

Pediatric Laryngopharyngeal Reflux: An Evidence-Based Review

Jerome R. Lechien ^{1,2,3,4} 

¹ Polyclinic of Poitiers, Elsan Hospital, 86000 Poitiers, France; jerome.lechien@umons.ac.be; Tel.: +32-65-37-35-84

² Department of Anatomy and Experimental Oncology, Mons School of Medicine, UMONS Research Institute for Health Sciences and Technology, University of Mons (UMons), B7000 Mons, Belgium

³ Department of Otolaryngology-Head and Neck Surgery, EpiCURA Hospital, B7000 Baudour, Belgium

⁴ Department of Otolaryngology-Head and Neck Surgery, EpiCURA Hospital, Rue L. Cathy, University of Mons, B7000 Mons, Belgium

Abstract: AbstractPurpose: Pediatric laryngopharyngeal reflux (P-LPR) is associated with the development of common otolaryngological symptoms and findings. In the present study, the findings about epidemiology, clinical presentation, diagnostic and therapeutic outcomes of pediatric population were reviewed. Methods: A PubMed, Cochrane Library, and Scopus literature search was conducted about evidence-based findings in epidemiology, clinical presentation, diagnostic and therapeutic outcomes of P-LPR. Findings: The prevalence of LPR remains unknown in infant and child populations. The clinical presentation depends on age. Infants with LPR symptoms commonly have both gastroesophageal reflux disease (GERD) and laryngopharyngeal reflux and related digestive, respiratory and ear, nose and throat symptoms. The GERD prevalence appears to decrease over the growth, and the clinical picture is increasingly associated with LPR symptoms and findings without GERD. The prevalence of LPR and proximal acid and nonacid esophageal reflux events may be high in some prevalent otolaryngological conditions (chronic otitis media, laryngomalacia and apnea). However, the lack of use of hypopharyngeal–esophageal multichannel intraluminal impedance pH monitoring (HEMII-pH) limits the establishment of etiological associations. Proton pump inhibitors are less effective in P-LPR patients compared to GERD populations, which may be related to the high prevalence of weakly or nonacid reflux events. Conclusions: Many gray areas persist in P-LPR and should be not resolved without the establishment of diagnostic criteria (guidelines) based on HEMII-pH. The unavailability of HEMII-pH and the poor acid-suppressive therapeutic response are all issues requiring future investigations. Future controlled studies using HEMII-pH and enzyme measurements in ear, nose or throat fluids may clarify the epidemiology of P-LPR according to age and its association with many otolaryngological conditions.

Keywords: larynx; laryngitis; laryngopharyngeal; reflux; otolaryngology; head neck surgery; gastroesophageal reflux; infants; children; pediatric



Citation: Lechien, J.R. Pediatric Laryngopharyngeal Reflux: An Evidence-Based Review. *Children* **2023**, *10*, 583. <https://doi.org/10.3390/children10030583>

Academic Editor: Luca Oscar Redaelli de Zinis

Received: 23 February 2023

Revised: 11 March 2023

Accepted: 16 March 2023

Published: 18 March 2023



Copyright: © 2023 by the author. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).

1. Introduction

The backflow of gastric content into the esophagus is a physiologic process occurring in most infants and children without complication [1]. When the reflux leads to troublesome symptoms and/or complications, such as esophagitis or stricturing, the gastroesophageal reflux becomes pathologic and is defined as gastroesophageal reflux disease (GERD) [1,2]. Reflux disease may be associated with upper aerodigestive tract symptoms and findings, leading to the use of the terms “pediatric extra-esophageal reflux disease” or pediatric laryngopharyngeal reflux (P-LPR) [3]. Although LPR was considered as an extension of GERD, the differences in clinical presentation and therapeutic outcomes led to the evolution of P-LPR as a distinct disease process [4]. From a clinical standpoint, symptoms and findings of P-LPR are known to vary widely by age and remain non-specific [3,4]. P-LPR may be associated with many laryngeal, oral, nasal or ear conditions, e.g., otitis media,

chronic rhinosinusitis or dysphonia, even if proving causality remains difficult in most of them [5,6]. The diagnosis of P-LPR remains complicated regarding the non-specificity of symptoms and signs, and the difficulty to make a pH study in pediatric population [7,8]. Thus, infants and children represent a challenging population.

The aim of this evidence-based review was to report the current knowledge about epidemiology, clinical picture, diagnostic and therapeutic outcomes of infants and children with laryngopharyngeal reflux disease and to provide critical evidence-based analysis of the current literature.

2. Materials and Methods

A PubMed, Cochrane Library and Scopus database search was conducted for relevant peer-reviewed publications in English and French related to incidence, prevalence, symptoms, signs, pathophysiology, diagnosis and treatment of P-LPR. The following key words were associated: “pediatric”, “children”, “infant”, “reflux”, “laryngopharyngeal”, “extra-esophageal”, “gastroesophageal”, “symptoms”, “findings”, “treatment” and “physiology”. Clinical prospective/retrospective controlled/uncontrolled studies, systematic reviews or meta-analyses were considered in the review process. The papers were selected if they provided data on epidemiology, pathophysiology, clinical presentation, diagnosis and treatment of LPR in pediatric population. Critical analysis of the included publications was carried out focusing on incidence and prevalence, clinical presentation, diagnosis and treatment of infants and children with LPR. The author summarized implications for practice. Ethics committee approval was not required for this state-of-the art review. A systematic review or meta-analysis was not performed regarding the low number of studies and the heterogeneity across studies in inclusion/exclusion criteria, pH-testing use, treatment and therapeutic outcomes.

3. Epidemiology

The prevalence of GERD-related symptoms in the pediatric population varied from 2% to 30% in Western countries [9,10] and appears to increase with the increase in the incidence of the childhood obesity [4]. Recent studies supported that GERD occurs in 50% of infants younger than 2 months of age, 60–70% of infants 3–4 months and 5% of infants of more than 12 months of age [11–13].

To date, both the prevalence and incidence of P-LPR are still unknown, because there was no investigation of prevalence or incidence in the pediatric population through objective diagnostic tools. At best, the prevalence of reflux was investigated in infants or children with upper airway symptoms who were addressed in pediatric otolaryngology consultations [14]. The prevalence of acid esophageal reflux events in infants and children with apnea or stridor ranged from 27% to 73% [15–17]. Among pediatric patients with chronic cough or hoarseness, GERD was detected in 62% to 73% of cases [17–19]. The prevalence of P-LPR in upper respiratory diseases and symptoms remains, however, uncertain, because the authors of these studies determined the presence of reflux through single or dual-probe pH monitoring, which cannot detect weakly or alkaline pharyngeal reflux events.

From an evidence-based approach, the lack of use of hypopharyngeal–esophageal multichannel intraluminal impedance pH monitoring (HEMII-pH) makes difficult the determination of the exact prevalence and incidence of P-LPR in infants and children. The determination of P-LPR prevalence or incidence requires the use of HEMII-pH or oropharyngeal pH testing, which are the only two approaches able to detect acid, weakly acid and alkaline pharyngeal reflux events [20,21]. Moreover, to date, there have been no international guidelines about the cutoff for the P-LPR diagnostic with HEMII-pH. The future determination of P-LPR incidence and prevalence should consider the age of children/infants because as with GERD, P-LPR is expected to be more prevalent during the first 12 months of life according to the immaturity of esophageal sphincters [22].

4. Pathophysiology

4.1. Physiology of Laryngopharyngeal Reflux

Regardless of the patient's age, the development of laryngopharyngeal symptoms and findings may be attributed to the deposit of gastroduodenal content (e.g., pepsin, bile salts) into the upper aerodigestive tract mucosa and the related development of an inflammatory reaction [23,24]. The occurrence of pharyngeal reflux events may consist of a liquid backflow of stomach content, especially in infants with regurgitations, or it may be gaseous and silent in children without regurgitation [15,25]. The P-LPR appears to be more likely weakly acid at the HEMII-pH, which is substantially different from the GERD profile at the HEMII-pH [7,15]. Despite the lack of study investigating the P-LPR features at the (HE)MII-pH according to the age, the presence of esophageal immaturity in young infants should be associated with the co-existence of GERD and different HEMII-pH tracings and features. In other words, P-LPR characteristics may vary according to the age of the patient.

