





Capacity of *Caulerpa lentillifera* in the Removal of Fish Culture Effluent in a Recirculating Aquaculture System

B. V. A. S. Manori Bambaranda ^{1,2,*}, Takuji W. Tsusaka ¹, Anong Chirapart ³, Krishna R. Salin ⁴ and Nophea Sasaki ^{1,*}

- ¹ Department of Development and Sustainability, School of Environment, Resources and Development, Asian Institute of Technology, Pathumthani 12120, Thailand
- ² Department of Animal Science, Faculty of Animal Science and Export Agriculture, Uva Wellassa University, Passara Road, Badulla 9000, Sri Lanka
- ³ Department of Fishery Biology, Faculty of Fisheries, Kasetsart University, Bangkok 10900, Thailand
- ⁴ Department of Food, Agriculture and Bioresources, School of Environment, Resources and Development, Asian Institute of Technology, Pathumthani 12120, Thailand
- * Correspondence: bvmanori@gmail.com (B.V.A.S.M.B.); nopheas@ait.asia (N.S.); Tel.: +66-2-524-5778 (N.S.)

Received: 7 June 2019; Accepted: 27 June 2019; Published: 10 July 2019



Abstract: Aquaculture is one of the fastest growing food producing industries in the world. Aquaculture effluent contains high concentrations of inorganic nutrients. Reduction of these inorganic nutrients in aquaculture effluent is crucial for fulfilling the effluent standards or reuse of aquaculture effluent. This study investigated the effective use of green macroalga Caulerpa lentillifera as a bioremediatory species for nutrient removal from aquaculture effluent by conducting an on-station experiment and measurements. The effluent of a fish culture unit was circulated through a macroalgal culture unit every four days for a total of 60 days, allowing 15 circulations. Concentrations of inorganic nutrients (NO₂⁻-N, NO₃⁻-N, NH₃-N, and PO₄³⁻) were measured in the integrated system consisting of a fish unit, settling unit, macroalgal unit and extra tank for water circulation in triplicates. Multiple linear regression analysis revealed that the application of the bioremediation system led to a significant reduction in nutrient concentrations within one day, and slightly further in the following two days. On average over the 15 circulations, the first one day of application decreased the concentrations of NO₂⁻-N, NO₃⁻-N, NH₃-N, and PO₄³⁻ by 0.247 mg/L, 81.6 mg/L, 0.682 mg/L, and 0.352 mg/L, respectively. Furthermore, the C:N ratio of macroalgae decreased during the 60-day application period, providing evidence of the nutrient uptake by macroalgae. Based on the European Union (EU) standard and quality criteria of France and the Joint FAO/WHO Expert Committee (JFWEC), the macroalgae grown in the integrated system were at the safe level for human consumption in terms of contents of Cd, Pb, and As. The results of our study imply that recirculating aquaculture systems utilizing C. lentillifera for biofiltration have the potential for effective treatment of aquaculture effluent integrating fish and macroalgae production.

Keywords: effluent treatment; bioremediation; recirculating aquaculture system; heavy metal; multiple regression

1. Introduction

Aquaculture production involves the use of different types of water resources, namely freshwater, brackish water, and seawater resources. Globally, both inland and marine aquaculture production are increasing rapidly to fulfill the growing demand for fishery products [1]. The rapid growth of aquaculture means greater demand for water resources, and the shortage of water has become a limiting

Therefore, it is crucial to apply different culture methods and techniques for the efficient use of water resources in aquaculture [3].

Aquaculture production can use monoculture (culturing single species) or polyculture (co-culture of multiple species). Aquaculture systems are classified as extensive, semi-intensive, and intensive farming based on culture [3]. While environmentally compatible traditional aquaculture had fewer negative effects on the environments in the past [4], the current practices of intensive aquaculture require relatively high quantities of inputs such as feed, fertilizer, and chemicals, emitting considerable amounts of waste, causing environmental issues [2,5–7].

Aquaculture effluent contains high concentrations of nitrogen (N) and phosphorous (P) as dissolved nutrients [8,9]. These nutrients originate from pond fertilization, feed, and metabolic processes. Accumulation of large amounts of plant nutrients such as phosphorous and nitrogen results in harmful algal blooms and deterioration of water quality [10]. This condition contributes to an imbalance in primary and secondary productivity and creating negative impacts on the structure and function of aquatic ecosystems [11]. Environmental impacts of eutrophication include hypoxia (low oxygen level), which may result in a reduction in the population of fish and other aquatic animals [12]. Eutrophication has, therefore, become a major environmental concern in many countries. Hence, the implementation of effective effluent treatment and investigation of methods for increasing water-use efficiency are essential for the sustainability and development of the aquaculture sector [13]. The reduction in nutrient concentrations through appropriate effluent treatment [7,9].Removal of inorganic nutrients from aquaculture effluent is vital to meet the environmental regulations [14,15].

To mitigate the negative impacts of aquaculture effluent, integration of pisciculture with macroalgal culture has been explored in different countries as a way to remove the high concentration of inorganic nutrients from effluent. Land-based recirculating aquaculture systems (RASs) are considered as a sustainable approach in aquaculture [3,16,17]. It is vital to popularize RASs considering the economic benefits and environmental sustainability of the industry [7]. The significance of this culture method is the ability to operate independently from natural environments allowing the production of most aquaculture species regardless of their natural distribution and habitat conditions [18].

Integration of fed fish with extractive species at different trophic levels is being practiced as an effective polyculture system to mitigate the impact of aquaculture effluent referred to as Integrated Multi-Trophic Aquaculture (IMTA) [3,19,20]. Extractive species include filter feeders (e.g., mussels), deposit feeders (e.g., sea urchins), and macroalgae (e.g., kelps). The filter feeders and deposit feeders use the organic particulate nutrients (uneaten feed and feces) for nourishment, while the macroalgal extract the inorganic dissolved nutrients (e.g., nitrogen and phosphorus) that are produced by the other farmed species. Essentially, extractive species act as biofilters. The ability of biofilters to recycle the nutrients (or wastes) that are present in and around aquaculture farms helps growers improve the environmental performance of their aquaculture sites. Besides, the extractive species chosen for an IMTA site are selected for their value as marketable products, providing extra economic benefits to farmers [20]. However, integrating several species is a complicated process which must consider many factors for each component of the system. Furthermore, it has been suggested that site-specific practices be implemented in IMTA systems, highlighting challenges in their application [21].

