


Supplementary Materials

Figure S1. *Cross-Lag Model Investigating the Mediating Role of Parental Burnout Between Perfectionistic Strivings and Violence Towards the Offspring*




Note. PS1 = Perfectionistic Strivings at Time 1. PS2 = Perfectionistic Strivings at Time 2. PS3 = Perfectionistic Strivings at Time 3. PB1 = Parental Burnout at Time 1. PB2 = Parental Burnout at Time 2. PB3 = Parental Burnout at Time 3. V1 = Violence at Time 1. V2 = Violence at Time 2. V3 = Violence at Time 3. Significant paths and covariances are in black and their standardized coefficients are

marked in bold with asterisks (* $p < .05$, ** $p < .01$, *** $p < .001$). Non-significant paths and covariances are depicted with dotted lines, and their coefficients are not in bold and with no asterisks. Bootstrapping = 1000 samples.

The first mediation model comprising perfectionistic strivings had an initial inadequate fit to the data: $\chi^2(15) = 162.52$, $p < .001$; CFI = .89; TLI = .73; RMSEA = 0.21, 95% CI = [0.18, 0.24], SRMR = .15. When covariances between highly correlated variables were added, the model showed better fit: $\chi^2(7) = 67.98$, $p < .001$; CFI = .95; TLI = .76; RMSEA = 0.19, 95% CI = [0.16, 0.24], SRMR = .04. Yet, as shown in **Figure S1** hereinabove, we did not find support for the hypothesized mediation. The results of the model did not change when controlling for social desirability.

Figure S2. Cross-Lag Model Investigating the Mediating Role of Parental Burnout Between Perfectionistic Concerns and Violence Towards the Offspring



Note. PC1 = Perfectionistic Concerns at Time 1. PC2 = Perfectionistic Concerns at Time 2. PC3 = Perfectionistic Concerns at Time 3. PB1 = Parental Burnout at Time 1. PB2 = Parental Burnout at Time 2. PB3 = Parental Burnout at Time 3. V1 = Violence at Time 1. V2 = Violence at Time 2. V3 = Violence at Time 3. Significant paths and covariances are in black and their standardized coefficients are

marked in bold with asterisks (* $p < .05$, ** $p < .01$, *** $p < .001$). Non-significant paths and covariances are depicted with dotted lines, and their coefficients are not in bold and with no asterisks. Bootstrapping = 1000 samples.

The second mediation model comprising perfectionistic concerns also had an initial bad fit to the data: $\chi^2(15) = 147.91$, $p < .001$; CFI = .89; TLI = .74; RMSEA = 0.20, 95% CI = [0.17, 0.23], SRMR = .12. After covariances were added, the final model had a better fit to the data: $\chi^2(4) = 57.33$, $p < .001$; CFI = .96; TLI = .60; RMSEA = 0.22, 95% CI = [0.18, 0.29], SRMR = .04. However, as shown in **Figure S2** hereinabove, we did not find support for the hypothesized mediation. These same results were observed when adding and controlling for social desirability.

Table S1. Mediation Analyses between Perfectionistic Concerns, Parental Burnout, and Violence towards the Offspring, all at Time 1

Effect	Standardized Estimate	SE	95% CI		Z	p
			LL	UL		
Indirect (a*b)	0.04	0.004	0.002	0.02	2.48	.001**
PB1 → PC1	0.24	0.01	0.01	0.04	3.44	<.001***
PC1 → V1	0.18	0.13	0.15	0.66	3.19	.001**
Direct (c)	0.32	0.02	0.04	0.12	4.27	<.001***
Total (c + a*b)	0.37	0.02	0.06	0.13	5.13	<.001***

Note. PB1 = Parental Burnout at Time 1. PC1 = Perfectionistic Concerns at Time 1. V1 = Violence at Time 1. Bootstrapping = 1000

samples. *p < .05. **p < .01. ***p < .001.