



Article Phenolic Diversity and Antioxidant Activity of Artemisia abrotanum L. and Artemisia absinthium L. during Vegetation Stages

Sandra Saunoriūtė¹, Ona Ragažinskienė², Liudas Ivanauskas³, Mindaugas Marksa³, Kristina Laužikė⁴ and Lina Raudonė^{5,6,*}

- Research Institute of Natural Sciences, Vytautas Magnus University, 40444 Kaunas, Lithuania; sandra.saunoriute@vdu.lt
- ² Botanical Garden, Vytautas Magnus University, 46324 Kaunas, Lithuania; ona.ragazinskiene@vdu.lt
- ³ Department of Analytical and Toxicological Chemistry, Faculty of Pharmacy, Lithuanian University of Health Sciences, 50162 Kaunas, Lithuania; liudas.ivanauskas@lsmu.lt (L.I.); mindaugas.marksa@lsmu.lt (M.M.)
- ⁴ Institute of Horticulture, Lithuanian Research Centre for Agriculture and Forestry, 58344 Kedainiai, Lithuania; kristina.lauzike@lammc.lt
- ⁵ Laboratory of Biopharmaceutical Research, Institute of Pharmaceutical Technologies, Lithuanian University of Health Sciences, 50162 Kaunas, Lithuania
- ⁶ Department of Pharmacognosy, Lithuanian University of Health Sciences, 50162 Kaunas, Lithuania
- * Correspondence: lina.raudone@lsmu.lt; Tel.: +370-682-41377

Abstract: Over the past ten years, particular attention has been paid to *Artemisia* L. genus plants and their chemical composition. *Artemisia abrotanum* L. and *Artemisia absinthium* L., which are traditional medicinal, aromatic plants with a strong digestive activity that is determined by the various phytochemicals. The research aim was to determine the variation in polyphenols in the samples of different vegetation stages of two *Artemisia* species and to evaluate the antioxidant activity of methanolic extracts in vitro. Phytochemical analysis of the *Artemisia* samples was carried out using spectrophotometric methods and HPLC-PDA techniques, while antioxidant activity was determined using DPPH, ABTS, FRAP, and CUPRAC assays. Significant differences in the diversity of phenolic compounds were found among *Artemisia* species during vegetation stages. Chlorogenic acid, 3,5-dicaffeoylquinic acid, and rutin were predominant among the phenolic compounds. The study provides valuable insights into the composition of phenolic compounds and flavonoids in *Artemisia* plants at different growth stages, shedding light on their potential pharmacological effects and antioxidant activities. These findings contribute to the knowledge of the quality and safety of herbal materials derived from *Artemisia* species.

Keywords: Artemisia L.; vegetation stages; phenolic compounds; antioxidant activity

1. Introduction

The genus *Artemisia* L. (tribe *Anthemideae*, section *Dracunculus*), belonging to the family *Asteraceae*, includes about 500 species [1]. In Lithuania, three distinct species, including *Artemisia absinthium* L. and *Artemisia vulgaris* L., are found in the natural habitats [2,3]. *Artemisia* species are widespread and economically relevant medicinal plants in the mild temperature areas of Asia, Australia, Europe, North America, and South Africa [4,5].

In recent decades, medicinal and aromatic plants that accumulate considerable amounts of bioactive substances have gained more attention. *Artemisia abrotanum* L. and *Artemisia absinthium* L. are one such highly promising species [6,7]. The chemical profile of *Artemisia* plants is responsible for remedying human health; in vitro and in vivo assays been proven to exhibit comprehensive pharmacological activities (antibacterial, anthelmintic, antimalarial, antioxidant, antidiabetic, anticancer, anti-inflammatory, antitumor, and a decreased risk of cardiovascular diseases) [6–9]. *Artemisia* medicinal raw



Citation: Saunoriūtė, S.; Ragažinskienė, O.; Ivanauskas, L.; Marksa, M.; Laužikė, K.; Raudonė, L. Phenolic Diversity and Antioxidant Activity of *Artemisia abrotanum* L. and *Artemisia absinthium* L. during Vegetation Stages. *Separations* **2023**, 10, 545. https://doi.org/10.3390/ separations10100545

Academic Editor: Aleksandra Mišan

Received: 11 September 2023 Revised: 10 October 2023 Accepted: 20 October 2023 Published: 23 October 2023



Copyright: © 2023 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by/ 4.0/). material is an excellent source of biologically active compounds. The aerial parts of different *Artemisia* plants contain high levels of volatile [10] and non-volatile bioactive substances such as phenolics [11,12], fatty acids [13,14], organic acids [15], amino acids [13], coumarins [16], lignans [17], minerals [18,19], alkaloids [20], sterols [21], tannins [22], and carotenoids [23]. *Artemisia* extracts have attracted more attention in the last decades and various investigations of polyphenols, and their relation to biological activities have been conducted [24–27]. The main phenolic compounds found in *Artemisia* extracts are phenolic acids and flavonoids [28,29]. Polyphenols are important due to their various functions that help plants adjust to environmental changes (growth regulation and survival, disease resistance, UV protection) and have extensive pharmacological activities [30–32].

The plant growth phases are one of the main factors influencing the synthesis and accumulation of bioactive substances in plants' herbal material [33]. Despite the multiple studies that have highlighted the phenolic profile and antioxidant power of *Artemisia* extracts, as far as we know, studies of the different vegetation stages of *Artemisia* L. species are few or nonexistent. This study aimed to investigate the phenolic profile and the antioxidant activity during the vegetation period of *A. abrotanum* and *A. absinthium* introduced in Central Lithuania.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Plant Materials

A. abrotanum (collection no. XX-0-KAUN-1980-AR0025) has been introduced in the Medicinal plant collection at Botanical Garden of Vytautas Magnus University, Lithuania, since 1980, and *A. absinthium* (collection no. XX-0-KAUN-1951-AR0026) since 1951. Soil samples of the collecting location were analyzed in the Agrochemical Research Laboratory (Lithuanian Research Centre for Agriculture and Forestry). The neutral reaction (pH) of the tested soil samples was 7.4; P_2O_5 —306 mg/kg; and K₂O—121 mg/kg. The average monthly temperature (+12.1 °C), precipitation (47 mm), and humidity (65%) were obtained from the archive of the Lithuanian Hydrometeorological Service under the Ministry of Environment of the Republic of Lithuania.

The herbal samples of *Artemisia* species were collected during the vegetation period (March–November) in 2019. Various vegetation stages have been separated: intensive growth (A3), butonization (B), the beginning of flowering (Z1), intensive flowering (Z2), and the end of flowering (Z3). The herbal material was dried at +25 °C temperature in a well-ventilated loft and protected from direct sunlight and moisture. The loss on drying was evaluated according to European Pharmacopoeia [34].

2.2. Chemicals and Solvents

Ethanol 96% (v/v) was obtained from AB Vilniaus Degtinė (Vilnius, Lithuania). The Folin–Ciocalteu reagent, calcium carbonate, acetonitrile, methanol 99.9% (v/v), aluminum chloride hexahydrate, DPPH (2,2-diphenyl-1-picrylhydrazyl), hydrochloric acid, copper (II) chloride dihydrate, and TPTZ (2,4,6-tripyridyl-s-triazine) were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (Steinheim, Germany). The following reagents were used: acetic acid from Lachner (Neratovice, Czech Republic), trifluoroacetic acid, sodium carbonate from Carl Roth GmbH (Karlsruhe, Germany), ABTS (2,2'-Azino-bis(3-ethylbenzothiazoline-6-sulfonic acid), potassium persulfate, sodium acetate, Trolox ((\pm)-6-hydroxy-2,5,7,8-tetramethylchromano-2-carboxylic acid), ammonium acetate, and iron (III) chloride hexahydrate from Vaseline-Fabrik Rhenania (Bonn, Germany).

