



Article **Analytic Properties of the Sum** $B_1(h, k)$

Elif Cetin

Department of Mathematics, Celal Bayar University, Manisa 45140, Turkey; elifc2@gmail.com; Tel.: +90-535-207-8852

Academic Editor: Fazal Mahomed Received: 6 June 2016; Accepted: 12 July 2016; Published: 21 July 2016

Abstract: In this paper, with the help of the Hardy and Dedekind sums we will give many properties of the sum $B_1(h, k)$, which was defined by Cetin et al. Then we will give the connections of this sum with the other well-known finite sums such as the Dedekind sums, the Hardy sums, the Simsek sums Y(h, k) and the sum $C_1(h, k)$. By using the Fibonacci numbers and two-term polynomial relation, we will also give a new property of the sum $B_1(h, k)$.

Keywords: Hardy sums; Dedekind sums; two-term polynomial relation; greatest integer function; Y(h, k) sums; $C_1(h, k)$ sums; $B_1(h, k)$ sums

1. Introduction

The aim of this paper is to investigate and study the properties of the sum $B_1(h,k)$ which is defined by

$$B_1(h,k) = \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} \left[\frac{hj}{k} \right] (-1)^{\left[\frac{hj}{k} \right]}$$
(1)

In Equation (1), [x] indicates the greatest integer function, which is also called floor function or integer value, that gives the largest integer less than or equal to x. Besides, the greatest integer function can also be defined by the help of the sawtooth function ((x)), as follows:

$$((x)) = \begin{cases} x - [x] - 1/2 & \text{if } x \text{ is not an integer} \\ 0 & \text{if } x \text{ is an integer} \end{cases}$$

Dedekind sums DS(h,k), which are defined by Richard Dedekind in the nineteeth century, is given with the below equality:

$$DS(h,k) = \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} \left(\left(\frac{hj}{k} \right) \right) \left(\left(\frac{j}{k} \right) \right)$$

where *h* is an integer, and *k* is a positive integer. The basic introduction to the arithmetic properties of the Dedekind sum can be found in [1–5]. Dedekind defined these sums with the help of the famous Dedekind eta function. Although Dedekind sums arise in the transformation formula for the eta function, they can be defined independently of the eta function. Dedekind sums have many interesting properties but most important one is the reciprocity theorem: When *h* and *k* are coprime positive integers, the following reciprocity law holds [6]:

$$DS(h,k) + DS(k,h) = -\frac{1}{4} + \frac{1}{12}\left(\frac{h}{k} + \frac{k}{h} + \frac{1}{hk}\right)$$
(2)

The first proof of (2) was given by Richard Dedekind in 1892 [6]. After R. Dedekind, Apostol [7] and many authors have given many different proofs [1]. By using contour integration, in 1905, Hardy [8], gave another proof of the reciprocity theorem.

In that work, Hardy also gave some finite arithmetical sums which are called Hardy sums. These Hardy sums are also related to the Dedekind sums and have many useful properties.

We are ready to recall some of the Hardy sums which are needed in the further sections: If *h* and $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ with k > 0, the Hardy sums $HS_1(h, k)$ and $HS_2(h, k)$ are defined by

$$HS_{1}(h,k) = \sum_{j \mod k} (-1)^{j+1+\lfloor \frac{h}{k} \rfloor},$$

$$HS_{2}(h,k) = \sum_{j \mod k} (-1)^{j+\lfloor \frac{hj}{k} \rfloor} \left(\left(\frac{j}{k} \right) \right)$$
(3)

We also note that some authors have called Hardy sums as Hardy-Berndt sums. For $HS_2(h, k)$, the below equality also holds true:

$$HS_{2}(h,k) = \frac{1}{k} \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} j(-1)^{j + \left[\frac{hj}{k}\right]}$$
(4)

when *h* and *k* are odd [9]. Further, following equations will be necessary in the next section [10]:

$$\sum_{j=1}^{k-1} (-1)^{j+\binom{hj}{k}} \left(\frac{j}{k}\right) = HS_2(h,k) - \frac{1}{2}HS_1(h,k),$$

$$\sum_{j=1}^{h-1} (-1)^{j+\binom{kj}{h}} \left(\frac{j}{h}\right) = HS_2(k,h) - \frac{1}{2}HS_1(k,h)$$
(5)

Reciprocity law for the $HS_2(h, k)$ is given by the following theorem:

Theorem 1. Let h and k be coprime positive integers. If h and k are odd, then

$$HS_2(h,k) + HS_2(k,h) = \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2hk}$$
(6)

and if h + k is odd then

$$HS_2(h,k) = HS_2(k,h) = 0$$
 (7)

(cf. [9–12] and the references cited in each of these works).