Use of macroalgae for effluent treatment is regarded as a prominent approach, and previous studies have demonstrated that some *Caulerpa* species have the potential for bioremediation of intensive tank-based aquaculture due to the luxury uptake and fast growth rates [22]. Integrated cultivation of macroalgae with aquatic animals has been suggested as a potential bioremediation method which can increase economic benefits through species diversification [10,20]. In particular, [23] reported that Chlorophyte was more effective than Rhodophyte in treating fish culture effluent using tank-cultured macroalgae. *Caulerpa lentillifera* J. Agardh is a potential bioremediatory species for removal of dissolved, inorganic nutrients such as nitrogen and phosphorus [2,22].

The main purpose of this study was to assess the effectiveness of phytoremediation of *C. lentillifera* and to design an integrated recirculation system using fish and macroalgae. To achieve this objective, experiments were conducted using an integrated circulation system of *C. lentillifera* and a fish species as an instrument to reduce effluent discharge and increase water use efficiency.

The study adopted an on-station experiment to understand the bioremediation process and the water quality management, production of macroalgae and fish under outdoor conditions prior to implementing in an on-farm environment. The findings from this study are expected to inform dissemination of the emerging method for mitigation of water pollution caused by aquaculture effluent [9,16].

Poecilia latipinna (Lesueur), commonly called Sailfin molly, is a popular ornamental fish species [24]. Sailfin molly is a livebearer, and this species can survive in wide ranges of environmental conditions including varying salinity levels (0–80 ppt) [25]. Sailfin molly can be naturally observed in habitats such as lakes, ponds, streams, salt marshes, estuaries, and coastal waters with different salinity levels [25,26].

C. lentillifera is an economically important, fast-growing green macroalgal species found naturally in many countries, and its commercial cultivation is widely practiced [27–30]. Moreover, the species is cultivated by many shrimp farmers in their culture ponds for wastewater treatment purposes [27]. However, farmers face difficulties with the utilization of this species in optimizing the bioremediation process because of insufficient knowledge regarding biophysical conditions that need to be maintained for efficient effluent treatment processes and the difficulty in fulfilling the requirements for effluent control standards [31]. This species is resistant to a wide range of salinity [32] and adaptable to a variety of environments [30]. It is reported to have high nutrient uptake capacity as a bioremediator for marine fish aquaculture effluent treatment [2]. Previous studies of nutrient uptake by *C. lentillifera* have focused on comparing the advantages of its use with some other red and brown algae as biofilters [2,29]. To fill this knowledge gap, *C. lentillifera* was selected as the target macroalgal species for this study, which was conducted under outdoor conditions.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Fish Collection and Acclimatization for Experiment

Sailfin mollies (P. latipinna) 3–5 cm size in freshwater (of 0–0.5 ppt salinity) were procured from an ornamental fish dealer in Bangkok, and the study was performed at the Algal Bioresources Research Center, Kasetsart University, Thailand from May to July 2018. Three aerated plastic tanks filled with 150 L volume of water were arranged as fish rearing tanks and 40 P. latipinna individuals were stocked per tank [25]. Fish acclimatization was conducted by adding filtered, concentrated seawater purchased from a salt farm located in Samutsakorn province, Thailand for 18 days by raising water salinity gradually from 0 to 30 ppt (+5 ppt every three days). Three outdoor plastic tanks (1 m \times 0.6 m \times 0.5 m size) were filled with 0 ppt, filtered (using a polyester fiber filter), 150 L water. Each tank was aerated with filtered air using a diaphragm blower and provided 50% of aeration rate using air controllers and air stones. Fish were fed twice a day with Sakura floating type fish feed at 4% of their body weight, and uneaten feed was removed from the fish tanks after approximately 5-7 min using a fine fish hand net. Ten fish from each of the three fish culture units were weighed to calculate the feeding rate. The fish weight was measured every ten days and fish feed amounts were adjusted according to the body weight of the fish. Bottom siphoning of the tanks was done once a day and tanks were refilled with filtered seawater to maintain the total volume of water in each tank at 150 L. The selected salinity level for the integrated system was 30 ppt based on the maximum nutrient uptake, preferred salinity level of *C. lentillifera*, and salinity tolerance of *P. latipinna* [30,33,34].

2.2. Macroalgal Collection and Preparation for the Experiment

The macroalga *C. lentillifera* used for the experiment was collected from a commercial farm located in Petchaburi province, Thailand in June 2018 and were transported to Algal Bioresources Research

Center, Kasetsart University, Thailand. The macroalgae were rinsed with filtered seawater and checked for quality, and visible epiphytes were removed. Macroalgae were selected and precultured in an aerated tank filled with filtered 30 ppt seawater.

2.3. Fish–Seaweed Integrated System

The fish and macroalgae integrated recirculating system was designed based on the results of laboratory experiments conducted by Bambaranda et al. [34] who studied the optimum levels of seaweed density, salinity, and aeration for maximizing uptake of NO_2^--N , NO_3^--N , NH_3-N , and PO_4^{3-} by *C. lentillifera* from aquaculture effluent obtained from *P. latipinna* (sailfin molly) rearing tanks. They identified the critical levels of macroalgal density and salinity levels to maximize the uptake of each inorganic nutrient, which were approximately 30 g/L macroalgal density and 30 ppt salinity level. The water salinity was maintained using concentrated seawater purchased from a salt farm located in Samutsakorn province. These conditions were applied to the outdoor experiment in the current study to run the integrated circulation system. In addition, water motion or bubbling is crucial for the nutrient uptake and macroalgal growth [35], and aeration showed a positive effect on nutrient uptake by *C. lentillifera* in laboratory experiments [34]. Therefore, macroalgal culture units were aerated using fish culture units, and seaweed units were aerated using air stones.