The inflammatory reaction in upper aerodigestive tract mucosa may lead to mucosal injuries, mucus dryness, epithelium thickening and micro-trauma [26]. The mucosa injuries lead to mucus production and dehydration through a down-regulation of mucin and carbonic anhydrase gene expression [26]. Basic science and adult clinical studies reported that the accumulation of sticky mucus induces postnasal drip, globus sensation, throat clearing, dysphagia and cough, which are prevalent symptoms in P-LPR patients [4,21,27]. In addition to the deposit of gastroduodenal content into the mucosa, the occurrence of neural reflex arc between esophagus and respiratory receptors was suspected but not yet demonstrated. This hypothesis suggests that the gastric acid stimulation of receptors within the esophagus may cause symptoms in the pharynx via neural reflex arc as well as cardiovascular and respiratory symptoms, including bradycardia and apnea [4].

4.2. Influence of Age on Physiology

The main mechanisms of defense against laryngopharyngeal reflux involve esophageal motility/peristalsis, mucosa bicarbonate secretion and lower (LES) and upper esophageal sphincter (UES) tonicity [5,26]. The backflow of gastroduodenal content into the upper aerodigestive tract is related to esophageal dysmotility and the transient relaxation of LES and UES, which may be frequent in infants younger than 6 months and preterm infants. Indeed, younger infants report a high prevalence of gastroesophageal reflux and GERD because of the impaired esophageal peristalsis, physiological immaturity of the esophageal sphincter, and slower gastric emptying time [28,29]. The immaturity of the upper digestive tract is more important in infants born after less than 34 weeks of gestation with a GERD incidence reaching 22% of cases [30]. The intake of increased volume of liquid/milk at each feeding in this period of life and the related postprandial gastric distension and LES relaxation are additional factors supporting the high incidence of GERD and, theoretically, P-LPR in the first 6 months of life [7]. In practice, regurgitation is one of the most frequent symptoms of GERD and P-LPR in infants [12], and each episode of regurgitation is associated with the deposit of gastroduodenal enzymes into the upper aerodigestive tract mucosa, which is less protected against enzymes than esophageal mucosa [23].

From an evidence-based point of view, there is a limited number of studies in which authors used MII-pH monitoring in the pediatric population, while there is no study of HEMII-pH. All of the above-mentioned pathophysiological mechanisms were experienced in animal models or adults. The use of HEMII-pH may undoubtedly improve the understanding of physiological mechanisms and the differences between GERD and LPR. Precisely, infants and children with laryngopharyngeal reflux may have pharyngeal reflux events but no GERD findings at the gastrointestinal endoscopy or GERD symptoms [7]. HEMII-pH may specify the features of pharyngeal reflux events in terms of composition (gaseous, liquid, both), pH (acid, weakly acid, alkaline) and the time of occurrence (upright/daytime, supine/nighttime).

4.3. Associated Conditions

The inflammatory reaction related to the deposit of gastroduodenal enzymes into the laryngopharyngeal, nasopharyngeal or nasal mucosa may favor the development of some ear, nose and throat diseases. Thus, P-LPR was objectively identified in the following conditions: apnea and cardiorespiratory events [15,31–36], laryngomalacia [21,37–39], subglottic stenosis [21,40], and chronic otitis media with effusion [41–55] (Table 1). Precisely, infants with apnea reported a high prevalence of acid and nonacid proximal esophageal reflux events at the MII-pH with or without temporal association between reflux and cardiorespiratory events (Table 1). Regarding laryngomalacia, reflux was identified in infants and children through oropharyngeal pH study, dual-probe pH monitoring or pepsin analyses [21,37–39]. Note that there were no controlled studies comparing the prevalence of P-LPR in apnea, laryngomalacia and subglottic stenosis patients vs. healthy controls (Table 1). The most exhaustive and evidence-based literature concerned the association between reflux and chronic otitis media with suppuration (Table 1). Pepsin or bile salts were found in 14% to 100% of ear secretions (Table 1). According to controlled studies, infants or children with chronic otitis media with suppurations reported higher pepsin concentrations in middle ear secretions and acid pharyngeal reflux events at the dual-probe pH study than controls [50,54]. Other studies supported a potential association between reflux and chronic rhinosinusitis [56–58], choanal atresia [59], asthma and chronic aspirations [60–63], but the lack of controlled study with (HE)MII-pH limits the draw of reliable conclusions.

Table 1. Laryngopharyngeal reflux detection in ear, nose and throat conditions.

Condition	Population		Reflux identification	References
Apnea	Infants	Apnea was associated with high rate of acid and nonacid reflux events.	MII-pH monitoring	Pavic, 2021 [15]
	Infants	Reflux is associated with cardiorespiratory events in 11% of cases.	MII-pH monitoring	Nobile, 2019 [31]
	Infants	There is a temporal association between nonacid reflux events and apnea events.	MII-pH monitoring	Cresi, 2017 [33]
	Preterm infants	The frequency of apnea is increased after nonacid reflux events.	MII-pH monitoring	Corvaglia, 2011 [28]
	Preterm infants	Reflux is associated with a high risk of apnea	MII-pH monitoring	Nunez, 2011 [32]
	Preterm infants	GERD is not associated with cardiorespiratory event prolongation or severity.	MII-pH monitoring	Di Fiore, 2010 [35]
	Infants	Reflux events are prevalent in infants with apnea without reporting a temporal relationship.	MII-pH monitoring	Peter, 2002 [36]
Laryngomalacia	Children	Pharyngeal reflux events were prevalent in children with laryngomalacia.	Oropharyngeal pH testing	Messalam, 2016 [21]
	Infants	Pepsin saliva was more frequently detected in laryngomalacia infants than controls.	Pepsin saliva measurement	Klimara, 2020 [38]
	Infants	Pepsin was detected in lavage laryngomalacia of 80% of infants and was absent in controls.	Pepsin lavage laryngo-malacie measurement	Luebke, 2017 [39]
	Infants	Reflux was the primary cause of airway compromise or a cofactor exacerbating preexisting neurologic/anatomic abnormality.	Dual-probe pH testing	Mattheuws, 1999 [37]
Subglottic stenosis	Children	Pharyngeal reflux events were prevalent in children with subglottic stenosis.	Oropharyngeal pH testing	Messalam, 2016 [21]
	Infants and children	Reflux events were prevalent in patients with subglottic stenosis.	MII-pH monitoring	Hart, 2014 [40]
Otitis media	Infants and children	Pepsin was detected in otitis secretions of 77% of infants or children.	Pepsin otitis media secretion measurements	Samuels, 2022 [41]
	Infants and children	67.9% of children with middle ear effusion had weakly acid proximal esophageal reflux events.	MII-pH monitoring	Gorecka, 2016 [42]
	Infants and children	Patients with chronic otitis media reported higher prevalence of acid pharyngeal events in the pH study.	Dual-probe pH testing	Martines, 2015 [43]
	Children	Pepsin was found in 32% of middle ear otitis secretions.	Pepsin in ear secretions	Formanek, 2015 [44]
	Children	Pepsin was found in 70% of children with chronic otitis media effusion.	Pepsin in ear secretions	Luo, 2014 [45]
	Infants and children	Pepsin was found in 50% of children with chronic otitis media effusion.	Pepsin in ear secretions	O'Reilly, 2014 [46]
Children	All children with chronic otitis media with effusion had positive acid LPR at the pH study.	Dual-probe pH-testing	Abdel-Aziz, 2013 [47]	

Table 1. Cont.