The proof of the next reciprocity theorem was given by Hardy [8] and Berndt [13]:

Theorem 2. Let h and k be coprime positive integers. Then

$$HS_1(h,k) + HS_1(k,h) = 1$$
 if $h + k$ is odd (8)

In the light of Equation (8), Apostol [14] gave the below result:

Theorem 3. *If both h and k are odd and* (h, k) = 1*, then*

$$HS_1(h,k) = HS_1(k,h) = 0$$
 (9)

The following two theorems give the relations between the Hardy-Berndt sums and the Dedekind sums DS(h,k):

Theorem 4. [10] Let (h, k) = 1. Then

$$HS_1(h,k) = 8DS(h,2k) + 8DS(2h,k) - 20DS(h,k), \text{ if } h+k \text{ is odd}$$
(10)

$$HS_2(h,k) = -10DS(h,k) + 4DS(2h,k) + 4DS(h,2k), \text{ if } h + k \text{ is even}$$
(11)

 $HS_1(h,k) = 0$, if h + k is even

$$HS_2(h,k) = 0$$
, if $h + k$ is odd

Theorem 5. [15] For h + k is odd and (h, k) = 1 with k > 0, then we have

$$HS_1(h,k) = 4DS(h,k) - 8DS(h+k,2k)$$
(12)

Next theorem gives infinite series representation of the Hardy-Berndt sums:

Theorem 6. [9] Let h and k denote relatively prime integers with k > 0. If h + k is odd, then

$$HS_1(h,k) = \frac{4}{\pi} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2n-1} \tan\left(\frac{\pi h(2n-1)}{2k}\right)$$
(13)

and if h and k are odd, then

$$HS_{2}(h,k) = \frac{2}{\pi} \sum_{\substack{n=1\\2n-1 \neq 0 \pmod{k}}}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2n-1} \tan\left(\frac{\pi h(2n-1)}{2k}\right)$$
(14)

Now we will give the finite series representation of the Hardy-Berndt sums:

Theorem 7. [9] Let h and k be coprime integers with k > 0. If h + k is odd, then

$$HS_{1}(h,k) = \frac{1}{k} \sum_{j=1}^{k} \tan\left(\frac{\pi h(2j-1)}{2k}\right) \cot\left(\frac{\pi (2j-1)}{2k}\right)$$
(15)

and if h and k are odd, then

$$HS_{2}(h,k) = \frac{1}{2k} \sum_{\substack{j=1\\ j \neq \frac{k+1}{2}}}^{k} \tan\left(\frac{\pi h(2j-1)}{2k}\right) \cot\left(\frac{\pi (2j-1)}{2k}\right)$$
(16)

In [16], Simsek gave the following new sums: Let *h* is an integer and *k* is a positive integer with (h, k) = 1. Then

$$Y(h,k) = 4k \sum_{j \mod k} (-1)^{j + \left\lfloor \frac{hj}{k} \right\rfloor} \left(\left(\frac{j}{k} \right) \right)$$

We observe that Y(h, k) sums are also related to the Hardy sums $HS_2(h, k)$. That is

$$Y(h,k) = 4kHS_2(h,k) \tag{17}$$

Reciprocity law for this sum was given by Simsek in [16] (p. 5, Theorem 4) as below:

$$hY(h,k) + kY(k,h) = 2hk - 2$$
 (18)

Simsek gave two different proofs of this reciprocity law. Another proof was also given in [17]. Y(h, k) sums are also related to the three term polynomial relations, [17–20]