The integrated circulation system was designed by scaling the water volume up to 150 L under outdoor conditions. The system had three main components: fish culture unit, settling unit, and macroalgal culture unit (Figure 1), and three replicates were used in parallel for the experiment. Each unit was a 1 m \times 0.6 m \times 0.5 m size rectangular shape plastic tank and 40 acclimatized *P. latipinna* individuals were stocked in each tank. Polyester fiber sheeting was placed at the bottom of the settling unit to facilitate the sedimentation of solid particles. *C. lentillifera* was cultured in three small bamboo cages of triangular shape at 25 cm height, placed in the macroalgal culture unit, where 4.5 kg of *C. lentillifera* was stocked per tank and maintained. Macroalgae were left to grow under natural light. There was sufficient illumination because transparent sheets were set at the roof.



1 m

Figure 1. Diagram of flow patterns in a replicate of the fish macroalgae integrated recirculating aquaculture system.

Each of the three replicates underwent 15 circulations of water. Therefore, there were 45 circulations in total for which the same set of measurements were conducted, which allowed us to assess the repeatability of the results.

Water circulation among the units was done every four days by siphoning water manually. The water circulation began with the macroalgal culture unit. The water from this unit was transferred to the extra tank first. Then the water in the settling unit was transferred to the macroalgal culture unit. The water volume in this unit was maintained at 150 L. As the next step, the water from the fish culture unit was transferred to the setting unit. During this transfer, the water level was maintained at 5 cm for fish and 80% of the water from the fish culture unit was recirculated among the units. As the final step, the water in the extra unit was transferred to the fish culture unit. In each of the three replicates of the fish culture unit, the number of fish was maintained at 40. The fries were removed from the unit twice a day. This circulation procedure was implemented for 60 days.

The concentrations of the four nutrient components ($NO_2^{-}-N$, $NO_3^{-}-N$, NH_3-N , and PO_4^{3-}) in the fish culture units exceeded the threshold levels of effluent standards after seven days of fish culture. In Thailand, the maximum permitted values of NH_3-N (ammonia nitrogen) and total phosphorus are 1.1 mg-N/L and 0.4 mg-P/L, respectively [36]. After seven days, water began to be circulated among the units of the integrated system (i.e., the first circulation). Water from the fish culture unit was transferred through the settling units and macroalgal culture units, being kept in each unit for three days as described by Chirapart and Lewmanomont [37]. The fish culture unit was bottom siphoned daily, and refilled adding 30 ppt water prepared using filtered, concentrated seawater.

Maintenance of water quality (physical, chemical, and biological characteristics) in culture systems is crucial for the production and survival in aquaculture [15,20,35]. During the study period, biological, physical, and chemical parameters were monitored. Growth rates and production of fish and macroalgae were measured once every ten days. The macroalgae in each of the three macroalgal units were measured and adjusted to the initial weight by removing the excess mass of macroalgae [37]. Water quality parameters, temperature, dissolved oxygen (DO), pH, and inorganic nutrient concentrations (NO₂⁻-N, NO₃⁻-N, NH₃-N,) of the system were measured daily. Monitoring of the water quality parameters and collection of water samples to determine the nutrient concentrations were performed at 9.00 a.m. before feeding and siphoning. The water samples were filtered through GF/C Whatman filter papers (0.45 μ m). The concentrations of nitrite (NO₂⁻), nitrate (NO₃⁻), ammonia (NH₃), and phosphate (PO₄³⁻) were determined according to the standard spectrophotometric methods, namely, the cadmium reduction method, naphthylethylenediamine method, phenate method, and ascorbic acid method, respectively [38]. The initial and final C:N ratios were determined using macroalgal tissues from initial macroalgal stock (initial C:N ratio) and macroalgae from the integrated system at the end of the experiment as the final C:N ratio. Fresh tissues were dried at 85 °C for 24 h and then stored in a dry place until C and N determinations at the Laboratory of Forest Soil, Faculty of Forestry, Kasetsart University, Thailand. Carbon and N tissue contents were determined using a Perkin Elmer Model 2400 CHN analyzer.

The initial and final heavy metal contents (Pb, As, and Cd) were measured, and the fecal coliform test was performed using fresh macroalgal materials at the Central Laboratory, Thailand. The concentrations of Pb, As, and Cd were determined using the AOAC (Association of Official Agricultural Chemists) (2016) method.

2.4. Statistical Analysis

Nutrient concentration in the macroalgae unit was mainly used as the outcome variables in the analysis to determine the effects of the circulation system on nutrient removal. For descriptive analysis, the mean concentration of each nutrient was averaged over the 15 circulations and plotted for each day for macroalgae units in line graphs to see how the concentration changed from day to day within the four-day cycle. Likewise, the concentration was averaged over the four days and plotted for each circulation in line graphs to see if there was any change in concentration from circulation to circulation.

For inferential analysis, the ANOVA (Analysis of Variance) test was used. While the concentrations of $NO_2^{-}-N$, $NO_3^{-}-N$, NH_3-N , and PO_4^{3-} were expected to significantly reduce from Day 0 to Day 1, changes thereafter remain uncertain. Therefore, the ANOVA test examined the mean difference in concentration across Day 1, Day 2, and Day 3, as well as the mean difference among the 15 circulations.

To formally determine the effects of the integrated circulation system on the concentration of each nutrient, multiple linear regression analysis [39] was employed to control for covariates. In our case, the model utilized a series of dummy variables to estimate the effects of the system for each day and each circulation. Specifically, the model included dummies for Day 1, Day 2, and Day 3, where Day 0 was taken as the base category, and a series of dummies for Circulation 1 and Circulations 3–15, where Circulation 2 was taken as the base category. The latter was considered because the descriptive result suggested relatively low concentrations at Circulation 2 compared to the rest. That is, if differences between Circulation 2 and the other circulations were insignificant, we could conclude that there is no significant difference between any other pair of circulations.

$$y_{i} = \beta_{0} + \beta_{1} x_{1i} + \beta_{2} x_{2i} + \beta_{3} x_{3i} + \beta_{4} x_{4i} + \beta_{5} x_{5i} + \dots + \beta_{17} x_{17i} + \varepsilon_{i}$$
(1)

where i refers to Observation ID (i = 1, 2, 3, ..., 180 = 4 days × 15 circulation × 3 replications), y is the nutrient concentration (mg/L), x_1 is the dummy variable for Day 1 (i.e., the variable takes the value of one for observations made on Day 1, and zero otherwise), x_2 and x_3 are Day 2 and Day 3 dummies, respectively, x_4 is the 1st circulation dummy, x_5 to x_{17} are the 3rd to 15th circulation dummies, and ε is the random error term. Furthermore, to account for any autocorrelation within the day specific time series, the error term was clustered on each day, rendering the cluster-robust to standard errors [40].