Condition	Population	Reflux identification	References	
	Children	Nasopharyngeal acid reflux was detected in 20% of patients with chronic otitis media.	Dual-probe pH-testing	Aydin, 2011 [48]
	Infants and children	Bile salts were found in 42% of middle ear secretions of patients with chronic otitis media.	Bile salts	Klokkerburg, 2009 [49]
	Infants and children	Patients with chronic otitis media and controls had 14% and 7% positive pepsin in ear secretions.	Pepsin in ear secretions	He, 2007 [50] *
	Infants	Pepsin was found in 58% of children with chronic otitis media with effusion.	Pepsin in ear secretions	Crapko, 2007 [51]
	Infants and children	Pepsin was found in 100% of ear secretion samples.	Dual-probe pH-testing Pepsin in ear secretions	Abd El-Fattah, 2007 [52]
	Infants	Pepsin was detected in 73% to 77% of ear secretions of patients with chronic otitis media.	Pepsin in ear secretions	Lieu, 2005 [53]
	Children	Acid pharyngeal reflux events were found in 48% vs. 8% of patients with chronic otitis media and controls, respectively.	Dual-probe pH testing	Keles, 2004 [54] *
	Children	27% of patients with chronic otitis media with effusion had proximal esophageal reflux events.	Dual-probe pH testing	Rozmanic, 2002 [55]

* controlled studies. Abbreviations: GERD = gastroesophageal reflux disease; MII-pH = multichannel intraluminal impedance pH monitoring; LPR = laryngopharyngeal reflux.

5. Clinical Presentation

Infants and children with P-LPR may present a myriad of non-specific symptoms and findings. The potential associations between P-LPR and the above-mentioned ear, nose and throat conditions make the clinical presentation even more non-specific. Surprisingly, few studies investigated the prevalence of symptoms and signs associated with P-LPR with validated clinical instruments. Clinical studies with the largest number of P-LPR cases are summarized in Table 2 [61,64–68]. The most prevalent symptoms associated with P-LPR include breathing disorders, chronic cough, hoarseness, and postnasal drip. GERD-related symptoms include less prevalent overgrowth, especially in children [61,64–68]. The GERD symptoms are more prevalent in infants. Infants present more frequently a clinical picture characterized by GERD and LPR symptoms, while children report a clinical presentation closest from adults, with LPR symptoms and few GERD symptoms.

Table 2. Symptoms of Pediatric Laryngopharyngeal Reflux.

References	Patients (N)	Diagnosis	Symptoms	(%)
Kosec, 2020 [61]	89 infants/children F/M: 56/33 Age (md): 12 yo	MII-pH	Epigastric pain Nausea Regurgitations Tasting acid in mouth	17% 16% 11% 3%
Dy, 2016 [64]	50 infants/children F/M: 16/34 Age (me): 9 yo	MII-pH	Chronic cough Asthma symptoms Croup Ear infections/symptoms Sinus infections/symptoms	84% 71% 48% 24% 16%
Baudoin, 2014 [65]	50 infants/children F/M: N.P. Age (md): 11 yo	Dual-probe pH testing	Asthma symptoms Chronic cough Dysphonia Chest pain	33% 28% 7% 6%
Li, 2014 [66]	62 infants/children F/M: N.P. Age: N.P.	Dual-probe pH testing	Hoarseness Postnasal drip Dysphagia Abdominal/chest pain Throat clearing Chronic cough Globus	90% 77% 74% 73% 64% 56% 48%
Andrews, 2013 [67]	63 infants/children F/M: N.P. Age: 6 mo-17 yo	Oropharyngeal pH study	Nasal obstruction Chronic cough Postnasal drip Otagia Halitosis Sore throat Loss of appetite Rhinosinusitis symptoms Stomachache	76% 54% 40% 30% 18% 16% 14% 10% 10%
Greifer, 2012 [68]	63 infants/children F/M: 24/39 Age (me): 7 yo	MII-pH	Asthma/cough Hoarseness Vocal cord nodules Pharyngitis	59% 22% 14% 5%

Abbreviation: F/M = female/male; md = median; me = mean; MII-pH = multichannel intraluminal impedance pH monitoring; mo = month; NP = not provided; yo = years old.

Oral, pharyngeal and laryngeal finding prevalence were reported in two studies, in which P-LPR was confirmed with objective tools [65,69]. From a fiberoptic examination standpoint, larynx appears to be the most affected organ (Table 3), which may be related to the pseudostratified epithelium that is less resistant to pepsin aggression than the multilayer

epithelium or pharynx or oral cavity. However, there would be an overestimation of laryngeal findings and an underestimation of pharyngeal LPR-signs in studies, because most investigators assessed the P-LPR signs with Reflux Finding Score (RFS), which only considers laryngeal signs [70,71]. To date, there is no large-cohort study evaluating the prevalence of oral, laryngeal and pharyngeal signs in P-LPR patients.

Table 3. Signs of Pediatric Laryngopharyngeal Reflux.

References	Patients (N)	Reflux Diagnostic	Signs	(%)
Baudoin, 2014 [65]	50 infants/children F/M: N.P. Age (md): 11 yo	Dual-probe pH testing	Vocal fold edema Oropharyngeal wall or posterior larynx granulation Vocal fold nodules Oropharyngeal wall granulations Posterior laryngitis Vocal nodules	63% 54% 26% 14% 10% 1%
Galli, 2020 [69]	35 infants/children F/M: 11/24 Age: 2 mo-16 yo	MII-pH	Arytenoid erythema Vocal mucosa edema Pharyngeal wall granulations Laryngeal edema Posterior commissure edema Tracheal hyperemia Subglottic edema	100% 76% 51% 50% 34% 30% 5%

Abbreviation: F/M = female/male; MII-pH = multichannel intraluminal impedance-pH monitoring; mo = month; NP = not provided; yo = years old.

In sum, a few studies investigated the clinical picture of P-LPR in infants and children with patient-reported outcome questionnaires considering otolaryngological, digestive and respiratory symptoms. The consideration of respiratory and digestive symptoms is particularly relevant in infants who have both GERD and LPR. Similar observations may be found for clinical instruments that do not include oral, pharyngeal and laryngeal signs. The development of such tools in the pediatric population is a future important step to establish the prevalence of symptoms and signs in infants and children with a documented LPR at the HEMII-pH [72,73]. The clinical tools should be adapted to patient age, considering the parent observations for infants and the higher prevalence of GERD-related symptoms in infants than children.

6. Diagnostic

The past consideration of P-LPR as an extra-esophageal manifestation of GERD led some authors to use gastrointestinal (GI) endoscopy, barium contrast radiography, scintigraphy or single-probe pH monitoring for the P-LPR diagnostic. However, none of these methods may detect weakly acid or alkaline pharyngeal reflux episodes or the deposit of gastroduodenal content into the upper aerodigestive tract mucosa.

6.1. Multichannel Intraluminal Impedance pH Monitoring

Multichannel intraluminal impedance pH monitoring was introduced to capture weakly acidic or alkaline reflux episodes in the proximal esophagus. To date, the MII-pH profile of P-LPR infants and children was poorly investigated. Dy et al. reported that infants and children with suspected P-LPR had a similar number of proximal esophageal acid (pH < 4.0) and weakly/non-acid reflux events [64]. Mantegazza et al. observed that infants reported more weakly acid proximal esophageal reflux events than children [74]. The time (post-meals), the nature (gaseous, liquid, or mixed) and the position (upright, supine) features of occurrence of reflux events at the MII-pH have not been investigated in P-LPR. This information is, however, important for improving the therapeutic management of infants and children with P-LPR. In adults, LPR is mainly weakly acid (pH = 4.0 to 7.0)

or alkaline (pH > 7.0), with an increase in the pH of the event from the low to the upper esophagus [25]. Most events are gaseous and occur daytime and upright, which may explain the lack of heartburn or regurgitations in most patients [25,75,76]. Consequently, the deposit of pepsin and other gastroduodenal enzymes into the upper aerodigestive tract mucosa occurs after the meals through the transient relaxations of LES and UES [25,77]. The knowledge of the LPR profile at the HEMII-pH may contribute to the development of more personalized therapeutic approaches [78,79], which may include diet and lifestyle modifications, PPIs (acid LPR or GERD), alginate or magaldrate (weakly acid/alkaline reflux events).