In this paper we study the Hardy sums, the Simsek sums Y(h, k) and the Dedekind sums DS(h, k) which are related to the symmetric pairs [21], and the Fibonacci numbers. Before starting our results, we need some properties of the Fibonacci numbers which are given as follows: The Fibonacci numbers are defined by means of the following generating function [22]:

$$F(x) = \frac{x}{1 - x - x^2} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} F_n x^n$$
(19)

One can easily derive the following recurrence relation from (19):

$$F_{n+1} = F_n + F_{n-1}$$

From (19), we also easily compute the first few Fibonacci numbers as follows: 0, 1, 1, 2, 3, 5, 8, 13, 21, · · · In [21], Meyer studied a special case of the Dedekind sums. In that paper, Meyer investigated the pairs of integers $\{h, k\}$ for which DS(h, k) = DS(k, h). Meyer defined that $\{h, k\}$ is a symmetric pair if this property holds and he showed that $\{h, k\}$ is a symmetric pair if and only if $h = F_{2n+1}$ and $k = F_{2n+3}$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$ where F_m is the m-th Fibonacci number. In [21], Meyer proved the following theorem:

Theorem 8. If (h, k) = 1 and $\{h, k\}$ is a symmetric pair, then DS(h, k) = 0.

In [17], Cetin et al. defined the sum $C_1(h, k)$ as follows:

$$C_1(h,k) = \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} \left(\left(\frac{hj}{k} \right) \right) \left(-1 \right)^{j + \left[\frac{hj}{k} \right]}$$
(20)

where *h*, *k* are positive integers with (h, k) = 1.

For the odd values of *k*, the below theorem is given in [23]:

Theorem 9. If (h, k) = 1, h and k are odd integers with k > 0, then we have

$$C_1(h,k) = \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2k} \tag{21}$$

In [17], Cetin et al. also defined the sum $Y_{n-1}(a_1, a_1, \dots; a_n)$ as follows:

$$Y_{n-1}(a_1, a_1, \cdots; a_n) = \sum_{j=1}^{a_n-1} (2j-1)(-1)^{j+\left[\frac{a_1j}{a_n}\right] + \dots + \left[\frac{a_{n-1}j}{a_n}\right]} \left[\frac{a_1j}{a_n}\right] \cdots \left[\frac{a_{n-1}j}{a_n}\right]$$

where a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n are pairwise positive integers.

Two-term polynomial relation has an important role in the next section. So we need to remind it in the following theorem:

Theorem 10. If *a*, *b*, and *c* are pairwise coprime positive integers, then

$$(u-1)\sum_{x=1}^{a-1}u^{x-1}v^{\left[\frac{bx}{a}\right]} + (v-1)\sum_{y=1}^{b-1}v^{y-1}u^{\left[\frac{ay}{b}\right]} = u^{a-1}v^{b-1} - 1$$
(22)

Equation (22) is originally due to Berndt and Dieter [24].

Next corollary, which was given in [23] (Corollary 7), will be useful in the next section.

Corollary 11. Let h and k be positive integers and $\{h, k\}$ is a symmetric pair. If (h, k) = 1, $h = F_{6n-1}$ and $k = F_{6n+1}$ with n is a natural number, where F_m is the m-th Fibonacci number, then

$$HS_{2}(h,k) + HS_{2}(k,h) = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{h}{k} + \frac{k}{h} - 2 \right)$$
(23)

and

$$hY(h,k) + kY(k,h) = 2h^2 + 2k^2 - 4hk$$

2. The Sum $B_1(h, k)$ and Its Properties

In [17] we defined a new sum as follows:

$$B_1(h,k) = \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} (-1)^{j + \left\lfloor \frac{hj}{k} \right\rfloor} \left\lfloor \frac{hj}{k} \right\rfloor$$

which (h, k) = 1 and k > 0. The sum $B_1(h, k)$ has the following arithmetic property:

$$B_1(-h, -k) = B_1(h, k)$$
(24)

To show that the last equality holds true, we use the definition of the [.] function, and the fact that ((-x)) = -((x)). If we also consider the equation

$$(-1)^{[x]} = 2((x)) - 4\left(\left(\frac{x}{2}\right)\right)$$
 (25)

when x is not an integer, then we get the Equation (24). The Equation (25) is originally reduced from [15].

Now we will give a relation between the sums $B_1(h, k)$ and $HS_1(h, k)$.