Chlorogenic acid, neochlorogenic acid, caffeic acid 3,4-dicaffeoylquinic acid, 4,5dicaffeoylquinic acid, 4-O-caffeoylquinic acid, 3,5-dicaffeoylquinic acid, isorhamnetin-3-rutinoside, luteolin-7-rutinoside, luteolin-7-glucoside, and rutin were obtained from Sigma-Aldrich GmbH (Steinheim, Germany). Distilled water was produced using the Milli-Q[®] 180 (Millipore, Bedford, MA, USA) water purification system.

2.3. Sample Preparation

Chemical analysis was performed at the Laboratory of Biopharmaceutical Research at Lithuanian University of Health Science in 2019–2020. Extraction procedures were performed in triplicate (n = 3). The *Artemisia* methanolic extracts were prepared using 0.25 g of dried and crushed herbal material and 20 mL of 70% methanol. The samples were extracted in an ultrasonic bath for 30 min at 37 kHz frequency and 480 W power. The obtained extracts were filtered through a 0.45 µm pore size filter.

2.4. Spectrophotometric Analysis

2.4.1. Determination of Total Phenolic and Flavonoid Content

The total phenolic content (TPC) was determined using the Folin–Ciocalteu assay proposed by Riahi et al. [35], calculated from a rutin calibration curve (y = 11.131x - 0.0224; $R^2 = 0.9995$) and expressed as mg RE/g DW. The total content of flavonoids (TFC) was determined using the described methodology by Moacă et al. [36], calculated from a rutin calibration curve (y = 0.9255x - 0.0705; $R^2 = 0.9999$) and expressed as mg RE/g DW.

2.4.2. Determination of Antioxidant Activity

The antioxidant activity of the *Artemisia* extracts was analyzed using spectrophotometric ABTS, DPPH, FRAP (Ferric Reducing Antioxidant Power), and CUPRAC (Cupric Reducing Antioxidant Capacity) assays. The antioxidant activity was expressed as μ mol of the Trolox equivalent (TE) per gram of dry weight (DW). TE was calculated according to the following formula: TE = (c × V)/m; c—the concentration of Trolox established from the calibration curve (μ mol); V—the volume of the extract (in L); m—the weight (exact) of the herbal powder (in grams); and expressed as μ mol TE/g DW.

The ABTS assay was performed using the methodology reported by Sussela et al. [37]. The ABTS working solution (3 mL) was mixed with 10 μ L of each test sample. The mixture samples were stored in the dark at room temperature for 1 h. A decrease in absorbance was established at 734 nm.

The DPPH assay was performed using Messaili et al.' methodology [38]. The DPPH working solution (120 μ L) was mixed with 30 μ L of each test sample. The mixture samples were stored in the dark at room temperature for 30 min. A decrease in absorbance was established at 515 nm.

The FRAP assay was performed using the methodology Mumivand et al. reported [39]. The FRAP working reagent was prepared by mixing reagents TPTZ (0.01 M, dissolved in 0.04 M HCl), FeCl₃· $6H_2O$ (0.02 M in water), and an acetate buffer (0.3 M, pH 3.6) (1:1:10). The test solution was prepared by mixing 3 mL of a freshly prepared FRAP reagent with 10 mL of each test sample. The mixture samples were stored in the dark at room temperature for 30 min. A decrease in absorbance was established at 593 nm.

The CUPRAC assay was performed using the methodology reported by Koyncu [40]. The CUPRAC working reagent was prepared by mixing reagents copper (II) chloride (0.01 M in water), an ammonium acetate buffer solution (0.001 M, pH 7), and neocuproine (0.0075 M in ethanol) (ratio 1:1:1). The test solution was prepared by mixing 3 mL of a freshly prepared CUPRAC reagent with 10 mL of each test extract. A decrease in absorbance was established at 450 nm.

2.5. Chromatographic Studies

HPLC (high-performance liquid chromatography) analysis was performed using a "Waters e2695 Alliance system" chromatograph with a "Waters 2998" photodiode array detector according to the HPLC method reported by Raudone et al. [41]. Polyphenols were analyzed using a YMC-Pack ODS-A (5 μ m, C18, 250 × 4.6 mm i.d.) column. The column was operated at a constant temperature of 25 °C. The volume of the analyzed extract was 10 μ L, and the flow rate was 1 mL/min. The mobile phase consisted of 2% (v/v) acetic acid (solvent A) and acetonitrile (solvent B). Gradient variation: 0–30 min, 3–15% B; 30–45 min, 15–25% B; 45–50 min, 25–50% B; and 50–55 min, 50–95% B. The cali-

bration curves were obtained by injecting the known concentrations of different standard reference compounds. The identified polyphenols were quantified at a λ = 210–400 nm wavelength. Chromatographic peaks of polyphenols were identified by the retention time of the reference compound and the analyte, as well as the UV absorption spectra [41].

2.6. Statistical Analysis

The experiments were performed in triplicate. The mean values and standard deviations were calculated with SPSS Statistics 27.0 (IBM, Armonk, NY, USA) and Excel 2016 (Microsoft, Redmond, WA, USA). The coefficient of variation (CV) was determined. The dispersion analysis of one way ANOVA was applied. PCA with eigenvalues higher than 1 were used. The differences were considered statistically significant at p < 0.05.

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Determination of Total Phenolic and Flavonoid Content

The estimation of the herbal samples of different vegetation stages of *Artemisia* plants introduced in Central Lithuania showed that the total amount of phenolic compounds ranged from 155.19 to 298.07 mg RE/g DW (p < 0.05) (Figure 1). The highest content of phenolic compounds 298.07 ± 2.69 mg RE/g DW were found in *Absinthii herba* samples at the beginning of the flowering stage, and they significantly differed from the quantities found in the remaining vegetation stages. The lowest amount of phenolic compounds (155.19 ± 4.97 mg RE/g DW) were found in *Absinthii herba* samples in the intensive growth stage, which did not differ significantly from the amounts found in *Artemisiae abrotani herba* samples of the same stage (p < 0.05). The coefficient of variation was 4.16%.



Figure 1. Variation in total phenolic compounds (TPC) content (mg RE/g DW) of *Artemisia* samples. Vegetation stages: intensive growth (A3), butonization (B), the beginning of flowering (Z1), intensive flowering (Z2), and the end of flowering (Z3). Different letters indicate significantly differences between vegetation stages (p < 0.05).

Recent studies focus to explain the relationship between polyphenol content and the plant growth stages. An increase in the content of polyphenols of the *Artemisia* species to their flowering, their maximum accumulation before flowering, and their decreasing amount at the end of flowering indicate an expense of these compounds on the plant physiological and adaptation processes [27].

