cancer J. Clin.* 2017, 67, 93–99. [CrossRef]
- 16. Tay, M.R.J.; Wong, C.J.; Aw, H.Z. Assessment of Health-Related Quality of Life and Distress in an Asian Community-Based Cancer Rehabilitation Program. *Curr. Oncol.* 2022, 29, 7012–7020. [CrossRef]
- 17. Mendoza, T.R.; Wang, X.S.; Cleeland, C.S.; Morrissey, M.; Johnson, B.A.; Wendt, J.K.; Huber, S.L. The rapid assessment of fatigue severity in cancer patients: Use of the Brief Fatigue Inventory. *Cancer* **1999**, *85*, 1186–1196. [CrossRef]
- Lin, C.C.; Chang, A.P.; Chen, M.L.; Cleeland, C.S.; Mendoza, T.R.; Wang, X.S. Validation of the Taiwanese version of the Brief Fatigue Inventory. J. Pain Symptom Manag. 2006, 32, 52–59. [CrossRef]
- 19. Tuomi, K.; Ilmarinen, J.; Jahkola, A. *Work Ability Index*, 2nd revised ed.; Finnish Institute of Occupational Health: Helsinki, Finland, 1998.
- 20. Wolvers, M.D.J.; Leensen, M.C.J.; Groeneveld, I.F.; Frings-Dresen, M.H.W.; De Boer, A.G.E.M. Predictors for earlier return to work of cancer patients. *J. Cancer Surviv.* 2018, 12, 169–177. [CrossRef]