In sum, the profile and the features of P-LPR at the HEMII-pH are still unknown in both infants and children because the authors used MII-pH, and not HEMII-pH. MII-pH is currently the most used approach for the objective diagnostic of P-LPR, but physicians have to take into consideration that only some proximal reflux events reach the pharynx. Indeed, Ulualp et al. reported in a cohort of children with acid P-LPR that 6/9 proximal esophageal reflux episodes reached pharynx [80]. From an evidence-based approach, the consideration of proximal esophageal reflux events for the P-LPR diagnostic may be insufficient due to the lack of objectification of pharyngeal event and the potential lack of related deposit of gastroduodenal enzymes.

6.2. Pepsin Saliva Measurement

The development of less invasive objective methods for the LPR diagnosis makes particularly sense in infants and children who do not frequently tolerate the 24 h pH-testing probe [21,81]. Nowadays, the usefulness and the accuracy of pepsin saliva detection (PepTest[®], RDBiomed, Hull, UK) have been poorly investigated in infants and children with P-LPR. Haddad et al. observed that pepsin was detected in 48% of infants and children with a positive GERD diagnosis at the MII-pH. The pepsin A was 43% sensitive and 50% specific in predicting an abnormal impedance result. Among pepsin A positive samples, 72% of samples corresponded to a gastroesophageal reflux episode. The authors reported that pepsin peak levels were significantly correlated with acid GERD [82]. The usefulness of pepsin measurement in pediatric patients was supported by Klimara et al. in a controlled study where authors compared pepsin saliva measurements between pediatric patients with laryngomalacia and healthy controls [38]. These authors detected pepsin in the saliva of 81% of infants with laryngomalacia and suspected P-LPR, while controls reported a positive pepsin rate in 12% [38]. The accuracy of pepsin saliva detection was investigated in infants and children with GERD undergoing 24 h MII-pH [83]. The authors collected several saliva samples (before catheter placement, before and 30 min after each of three meals, and upon awakening), and they reported that 85.6% of GERD patients have at least one positive saliva pepsin test compared with 9.3% of controls [83]. Interestingly, they observed a significant positive correlation between the frequency of pepsin-positive samples and the reflux symptom index [83].

To date, the pepsin saliva measurement is not ready for clinical application for many reasons [84]. First, the pepsin saliva concentration may significantly vary throughout the testing day [85–87]. This variation may be attributed to both physiologic and pathologic modifications of esophageal motility and sphincter tonicity, which may be related to lifestyle, meal composition and autonomic nerve dysfunction (anxiety/stress) [85–88]. Second, the best time of saliva collection, which may be defined as the saliva collection associated with the highest accuracy, sensitivity and positive predictive value pepsin test, remains unknown. The fasting collection of saliva appears to be adequate, but studies were only conducted on LPR adults [85–89]. Third, as recently suggested, the mucosa injury may be related to other gastroduodenal enzymes, such as bile acids, trypsin or elastase [90,91]. Thus, the only consideration of saliva pepsin detection as a diagnostic approach may bias the detection of P-LPR.

In sum, the detection of gastroduodenal enzymes into the infant or child saliva is an interesting noninvasive approach that should provide the profile of P-LPR in terms of

involved enzymes in the inflammatory process underlying LPR symptoms and findings. The determination of the enzyme profile and the knowledge of their pH activity may theoretically indicate the use of PPIs or alginate in some pediatric patients. In practice, infants and children with a prominence of saliva enzymes that are active in weakly acid or alkaline pH (e.g., bile salts, elastase) should benefit from alginate and not PPIs, which increase the pH of reflux events. Thus, some enzymes, e.g., elastase, trypsin or bile salts, are activated in alkaline environments and may therefore lead to mucosa injuries and inflammation from the use of PPIs [91]. Alginate and magaldrate form a raft floating over gastric contents that can be maintained within the stomach for up to 4 h. Alginate is endowed with bio-adhesive potential, which is a property due primarily to its polymer chain length and ionizable groups that provide a protective biofilm on the mucosa of esophagus and, potentially, upper aerodigestive tract [92].

7. Therapeutic Strategies

Therapeutic strategies for P-LPR include diet and lifestyle changes, medical treatment or surgery. From a cost-effective standpoint, the first therapeutic step has to be based on lifestyle and diet changes. Lifestyle and diet modifications may include the reduction in foods and beverages associated with sphincter tonicity and esophageal motility impairments, the suppression of reflux triggers, and the management of autonomic nerve dysfunction, which is commonly associated with anxiety or stress management [93,94]. Among diet modifications, the utility of GERD recommendations, such as thickening feeds or avoiding cow's milk protein, remains undemonstrated in P-LPR regarding the lack of studies including infants or children with a positive diagnostic at the HEMII-pH. Similar observations may be made regarding the influence of type of milk on the occurrence of pharyngeal reflux events, because previous studies included GERD patients [95–97]. Smaller and frequent meals as well as sleep positioning (elevating the head of the bed) may provide benefit to infants with GERD and 'reflux extension' into the upper aerodigestive tract [6,98], but the utility of these approaches is still not demonstrated in children with only P-LPR [4].

If lifestyle and diet modifications are insufficient in resolving P-LPR symptoms, a medical approach might be considered. The medical treatment of P-LPR was long-standing based on the use of histamine (H₂) blockers or PPIs. PPIs and histamine (H₂) blockers bind irreversibly to active proton pumps and increase the pH of gastroesophageal and esophago-pharyngeal reflux events without influencing the number and duration of events [4,99]. In adults, the superiority of PPIs over placebo is not demonstrated [100]. The success rate of PPI therapy is significantly lower than that of PPI therapy in GERD patients [101]. To date, there is no randomized controlled trial comparing the effectiveness of PPIs over placebo in pediatric population. In recent prospective studies, Li et al. reported a success rate of PPIs in 53% of P-LPR patients [66], while Jadcherla et al. reported 33% of symptom improvement in young infants [102]. The poor efficacy of PPIs in the P-LPR treatment and the lack of confidence by practitioners were supported in the recent survey of the American Society of Pediatric Otolaryngology. Thus, the authors reported that 37% of otolaryngologists would not prescribe oral PPIs in neonates, with 50% not prescribing IV PPIs. PPIs were prescribed by only 10% and 60% of otolaryngologists as first or second/third-line treatment for infants aged from 10 weeks to 1 year, respectively [103].

Despite the lack of study using HEMII-pH, weakly acid or alkaline reflux events in the proximal esophagus will be weakly acid or alkaline in the pharynx. Thus, from an evidence-based standpoint, the high prevalence of weakly acid or alkaline reflux events at the MII-pH may make the consideration of PPIs or histamine (H₂) blockers as first-line single medication in infants or children with P-LPR outdated. In infants with both GERD and P-LPR, acid-suppressive therapy should be used most likely in the context of symptoms that suggest erosive esophagitis [96]. Future controlled studies comparing diet/lifestyle modifications vs. PPIs vs. alginate vs. PPIs and alginate as empirical therapeutic approaches are needed to determine the place of medication in the management of infants and children. From a personalized medicine point of view, future treatments of

infants or children should consider the type of P-LPR and their characteristics at the HEMII-pH (types and composition of LPR, time of occurrence, etc.). Regarding fundoplicature, there is no evidence about a potential benefit on P-LPR.

8. Conclusions

Many gray areas persist in P-LPR. The lack of international guidelines for the diagnostic of P-LPR, the unavailability of HEMII-pH and the poor acid-suppressive therapeutic response are all issues requiring future investigations. Future controlled studies using HEMII-pH and enzyme measurements in ear, nose or throat fluids may clarify the epidemiology of P-LPR according to age and its association with many otolaryngological conditions.

Funding: This research received no external funding.

Institutional Review Board Statement: Not applicable.

Informed Consent Statement: Not applicable.