Bordean et al. determined the amount of phenolics in the raw material extracts of *A. annua* and *A. absinthium*. The total amount of phenolics found in the flowering vegetation

stage ranged from 51.73 to 518.09 mg GAE/g DW (p < 0.05) [42]. Msaada et al. evaluated that in the flowering raw material extracts of *A. absinthium*, the total amount of phenolics varied from 49.39 to 99.89 mg GAE/g DW (p < 0.05) [43]. In a research study by Bhat et al., the amounts of phenolic compounds in the samples of *A. absinthium* ranged from 0.43 to 9.29 mg GAE/g DW (p < 0.05) [44]. Minda et al. evaluated the total amount of phenolics in the herbal extracts of *A. annua*, *A. dracunculus*, and *A. absinthium*. The total amount of phenolic compounds ranged from 129.28 to 193.61 mg GAE/g DW (p < 0.05) [45]. The differences between the quantitative composition of phenolic compounds of *Artemisia* extracts may have been due to genetic differences, climate conditions, and raw material preparation time [46].

Flavonoids are a huge class of non-volatile compounds with positive pharmacological effects on human health [45–47]. Determining the variability in the qualitative and quantitative flavonoid composition in the studied *Artemisia* extracts during the vegetation period is a critical step for evaluating the high quality and safety of herbal material. The obtained results showed that the total content of flavonoids ranged from 3.37 to 8.61 mg RE/g DW (p < 0.05) (Figure 2). The highest total flavonoid content (8.61 ± 0.21 mg RE/g DW) was found in *Artemisiae abrotani herba* extracts of the butonization stage, while the lowest (3.37 ± 0.07 mg RE/g DW) quantity was determined in *Artemisiae abrotani herba* extracts of the intensive growth stage, which did not differ significantly from the amounts found in *Absinthii herba* extracts on the same vegetation stage (p < 0.05). The coefficient of variation was high—11.25%.



Figure 2. Variation in total flavonoids (TFC) content (mg RE/g DW) of *Artemisia* samples. Vegetation stages: intensive growth (A3), butonization (B), the beginning of flowering (Z1), intensive flowering (Z2), and the end of flowering (Z3). Different letters indicate significantly differences between vegetation stages (p < 0.05).

Carvalho et al. studied the variability in the total flavonoid levels in the leaf extracts of *A. annua, A. arborescens, A. ludoviciana, A. oleandica, A. priceps,* and *A. stelleriana*. The total flavonoid amount ranged from 0.03 to 0.19 mg QE/100 g DW (p < 0.05) [48]. Trifan et al. determined that the total flavonoid content in roots and the aerial parts of the *A. absinthium* samples ranged from 0.37 to 28.74 mg RE/g [49]. Sharma and Adhikari evaluated the phytochemical composition and biological effects of the roots and leaf extracts of *A. vulgaris*. The researchers found that the total flavonoid content in the extracts of *A. vulgaris* ranged from 31.54 to 71.15 mg QE/g [50]. In our study, the total flavonoid amount found in the herbal material of *Artemisia* was lower than that found by Carvalho et al., Trifan et al. and Sharma and Adhikari.

3.2. Quantitative and Qualitative Composition of Phenolic Compounds

Eleven phenolic compounds were detected in Artemisia L. extracts, according to standards, retention times, mass spectrometry, and the literature data (Table 1). Identified phenolic compounds fall into the phenolic acids group (caffeoylquinic and hydroxycinnamic acids) and flavonoids (flavonols, flavones), respectively. Identified compounds were 4,5-dicaffeoylquinic acid, 4-O-caffeoylquinic acid, 3,4-dicaffeoylquinic acid, 3,5-dicaffeoylquinic acid, caffeic acid, chlorogenic acid, neochlorogenic acid, isorhamnetin-3-rutinoside, luteolin-7-glycoside, luteolin-7-rutinoside, and rutin. The most common compounds identified in all extracts, consistent with their concentration, were chlorogenic acid, 3,4-dicaffeoylquinic acid, 3,5-dicaffeoylquinic acid, and rutin. Chlorogenic acid was the predominant compound in Artemisia extracts, with a relatively low coefficient of variation. Meanwhile, 4,5-dicaffeoylquinic acid, 4-O-caffeoylquinic acid, caffeic acid, neochlorogenic acid, isorhamnetin-3-rutinoside, luteolin-7-glycoside, and luteolin-7rutinoside were identified in the lowest concentrations. The total amount of phenolic acids in Artemisia extracts during vegetation phases ranged from 0.35 to 217.39 mg/g (p < 0.05). Of all the compounds of the phenolic acid group in the extracts of the studied Artemisia species, caffeic acid demonstrated the highest variability (coefficient of variation—5.54%), while the variability in the amount of 4,5-dicaffeoylquinic acid was the lowest (coefficient of variation—0.26%). Previous studies confirmed that Artemisia materials are rich in phenolic acids and flavonoids [42]. Baiceanu et al. in A. abrotanum raw material extracts indicated high levels of sinapic acid [51]. Minda et al. evaluated the chemical composition and biological effects of the extracts of A. annua, A. dracunculus, and A. absinthium species [45]. Moacă et al. compared the polyphenol content in ethanolic extracts from A. absinthium leaves and stems. The obtained results showed the high presence of chlorogenic acid (2.03 µg/mg DW) and rutin (0.55 µg/mg DW) in A. absinthium stem extracts [36]. Studies confirmed that phenolic acids and flavonoids have a strong effect in the cure of mental illness [52,53].

Flavonols are a class of polyphenols that are commonly found in Artemisia species, and they possess multiple pharmacological effects [28]. Therefore, it is important to determine the variation in the quality and quantity composition of flavonols in herbal materials. The flavonols, namely isorhamnetin-3-rutinoside and rutin, were identified. The largest amount of isorhamnetin-3-rutinoside (8.71 \pm 0.05 mg/g) was found in the extracts of A. abrotanum in the intense flowering stage, which was different from the quantities found in other vegetation stages (p < 0.05). The lowest amount of isorhamnetin-3-rutinoside $(0.80 \pm 0.02 \text{ mg/g})$ was found in the extracts of A. absinthium at the end of the flowering vegetation stage (p < 0.05). The largest content of rutin (165.37 \pm 0.11 mg/g) was detected in the extracts of A. abrotanum in the intensive flowering stage, and the lowest amount $(0.96 \pm 0.02 \text{ mg/g})$ was found in the samples of A. absinthium at the end of flowering stage, which was different from the quantities found in other vegetation stages (p < 0.05) (Table 1). Of all the compounds of the flavonols group in the herbal extracts of the studied Artemisia species, rutin demonstrated the highest variability (coefficient of variation—15.15%), while the variability in the amount of isorhamnetin-3-rutinoside was the lowest (coefficient of variation-0.22%). It has been reported that rutin and its derivatives appear to constitute the main flavonoid glycoside in *F. esculentum* and F. tataricum [54]. Concerning flavonoids, rutin, quercitrin, isoquercitrin, quercetin, and kaempferol are among the most abundant flavonoids found in the extracts of different Artemisia species [43,52,55-57].