Theorem 12. *If* h + k *is odd,* k > 0*, and* (h, k) = 1*, then*

$$B_1(h,k) = \frac{1}{2}(1-h)HS_1(h,k)$$
(26)

Proof. We consider the two-term relation which is given in Equation (22). If we take the partial derivative of Equation (22) with respect to u, and substitute u = v = -1, then we have

$$\sum_{x=1}^{h-1} (-1)^{x + \left[\frac{kx}{h}\right]} - 2\sum_{x=1}^{h-1} x(-1)^{x + \left[\frac{kx}{h}\right]} - 2\sum_{y=1}^{k-1} \left[\frac{hy}{k}\right] (-1)^{y + \left[\frac{hy}{k}\right]} = (h-1)(-1)^{h+k-1} \sum_{x=1}^{h-1} (h-1)^{h+k-1} \sum_{x=1}^{h-1}$$

After some elementary calculations and by using Equation (5), we get

$$-HS_1(h,k) - 2h\left(HS_2(k,h) - \frac{1}{2}HS_1(k,h)\right) - 2B_1(h,k) = h - 1$$

We know from Equation (7) that $HS_2(h,k) = HS_2(k,h) = 0$. If we use this fact, then we have

$$-2B_1(h,k) = (h-1)(1 - HS_1(k,h))$$
⁽²⁷⁾

From Equation (8) we can write

$$HS_1(h,k) = 1 - HS_1(k,h)$$
(28)

If we put Equation (28) into Equation (27), then we have the desired result. \Box

In the next theorem, we will give the relation between the sums $B_1(h, k)$ and the Hardy-Berndt sums $HS_2(h, k)$:

Theorem 13. *If h and k are relatively prime odd numbers with k > 0, then*

$$B_1(h,k) = hHS_2(h,k) + \frac{1}{2k} - \frac{1}{2}$$
⁽²⁹⁾

Proof. From the definition of the sum $B_1(h, k)$ after basic calculations, we get

$$B_1(h,k) = hHS_2(h,k) - C_1(h,k) + \frac{1}{2}HS_1(h,k)$$

From [23], we know that Equation (21) holds true. If we also use Equation (9), then we get the desired result. \Box

In the next theorem we will give the relation between the sums $B_1(h, k)$ and the sums Y(h, k):

Theorem 14. *If h and k are relatively prime odd numbers with k > 0, then*

$$B_1(h,k) = \frac{h}{4k}Y(h,k) + \frac{1}{2k} - \frac{1}{2}$$

Proof. It can be directly obtained from Theorem 13 and Equation (17). \Box

Now, we will give a relation for the sums $B_1(h, k)$ as follows:

Theorem 15. *If* h + k *is an odd positive integer and* (h, k) = 1*, then*

$$(k-1)B_1(h,k) + (h-1)B_1(k,h) = -\frac{1}{2}(k-1)(h-1)$$
(30)

Proof. From Theorem 12, we showed that Equation (26) holds. Similarly, when h + k is an odd positive integer with h > 0, we can also write

$$B_1(k,h) = \frac{1}{2}(1-k)HS_1(h,k)$$
(31)

So first, if we multiply Equation (26) by k and Equation (31) by h respectively, then if we sum the two equations side by side and use (8), we get the following identity:

$$kB_1(h,k) + hB_1(k,h) = \frac{1}{2} \left[kHS_1(h,k) + hHS_1(k,h) - hk \right]$$
(32)

Now we will consider the sum $B_1(h, k) + B_1(k, h)$. From (26) and (31), we can see that

$$B_1(h,k) + B_1(k,h) = \frac{1}{2} \left[1 - (hHS_1(h,k) + kHS_1(k,h)) \right]$$

So from this last equation, we can write

$$hHS_1(h,k) + kHS_1(k,h) = 1 - 2B_1(h,k) - 2B_1(k,h)$$
(33)

Now we will use the Equation (8). First, we multiply Equation (8) by *h*, and we multiply Equation (8) by *k*. Then if add these two equations side by side and if we use the Equation (32), we get the desired result. \Box

In the below theorem, we will give the reciprocity theorem for the sums $B_1(h, k)$:

Theorem 16. *If h and k are odd positive integers with* (h, k) = 1*, then*

$$kB_1(h,k) + hB_1(k,h) = \frac{1}{2}(h-1)(k-1)$$

Proof. From Theorem 13, we know that Equation (29) holds. Similarly, we can also write

$$B_1(k,h) = kHS_2(k,h) + \frac{1}{2h} - \frac{1}{2}$$
(34)

.