The weights of fish and macroalgae were measured every ten days. Macroalgae were restocked to the same initial weight, following the method described by Chirapart and Lewmanomont [37] and growth performance of fish was evaluated using Formulas (3) and (4):

The average daily growth rate (ADG) of fish:

$$ADG = \frac{(DW1 - DW0)}{T}$$
(2)

where DW1 is the mean final weight of fish (g), DW0 is the mean initial weight of fish (g), and T is time (days).

Survival rate (%) =
$$\frac{\text{Number of fish alive}}{\text{Number of fish stocked}} \times 100.$$
 (3)

The relative daily growth rates (RGR) for macroalgae were calculated according to the following equation [41,42].

$$RGR = \left\{ \left(\frac{Wt}{W0} \right) / t - 1 \right\}$$
(4)

where Wt is the final fresh weight, W0 is the initial fresh weight, and t is time (days).

Data analysis was performed using STATA 15 and MS Excel [43].

3. Results and Discussions

3.1. Nutrient Removal

Figure 2 shows that on Day 0 the mean concentrations were $0.52 \pm 0.03 \text{ mg/L}$, NO_2^--N ; $121.6 \pm 2.15 \text{ mg/L}$, NO_3^--N ; $1.27 \pm 0.04 \text{ mg/L}$ NH_3 -N; and $0.4 \pm 0.01 \text{ mg/L}$ PO_4^{3-} . These concentrations decreased to $0.10 \pm 0.01 \text{ mg/L}$, NO_2^--N ; $38.1 \pm 1.55 \text{ mg/L}$, NO_3^--N ; $0.61 \pm 0.03 \text{ mg/L}$ NH_3 -N; and $0.1 \pm 0.01 \text{ mg/L}$ PO_4^{3-} by Day 1, respectively. The mean concentrations of all these nutrients slightly decreased further on the following two days. In fact, in each circulation, the nutrient concentrations in the macroalgae culture unit were highest on Day 0, which was due to the effluent that had been generated in the fish culture unit and transferred from the settling unit.



Figure 2. Mean concentrations of (**A**) nitrite nitrogen (NO₂⁻-N); (**B**) nitrate nitrogen (NO₃⁻-N); (**C**) ammonia nitrogen (NH₃-N); and (**D**) phosphate (PO₄³⁻) on Days 0, 1, 2, and 3 with the standard deviation range (n = 15). The *p* values are for ANOVA for Day 1 to Day 3.

The average concentrations of the four nutrients in each circulation over the three replicates during the study period are shown in Figure 3. There were statistically significant differences found in concentrations among the 15 circulations as a whole, for each of the four nutrients.

Table 1 presents the effects of the integrated circulation system on nutrient concentration for each day of the four-day cycle and for each circulation. The effects were highly significant for all the four nutrients and for all the three days after the macroalgae were applied. The negative coefficients mean that the concentrations were reduced compared to Day 0. For example, the NO_2^- -N concentration in Day 1 was lower by 0.2469 mg/L on average, holding other variables unchanged. The differences in nutrient concentrations between Circulation 2 and each of the 14 other circulations were generally insignificant, except that the NH₃-N concentration was significantly higher in Circulation 1. This must be due to the water of the fish culture unit that was circulated among the units of the integrated system after seven days of the acclimatization.



Figure 3. Mean concentrations of (**A**) nitrite nitrogen (NO₂⁻-N); (**B**) nitrate nitrogen (NO₃⁻-N); (**C**) ammonia nitrogen (NH₃-N); and (**D**) phosphate (PO₄³⁻) in macroalgal units at each circulation (n = 3). The *p* values are for ANOVA.

Explanatory	Estimated Coefficient (<i>p</i> -Value)			
Dummy Variable	NO ₂ ⁻ -N	NO ₃ ⁻ -N	NH ₃ -N	PO4 ³⁻
Day 1	-0.2469 ***	-81.5565 ***	-0.6818 ***	-0.3518 ***
	(0.000)	(0.000)	(0.000)	(0.000)
Day 2	-0.2784 ***	-85.8658 ***	-0.7073 ***	-0.3603 ***
	(0.000)	(0.000)	(0.000)	(0.000)
Day 3	-0.2887 ***	-85.9076 ***	-0.7590 ***	-0.3555 ***
	(0.000)	(0.000)	(0.000)	(0.000)
Cir 1	0.0246	-8.18812	0.3905 ***	0.0363
	(0.635)	(0.383)	(0.000)	(0.302)
Cir 3 Cir 4	-0.0519 *	-10.7429	-0.0765	0.0036
	0.025((0.100)	(0.299)	0.917)
	-0.0356 (0.192)	-4.5132 (0.489)	-0.0660 (0.448)	-0.0270 (0.280)
Cir 5	_0.0212	-6.0903	_0 1019	
	(0.378)	(0.369)	(0.323)	(0.751)
Cir 6	-0.012	-5.5377	-0.9706	0.0038
	(0.397)	(0.381)	(0.167)	(0.883)
Cir 7	-0.0269	-7.2144	-0.1177 *	-0.0149
	(0.265)	(0.257)	(0.090)	(0.553)
Cir 8	-0.0484 *	-5.6492	-0.0597	-0.0111
	(0.050)	(0.380)	(0.362)	(0.676)
Cir 9	-0.0315	-5.5104	-0.3198	0.0114
	(0.216)	(0.410)	(0.656)	(0.686)
Cir 10	-0.0341	-3.3290	-0.0400	0.0131
	(0.163)	(0.397)	(0.541)	(0.659)
Cir 11	-0.0276	-3.3590	0.0237	-0.0006
	(0.249)	(0.660)	(0.736)	(0.988)
Cir 12	-0.0146	1.4200	0.0050	0.0365
	(0.545)	(0.454)	(0.943)	(0.168)
Cir 13	-0.0085	-5.4905	0.0200	0.0161
Cir 14	0.0121	7.4010	0.007(0.0207
	(0.609)	(0.296)	-0.0076	(0.303)
Cir 15	0.0019	-7.0415	-0.0240	0.034
	(0.941)	(0.273)	(0.725)	(0.187)
Constant	-1.4850 ***	-1.9868 ***	-1.6456 ***	-2.1498 ***
	(0.003)	(0.001)	(0.001)	(0.000)
Goodness of fit	F-statistic = 66.96 ***	F-statistic = 126.40 ***	F-statistic = 71.87 ***	F-statistic = 69.39 ***
	(p = 0.000)	(p = 0.000)	(p = 0.000)	(p = 0.000)
	$R^2 = 0.9552$	$R^2 = 0.9765$	$R^2 = 0.9640$	$R^2 = 0.9655$

Table 1. Multiple linear regression results for the effects of the integrated circulation system on nutrient concentration (n = 60 = 4 days × 15 circulations).