| | Compound | Vegetation Stages | | | | | |
|---------------------------|-----------------------------|--|------------------------------|-------------------------------|------------------------------|----------------------------|--|
| Raw Material | | Intensive Growth | Butonization | The Beginning of Flowering | Intensive Flowering | The End of Flowering | - The Coefficient of Variation (CV) |
| Artemisiae abrotani herba | 4,5-Dicaffeoylquinic acid – | $56.28\pm0.34~^{\rm e}$ | $46.45\pm0.22~^{\rm d}$ | $32.16\pm0.01~^{\rm b}$ | 35.08 ± 0.05 c | $27.85\pm0.02~^{\rm a}$ | 0.26 |
| Absinthii herba | | NDE | NDE | NDE | NDE | NDE | - |
| Artemisiae abrotani herba | 4-O-Caffeoylquinic acid – | 16.42 ± 0.42 $^{\rm c}$ | $11.88\pm0.60~^{\rm b}$ | 4.98 ± 0.24 $^{\rm a}$ | $4.92\pm0.07~^a$ | 4.54 ± 0.49 a | 4.43 |
| Absinthii herba | | 1.07 ± 0.06 $^{\rm a}$ | $4.54\pm0.06~^{e}$ | $1.89\pm0.04~^{\rm c}$ | 1.89 ± 0.04 $^{\rm c}$ | 1.16 ± 0.05 $^{\rm b}$ | 3.06 |
| Artemisiae abrotani herba | 3,5-Dicaffeoylquinic acid – | 136.39 \pm 1.16 $^{\rm e}$ | $132.69\pm0.14~^{d}$ | $86.63\pm1.38~^{\rm b}$ | 97.86 ± 0.34 $^{\rm c}$ | $67.84\pm0.62~^{\rm a}$ | 0.76 |
| Absinthii herba | | 13.57 ± 0.58 $^{\rm a}$ | $36.48\pm1.12^{\text{ b}}$ | $37.95\pm1.48~^{\rm c}$ | $100.33 \pm 1.59 \ ^{\rm e}$ | $47.55\pm0.01~^{d}$ | 4.28 |
| Artemisiae abrotani herba | 3,4-Dicaffeoylquinic acid – | 102.71 ± 0.05 $^{\rm d}$ | $109.13 \pm 0.52 \ ^{\rm e}$ | $49.15\pm0.36~^{\mathrm{b}}$ | $85.71\pm1.02~^{\rm c}$ | $36.51\pm0.05~^{\text{a}}$ | 0.54 |
| Absinthii herba | | 6.78 ± 0.07 $^{\rm a}$ | $21.08\pm0.23~^{\rm c}$ | $24.05\pm0.16~^{d}$ | $28.72\pm0.19~^{\rm e}$ | $12.15\pm0.01~^{\rm b}$ | 1.20 |
| Artemisiae abrotani herba | Caffeic acid – | $3.62\pm0.05~^{d}$ | $4.19\pm0.05~^{\rm e}$ | 1.54 ± 0.03 $^{\rm a}$ | $3.04\pm0.02~^{\rm c}$ | 1.65 ± 0.03 $^{\rm b}$ | 1.27 |
| Absinthii herba | | $0.48\pm0.02\ensuremath{\ \mathrm{c}}$ $^{\mathrm{c}}$ | $1.16\pm0.09~^{\rm e}$ | $0.61\pm0.03~^{\rm d}$ | 0.84 ± 0.02 a | $0.35\pm0.06~^{\rm b}$ | 5.54 |
| Artemisiae abrotani herba | Chlorogenic acid – | $203.37 \pm 1.63 \ ^{\rm d}$ | $217.39 \pm 0.36 \ ^{\rm e}$ | $96.53\pm2.54~^{b}$ | $169.95 \pm 0.79~^{\rm c}$ | $86.12\pm1.16~^{\rm a}$ | 1.08 |
| Absinthii herba | | $11.26\pm0.01~^{\rm a}$ | 118.66 \pm 0.52 $^{\rm e}$ | $20.31\pm0.58~^{\rm b}$ | $54.30\pm0.06~^{d}$ | $28.84\pm0.11~^{\rm c}$ | 0.76 |
| Artemisiae abrotani herba | Neochlorogenic acid – | $18.02\pm2.23~^{\rm d}$ | $14.78\pm0.11~^{\rm c}$ | 5.52 ± 0.35 a | $9.32\pm0.14^{\text{ b}}$ | 5.66 ± 0.02 a | 4.23 |
| Absinthii herba | | $4.06\pm0.12~^{c}$ | $8.51\pm0.03~^{\rm e}$ | $6.62\pm0.27^{\rm ~d}$ | $3.15\pm0.14~^{\rm b}$ | 1.59 ± 0.01 $^{\rm a}$ | 0.71 |
| Artemisiae abrotani herba | Isorhamnetin-3-rutinoside – | $1.36\pm0.01~^{a}$ | $5.58\pm0.11~^{\rm c}$ | $3.69\pm0.01~^{b}$ | $8.71\pm0.05~^{\rm d}$ | $3.90\pm0.31~^{b}$ | 2.23 |
| Absinthii herba | | $1.27\pm0.04^{\text{ b}}$ | $2.91\pm0.11~^{d}$ | $3.68\pm0.19\ ^{\mathrm{e}}$ | $2.19\pm0.08~^{c}$ | 0.80 ± 0.02 a | 0.22 |
| Artemisiae abrotani herba | Luteolin-7-glycoside – | $3.69\pm0.14~^{e}$ | $2.64\pm0.08~^{c}$ | $2.41\pm0.05^{\text{ b}}$ | $1.36\pm0.06~^{a}$ | $2.80\pm0.06~^{d}$ | 2.03 |
| Absinthii herba | | $2.55\pm0.06~^a$ | $4.16\pm0.04~^{\rm c}$ | $3.68\pm0.02^{\text{ b}}$ | 1.77 ± 0.10 $^{\rm e}$ | $0.65\pm0.11~^{\rm d}$ | 0.44 |
| Artemisiae abrotani herba | Luteolin-7-rutinoside — | $3.87\pm0.03~^{d}$ | $5.43\pm0.26~^{\rm e}$ | $1.98\pm0.06^{\text{ b}}$ | $2.45\pm0.03~^{c}$ | 1.37 ± 0.12 $^{\rm a}$ | 2.01 |
| Absinthii herba | | 1.02 ± 0.03 $^{\rm c}$ | $1.56\pm0.06~^{\rm d}$ | $2.01\pm0.02~^{e}$ | $0.43\pm0.01~^{\rm b}$ | 0.22 ± 0.03 $^{\rm a}$ | 0.17 |
| Artemisiae abrotani herba | Rutin – | 60.79 ± 0.40 $^{\rm a}$ | $121.72\pm90.20~^{\rm abc}$ | $75.52\pm0.47~^{\rm a}$ | 165.37 \pm 0.11 $^{\rm c}$ | $81.44\pm0.23~^{\rm a}$ | 15.15 |
| Absinthii herba | | $1.31\pm0.04^{\text{ b}}$ | $2.32\pm0.01~^{d}$ | $2.99\pm0.08~^{\rm e}$ | $2.05\pm0.06~^{c}$ | 0.96 ± 0.02 $^{\rm a}$ | 1.93 |

Table 1. Diversification of the quantitative composition of phenolic compounds in *Artemisia* extracts during vegetation period (mg/g).

NDE is not detected. Different letters indicate significantly differences between different vegetation stages (p < 0.05).

Two compounds of the flavones (luteolin-7-glucoside and luteolin-7-rutinoside) were identified in the extracts of *Artemisia* species. The greatest amount of luteolin-7-rutinoside $(5.43 \pm 0.26 \text{ mg/g})$ was detected in the extracts of *A. abrotanum* butonization stage, and the lowest amount $(0.22 \pm 0.03 \text{ mg/g})$ was found in the extracts of *A. absinthium* at the end of flowering vegetation stage, which was different from the quantities found in other vegetation stages (p < 0.05). The greatest amount of luteolin-7-glucoside ($4.16 \pm 0.04 \text{ mg/g}$) was detected in the extracts of *A. absinthium* in the butonization stage, which was different from quantities found in other vegetation stages (p < 0.05). The smallest amount of luteolin-7-glucoside ($0.65 \pm 0.11 \text{ mg/g}$) was found in the extracts of *A. absinthium* at the end of the flowering stage, which was different from the quantities found in other vegetation stages (p < 0.05) (Table 1). The amount of luteolin-7-glucoside determined in the studied extracts varied widely, with the coefficient of variation being 2.03%, while the variation in the content of luteolin-7-rutinoside was lower (coefficient of variation—0.17%). Various studies confirm that luteolin derivatives inhibit the proliferation of human liver cancer cells and have a high antidiabetic activity [58,59].