Data Availability Statement: Not available.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

1. Yeh, A.M.; Golianu, B. Integrative Treatment of Reflux and Functional Dyspepsia in Children. *Children* **2014**, *1*, 119–133. [[CrossRef](#)]
2. Davies, I.; Burman-Roy, S.; Murphy, M.S.; on behalf of the Guideline Development Group. Gastro-oesophageal reflux disease in children: NICE guidance. *BMJ* **2015**, *350*, g7703. [[CrossRef](#)]
3. Wertz, A.; Carroll, L.M.; Zur, K.B. Pediatric laryngopharyngeal reflux: Perceptual, acoustic, and laryngeal findings. *Int. J. Pediatr. Otorhinolaryngol.* **2020**, *133*, 109974. [[CrossRef](#)]
4. Venkatesan, N.N.; Pine, H.S.; Underbrink, M. Laryngopharyngeal Reflux Disease in Children. *Pediatr. Clin. N. Am.* **2013**, *60*, 865–878. [[CrossRef](#)]
5. Lechien, J.R.; Hans, S.; Simon, F.; Horoi, M.; Calvo-Henriquez, C.; Chiesa-Estomba, C.M.; Mayo-Yáñez, M.; Bartel, R.; Piersiala, K.; Nguyen, Y.; et al. Association Between Laryngopharyngeal Reflux and Media Otitis: A Systematic Review. *Otol. Neurotol.* **2021**, *42*, e801–e814. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
6. Bach, K.K.; McGuirt, W.F., Jr.; Postma, G.N. Pediatric laryngopharyngeal reflux. *Ear Nose Throat J.* **2002**, *81* (Suppl. S2), 27–31.
7. Plocek, A.; Gębora-Kowalska, B.; Białek, J.; Fendler, W.; Toporowska-Kowalska, E. Esophageal Impedance-pH Monitoring and Pharyngeal pH Monitoring in the Diagnosis of Extraesophageal Reflux in Children. *Gastroenterol. Res. Prac.* **2019**, *2019*, 6271910. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
8. Włodarczyk, E.; Jetka, T.; Raj-Koziak, D.; Panasiewicz, A.; Szkiełkowska, A.; Skarżyński, P.H.; Skarżyński, H. Diagnosis of laryngopharyngeal reflux in children with voice disorders using 24-hour pharyngeal pH monitoring. *Int. J. Pediatr. Otorhinolaryngol.* **2019**, *121*, 188–196. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
9. Jadcherla, S.R.; Slaughter, J.L.; Stenger, M.R.; Klebanoff, M.; Kelleher, K.; Gardner, W. Practice variance, prevalence, and economic burden of premature infants diagnosed with GERD. *Hosp. Pediatr.* **2013**, *3*, 335–341. [[CrossRef](#)]
10. Rossor, T.; Lingam, I.; Douiri, A.; Bhat, R.; Greenough, A. Detection of gastroesophageal reflux in the neonatal unit. *Acta Paediatr.* **2018**, *107*, 1535–1540. [[CrossRef](#)]
11. Dranove, J.E. Focus on Diagnosis: New Technologies for the Diagnosis of Gastroesophageal Reflux Disease. *Pediatr. Rev.* **2008**, *29*, 317–320. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
12. Leung, A.K.; Hon, K.L. Gastroesophageal reflux in children: An updated review. *Drugs Context* **2019**, *8*, 212591. [[CrossRef](#)]
13. Nelson, S.P.; Chen, E.H.; Syniar, G.M.; Christoffel, K.K. Prevalence of symptoms of gastroesophageal reflux during infancy. A pediatric practice-based survey. Pediatric Practice Research Group. *Arch. Pediatr. Adolesc. Med.* **1997**, *151*, 569–572. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
14. Rosbe, K.W.; Kenna, M.A.; Auerbach, A.D. Extraesophageal Reflux in Pediatric Patients With Upper Respiratory Symptoms. *Arch. Otolaryngol. Neck Surg.* **2003**, *129*, 1213–1220. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
15. Pavić, I.; Navratil, M.; Bosanac, M.; Fureš, J.S.; Jureković, I.I.; Hojsak, I. The Role of Combined Multichannel Intraluminal Impedance-pH Monitoring in Infants with Brief, Resolved, Unexplained Events. *Pediatr. Gastroenterol. Hepatol. Nutr.* **2021**, *24*, 256–264. [[CrossRef](#)]
16. Arad-Cohen, N.; Cohen, A.; Tirosh, E. The relationship between gastroesophageal reflux and apnea in infants. *J. Pediatr.* **2000**, *137*, 321–326. [[CrossRef](#)]
17. Carr, M.M.; Nguyen, A.; Poje, C.; Pizzuto, M.; Nagy, M.; Brodsky, L. Correlation of Findings on Direct Laryngoscopy and Bronchoscopy With Presence of Extraesophageal Reflux Disease. *Laryngoscope* **2000**, *110*, 1560–1562. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
18. Carr, M.M.; Poje, C.P.; Ehrig, D.; Brodsky, L.S. Incidence of Reflux in Young Children Undergoing Adenoidectomy. *Laryngoscope* **2001**, *111*, 2170–2172. [[CrossRef](#)]