Dependent variable: nutrient concentration (mg/L). Model: ordinary least squares (OLS) with cluster-robust standard errors. The reference category for the day dummies is Day 0. The reference category for the circulation dummies is Circulation 2. *** and * indicate p < 0.01 and p < 0.10, respectively. Source: Authors' experiment and analysis.

After the acclimatization, another seven days were spent circulating water among the units to increase the nutrient content in the effluent to minimize the possible nutrient limitations for macroalgal growth. The significant difference in NH₃ concentration between Circulations 1 and 2 may be due to the effluent collection from the fish culture unit seven days after the completion of the acclimatization process, by which time the dissolved nutrient concentrations exceeded the standards in Thailand [34]. The initial results were not stable, but the system seemed to have become stable after several circulations of nutrient uptake from effluent, particularly after Circulation 8 all the way up to Circulation 15. This

implies that the repeatability of the measurements was sufficiently high after eight circulations in this system.

3.2. Fish Growth and Production

The fish grew from 0.9 ± 0.12 g/d to 2.9 ± 0.15 g/d during the experimental period. The maximum average daily weight gain (ADG) of fish was observed (0.06 g/d) on Day 20 of the 60-day period and after that, ADG slightly declined during the rest of the study period which was when water was circulated within a four-day period (Figure 4). Survival percentage during the first seven days was 75.6% and during the period of Day 7 to Day 60, it was 96.8%. Fry production of the system was observed after Day 14 and the total number of fry production during the study period was 348.



Figure 4. Average daily weight gain (ADG) of *Poecilia latipinna*. The weight of fish samples was measured in 10 days to avoid the possible stress condition, which might have occurred if the fish were measured frequently.

3.3. Macroalgae Growth

The maximum relative growth rate (RGR) for the macroalgae was observed on Day 20 (Figure 5). However, the RGR decreased rapidly until Day 40. The RGR for the whole study period was 2.7 g/d.





The RGR of macroalgae registered peak values on Day 20 and decreased thereafter. Possible reasons include the reduction in nutrient content in effluent due to the slow growth of fish after Day 20 and the self-shading with the high growth rates of *C. lentillifera* [25–28]. Another reason may be that the optimum growth of macroalgae requires specific chemical, biological, and environmental conditions [33]. Therefore, for the commercial use of the fish and macroalgae integrated system, it is important to consider the factors affecting the growth of both fish and macroalgae, as well as the nutrient requirements. The maximum macroalgal growth found on Day 20 with the RGR being 4.29% per day was comparable with a previous study by Mosquera-Murillo and Pena-Salamanca [44] which found that during 24 days of culture, RGRs of *Caulerpa sertularioides* were 4.82% per day at 25 ppt salinity.

A decrease in C:N ratio is considered as an indicator of nutrient uptake by macroalgae [45]. In the present study, the initial C:N ratio was 10.14 ± 0.14 and the final was 8.09 ± 0.16 . Therefore, the nutrient uptake by *C. lentillifera* was supported by the decrease in C:N ratio [2,20]. The C:N ratio also helps determine whether the macroalgae are faced with a condition of deficiency in N. When it exceeds 15, it means that N is limited. In our study, both the initial and final C:N ratios indicate that there was no N limitation for seaweed growth [35,46].

The study revealed that green seaweed *C. lentillifera* is a promising candidate for bioremediation of aquaculture effluents due to its high growth rates and nutrient removal efficiencies and can be used to reduce the concentrations of NO_2^- -N, NO_3^- -N, NH_3 -N, and PO_4^{3-} to below acceptable levels [34]. Furthermore, this study revealed that the highly salinity tolerant fish species such as *P. latipinna* (Sailfin mollies) could be cultured by integrating macroalgae in order to decrease inorganic nutrient discharge to the environments with enhanced efficiency in water resource use.

3.4. Water Quality and the Water Consumption of the Culture System

Water salinity in each tank was maintained at 30 ppt. Thus, there was no significant difference (p > 0.15) in water quality parameters (water temperature, dissolved oxygen (DO), pH during the study period. The water temperature in the system fluctuated between 27 °C and 28 °C, averaged at 27.2 ± 0.03 °C. Average DO in the fish unit, settling unit, and macroalgae unit were 4.54 ± 0.05 mg/L, 2.17 ± 0.06 mg/L, and 4.46 ± 0.04 mg/L, respectively. The average pH in the fish unit, settling unit, and macroalgae unit were 8.23 ± 0.01 mg/L, 8.11 ± 002 mg/L, and 8.19 ± 0.02 mg/L.

In the present study, the average pH was 8.16 ± 0.02 , the mean DO was 4.4 ± 0.01 mg/L, and the mean temperature was 27.5 °C during the study period. These are compatible with the water quality parameters reported in the commercial and experimental culture of *Caulerpa* spp [47], which used 7.0–7.9 pH, 3.0–4.4 DO, and 27.7–30.3 °C.

Apart from the water quality, water consumption for aquaculture is a limiting factor in many countries [2]. In this recirculating system, bottom siphoning was used daily for the removal of the solid fecal materials to reduce water turbidity. Twenty percent of water volume was consumed daily to refill each fish culture unit to maintain the total volume. Hence, this system shows potential in increasing the water use efficiency through bioremediation processes with macroalgae.