- 21. de Zwart, B.C.H.; Frings-Dresen, M.H.W.; van Duivenbooden, J.C. Test-retest reliability of the Work Ability Index questionnaire. *Occup. Med.* 2002, 52, 177–181. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 22. van den Berg, T.I.; Elders, L.A.; de Zwart, B.C.; Burdorf, A. The effects of work-related and individual factors on the Work Ability Index: A systematic review. *Occup. Environ. Med.* 2009, *66*, 211–220. [CrossRef]
- 23. Radkiewicz, P.; Widerszal-Bazyl, M. Psychometric properties of work ability index in the light of comparative survey study. *Int. Congr. Ser.* 2005, 1280, 304–309. [CrossRef]
- 24. Ahlstrom, L.; Grimby-Ekman, A.; Hagberg, M.; Dellve, L. The work ability index and single-item question: Associations with sick leave; symptoms; and health—A prospective study of women on long-term sick leave. *Scand. J. Work Environ. Health* **2010**, *36*, 404–412. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- El Fassi, M.; Bocquet, V.; Majery, N.; Lair, M.L.; Couffignal, S.; Mairiaux, P. Work ability assessment in a worker population: Comparison and determinants of Work Ability Index and Work Ability score. *BMC Public Health* 2013, 13, 305. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Rosenthal, R. An application of the Kolmogorov-Smirnov test for normality with estimated mean and variance. *Psychol. Rep.* 1968, 22, 570. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Noeres, D.; Park-Simon, T.-W.; Grabow, J.; Sperlich, S.; Koch-Giesselmann, H.; Jaunzeme, J.; Geyer, S. Return to work after treatment for primary breast cancer over a 6-year period: Results from a prospective study comparing patients with the general population. *Support. Care Cancer* 2013, *21*, 1901–1909. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 28. Groeneveld, I.F.; de Boer, A.G.; Frings-Dresen, M.H. Physical exercise and return to work: Cancer survivors' experiences. *J. Cancer Surviv.* 2013, 7, 237–246. [CrossRef]
- 29. Eaker, S.; Wigertz, A.; Lambert, P.C.; Bergkvist, L.; Ahlgren, J.; Lambe, M.; Uppsala/Örebro Breast Cancer Group. Breast cancer; sickness absence; income and marital status. A study on life situation 1 year prior diagnosis compared to 3 and 5 years after diagnosis. *PLoS ONE* **2011**, *6*, e18040. [CrossRef]
- 30. Keen, C.; Phillips, G.; Thelwell, M.; Humphreys, L.; Evans, L.; Copeland, R. Establishing Innovative Complex Services: Learning from the Active Together Cancer Prehabilitation and Rehabilitation Service. *Healthcare* **2023**, *11*, 3007. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 31. de Boer, A.G.; Taskila, T.; Tamminga, S.J.; Frings-Dresen, M.H.; Feuerstein, M.; Verbeek, J.H. Interventions to enhance return-towork for cancer patients. *Cochrane Database Syst. Rev.* **2011**, CD007569. [CrossRef]
- 32. Hoving, J.L.; Broekhuizen, M.L.; Frings-Dresen, M.H. Return to work of breast cancer survivors: A systematic review of intervention studies. *BMC Cancer* 2009, 9, 117. [CrossRef]
- 33. Roelen, C.A.; Koopmans, P.C.; van Rhenen, W.; Groothoff, J.W.; van der Klink, J.J.; Bultmann, U. Trends in return to work of breast cancer survivors. *Breast Cancer Res. Treat.* 2011, 128, 237–242. [CrossRef]
- Lee, M.K.; Kang, H.S.; Lee, K.S.; Lee, E.S. Three-Year Prospective Cohort Study of Factors Associated with Return to Work After Breast Cancer Diagnosis. J. Occup. Rehabil. 2017, 27, 547–558. [CrossRef]
- 35. Lee, J.S.; Park, M.; Kim, Y.H. Sedentary Behavior and Physical Activity of Community-Dwelling Korean Breast Cancer Survivors: A Nationwide Study. *Healthcare* 2023, 11, 1974. [CrossRef]
- Pereira, S.; Araújo, N.; Fontes, F.; Lopes-Conceição, L.; Dias, T.; Ferreira, A.; Morais, S.; Cruz, V.T.; Lunet, N. Cancer-Related Neuropathic Pain; Chemotherapy-Induced Peripheral Neuropathy and Cognitive Decline in a 5-Year Prospective Study of Patients with Breast Cancer—NEON-BC. *Healthcare* 2023, 11, 3132. [CrossRef]
- 37. Fantoni, S.Q.; Peugniez, C.; Duhamel, A.; Skrzypczak, J.; Frimat, P.; Leroyer, A. Factors related to return to work by women with breast cancer in northern France. *J. Occup. Rehabil.* **2010**, *20*, 49–58. [CrossRef]
- Johnsson, A.; Fornander, T.; Rutqvist, L.E.; Olsson, M. Factors influencing return to work: A narrative study of women treated for breast cancer. *Eur. J. Cancer Care* 2010, 19, 317–323. [CrossRef]
- Caron, M.; Durand, M.-J.; Tremblay, D. Perceptions of breast cancer survivors on the supporting practices of their supervisors in the return-to-work process: A qualitative descriptive study. J. Occup. Rehabil. 2018, 28, 89–96. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 40. Al Maqbali, M.; Al Sinani, M.; Al Naamani, Z.; Al Badi, K.; Tanash, M.I. Prevalence of Fatigue in Patients With Cancer: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. J. Pain Symptom Manag. 2021, 61, 167–189.e14. [CrossRef]
- van den Beuken-van Everdingen, M.H.; Hochstenbach, L.M.; Joosten, E.A.; Tjan-Heijnen, V.C.; Janssen, D.J. Update on Prevalence of Pain in Patients With Cancer: Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. *J. Pain Symptom Manag.* 2016, *51*, 1070–1090.e9. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 42. Samuels, N.; Ben-Arye, E. Integrative Medicine for Cancer-Related Pain: A Narrative Review. *Healthcare* 2024, 12, 403. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Lee, E.M.; Jiménez-Fonseca, P.; Galán-Moral, R.; Coca-Membribes, S.; Fernández-Montes, A.; Sorribes, E.; García-Torralba, E.; Puntí-Brun, L.; Gil-Raga, M.; Cano-Cano, J.; et al. Toxicities and Quality of Life during Cancer Treatment in Advanced Solid Tumors. *Curr. Oncol.* 2023, 30, 9205–9216. [CrossRef]
- 44. Tan, F.L.; Loh, S.Y.; Su, T.T.; Veloo, V.W.; Ng, L.L. Return to work in multi-ethnic breast cancer survivors—A qualitative inquiry. *Asian Pac. J. Cancer Prev.* **2012**, *13*, 5791–5797. [CrossRef]
- 45. Balak, F.; Roelen, C.A.; Koopmans, P.C.; Ten Berge, E.E.; Groothoff, J.W. Return to work after early-stage breast cancer: A cohort study into the effects of treatment and cancer-related symptoms. *J. Occup. Rehabil.* **2008**, *18*, 267–272. [CrossRef]
- 46. Schmidt, M.E.; Chang-Claude, J.; Seibold, P.; Vrieling, A.; Heinz, J.; Flesch-Janys, D.; Steindorf, K. Determinants of long-term fatigue in breast cancer survivors: Results of a prospective patient cohort study. *Psycho-Oncol.* **2015**, *24*, 40–46. [CrossRef]

- 47. Campbell, K.L.; Winters-Stone, K.M.; Wiskemann, J.; May, A.M.; Schwartz, A.L.; Courneya, K.S.; Zucker, D.S.; Matthews, C.E.; Ligibel, J.A.; Gerber, L.H.; et al. Exercise Guidelines for Cancer Survivors: Consensus Statement from International Multidisciplinary Roundtable. *Med. Sci. Sports Exerc.* **2019**, *51*, 2375–2390. [CrossRef]
- 48. Hussey, C.; Gupta, A. Exercise interventions to combat cancer-related fatigue in cancer patients undergoing treatment: A review. *Cancer Investig.* **2022**, *40*, 822–838. [CrossRef]

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.