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Supplementary Figure 1. A. Correlation (left) and score (right) plots of the first two components of the PCA (with $63.84 \%$ of variance explained) applied to compound classes of aroma variable based on total areas (only compounds with match quality $>50$ without exogenous compounds), obtained in two seasons. This graph contained an outlier (former $13 \mathrm{Br}, \mathrm{S} 1$ ) removed in a previous version of this manuscript because it was a mistake due to the confusion with a climacteric cultivar of melon with abundance of esters and sulfur derived compounds. Another data (2B, S2) was considered a real outlier. AEC, acetate ester; NAE, non-acetate ester; ALD, aldehyde; ALC, alcohols, KET, ketones; SDC, sulfur derived-compounds; TER, terpenes; AHA, alkanes and aliphatic compounds; OTH, other compounds. Circles represent $r^{2}=50 \%$ and $100 \%$ variability explained by the components. B. Example of outliers in acetate esters (ACE) of two aroma variables obtained in two seasons. Bold red circles indicate the fruit 13Br fruit of season 1. B.1. Box whisker plot of area counts of ACE with MQ>50. B.2. Box whisker plot of percentages of ACE based on total areas of compounds with match quality $>50$ and without exogenous compounds.
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Supplementary Figure 2.A Results of the principal component analysis (PCA) to verify potential outliers (dashed lines in different colors) in compound classes of aroma variable based on total areas (only compounds with match quality $>50$ ), obtained in two seasons. The areas of compound classes were not normalized to the response of the internal standard (1-phenylethanol). ACE, acetate ester; NAE, non-acetate ester; ALD, aldehyde; ALC, alcohols, KET, ketones; SDC, sulfur derived-compounds; TER, terpenes; AHA, alkanes and aliphatic compounds; OTH, other compounds. Circles represent $\mathrm{r}^{2}=$ $50 \%$ and $100 \%$ variability explained by the components.
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Supplementary Figure 2.B Results of the partial least-squares discriminant analysis (PLS-DA) to verify potential outliers (dashed lines in different colors) in compound classes of aroma variable based on total areas (only compounds with match quality > $50)$, obtained in two seasons. The areas of the compound classes were normalized to the response of the internal standard (1-phenylethanol) each season. ACE, acetate ester; NAE, non-acetate ester; ALD, aldehyde; ALC, alcohols, KET, ketones; SDC, sulfur derived-compounds; TER, terpenes; AHA, alkanes and aliphatic compounds; OTH, other compounds. Circles represent $\mathrm{r}^{2}=50 \%$ and $100 \%$ variability explained by the components.
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Supplementary Figure 2.C Results of the partial least-squares discriminant analysis (PLS-DA) to verify potential outliers (dashed lines in different colors) in compound classes of aroma variable based on total areas (only compounds with match quality > 50), obtained in two seasons. The areas of the compound classes were not normalized to the response of the internal standard (1-phenylethanol) each season. ACE, acetate ester; NAE, non-acetate ester; ALD, aldehyde; ALC, alcohols, KET, ketones; SDC, sulfur derived-compounds; TER, terpenes; AHA, alkanes and aliphatic compounds; OTH, other compounds. Circles represent $\mathrm{r}^{2}=50 \%$ and $100 \%$ variability explained by the components.
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Supplementary Figure 3. Results of the partial least-squares discriminant analysis (PLSDA) to verify potential outliers in compound classes of aroma variable based on total area counts of such compounds with match quality $>50$, and without exogenous compounds, obtained in two seasons. ACE, acetate ester; NAE, non-acetate ester; ALD, aldehyde; ALC, alcohols, KET, ketones; SDC, sulfur derived-compounds; TER, terpenes; AHA, alkanes and aliphatic compounds; OTH, other compounds. Circles represent $\mathrm{r}^{2}=50 \%$ and $100 \%$ variability explained by the components.
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Supplementary Figure 4.A. Results of the correlation analysis to verify potential outliers in compound classes of aroma variable of percentages of different compound classes based on total areas of such compounds with match quality $>50$, and without exogenous compounds, obtained in two seasons. ACE, acetate ester; NAE, non-acetate ester; ALD, aldehyde; ALC, alcohols, KET, ketones; SDC, sulfur derived-compounds; TER, terpenes; AHA, alkanes and aliphatic compounds; OTH, other compounds. Classical and robust $97.5 \%$ confidence ellipses of the data (blue and red, respectively). Robust method used $50 \%$ of the observations for Minimum Covariance Determinant (MCD estimations. The strong outliers labeled are the fruits 4B and 15A from season 1.