3.5. Heavy Metal Content

The mean initial arsenic (As) content in the macroalgae was 0.306 ± 0.02 mg/kg ww (wet weight) and the mean final As content was 0.222 ± 0.01 mg/kg ww. The mean initial Pb and Cd contents were 0.183 ± 0.02 mg/kg ww and 0.333 ± 0.001 mg/kg ww, while the final Pb and Cd contents were 0.138 ± 0.004 mg/kg ww and 0.032 ± 0.001 mg/kg ww, respectively.

In the initial specimen, the heavy metal concentrations were higher than the final concentrations. The initial specimens were purchased from a commercial macroalgal farm which used the coastal area for the culture. The coastal area can be contaminated with different heavy metals due to industrial waste. The macroalgae can absorb heavy metals from the water source. However, for our study, we used concentrated seawater and normal tap water. The excess growth of macroalgae was harvested

every 10 days, and the heavy metal content of the final sample was lower than the initial contents. The final sample may consist of a certain part of the initial sample and newly grown parts during the study period.

Consumption of different macroalgal species as human food is widespread in many countries [46]. *C. lentillifera* is considered as a sustainable food source for human consumption due to its high nutritional value [27,48]. However, macroalgae can absorb and accumulate heavy metals quickly from the growth medium. Hence, although macroalgae are rich in nutritional components, including essential minerals, there is a potential risk of containing high levels of heavy metals which are harmful to human health [49,50]. Nonetheless, the initial concentrations of Cd and Pb in macroalgae which was obtained from a commercial farm in Petchaburi area of Thailand for this study and the final concentrations in macroalgae harvested from the integrated system were both lower than the European Union (EU)'s maximum allowable values of 0.05 mg/kg ww and 0.30 mg/kg ww, respectively [51–53]. In contrast, while the concentration of As in a certain green macroalgae (Chlorophyta) species being above 10 mg/kg dw (dry weight) is considered as a high total Arsenic containing species, the maximum permissible level of total As content in green macroalgae *Ulva rigida* is 3.0 mg/kg dw [49]. In the present study, the initial and final total As concentrations were within the acceptable range. Therefore, the macroalgae produced in the integrated system were at the safe level of concentrations of the heavy metals for human consumption.

The recirculation system integrating *P. latipinna* (Sailfin mollies) and *C. lentillifera* can be used as an effective culture method for removal of inorganic nutrients, maintenance of water quality, and reduction in water consumption for fish and macroalgal production.

In general, nutrients are classified into three main groups: macronutrients, micronutrients or trace elements, and vitamins [35]. Therefore, future research is recommended to focus on the analysis of a complete set of available nutrients, their concentrations in the effluent, and other limiting factors affecting the macroalgal growth in the integrated system.

4. Conclusions

The capacity of *C. lentillifera* in the removal of NO_2^--N , NO_3^--N , NH_3-N , and PO_4^{3-} from fish culture effluent in a recirculating aquaculture system were determined using *P. latipinna* under outdoor conditions in 150 L medium for 60 days. The study provided evidence that green macroalgae *C. lentillifera* can be cultured with *P. latipinna* and that proper water quality can be maintained for the growth and production of fish and macroalgae. On average over the 15 circulations, the first one day of application decreased the concentrations of NO_2^--N , NO_3^--N , NH_3-N , and PO_4^{3-} by 0.247, 81.6, 0.682, and 0.352 mg/L, respectively. Our findings suggest the nutrient removal from *C. lentillifera* from *P. latipinna* based on decreased C:N ratio of macroalgae during the 60-day application period. We also found that *C. lentillifera* produced from the integrated system had safe levels of Cd, Pb, and As for human consumption. However, we recommend that a recirculating system can be implemented at the farm level after field trials are undertaken and associated cost and benefit analysis is performed.

This study revealed the potential of *C. lentillifera* for the treatment of aquaculture effluent using a fish species in a recirculating aquaculture system. This contributes to strengthening the implication of an integrated aquaculture system. This may also serve as a guide for policymakers in the design and implementation of new policy measures to overcome the problem of effluent treatment in the aquaculture industry.

Author Contributions: B.V.A.S.M.B. carried out the experiments and drafted the paper. N.S., A.C., and K.R.S. contributed to conceptualization, methodology, review, and editing. T.W.T. significantly contributed to the analysis of data, review, and editing.

Funding: This research received no external funding.

Acknowledgments: The first author gratefully acknowledges Uva Wellassa University of Sri Lanka for its financial support for her Ph.D. study and Asian Institute of Technology, Thailand. Special thanks extended to Nicolas Faysse for his helpful comments and suggestions in designing this study. Authors also thank the staff at Algal

Bioresources Research Center and Laboratory of Forest Soil, Kasetsart University for their assistance rendered during the conduct of this study.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