3.3. Antioxidant Activity of Artemisia Extracts

The estimation using the DPPH assay showed that the strongest scavenging activity (14.23 \pm 1.53 µmol TE/g DW) was observed in *Artemisiae abrotani herba* extracts of the intense flowering stage (Figure 3). The weakest antiradical activity (9.01 \pm 0.02 µmol TE/g DW) was determined in *Absinthii herba* extracts during intensive growth vegetation stage (p < 0.05). The variability of scavenging activity estimated by this method between *Artemisia* extracts during different vegetation stages was low (coefficient of variation—5.92%).



Figure 3. Variability of the antiradical activity (μ mol TE/g DW, DPPH assay) of *Artemisia* extracts in vitro. Vegetation stages: intensive growth (A3), butonization (B), the beginning of flowering (Z1), intensive flowering (Z2), and the end of flowering (Z3). Different letters indicate significantly differences of antiradical potency between different vegetation stages (p < 0.05).

Bordean et al. investigated the scavenging activity of the different aboveground samples of *A. annua* and *A. absinthium*. The scientists reported that the strongest antiradical activity (735.77 \pm 0.02 µmol TE/g DW), after using the DPPH method, was determined in *A. absinthium* leaf extracts [42]. Lithuanian researchers applied the DPPH assay and found that the strongest scavenging activity (140.0 \pm 0.00 µmol TE/g DW) was observed in synthesized nanoparticles *A. absinthium*/AgNPs and *A. vulgaris*/AgNPs extracts [60].

The estimation of the scavenging activity of *Artemisia* extracts via the ABTS assay showed that this activity varied from 43.56 to 103.68 μ mol TE/g DW (p < 0.05) (Figure 4). The butonization extracts of *Absinthii herba* have the strongest scavenging activity (103.68 \pm 2.59 µmol TE/g DW). The lowest scavenging activity (43.56 \pm 2.59 µmol TE/g DW) was found in the intensive growth extracts of *Absinthii herba*. The variation in the scavenging activity determined by this assay between *Artemisia* extracts during different vegetation phases was the coefficient of variation at 5.92%. Trifan et al. determined that the scavenging activity in vitro of the *A. absinthium* extracts varied from 7.54 to 95.95 µmol TE/g. Our study revealed a stronger scavenging activity of *A. absinthium* extracts compared to the activity found by Trifan et al. [49]. Skowyra et al. indicated that high temperature can increase the strength of antioxidant activity [61,62]. Popov et al. determined that the antiradical activity in vitro of *A. vulgaris* extracts varied from 33.59 to 45.37 µmol TE/g [63].



Figure 4. Variability of the antiradical activity (μ mol TE/g DW, ABTS assay) of *Artemisia* extracts in vitro. Vegetation stages: intensive growth (A3), butonization (B), the beginning of flowering (Z1), intensive flowering (Z2), and the end of flowering (Z3). Different letters indicate significantly differences of antiradical potency between different vegetation stages (p < 0.05).

The estimation using the FRAP assay demonstrated that the strongest reducing activity (76.56 \pm 2.12 µmol TE/g DW) was determined at the beginning of flowering in the extracts of *Absinthii herba* (Figure 5). The weakest reducing activity (25.21 \pm 0.38 µmol TE/g DW) was found in the growth and leaf production extracts of *Artemisiae abrotani herba*, which significantly differs from the amounts found in *Absinthii herba* extracts on the same vegetation stage (p < 0.05). The coefficient of variation was 7.54%. Taherkhani et al. evaluated the reducing activity of *A. absinthium* leaf extracts using the FRAP method and found that the mean of the reducing activity was 10.67 mg GAE/g [64].

The strongest reducing activity using the CUPRAC assay was determined in the butonization (200.79 \pm 0.57 µmol TE/g) and at the beginning of flowering (200.89 \pm 4.01 µmol TE/g) stages of *Absinthii herba* extracts, while the lowest reducing activity (105.04 \pm 2.03 µmol TE/g DW) was found in *Artemisiae abrotani herba* extracts of the butonization stage (p < 0.05) (Figure 6). The variation in the reducing activity between *Artemisia* extracts during different vegetation stages was high (coefficient of variation—18.02%). Trifan et al. applied the CUPRAC method when extracting five *Artemisia* species (*A. absinthium, A. annua, A. austriaca, A. pontica,* and *A. vulgaris*) roots and aerial parts samples with different extractants. The strongest reducing activity (498.32 \pm 4.02 µmol TE/g) was found in the *A. vulgaris* aerial parts of methanolic extracts [49]. Our study revealed a stronger reducing activity of *A. absinthium* extracts compared to the reducing activity found by Trifan et al.



Figure 5. Variability of the reducing activity (μ mol TE/g DW, FRAP assay) of *Artemisia* extracts in vitro. Vegetation stages: intensive growth (A3), butonization (B), the beginning of flowering (Z1), intensive flowering (Z2), and the end of flowering (Z3). Different letters indicate significantly differences of reducing potency between different vegetation stages (p < 0.05).



Figure 6. Variability of the reducing activity (μ mol TE/g DW, CUPRAC assay) of *Artemisia* extracts in vitro. Vegetation stages: intensive growth (A3), butonization (B), the beginning of flowering (Z1), intensive flowering (Z2), and the end of flowering (Z3). Different letters indicate significantly differences of reducing potency between different vegetation stages (p < 0.05).

Systematized research data on the qualitative and quantitative amount of polyphenols and antioxidant activity in *Artemisia* herbal samples during different vegetation stages were obtained. Principal component analysis (PCA) was performed to select similarities and differences between the analyzed *A. abrotanum* and *A. absinthium* samples according to vegetation stages (Figure 7a) and the qualitative and quantitative amount of polyphenols and antioxidant activity (Figure 7b). The score plot models for the herbal samples have shown relatively good separation between the vegetation stages (Figure 7a). Figure 3 summarizes the PCA results based on the correlation matrix with PC1 and PC2, which



explains an 84.20% total variability in the data collections of *Artemisia* herbal samples during the vegetation period.

Figure 7. Diversification of the total content of phenolics, flavonoids, and antioxidant activity in *Artemisia* medicinal raw material extracts during vegetation period: intensive growth (A3), butonization (B), the beginning of flowering (Z1), intensive flowering (Z2), and the end of flowering (Z3). (a) principal component analysis of *A. abrotanum* and *A. absinthium* vegetation stages; (b) PCA of TPC, TFC, DPPH, ABTS, FRAP, CUPRAC, and phenolic acids and flavonoids in *Artemisia* herbal samples during different vegetation stages.

In the PCA loading plot, PC1 described 44.15% of the total variation in the data and was highly correlated with the positive loadings of the scavenging activity DPPH (0.891) and the reducing activity FRAP (0.884). PC2 accounted for 42.04% of the total variation and was characterized by the positive loadings of the quantitative composition of 4-O-caffeoylquinic acid (0.832), 3,5-dicaffeoylquinic acid (0.832), 3,4-dicaffeoylquinic acid (0.951), neochlorogenic acid (0.848), and chlorogenic acid (0.896) (Figure 7b).