If we multiply Equation (29) by *k*, and Equation (34) by *h* respectively, and add these equations side by side, we get

$$kB_1(h,k) + hB_1(k,h) = hk\left(HS_2(h,k) + HS_2(k,h)\right) + 1 - \frac{k}{2} - \frac{h}{2}$$
(35)

In this last equation if we use Equation (6), then we get the desired result. \Box

Theorem 17. If h + k is an odd positive integer and (h, k) = 1, then

$$Y_1(h,k) + Y_1(k,h) = 2(k-1)B_1(h,k) + 2(h-1)B_1(k,h)$$

Proof. In [17], if we take n = 2 in Theorem 4, and use it with Equation (30) we have desired result.

Theorem 18. If h + k is an odd positive integer and (h, k) = 1, then

$$Y_1(h,k) + Y_1(k,h) = 2kB_1(h,k) + 2hB_1(k,h)$$
(36)

Proof. In [17], if we take n = 2 in Theorem 4, and use it with Equation (36) we have desired result.

In the following three theorems, we will give the relations between the sums $B_1(h, k)$ and the Dedekind sums DS(h,k):

Theorem 19. *Let* h + k *is odd,* (h, k) = 1 *with* k > 0*. Then*

$$B_1(h,k) = (1-h) \left(4DS(h,2k) + 4DS(2h,k) - 10DS(h,k) \right)$$

Proof. It can be directly obtained from Theorem 12 and Equation (10). \Box

Theorem 20. *Let* h + k *is odd,* (h, k) = 1 *with* k > 0*. Then*

$$B_1(h,k) = 2(1-h) \left(DS(h,k) - 2DS(h+k,2k) \right).$$

Proof. It can be directly obtained from Theorem 12 and Equation (12). \Box

Theorem 21. *If h and k are relatively prime odd numbers with k > 0, then*

$$B_1(h,k) = -10hDS(h,k) + 4hDS(2h,k) + 4hDS(h,2k) + \frac{1}{2k} - \frac{1}{2}$$

Proof. It can be directly obtained from Equation (29) and Equation (11). \Box

Now we will give two different infinite series representations of the sums $B_1(h, k)$:

Theorem 22. Let *h* and *k* denote relatively prime integers with k > 0. If h + k is odd, then

$$B_1(h,k) = \frac{2(1-h)}{\pi} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2n-1} \tan\left(\frac{\pi h(2n-1)}{2k}\right)$$

Proof. It can be directly obtained from Theorem 12 and Equation (13). \Box

Theorem 23. Let h and k denote relatively prime integers with k > 0. If h and k are odd, then

$$B_1(h,k) = \frac{2h}{\pi} \sum_{\substack{n=1\\2n-1 \neq modk}}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2n-1} \tan\left(\frac{\pi h(2n-1)}{2k}\right) + \frac{1}{2k} - \frac{1}{2k}$$

Proof. It can be directly obtained from Theorem 13 and Equation (14). \Box

Similarly, we give two different finite series representations of the sums $B_1(h, k)$ below:

Theorem 24. Let h and k denote relatively prime integers with k > 0. If h + k is odd, then

$$B_1(h,k) = \frac{1-h}{2k} \sum_{j=1}^k \tan\left(\frac{\pi h(2j-1)}{2k}\right) \cot\left(\frac{\pi (2j-1)}{2k}\right)$$

Proof. It can be directly obtained from Theorem 12 and Equation (15). \Box

Theorem 25. Let h and k be coprime integers with k > 0. If h and k are odd, then

$$B_1(h,k) = \frac{h}{2k} \sum_{\substack{j=1\\ j \neq \frac{k+1}{2}}}^k \tan\left(\frac{\pi h(2j-1)}{2k}\right) \cot\left(\frac{\pi (2j-1)}{2k}\right) + \frac{1}{2k} - \frac{1}{2}$$

Proof. It can be directly obtained from Theorem 13 and Equation (16). \Box

Now, we will give the relation between the sums $B_1(h, k)$ and the Fibonacci numbers.