- 1. FAO. The state of world fisheries and aquaculture. Meeting the sustainable development goals. The state of the world series of the Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations. *Aquaculture* **2018**, *35*, 17–30.
- Liu, H.; Wang, F.; Wang, Q.; Dong, S.; Tian, X. A comparative study of the nutrient uptake and growth capacities of seaweeds *Caulerpa lentillifera* and *Gracilaria lichenoides*. J. Appl. Phycol. 2016, 28, 3083–3089.
 [CrossRef]
- 3. Ahmed, N.; Thompson, S. The blue dimensions of aquaculture: A global synthesis. *Sci. Total Environ.* **2019**, 652, 851–861. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 4. Edwards, P. Aquaculture environment interactions: Past, present and likely future trends. *Aquaculture* **2015**, 447, 2–14. [CrossRef]
- Wu, R.S.S. The environmental impacts of marine fish culture: Towards a sustainable future. *Mar. Pollut. Bull.* 1995, 31, 159–166. [CrossRef]
- 6. Chopin, T.; Yarish, C. Nutrients or not nutrients? That is the question in seaweed aquaculture and the answer depend on the type and purpose of the aquaculture system. *World Aquac.* **1998**, *29*, 31–33.
- 7. Piedrahita, R.H. Reducing the potential environmental impact of tank aquaculture effluents through intensification and recirculation. *Aquaculture* **2003**, *226*, 35–44. [CrossRef]
- 8. Chung, I.K. The development of environmentally sound integrated polyculture system. *Min. Sci. Tech.* **2002**, 239–248.
- 9. Nelson, S.G.; Glenn, E.P.; Conn, J.; Moore, D.; Walsh, T.; Akutagawa, M. Cultivation of *Gracilaria parvispora* (Rhodophyta) in shrimp-farm effluent ditches and floating cages in Hawaii: A two-phase polyculture system. *Aquaculture* **2002**, *193*, 239–248. [CrossRef]
- 10. Turcios, A.E.; Papenbrock, J. Sustainable treatment of aquaculture effluents—What can we learn from the past for the future? *Sustainability* **2014**, *6*, 836–856. [CrossRef]
- 11. Marinho-Soriano, E. Seaweed biofilters: An environmentally friendly solution. World Aquac. 2007, 38, 31–34.
- 12. Andersen, J.H.; Conley, D.J.; Hedal, S. Palaeoecology, reference conditions and classification of ecological status: The EU water framework directive in practice. *Mar. Pollut. Bull.* **2004**, *49*, 283–290. [CrossRef]
- 13. Khan, F.A.; Ansari, A.A. Eutrophication: An ecological vision. Bot. Rev. 2007, 71, 449–482. [CrossRef]
- 14. Phang, S.; Chu, W.; Rabiei, R. Phycoremediation. In *The Algae World*; Sahoo, D., Seckbach, J., Eds.; Springer: Esrael, Israel, 2015; Volume 26, pp. 357–381.
- 15. Martins, C.I.M.; Eding, E.H.; Verdegem, M.C.J.; Heinsbroek, L.T.N.; Schneider, O.; Blancheton, J.; Roque, D.E.; Verreth, J.A.J. New developments in recirculating aquaculture systems in Europe: A perspective on environmental sustainability. *Aquac. Eng.* **2010**, *43*, 83–93. [CrossRef]
- 16. Boyd, C.E. Guidelines for aquaculture effluent management at the farm-level. *Aquaculture* **2003**, 226, 101–112. [CrossRef]
- 17. Mugg, J.; Serrano, A.; Liberti, A.; Rice, M.A. *Aquaculture Effluents: A Guide for Water Quality Regulators and Aquaculturists*; NRCA Publication: Rosemont, IL, USA; University of Massachusetts Dartmouth: North Dartmouth, MA, USA, 2000.
- 18. Dalsgaard, J.; Lund, I.; Thorarinsdottir, R.; Drengstig, A.; Arvonen, K.; Bovbjerg, K. Farming different species in RAS in Nordic countries: Current status and future perspectives. *Aquac. Eng.* **2013**, *53*, 2–13. [CrossRef]
- 19. Orellana, J.; Waller, U.; Wecker, B. Culture of Yellowtail Kingfish (*Seriola lalandi*) in a Marine Recirculating Aquaculture System (RAS) with Artificial Seawater. *Aquaculture Engineering*. Available online: www.repositorio.ucv.cl/handle/10.4151/6970 (accessed on 2 April 2019).
- 20. Nobre, A.M.; Robertson-Andersson, D.; Neori, A.; Sankar, K. Ecological-economic assessment of aquaculture options: Comparison between abalone monoculture and integrated multi-trophic aquaculture of abalone and seaweeds. *Aquaculture* **2010**, *306*, 116–126. [CrossRef]
- 21. Chopin, T.; Cooper, J.A.; Reid, G.; Cross, S.; Moore, C. Open-water integrated multitrophic aquaculture: Environmental biomitigation and economic diversification of fed aquaculture by extractive aquaculture. *Rev. Aquac.* **2012**, *4*, 209–220. [CrossRef]

- 22. Largo, D.B.; Diola, A.G.; Marababol, M.S. Development of an integrated multitrophic aquaculture (IMTA) system for tropical marine species in southern cebu, Central Philippines. *Aquac. Rep.* **2016**, *3*, 67–76. [CrossRef]
- 23. Paul, N.A.; De Nys, R. Promise and pitfalls of locally abundant sea-weeds as biofilters for integrated aquaculture. *Aquaculture* **2008**, *281*, 49–55. [CrossRef]
- 24. Neori, A.; Krom, M.D.; Ellner, S.P.; Boyd, C.E.; Rabinovitch, D.; Dvir, O.; Zuber, D.; Ucko, M.; Angel, D.; Gordin, H. Seaweed biofilters as regulators of water quality in integrated fish-seaweed culture units. *Aquaculture* **1996**, *141*, 183–199. [CrossRef]
- 25. Ghosh, A.; Mahapatra, B.K.; Datta, N.C. Ornamental fish farming—Successful small-scale business in India. *Aquac. Asia* **2003**, *8*, 14–16.
- 26. Gonzalez, R.J.; Cooper, J.; Head, D. Physiological responses to hyper-saline waters in sailfin mollies (*Poecilia latipinna*). *Comp. Biochem. Physiol. Mol. Integr. Physiol.* **2005**, 142, 397–403. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 27. Chen, W.Y.C.K.T. Salinity-dependent expression of the branchial Na +/K +/2Cl₂ cotransporter and Na +/K + ATPase in the sailfin molly correlates with hypoosmoregulatory endurance. *J. Comp. Physiol.* 2011, 181, 953–964.
- 28. Ratana-Arporn, P.; Chirapart, A. Nutritional evaluation of tropical green seaweeds *Caulerpa lentillifera* and *Ulva reticulata. Kasetsart J. (Nat. Sci.)* **2006**, *181*, 97–106.
- 29. Paul, N.A.; Neveux, N.; Magnusson, M. Comparative production and nutritional value of "sea grapes"—the tropical green seaweeds *Caulerpa lentillifera* and *C. racemosa*. J. Appl. Phycol. **2014**, 26, 1833–1844. [CrossRef]
- 30. Guo, H.; Yao, J.; Sun, Z.; Duan, D. Effects of salinity and nutrients on the growth and chlorophyll fluorescence of *Caulerpa lentillifera*. *Chin. J. Oceanol. Limnol.* **2015**, *33*, 410–418. [CrossRef]
- 31. Rabia, M.D.S. Cultivation of *Caulerpa lentillifera* using tray and sowing methods in brackishwater pond. *Environ. Sci.* **2016**, *4*, 23–29. [CrossRef]
- 32. Wangcharoenrung, C. Current situation and issues of industrial wastewater management in Thailand. In Proceedings of the WEPA International Workshop on Industrial Wastewater Management, Jakarta City, Indonesia, 26 September 2017; Available online: http://wepa-db.net/3rd/en/meeting/20170926/pdf/26_3-12_ Thailand.pdf (accessed on 22 March 2019).
- 33. McHugh, D.J. *A Guide to the Seaweed Industry;* Food and Agriculture Organization of United Nations: Rome, Italy, 2003.
- Pariyawathee, S.; Songsangjinda, P.; Deraxbudsarakom, S.; Tuntichodok, P.; Chiayvareesajja, S. Optimum condition of environmental factors for growth of sea grape (*Caulerpa lentillifera*: J. Agardh). *Thai. Fish. Gaz.* 2003, *56*, 443–448. (In Thai)
- Bambaranda, B.V.A.S.M.; Sasaki, N.; Chirapart, A.; Salin, K.R.; Tsusaka, T.W. Optimization of macroalgal density and salinity for nutrient removal by *Caulerpa lentillifera* from aquaculture effluent. *Processes* 2019, 7, 303. [CrossRef]
- 36. Harrison, P.J.; Hurd, C.L. Nutrient physiology of seaweeds: Application of concepts to aquaculture. *Cah. Biol. Mar.* **2001**, *42*, 71–82.
- 37. Notification of the ministry of natural resources and environment. (Buddhist Era (B.E.) 2547). *R. Gov. Gaz. Thai.* **2004**, *121*.
- 38. Chirapart, A.; Lewmanomont, K. Growth and production of Thai agarophyte cultured in natural pond using the effluent seawater from shrimp culture. *Hydrobiologia* **2004**, *512*, 117–126. [CrossRef]
- 39. APHA. *Standard Methods for the Examination of Water and Wastewater*, 21st ed.; American Public Health Association: Washington, DC, USA; American Water Works Association: Washington, DC, USA; Water Environment Federation: Washington, DC, USA, 2005.
- 40. Tsusaka, T.W.; Otsuka, K. The changing effects of agro-climate on cereal crop yields during the green revolution in India, 1972 to 2002. *J. Sustain. Dev.* **2013**, *6*, 11–36. [CrossRef]
- 41. Seetha, A.; Tsusaka, T.W.; Munthali, W.; Musukwa, M.; Mwangwela, A.; Kalumikiza, Z.; Manani, T.; Kachulu, L.; Kumwenda, N.; Musoke, M.; et al. How immediate and significant is the outcome of training on diversified diets, hygiene, and food safety? An effort to mitigate child undernutrition in rural Malawi. *Publ. Health Nutr.* **2018**, *21*, 1156–1166. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 42. Wakibia, J.G.; Bolton, J.J.; Keats, D.W.; Raitt, L.M. Seasonal changes in carrageenan yield and gel properties in three commercial eucheumoids grown in southern Kenya. *Bot. Marina* **2006**, *49*, 208–215. [CrossRef]