19. Saniasiaya, J.; Kulasegarah, J. Dysphonia and reflux in children: A systematic review. *Int. J. Pediatr. Otorhinolaryngol.* **2020**, *139*, 110473. [[CrossRef](#)]
20. Pavić, I.; Babić, I.; Čepin Bogović, J.; Hojsak, I. The importance of combined 24-hour multichannel intraluminal impedance-pH monitoring in the evaluation of children with suspected laryngopharyngeal reflux. *Clin. Otolaryngol.* **2017**, *42*, 544–549. [[CrossRef](#)]
21. Mesallam, T.A. Oropharyngeal 24-Hour pH Monitoring in Children With Airway-Related Problems. *Clin. Exp. Otorhinolaryngol.* **2016**, *9*, 168–172. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
22. Meyer, R.; Vandenplas, Y.; Lozinsky, A.C.; Vieira, M.C.; Canani, R.B.; Dupont, C.; Uysal, P.; Cavkaytar, O.; Knibb, R.; Fleischer, D.M.; et al. Diagnosis and management of food allergy-associated gastroesophageal reflux disease in young children—EAACI position paper. *Pediatr. Allergy Immunol.* **2022**, *33*, e13856. [[CrossRef](#)]
23. Klimara, M.J.; Randall, D.R.; Allen, J.; Figueredo, E.; Johnston, N. Proximal reflux: Biochemical mediators, markers, therapeutic targets, and clinical correlations. *Ann. N. Y. Acad. Sci.* **2020**, *1481*, 127–138. [[CrossRef](#)]
24. Barona-Lleo, L.; Barona-De Guzman, R.; Krstulovic, C. The Diagnostic Usefulness of the Salivary Pepsin Test in Symptomatic Laryngopharyngeal Reflux. *J. Voice* **2019**, *33*, 923–928. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
25. Lechien, J.R. Clinical Update Findings about pH-Impedance Monitoring Features in Laryngopharyngeal Reflux Patients. *J. Clin. Med.* **2022**, *11*, 3158. [[CrossRef](#)]
26. Lechien, J.R.; Saussez, S.; Harmegnies, B.; Finck, C.; Burns, J.A. Laryngopharyngeal Reflux and Voice Disorders: A Multifactorial Model of Etiology and Pathophysiology. *J. Voice* **2017**, *31*, 733–752. [[CrossRef](#)]
27. Stavroulaki, P. Diagnostic and management problems of laryngopharyngeal reflux disease in children. *Int. J. Pediatr. Otorhinolaryngol.* **2006**, *70*, 579–590. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
28. Corvaglia, L.; Martini, S.; Aceti, A.; Arcuri, S.; Rossini, R.; Faldella, G. Nonpharmacological Management of Gastroesophageal Reflux in Preterm Infants. *BioMed Res. Int.* **2013**, *2013*, 141967. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
29. Ferguson, T.D. Gastroesophageal Reflux: Regurgitation in the infant population. *Crit. Care Nurs. Clin. N. Am.* **2018**, *30*, 167–177. [[CrossRef](#)]
30. Dhillon, A.S.; Ewer, A.K. Diagnosis and management of gastro-oesophageal reflux in preterm infants in neonatal intensive care units. *Acta Paediatr.* **2004**, *93*, 88–93. [[CrossRef](#)]
31. Nobile, S.; Marchionni, P.; Noviello, C.; Carnielli, V. Correlation between cardiorespiratory events and gastro-esophageal reflux in preterm and term infants: Analysis of predisposing factors. *Early Hum. Dev.* **2019**, *134*, 14–18. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
32. Nunez, J.; Cristofalo, E.; McGinley, B.; Katz, R.; Glen, D.R.; Gauda, E. Temporal Association of Polysomnographic Cardiorespiratory Events With GER Detected by MII-pH Probe in the Premature Infant at Term. *J. Pediatr. Gastroenterol. Nutr.* **2011**, *52*, 523–531. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
33. Cresi, F.; Martinelli, D.; Maggiora, E.; Locatelli, E.; Liguori, S.A.; Baldassarre, M.E.; Cocchi, E.; Bertino, E.; Coscia, A. Cardiorespiratory events in infants with gastroesophageal re- flux symptoms: Is there any association? *Neurogastroenterol. Motil.* **2018**, *30*, e13278. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
34. Corvaglia, L.; Zama, D.; Spizzichino, M.; Aceti, A.; Mariani, E.; Capretti, M.G.; Galletti, S.; Faldella, G. The frequency of apneas in very preterm infants is increased after non-acid gastro-esophageal reflux. *Neurogastroenterol. Motil.* **2011**, *23*, 303–307. [[CrossRef](#)]
35. Di Fiore, J.; Arko, M.; Herynk, B.; Martin, R.; Hibbs, A.M. Characterization of cardiorespiratory events following gastroesophageal reflux in preterm infants. *J. Perinatol.* **2010**, *30*, 683–687. [[CrossRef](#)]
36. Peter, C.S.; Sprodowski, N.; Bohnhorst, B.; Silny, J.; Poets, C.F. Gastroesophageal Reflux and Apnea of Prematurity: No Temporal Relationship. *Pediatrics* **2002**, *109*, 8–11. [[CrossRef](#)]
37. Matthews, B.L.; Little, J.P.; McGuirt, J.W.F.; Koufman, J.A.; Koufman, J.J.A. Reflux in Infants with Laryngomalacia: Results of 24-Hour Double-Probe pH Monitoring. *Otolaryngol. Neck Surg.* **1999**, *120*, 860–864. [[CrossRef](#)]
38. Klimara, M.J.; Samuels, T.L.; Johnston, N.; Chun, R.H.; McCormick, M.E. Detection of Pepsin in Oral Secretions of Infants with and without Laryngomalacia. *Ann. Otol. Rhinol. Laryngol.* **2020**, *129*, 224–229. [[CrossRef](#)]
39. Luebke, K.; Samuels, T.L.; Chelius, T.H.; Sulman, C.G.; McCormick, M.E.; Kerschner, J.E.; Johnston, N.; Chun, R.H. Pepsin as a biomarker for laryngopharyngeal reflux in children with laryngomalacia. *Laryngoscope* **2017**, *127*, 2413–2417. [[CrossRef](#)]
40. Hart, C.K.; de Alarcon, A.; Tabangin, M.E.; Hamilton, S.; Rutter, M.J.; Pentiuk, S.P.; Garza, J.M. Impedance Probe Testing Prior to Pediatric Airway Reconstruction. *Ann. Otol. Rhinol. Laryngol.* **2014**, *123*, 641–646. [[CrossRef](#)]
41. Samuels, T.L.; Khampang, P.; Espahbodi, M.; McCormick, C.A.; Chun, R.H.; McCormick, M.E.; Yan, K.; Kerschner, J.E.; Johnston, N. Association of Pepsin With Inflammatory Signaling and Effusion Viscosity in Pediatric Otitis Media. *Laryngoscope* **2022**, *132*, 470–477. [[CrossRef](#)]
42. Górecka-Tuteja, A.; Jastrzębska, I.; Składzień, J.; Fyderek, K. Laryngopharyngeal Reflux in Children with Chronic Otitis Media with Effusion. *J. Neurogastroenterol. Motil.* **2016**, *22*, 452–458. [[CrossRef](#)]
43. Martines, F.; Salvago, P.; Ferrara, S.; Messina, G.; Mucia, M.; Plescia, F.; Sireci, F. Factors influencing the development of otitis media among Sicilian children affected by upper respiratory tract infections. *Braz. J. Otorhinolaryngol.* **2016**, *82*, 215–222. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
44. Formánek, M.; Zeleník, K.; Komínek, P.; Matoušek, P. Diagnosis of extraesophageal reflux in children with chronic otitis media with effusion using Peptest. *Int. J. Pediatr. Otorhinolaryngol.* **2015**, *79*, 677–679. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]