Supplementary Figure 4.B. Results of the correlation analysis to verify potential outliers in compound classes of aroma variable of percentages of different compound classes based on total areas of such compounds with match quality $>50$, and without exogenous compounds, obtained in two seasons. ACE, acetate ester; NAE, non-acetate ester; ALD, aldehyde; ALC, alcohols, KET, ketones; SDC, sulfur derived-compounds; TER, terpenes; AHA, alkanes and aliphatic compounds; OTH, other compounds. Robust method used $50 \%$ of the observations for Minimum Covariance Determinant (MCD estimations. The strong outliers labeled are the fruits 4 B and 15A from season 1 .
Strong outliers labeled are the fruits 4 B and 15 A of season 1.


Supplementary Figure 4.C. Results of the correlation analysis to verify potential outliers in compound classes of aroma variable of percentages of different compound classes based on total areas of such compounds with match quality $>50$, and without exogenous compounds, obtained in two seasons. ACE, acetate ester; NAE, non-acetate ester; ALD, aldehyde; ALC, alcohols, KET, ketones; SDC, sulfur derived-compounds; TER, terpenes; AHA, alkanes and aliphatic compounds; OTH, other compounds. Robust method used $50 \%$ of the observations for Minimum Covariance Determinant (MCD estimations. The strong outliers labeled are the fruits 4 B and 15A of season 1.


Supplementary Figure 4.D. Results of the correlation analysis to verify potential outliers in compound classes of aroma variable of percentages of different compound classes based on total areas of such compounds with match quality $>50$, and without exogenous compounds, obtained in two seasons. ACE, acetate ester; NAE, non-acetate ester; ALD, aldehyde; ALC, alcohols, KET, ketones; SDC, sulfur derived-compounds; TER, terpenes; AHA, alkanes and aliphatic compounds; OTH, other compounds. Classical and robust $97.5 \%$ confidence ellipses of the data (blue and red, respectively). Robust method used $50 \%$ of the observations for Minimum Covariance Determinant (MCD estimations. . Strong outliers labeled are the fruits 4 B and 15A of season 1 .


Supplementary Figure 4.E. Results of the correlation analysis to verify potential outliers in compound classes of aroma variable of percentages of different compound classes based on total areas of such compounds with match quality $>50$, and without exogenous compounds, obtained in two seasons. ACE, acetate ester; NAE, non-acetate ester; ALD, aldehyde; ALC, alcohols, KET, ketones; SDC, sulfur derived-compounds; TER, terpenes; AHA, alkanes and aliphatic compounds; OTH, other compounds. Classical and robust $97.5 \%$ confidence ellipses of the data (blue and red, respectively). Robust method used $50 \%$ of the observations for Minimum Covariance Determinant (MCD estimations. The strong outlier labeled is the fruits 4B of season 1 .


Supplementary Figure 4.F. Results of correlation analysis to verify potential outliers in compound classes of aroma variable of percentages of different compound classes based on total areas of such compounds with match quality $>50$, and without exogenous compound. ACE, acetate ester; NAE, non-acetate ester; ALD, aldehyde; ALC, alcohols, KET, ketones; SDC, sulfur derived-compounds; TER, terpenes; AHA, alkanes and aliphatic compounds; OTH, other compounds. Classical and robust $97.5 \%$ confidence ellipses of the data (blue and red, respectively). Robust method used $50 \%$ of the observations for Minimum Covariance Determinant (MCD estimations. The strong outlier labeled is the fruits 4B of season 1 .
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Supplementary Figure 5. Results of partial least-squares discriminant analysis (PLS-DA) after removing strong outliers of fruits 4 B and 15 A (season 1) in compound classes of aroma variable of percentages of different compound classes based on total areas of such compounds with match quality $>50$, and without exogenous compounds, obtained in two seasons. ACE, acetate ester; NAE, non-acetate ester; ALD, aldehyde; ALC, alcohols, KET, ketones; SDC, sulfur derived-compounds; TER, terpenes; AHA, alkanes and aliphatic compounds; OTH, other compounds. Circles represent $\mathrm{r}^{2}=50 \%$ and $100 \%$ variability explained by the components.