- 43. Yong, Y.S.; Yong, W.T.L.; Anton, A. Analysis of formulae for determination of seaweed growth rate. *J. Appl. Phycol.* **2013**, 25, 1831–1834. [CrossRef]
- 44. StataCorp. Stata: Release 15. Statistical Software; StataCorp LLC: College Station, TX, USA, 2017.
- 45. Mosquera-murillo, Z.; Pena-Salamanca, E.J. Effect of salinity on growth of the green alga *Caulerpa sertularioides* (Bryopsidales, Chlorophyta) under laboratory conditions. *Hidrobiológica* **2016**, *26*, 277–282. [CrossRef]
- 46. Hanisak, M.D. The use of *Gracilaria tikvahiae* (Gracilariales, Rhodophyta) as a model system to understand the nitrogen nutrition of cultured seaweeds. *Hydrobiologia* **1990**, 204, 79–87. [CrossRef]
- 47. Al-Hafedh, Y.S.; Alam, A.; Buschmann, A.H.; Fitzsimmons, K.M. Experiments on an integrated aquaculture system (seaweeds and marine fish) on the Red Sea coast of Saudi Arabia: Efficiency comparison of two local seaweed species of nutrient biofiltration and production. *Rev. Aquac.* **2012**, *4*, 421–431. [CrossRef]
- Perryman, S.E.; Lapong, I.; Mustafa, A.; Sabang, R.; Rimmer, M.A. Potential of metal contamination to affect the food safety of seaweed (*Caulerpa* spp.) cultured in coastal ponds in Sulawesi, Indonesia. *Aquac. Rep.* 2017, 5, 27–33. [CrossRef]
- 49. Nagappan, T.; Vairappan, C. Nutritional and bioactive properties of three edible species of green algae, genus *Caulerpa* (Caulerpaceae). *J. Appl. Phycol.* **2014**, *26*, 1019–1027. [CrossRef]
- 50. Ma, Z.; Lin, L.; Wu, M.; Yu, H.; Shang, T.; Zhang, T.; Zhao, M. Total and inorganic arsenic contents in seaweeds: Absorption, accumulation, transformation and toxicity. *Aquaculture* **2018**, 497, 49–55. [CrossRef]
- 51. Roleda, M.Y.; Marfaing, H.; Desnica, N.; Jónsdóttir, R.; Skjermo, J.; Rebours, C.; Nitschke, U. Variations in polyphenol and heavy metal contents of wild-harvested and cultivated seaweed bulk biomass: Health risk assessment and implication for food applications. *Food Control* **2019**, *95*, 121–134. [CrossRef]
- 52. OJEU L138/75. Official Journal of the European Union L 138 (2014). pp. 75–79 Commission Regulation (EU) No 488/2014 of 12 May 2014 Amending Regulation (EC) No 1881/2006 as Regards Maximum Levels of Cadmium in Foodstuffs (2014). Available online: https://www.fsai.ie/uploadedFiles/Reg488_2014.pdf (accessed on 12 April 2019).
- 53. OJEU L161/9. Official Journal of the European Union L 161(2015). pp. 9–13 Commission Regulation (EU) 2015/1005 of 25 June 2015 Amending Regulation (EC) No 1881/2006 as Regards Maximum Levels of Lead in Certain Foodstuffs (2015). Available online: http://www.iss.it/binary/lcdr2/cont/CR_2015_1005.pdf (accessed on 12 April 2019).



© 2019 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).