45. Luo, H.-N.; Yang, Q.-M.; Sheng, Y.; Wang, Z.-H.; Zhang, Q.; Yan, J.; Hou, J.; Zhu, K.; Wang, B.-T.; Xu, Y.-L.; et al. Role of pepsin and pepsinogen: Linking laryngopharyngeal reflux with otitis media with effusion in children. *Laryngoscope* **2014**, *124*, E294–E300. [[CrossRef](#)]
46. O'Reilly, R.C.; Soundar, S.; Tonb, D.; Bolling, L.; Yoo, E.; Nadal, T.; Grindle, C.; Field, E.; He, Z. The Role of Gastric Pepsin in the Inflammatory Cascade of Pediatric Otitis Media. *JAMA Otolaryngol. Neck Surg.* **2015**, *141*, 350–357. [[CrossRef](#)]
47. Abdel-Aziz, M.M.; El-Fattah, A.M.A.; Abdalla, A.F. Clinical evaluation of pepsin for laryngopharyngeal reflux in children with otitis media with effusion. *Int. J. Pediatr. Otorhinolaryngol.* **2013**, *77*, 1765–1770. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
48. Aydın, E.; Taştan, E.; Aydoğan, F.; Arslan, N.; Karaca, G. Role of nasopharyngeal reflux in the etiology of otitis media with effusion. *J. Otolaryngol. Head Neck Surg.* **2011**, *40*, 499–503. [[PubMed](#)]
49. Klokkenburg, J.J.; Hoeve, H.L.; Francke, J.; Wieringa, M.H.; Borgstein, J.; Feenstra, L. Bile acids identified in middle ear effusions of children with otitis media with effusion. *Laryngoscope* **2009**, *119*, 396–400. [[CrossRef](#)]
50. He, Z.; O'Reilly, R.C.; Bolling, L.; Soundar, S.; Shah, M.; Cook, S.; Schmidt, R.J.; Bloedon, E.; Mehta, D.I. Detection of Gastric Pepsin in Middle Ear Fluid of Children with Otitis Media. *Otolaryngol. Neck Surg.* **2007**, *137*, 59–64. [[CrossRef](#)]
51. Crapko, M.; Kerschner, J.E.; Syring, M.; Johnston, N. Role of Extra-Esophageal Reflux in Chronic Otitis Media with Effusion. *Laryngoscope* **2007**, *117*, 1419–1423. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
52. El-Fattah, A.M.A.; Maksoud, G.A.A.; Ramadan, A.S.; Abdalla, A.F.; Aziz, M.M.A. Pepsin assay: A marker for reflux in pediatric glue ear. *Otolaryngol. Neck Surg.* **2007**, *136*, 464–470. [[CrossRef](#)]
53. Lieu, J.E.; Muthappan, P.G.; Uppaluri, R. Association of Reflux With Otitis Media in Children. *Otolaryngol. Neck Surg.* **2005**, *133*, 357–361. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
54. Keleş, B.; Oztürk, K.; Günel, E.; Arbağ, H.; Özer, B. Pharyngeal reflux in children with chronic otitis media with effusion. *Acta Oto-Laryngologica* **2004**, *124*, 1178–1181. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
55. Rožmanic, V.; Velepčic, M.; Ahel, V.; Bonifacic, D.; Velepčič, M. Prolonged Esophageal pH Monitoring in the Evaluation of Gastroesophageal Reflux in Children With Chronic Tubotympanal Disorders. *J. Pediatr. Gastroenterol. Nutr.* **2002**, *34*, 278–280. [[CrossRef](#)]
56. Nation, J.; Kaufman, M.; Allen, M.; Sheyn, A.; Coticchia, J. Incidence of gastroesophageal reflux disease and positive maxillary antral cultures in children with symptoms of chronic rhinosinusitis. *Int. J. Pediatr. Otorhinolaryngol.* **2014**, *78*, 218–222. [[CrossRef](#)]
57. Lusk, R. Pediatric chronic rhinosinusitis. *Curr. Opin. Otolaryngol. Head Neck Surg.* **2006**, *14*, 393–396. [[CrossRef](#)]
58. Steele, R.W. Rhinosinusitis in children. *Curr. Allergy Asthma Rep.* **2006**, *6*, 508–512. [[CrossRef](#)]
59. Beste, D.J.; Conley, S.F.; Brown, C.W. Gastroesophageal reflux complicating choanal atresia repair. *Int. J. Pediatr. Otorhinolaryngol.* **1994**, *29*, 51–58. [[CrossRef](#)]
60. Garland, J.; Alex, C.; Johnston, N.; Yan, J.; Werlin, S. Association between tracheal pepsin, a reliable marker of gastric aspiration, and head of bed elevation among ventilated neonates. *J. Neonatal-Perinatal Med.* **2014**, *7*, 185–192. [[CrossRef](#)]
61. Košec, A.; Žaja, O.; Matovinović, F.; Jelavić, B.; Baudoin, T. Significance of Extra-Esophageal Symptoms in Pediatric Gastroesophageal Reflux Disease. *Int. Arch. Otorhinolaryngol.* **2020**, *24*, e472–e476. [[CrossRef](#)]
62. Lupu, V.V.; Miron, I.; Tarca, E.; Trandafir, L.M.; Anton-Paduraru, D.-T.; Moisa, S.M.; Starcea, M.; Cernomaz, A.; Miron, L.; Lupu, A. Gastroesophageal Reflux in Children with Asthma. *Children* **2022**, *9*, 336. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
63. Kilic, M.; Ozturk, F.; Kirmemis, O.; Atmaca, S.; Guner, S.N.; Caltepe, G.; Sancak, R.; Kalayci, A.G. Impact of laryngopharyngeal and gastroesophageal reflux on asthma control in children. *Int. J. Pediatr. Otorhinolaryngol.* **2013**, *77*, 341–345. [[CrossRef](#)]
64. Dy, F.; Amirault, J.; Mitchell, P.D.; Rosen, R. Salivary Pepsin Lacks Sensitivity as a Diagnostic Tool to Evaluate Extraesophageal Reflux Disease. *J. Pediatr.* **2016**, *177*, 53–58. [[CrossRef](#)]
65. Baudoin, T.; Kosec, A.; Cor, I.S.; Zaja, O. Clinical features and diagnostic reliability in paediatric laryngopharyngeal reflux. *Int. J. Pediatr. Otorhinolaryngol.* **2014**, *78*, 1101–1106. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
66. Li, L.; Zhao, Y.; Ma, X.; Zhang, D.; Wu, Z.; Chen, S. Clinical manifestations in pediatric laryngopharyngeal reflux. *Lin Chung Er Bi Yan Hou Tou Jing Wai Ke Za Zhi* **2014**, *28*, 1145–1148. [[PubMed](#)]
67. Andrews, T.M.; Orobello, N. Histologic versus pH probe results in pediatric laryngopharyngeal reflux. *Int. J. Pediatr. Otorhinolaryngol.* **2013**, *77*, 813–816. [[CrossRef](#)]
68. Greifer, M.; Ng, K.; Levine, J. Impedance and extraesophageal manifestations of reflux in pediatrics. *Laryngoscope* **2012**, *122*, 1397–1400. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
69. Galli, J.; Meucci, D.; Salonna, G.; Anzivino, R.; Giorgio, V.; Trozzi, M.; Settini, S.; Tropiano, M.L.; Paludetti, G.; Bottero, S. Use OF NBI for the assessment of clinical signs of rhino-pharyngo-laryngeal reflux in pediatric age: Preliminary results. *Int. J. Pediatr. Otorhinolaryngol.* **2020**, *128*, 109733. [[CrossRef](#)]
70. Lechien, J.R. Do Otolaryngologists Over- or Underestimate Laryngopharyngeal Reflux Symptoms and Findings in Clinical Practice? A Comparison Study between the True Prevalence and the Otolaryngologist-Estimated Prevalence of Symptoms and Findings. *J. Clin. Med.* **2022**, *11*, 5192. [[CrossRef](#)]
71. Van Der Pol, R.J.; Singendonk, M.M.J.; König, A.M.; Hoeve, H.; Kammeijer, Q.; Pullens, B.; Van Spronsen, E.; Thomas, G.; Vermeeren, L.; Benninga, M.A.; et al. Development of the Reflux Finding Score for Infants and Its Observer Agreement. *J. Pediatr.* **2014**, *165*, 479–484. [[CrossRef](#)]
72. Lechien, J.R.; Bobin, F.; Muls, V.; Thill, M.; Horoi, M.; Ostermann, K.; Huet, K.; Harmegnies, B.; Dequanter, D.; Dapri, G.; et al. Validity and reliability of the reflux symptom score. *Laryngoscope* **2020**, *130*, E98–E107. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]