4. Conclusions

This study demonstrated that two selected Artemisia species, namely A. abrotanum and A. absinthium, accumulate reasonable amounts of phenolic compounds. Significant fluctuations were determined in the total phenolic compound content across different stages of vegetation. The highest total amount of phenolic compounds was found in Absinthii herba extracts at the beginning of the flowering stage (298.07 \pm 2.69 mg RE/g DW, p < 0.05). The highest total amount of flavonoids was evaluated in Artemisiae abrotani *herba* extracts of the butonization vegetation stage (8.61 \pm 0.21 mg RE/g DW, p < 0.05), suggesting potential health advantages linked to this growth stage. In studied Artemisia extracts chlorogenic acid, 3,5-dicaffeoylquinic acid and rutin predominated among the identified phenolic compounds, while the amounts of other compounds were significantly lower. Raw material samples of the Absinthii herba stood out due to their unique chemical composition and strong antioxidant activity. Understanding the fluctuations in chemical composition at different growth stages can be used in selecting the optimal time of plant harvesting and, ultimately, the efficacy and quality of herbal products and pharmaceuticals. The study findings are important for developing herbal formulations, pharmaceuticals, and medicinal products with optimized therapeutic effects.

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, S.S., O.R. and L.R.; methodology, O.R., L.I., L.R. and M.M.; software, S.S. and K.L.; validation, S.S. and O.R.; formal analysis, L.I., L.R. and M.M.; investigation, S.S., L.R., L.I. and M.M.; resources, L.R.; data curation, O.R. and L.R.; writing—original draft preparation, S.S.; writing—review and editing, O.R. and L.R.; visualization, S.S. and O.R.; supervision, O.R.; project administration, L.R. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This research received no external funding.

Data Availability Statement: The data presented in the present study are available in the article.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

- 1. Bisht, D.; Kumar, D.; Kumar, D.; Dua, K.; Chellappan, D.K. Phytochemistry and pharmacological activity of the genus *Artemisia*. *Arch. Pharmacal Res.* **2021**, *44*, 439–474. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Judžentienė, A.; Budienė, J. Compositional Variation in Essential Oils of Wild Artemisia absinthium from Lithuania. J. Essent. Oil-Bear. Plants 2010, 13, 275–285. [CrossRef]
- Judžentienė, A.; Budienė, J. Chemical Polymorphism of Essential Oils of Artemisia vulgaris Growing Wild in Lithuania. Chem. Biodivers. 2018, 15, e1700257. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Lou, H.; Huang, Y.; Ouyang, Y.; Zhang, Y.; Xi, L.; Chu, X.; Wang, Y.; Wang, C.; Zhang, L. Artemisia annua-sublingual immunotherapy for seasonal allergic rhinitis: A randomized controlled trial. Allergy Eur. J. Allergy Clin. Immunol. 2020, 75, 2026–2036. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 5. El Gaber, S.B.; Beshbishy, A.M.; Tayebwa, D.S.; Adeyemi, O.S.; Yokoyama, N.; Igarashi, I. Anti-piroplasmic potential of the methanolic *Peganum harmala* seeds and ethanolic *Artemisia absinthium* leaf extracts. *J. Protozool. Res.* **2019**, *29*, 8–27. [CrossRef]
- Szopa, A.; Pajor, J.; Klin, P.; Rzepiela, A.; Elansary, H.O.; Al-Mana, F.; Mattar, M.A.; Ekiert, H. *Artemisia absinthium* L.—Importance in the History of Medicine, the Latest Advances in Phytochemistry and Therapeutical, Cosmetological and Culinary Uses. *Plants* 2020, 9, 1063. [CrossRef]
- Ekiert, H.; Pajor, J.; Klin, P.; Rzepiela, A.; Ślesak, H.; Szopa, A. Significance of *Artemisia vulgaris* L. (Common Mugwort) in the history of medicine and its possible contemporary applications substantiated by phytochemical and pharmacological studies. *Molecules* 2020, 25, 4415. [CrossRef]
- 8. Ekiert, H.; Świątkowska, J.; Klin, P.; Rzepiela, A.; Szopa, A. *Artemisia* annua—Importance in Traditional Medicine and Current State of Knowledge on the Chemistry, Biological Activity and Possible Applications. *Planta Med.* **2021**, *87*, 584–599. [CrossRef]
- 9. Khan, F.A.; Khan, N.M.; Ahmad, S.; Nasruddin; Aziz, R.; Ullah, I.; Almehmadi, M.; Allahyani, M.; Alsaiari, A.A.; Aljuaid, A. Phytochemical Profiling, Antioxidant, Antimicrobial and Cholinesterase Inhibitory Effects of Essential Oils Isolated from the Leaves of *Artemisia scoparia* and *Artemisia absinthium*. *Pharmaceuticals* **2022**, *15*, 1221. [CrossRef]
- Romeilah, R.M.; El-Beltagi, H.S.; Shalaby, E.A.; Younes, K.M.; El Moll, H.; Rajendrasozhan, S.; Mohamed, H.I. Antioxidant and cytotoxic activities of *Artemisia monosperma* L. and *Tamarix aphylla* L. essential oils. *Not. Bot. Horti Agrobot. Cluj-Napoca* 2021, 49, 12233. [CrossRef]
- 11. Nigam, M.; Atanassova, M.; Mishra, P.A.; Pezzani, R.; Devkota, P.H.; Plygun, S.; Salehi, B.; Setzer, N.W.; Rad, S.J. Bioactive Compounds and Health Benefits of *Artemisia* Species. *Nat. Prod. Commun.* **2019**, *14*, 1934578X19850354. [CrossRef]
- 12. Nurbek, S.; Murata, T.; Suganuma, K.; Ishikawa, Y.; Buyankhishig, B.; Kikuchi, T.; Byambajav, T.; Davaapurev, B.O.; Sasaki, K.; Batkhuu, J. Isolation and evaluation of trypanocidal activity of sesquiterpenoids, flavonoids, and lignans in *Artemisia sieversiana* collected in Mongolia. *J. Nat. Med.* **2020**, *74*, 750–757. [CrossRef]
- Sultan, M.H.; Moni, S.S. Spectral analysis and antibacterial effect of cold methanolic extract of *Artemisia absinthium* L. *Braz. J. Biol.* 2022, *84*, e264869. [CrossRef]
- 14. Song, X.; Wen, X.; He, J.; Wang, J.; Li, S.; Wang, M. Phytochemical components and biological activities of *Artemisia argyi. J. Funct. Foods.* **2019**, *52*, 648–662. [CrossRef]
- Han, B.; Xin, Z.; Ma, S.; Liu, W.; Zhang, B.; Ran, L.; Yi, L.; Ren, D. Comprehensive characterization and identification of antioxidants in Folium *Artemisiae Argyi* using high-resoliution tandem mass spectrometry. *J. Chromatogr. B* 2017, 1063, 84–92. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Li, K.M.; Dong, X.; Ma, Y.N.; Wu, Z.H.; Yan, Y.M.; Cheng, Y.X. Antifungal coumarins and lignans from *Artemisia annua*. *Fitoterapia* 2019, 134, 323–328. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 17. Chi, N.J.; Liu, Y.; Aisa, H.A. Tetrahydrofuran lignans and flavonoids from *Artemisia absinthium*. *Chem. Nat. Compd.* **2012**, 48, 666–667. [CrossRef]
- Orege, J.I.; Adeyemi, S.B.; Tiamiyu, B.B.; Akinyemi, T.O.; Ibrahim, Y.A.; Orege, O.B. Artemisia and Artemisia-based products for COVID-19 management: Current state and future perspective. Adv. Tradit. Med. 2023, 23, 85–96. [CrossRef]
- Zahnit, W.; Smara, O.; Bechki, L.; Bensouici, C.; Messaoudi, M.; Benchikha, N.; Larkem, I.; Awuchi, C.G.; Sawicka, B.; Simal-Gandara, J. Phytochemical Profiling, Mineral Elements, and Biological Activities of *Artemisia campestris* L. Grown in Algeria. *Horticulturae* 2022, *8*, 914. [CrossRef]