73. Lechien, J.R.; Ruiz, A.R.; Dequanter, D.; Bobin, F.; Mouawad, F.; Muls, V.; Huet, K.; Harmegnies, B.; Remacle, S.; Finck, C.; et al. Validity and Reliability of the Reflux Sign Assessment. *Ann. Otol. Rhinol. Laryngol.* **2019**, *129*, 313–325. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
74. Mantegazza, C.; Mallardo, S.; Rossano, M.; Meneghin, F.; Ricci, M.; Rossi, P.; Capra, G.; Latorre, P.; Schindler, A.; Isoldi, S.; et al. Laryngeal signs and pH-multichannel intraluminal impedance in infants and children: The missing ring. *Dig. Liver Dis.* **2020**, *52*, 1011–1016. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
75. Lechien, J.R.; Bobin, F.; Dapri, G.; Eisendrath, P.; Salem, C.; Mouawad, F.; Horoi, M.; Thill, M.; Dequanter, D.; Rodriguez, A.; et al. Hypopharyngeal-Esophageal Impedance-pH Monitoring Profiles of Laryngopharyngeal Reflux Patients. *Laryngoscope* **2021**, *131*, 268–276. [[CrossRef](#)]
76. Kang, J.W.; Lee, Y.C.; Ko, S.-G.; Eun, Y.-G. The key timing of pharyngeal reflux in patients with laryngopharyngeal reflux. *Auris Nasus Larynx* **2022**, S0385-8146(22)00221-8. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
77. Li, Y.; Xu, G.; Zhou, B.; Tang, Y.; Liu, X.; Wu, Y.; Wang, Y.; Kong, J.; Xu, T.; He, C.; et al. Effects of acids, pepsin, bile acids, and trypsin on laryngopharyngeal reflux diseases: Physiopathology and therapeutic targets. *Eur. Arch. Oto-Rhino-Laryngology* **2022**, *279*, 2743–2752. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
78. Lechien, J.R.; Bobin, F.; Muls, V.; Mouawad, F.; Dequanter, D.; Horoi, M.; Thill, M.; Ruiz, A.R.; Saussez, S. The efficacy of a personalised treatment depending on the characteristics of reflux at multichannel intraluminal impedance-pH monitoring in patients with acid, non-acid and mixed laryngopharyngeal reflux. *Clin. Otolaryngol.* **2021**, *46*, 602–613. [[CrossRef](#)]
79. Kamal, A.N.; Dhar, S.I.; Bock, J.M.; Clarke, J.O.; Lechien, J.R.; Allen, J.; Belafsky, P.C.; Blumin, J.H.; Chan, W.W.; Fass, R.; et al. Best Practices in Treatment of Laryngopharyngeal Reflux Disease: A Multidisciplinary Modified Delphi Study. *Dig. Dis. Sci.* **2022**, 1–14. [[CrossRef](#)]
80. Ulualp, S.O.; Rodriguez, S.; Cunningham, S.; Shen, J. Pharyngeal pH monitoring in infants with laryngitis. *Otolaryngol. Neck Surg.* **2007**, *137*, 776–779. [[CrossRef](#)]
81. Baird, D.C.; Harker, D.J.; Karmes, A.S. Diagnosis and Treatment of Gastroesophageal Reflux in Infants and Children. *Am. Fam. Physician* **2015**, *92*, 705–714.
82. Haddad, H.A.; He, Z.; Shaffer, S.E.; Molle-Rios, Z.L. Salivary pepsin A detection related to gastro-oesophageal reflux episodes in children undergoing impedance probe monitoring. *Acta Paediatr.* **2020**, *109*, 2374–2379. [[CrossRef](#)]
83. Fortunato, J.E.; D’Agostino, R.B.; Lively, M.O. Pepsin in saliva as a biomarker for oropharyngeal reflux compared with 24-hour esophageal impedance/pH monitoring in pediatric patients. *Neurogastroenterol. Motil.* **2017**, *29*, e12936. [[CrossRef](#)]
84. Woodland, P.; Singendonk, M.M.; Ooi, J.; Nikaki, K.; Wong, T.; Lee, C.; Glasinovic, E.; Koning, R.; Lutter, R.; Benninga, M.A.; et al. Measurement of Salivary Pepsin to Detect Gastroesophageal Reflux Disease Is Not Ready for Clinical Application. *Clin. Gastroenterol. Hepatol.* **2019**, *17*, 563–565. [[CrossRef](#)]
85. Ma, S.D.; Patel, V.G.; Greytak, M.E.; Rubin, J.; Kaizer, A.M.; Yadlapati, R.H. Diagnostic thresholds and optimal collection protocol of salivary pepsin for gastroesophageal reflux disease. *Dis. Esophagus* **2022**, doac063. [[CrossRef](#)]
86. Zhang, J.; Li, J.; Zhang, Y.; Nie, Q.; Zhang, R.; Wang, X.; Jiang, X.; Wu, Y.; Wu, R.; Bi, X.; et al. Multitime point pepsin testing can double the rate of the diagnosis of laryngopharyngeal reflux. *Laryngoscope Investig. Otolaryngol.* **2021**, *6*, 1389–1394. [[CrossRef](#)]
87. Wang, J.; Li, J.; Nie, Q.; Zhang, R. Are Multiple Tests Necessary for Salivary Pepsin Detection in the Diagnosis of Laryngopharyngeal Reflux? *Otolaryngol. Neck Surg.* **2022**, *166*, 477–481. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
88. Zalvan, C.H.; Hu, S.; Greenberg, B.; Geliebter, J. A Comparison of Alkaline Water and Mediterranean Diet vs Proton Pump Inhibition for Treatment of Laryngopharyngeal Reflux. *JAMA Otolaryngol. Neck Surg.* **2017**, *143*, 1023–1029. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
89. Zhang, M.; Chia, C.; Stanley, C.; Phyland, D.J.; Paddle, P.M. Diagnostic Utility of Salivary Pepsin as Compared With 24-Hour Dual pH/Impedance Probe in Laryngopharyngeal Reflux. *Otolaryngol. Neck Surg.* **2021**, *164*, 375–380. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
90. De Corso, E.; Baroni, S.; Salonna, G.; Marchese, M.; Graziadio, M.; Di Cintio, G.; Paludetti, G.; Costamagna, G.; Galli, J. Impact of bile acids on the severity of laryngo-pharyngeal reflux. *Clin. Otolaryngol.* **2021**, *46*, 189–195. [[CrossRef](#)]
91. Lechien, J.R.; De Vos, N.; Everard, A.; Saussez, S. Laryngopharyngeal reflux: The microbiota theory. *Med. Hypotheses* **2021**, *146*, 110460. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
92. Woodland, P.; Lee, C.; Duraisamy, Y.; Farré, R.; Dettmar, P.; Sifrim, D. Assessment and protection of esophageal mucosal integrity in patients with heartburn without esophagitis. *Am. J. Gastroenterol.* **2013**, *108*, 535–543. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
93. Wang, A.M.; Wang, G.; Huang, N.; Zheng, Y.Y.; Yang, F.; Qiu, X.; Chen, X.M. Association between laryngopharyngeal reflux disease and autonomic nerve dysfunction. *Eur. Arch. Oto-Rhino-Laryngology* **2019**, *276*, 2283–2287. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
94. Lechien, J.R.; Bobin, F.; Muls, V.; Horoi, M.; Thill, M.-P.; Dequanter, D.; Rodriguez, A.; Saussez, S. Patients with acid, high-fat and low-protein diet have higher laryngopharyngeal reflux episodes at the impedance-pH monitoring. *Eur. Arch. Oto-Rhino-Laryngology* **2020**, *277*, 511–520. [[CrossRef](#)]
95. Yourkavitch, J.; Zadrozny, S.; Flax, V.L. Reflux Incidence among Exclusively Breast Milk Fed Infants: Differences of Feeding at Breast versus Pumped Milk. *Children* **2016**, *3*, 18. [[CrossRef](#)]
96. Chevalier, I.; Beck, C.E.; Doré-Bergeron, M.J.; Orkin, J. Medical management of gastro-esophageal reflux in healthy infants. *Paediatr. Child Health* **2022**, *27*, 503–506. [[CrossRef](#)]
97. Cresi, F.; Maggiora, E.; Pirra, A.; Tonetto, P.; Rubino, C.; Cavallarin, L.; Giribaldi, M.; Moro, G.E.; Peila, C.; Coscia, A. Effects on Gastroesophageal Reflux of Donkey Milk-Derived Human Milk Fortifier Versus Standard Fortifier in Preterm Newborns: Additional Data from the FortiLat Study. *Nutrients* **2020**, *12*, 2142. [[CrossRef](#)]

98. Meyer, T.K.; Olsen, E.; Merati, A. Contemporary diagnostic and management techniques for extraesophageal reflux disease. *Curr. Opin. Otolaryngol. Head Neck Surg.* **2004**, *12*, 519–524. [[CrossRef](#)]
99. Krause, A.J.; Walsh, E.H.; Weissbrod, P.A.; Taft, T.H.; Yadlapati, R. An update on current treatment strategies for laryngopharyngeal reflux symptoms. *Ann. N. Y. Acad. Sci.* **2022**, *1510*, 5–17. [[CrossRef](#)]
100. Guo, H.; Ma, H.; Wang, J. Proton Pump Inhibitor Therapy for the Treatment of Laryngopharyngeal Reflux: A Meta-Analysis of Randomized Controlled Trials. *J. Clin. Gastroenterol.* **2016**, *50*, 295–300. [[CrossRef](#)]
101. Snow, G.; Dhar, S.I.; Akst, L.M. How to Understand and Treat Laryngopharyngeal Reflux. *Gastroenterol. Clin. N. Am.* **2021**, *50*, 871–884. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
102. Jadcherla, S.R.; Hasenstab, K.A.; Wei, L.; Osborn, E.K.; Viswanathan, S.; Gulati, I.K.; Slaughter, J.L.; Di Lorenzo, C. Role of feeding strategy bundle with acid-suppressive therapy in infants with esophageal acid reflux exposure: A randomized controlled trial. *Pediatr. Res.* **2021**, *89*, 645–652. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
103. Zoizner-Agar, G.; Rotsides, J.M.; Shao, Q.; Rickert, S.; Ward, R.; Greifer, M.; April, M. Proton pump inhibitor administration in neonates and infants. Lack of consensus—An ASPO survey. *Int. J. Pediatr. Otorhinolaryngol.* **2020**, *137*, 110200. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]

Disclaimer/Publisher’s Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.