- Rashid, M.U.; Alamzeb, M.; Ali, S.; Ullah, Z.; Shah, Z.A.; Naz, I.; Khan, M.R. The chemistry and pharmacology of alkaloids and allied nitrogen compounds from *Artemisia* species: A review. *Phytother. Res.* 2019, 33, 2661–2684. [CrossRef]
- Singh, A.; Jindal, S.; Longchar, B.; Khan, F.; Gupta, V. Overexpression of *Artemisia annua* sterol C-4 methyl oxidase gene, AaSMO1, enhances total sterols and improves tolerance to dehydration stress in tobacco. *Plant Cell Tissue Organ Cult.* 2015, 121, 167–181. [CrossRef]
- 22. Hbika, A.; Daoudi, N.E.; Bouyanzer, A.; Bouhrim, M.; Mohti, H.; Loukili, E.H.; Mechchate, H.; Al-Salahi, R.; Nasr, F.A.; Bnouham, M.; et al. *Artemisia absinthium* L. Aqueous and Ethyl Acetate Extracts: Antioxidant Effect and Potential Activity In Vitro and In Vivo Against Pancreatic α-Amylase and Intestinal α-Glucosidase. *Pharmaceutics* 2022, *14*, 481. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Pieracci, Y.; Vento, M.; Pistelli, L.; Lombardi, T.; Pistelli, L. Halophyte Artemisia caerulescens L.: Metabolites from In Vitro Shoots and Wild Plants. Plants 2022, 11, 1081. [CrossRef]
- 24. Hrytsyk, R.A.; Kutsyk, R.V.; Yurchyshyn, O.I.; Struk, O.A.; Kireev, I.V.; Grytsyk, A.R. The investigation of antimicrobial and antifungal activity of some *Artemisia* L. species. *Pharmacia* **2021**, *68*, 93–100. [CrossRef]
- 25. Singh, R.; Verma, P.K.; Singh, G. Total phenolic, flavonoids and tannin contents in different extracts of *Artemisia absinthium*. *J. Intercult. Ethnopharmacol.* **2012**, *1*, 101–104. [CrossRef]
- 26. Fiamegos, Y.C.; Kastritis, P.L.; Exarchou, V.; Han, H.; Bonvin, A.M.J.J.; Vervoort, J.; Lewis, K.; Hamblin, M.R.; Tegos, G.P. Antimicrobial and efflux pump inhibitory activity of caffeoylquinic acids from *Artemisia absinthium* against gram-positive pathogenic bacteria. *PLoS ONE* **2011**, *6*, e18127. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 27. Chepel, V.; Lisun, V.; Skrypnik, L. Changes in the Content of Some Groups of Phenolic Compounds and Biological Activity of Extracts of Various Parts of Heather (*Calluna vulgaris* (L.) Hull) at Different Growth Stages. *Plants* **2020**, *9*, 926. [CrossRef]
- 28. Elansary, H.O.; Szopa, A.; Kubica, P.; Ekiert, H.; El-Ansary, D.O.; Al-Mana, F.A.; Mahmoud, E.A. Polyphenol content and biological activities of *Ruta graveolens* L. and *Artemisia abrotanum* L. in Northern Saudi Arabia. *Processes* **2020**, *8*, 531. [CrossRef]
- 29. Remberg, P.; Björk, L.; Hedner, T.; Sterner, O. Characteristics, clinical effect profile and tolerability of a nasal spray preparation of *Artemisia abrotanum* L. for allergic rhinitis. *Phytomedicine* **2004**, *11*, 36–42. [CrossRef]
- Balasundram, N.; Sundram, K.; Samman, S. Phenolic compounds in plants and agri-industrial by-products: Antioxidant activity, occurrence, and potential uses. *Food Chem.* 2006, 99, 191–203. [CrossRef]
- Manach, C.; Scalbert, A.; Morand, C.; Rémésy, C.; Jiménez, L. Polyphenols: Food sources and bioavailability. *Am. J. Clin. Nutr.* 2004, 79, 727–747. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 32. Tsao, R. Chemistry and Biochemistry of Dietary Polyphenols. Nutrients 2010, 2, 1231–1246. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Adegbaju, O.D.; Otunola, G.A.; Afolayan, A.J. Effects of growth stage and seasons on the phytochemical content and antioxidant activities of crude extracts of *Celosia argentea* L. *Heliyon* 2020, 6, e04086. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 34. Council of Europe. Disintegration of Tablets and Capsules. In *European Pharmacopoeia 6.0*; Monograph: 2.9.1.; Council of Europe: Strasbourg, France, 2007.
- Riahi, L.; Ghazghazi, H.; Ayari, B.; Aouadhi, C.; Klay, I.; Chograni, H.; Cherif, A.; Zoghlami, N. Effect of environmental conditions on chemical polymorphism and biological activities among *Artemisia absinthium* L. essential oil provenances grown in Tunisia. *Ind. Crops Prod.* 2015, *66*, 96–102. [CrossRef]
- Moacă, E.A.; Pavel, I.Z.; Danciu, C.; Crăiniceanu, Z.; Minda, D.; Ardelean, F.; Antal, D.S.; Ghiulai, R.; Cioca, A.; Derban, M.; et al. Romanian Wormwood (*Artemisia absinthium* L.): Physicochemical and Nutraceutical Screening. *Molecules* 2019, 24, 3087. [CrossRef]
- 37. Suseela, V.; Gopalakrishnan, V.K.; Varghese, S. In vitro Antioxidant Studies of Fruits of *Artemisia nilagirica* (Clarke) Pamp. *Indian J. Pharm. Sci.* **2010**, *72*, 644–649. [CrossRef]
- Messaili, S.; Colas, C.; Fougère, L.; Destandau, E. Combination of molecular network and centrifugal partition chromatography fractionation for targeting and identifying *Artemisia annua* L. antioxidant compounds. *J. Chromatogr. A* 2020, 1615, 460785. [CrossRef]
- Mumivand, H.; Babalar, M.; Tabrizi, L.; Craker, L.E.; Shokrpour, M.; Hadian, J. Antioxidant properties and principal phenolic phytochemicals of Iranian tarragon (*Artemisia dracunculus* L.) accessions. *Hortic. Environ. Biotechnol.* 2017, 58, 414–422. [CrossRef]
- Koyuncu, I. Evaluation of anticancer, antioxidant activity and phenolic compounds of *Artemisia absinthium* L. Extract. *Cell. Mol. Biol.* 2018, 64, 25–34. [CrossRef]
- Raudone, L.; Puzeryte, V.; Vilkickyte, G.; Niekyte, A.; Lanauskas, J.; Viškelis, J.; Viskelis, P. Sea Buckthorn Leaf Powders: The Impact of Cultivar and Drying Mode Antioxidant, Phytochemical, and Chromatic Profile of Valuable Resource. *Molecules* 2021, 26, 4765. [CrossRef]
- 42. Bordean, M.E.; Ungur, R.A.; Toc, D.A.; Borda, I.M.; Marțiș, G.S.; Pop, C.R.; Filip, M.; Vlassa, M.; Nasui, B.A.; Pop, A.; et al. Antibacterial and Phytochemical Screening of *Artemisia* Species. *Antioxidants* **2023**, *12*, 596. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Msaada, K.; Salem, N.; Bachrouch, O.; Bousselmi, S.; Tammar, S.; Alfaify, A.; Sane, K.A.; Ammar, W.B.; Azeiz, S.; Brahim, A.H.; et al. Chemical Composition and Antioxidant and Antimicrobial Activities of Wormwood (*Artemisia absinthium* L.) Essential Oils and Phenolics. *J. Chem.* 2015, *2*, 804658. [CrossRef]
- 44. Bhat, M.Y.; Gul, M.Z.; Lohamror, L.R.; Qureshi, I.A.; Ghazi, I.A. An in vitro Study of the Antioxidant and Antiproliferative Properties of *Artemisia* absinthium—A Potent Medicinal Plant. *Free Radic. Antioxid.* **2017**, *8*, 18–25.

- Minda, D.; Ghiulai, R.; Banciu, C.D.; Pavel, I.Z.; Danciu, C.; Racoviceanu, R.; Soica, C.; Budu, O.D.; Muntean, D.; Diaconeasa, Z.; et al. Phytochemical Profile, Antioxidant and Wound Healing Potential of Three *Artemisia* Species: In Vitro and In Ovo Evaluation. *Appl. Sci.* 2022, 12, 1359. [CrossRef]
- Deka, H.; Barman, T.; Dutta, J.; Devi, A.; Tamuly, P.; Paul, R.K.; Karak, T. Catechin and caffeine content of tea (*Camelia sinensis* L.) leaf significantly differ with seasonal variation: A study on popular cultivars in North East India. *J. Food Compos. Anal.* 2021, 96, 103684. [CrossRef]
- 47. Panche, A.N.; Diwan, A.D.; Chandra, S.R. Flavonoids: An overview. J. Nutr. Sci. 2016, 5, e47. [CrossRef]
- Carvalho, I.S.; Cavaco, T.; Brodelius, M. Phenolic composition and antioxidant capacity of six *Artemisia* species. *Ind. Crops Prod.* 2011, 33, 382–388. [CrossRef]
- 49. Trifan, A.; Zengin, G.; Sinan, K.I.; Sieniawska, E.; Sawicki, R.; Maciejewska-Turska, M.; Skalikca-Woźniak, K.; Luca, S.V. Unveiling the Phytochemical Profile and Biological Potential of Five *Artemisia* Species. *Antioxidants* **2022**, *11*, 1017. [CrossRef]
- Sharma, K.R.; Adhikari, S. Phytochemical analysis and biological activities of Artemisia vulgaris grown in different altitudes of Nepal. Int. J. Food Prop. 2023, 26, 414–427. [CrossRef]
- Baiceanu, E.; Vlase, L.; Baiceanu, A.; Nanes, M.; Rusu, D.; Crisan, G. New Polyphenols Identified in Artemisiae abrotani herba Extract. Molecules 2015, 20, 11063–11075. [CrossRef]
- 52. Beigh, Y.A.; Ganai, A.M. Potential of Wormwood (*Artemisia absinthium* Linn.) herb for use as additive in livestock feeding: A review. *J. Pharm. Innov.* 2017, *6*, 176–187.
- Jahani, R.; Khaledyan, D.; Jahani, A.; Jamshidi, E.; Kamalinejad, M.; Khoramjouy, M.; Faizi, M. Evaluation and comparison of the antidepressant-like activity of *Artemisia dracunculus* and *Stachys lavandulifolia* ethanolic extracts: An in vivo study. *Res. Pharm. Sci.* 2019, 14, 544–553. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 54. Kreft, I.; Germ, M.; Golob, A.; Vombergar, B.; Bonafaccia, F.; Luthar, Z. Impact of Rutin and Other Phenolic Substances on the Digestibility of Buckwheat Grain Metabolites. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* **2022**, *23*, 3923. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 55. Ahamad, J.; Mir, S.; Amin, S.A.A. Pharmacognostic Review on Artemisia absinthium. Int. Res. J. Pharm. 2019, 10, 25–31. [CrossRef]
- 56. Hadi, A.; Hossein, N.; Shirin, P.; Najmeh, N.; Abolfazl, M. Anti-inflammatory and Analgesic Activities of *Artemisia absinthium* and Chemical Composition of its Essential Oil. *Int. J. Pharm. Sci. Rev. Res.* **2014**, *24*, 237–244.
- Gonzalez-Coloma, A.; Bailen, M.; Diaz, C.E.; Fraga, B.M.; Martínez-Díaz, R.; Zuñiga, G.E.; Contreras, R.A.; Cabrera, R.; Burillo, J. Major components of Spanish cultivated *Artemisia absinthium* populations: Antifeedant, antiparasitic, and antioxidant effects. *Ind. Crops Prod.* 2012, 37, 401–407. [CrossRef]
- 58. Cao, Z.; Zhang, H.; Cai, X.; Fang, W.; Chai, D.; Wen, Y.; Chen, H.; Chu, F.; Zhang, Y. Luteolin Promotes Cell Apoptosis by Inducing Autophagy in Hepatocellular Carcinoma. *Cell. Physiol. Biochem.* **2017**, *43*, 1803–1812. [CrossRef]
- 59. Zhang, L.; Hu, X.; Miao, X.; Chen, X.; Nan, S.; Fu, H. Genome–Scale Transcriptome Analysis of the Desert Shrub Artemisia sphaerocephala. PLoS ONE 2016, 11, e0154300. [CrossRef]
- Balčiūnaitienė, A.; Viškelis, P.; Viškelis, J.; Štreimikytė, P.; Liaudanskas, M.; Bartkienė, E.; Zavistanavičiūtė, P.; Zokaitytė, E.; Starkutė, V.; Ruzauskas, M.; et al. Green Synthesis of Silver Nanoparticles Using Extract of *Artemisia absinthium* L., *Humulus lupulus* L. and *Thymus vulgaris* L., Physico-Chemical Characterization, Antimicrobial and Antioxidant Activity. *Processes* 2021, 9, 1304. [CrossRef]
- 61. Skowyra, M.; Gallego, M.G.; Segovia, F.; Almajano, M.P. Antioxidant Properties of *Artemisia annua* Extracts in Model Food Emulsions. *Antioxidants* **2014**, *3*, 116–128. [CrossRef]
- Kottek, M.; Grieser, J.; Beck, C.; Rudolf, B.; Rubel, F. World Map of the Köppen-Geiger climate classification updated. *Meteorol. Z.* 2006, 15, 259–263. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Popov, A.D.; Chupakhina, N.U.; Skrypnik, L.N.; Larina, V.V.; Babich, O.O. Comparative characteristics of spectrophotometric methods for determining the in vitro antioxidant activity of plant extracts. *IOP Conf. Ser. Earth Environ. Sci.* 2023, 1154, 012081. [CrossRef]
- 64. Taherkhani, M.; Rustaiyan, A.; Rasooli, I.; Taherkhani, T. Chemical composition, antimicrobial activity, antioxidant and total phenolic content within the leaves essential oil of *Artemisia absinthium* L. growing wild in Iran. *Afr. J. Pharm. Pharmacol.* 2013, 7, 30–36. [CrossRef]

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.