Theorem 26. Let *h* and *k* be positive integers and $\{h, k\}$ is a symmetric pair. If (h, k) = 1, $h = F_{6n-1}$ and $k = F_{6n+1}$ with *n* is a natural number, where F_m is the *m*-th Fibonacci number, then

$$kB_1(h,k) + hB_1(k,h) = \frac{h^2 - h - k + k^2}{2} - hk + 1$$

Proof. It can be obtained similarly with Theorem 16's proof. From Theorem 16's proof, we know that Equation (35) holds. If we also use the Equation (23) into Equation (35), then we get desired result. \Box

3. Conclusions

In this paper, we gave some properties of the sum $B_1(h, k)$, with the help of the Fibonacci numbers. We thereby built a connection between analysis and number theory. The Dedekind sums were studied around the 1900s and the Dedekind sums for higher-dimensions were studied around the 1950s. These sums have been studied prominently in so many different areas such as enumerating lattice points in polytopes and special values of L-functions, Modular forms, arithmetical functions, the three-term polynomial relations, Theta functions and some other special numbers and polynomials. Dedekind sums and Hardy sums have many useful applications in mathematics. Therefore, the connections between the sum $B_1(h, k)$ and the other well-known sums, can be useful in many areas like physics and engineering.

Acknowledgments: The author would like to thank Professor Yilmaz Simsek (Akdeniz University, Antalya, Turkey) and Professor Ismail Naci Cangul (Uludag University, Bursa, Turkey) for their very valuable comments, criticisms and for their useful suggestions on this present paper.

Conflicts of Interest: The author declares no conflict of interest.

Abbreviations

The following abbreviations are used in this manuscript:

MDPI Multidisciplinary Digital Publishing Institute

- DOAJ Directory of open access journals
- TLA Three letter acronym
- LD linear dichroism

References

- 1. Rademacher, H.; Grosswald, E. Arithmetic properties of the Dedekind sums. In *Dedekind Sums*; Niven, I., Ed.; The Mathematical Association of America: Philadelphia, PA, USA, 1972; pp. 26–39.
- 2. Goldberg, L.A. Transformation of Theta-functions and Analogues of Dedekind Sums. Ph.D. Thesis, University of Illinois, Urbana, IL, USA, 1981.
- 3. Simsek, Y. A note on Dedekind sums. Bull. Calcutta Math. Soc. 1993, 85, 567–572.
- 4. Simsek, Y. Special functions related to dedekind-type Dc-sums and their applications. *Russ. J. Math. Phys.* **2010**, *4*, 495–508.
- 5. Rademacher, H. Generalization of the reciprocity formula for Dedekind sums. *Duke Math. J.* **1954**, *21*, 391–397.
- 6. Dedekind, R. Dedekind sums. In *Erläuterungen zu den Fragmenten XXVIII, in Collected Works of Bernhard Riemann;* Dover Publications: Mineola, NY, USA, 1953.
- 7. Apostol, T.M. Properties of Dedekind sums. In *Modular Functions and Dirichlet Series in Number Theory;* Ewing, J.H., Ed.; Springer-Verlag: New York, NY, USA, 1976; pp. 61–69.
- 8. Hardy, G.H. On certain series of discontinues functions connected with the modular functions. *Q. J. Math.* **1905**, *36*, 93–123.
- 9. Berndt, B.C.; Goldberg, L.A. Analytic properties of arithmetic sums arising in the theory of the classical Theta-functions. *SIAM J. Math. Anal.* **1984**, *15*, 143–150.
- 10. Pettet, M.R.; Sitaramachandraro, R. Three-Term relations for Hardy sums. J. Number Theory 1989, 25, 328–339.
- 11. Simsek, Y. On generalized hardy sums *s*₅(*h*, *k*). *Ukr. Math. J.* **2004**, *56*, 1434–1440.
- 12. Simsek, Y. Relations between theta-functions Hardy sums Eisenstein series and Lambert series in the transformation formula of $\log \eta_{g,h}(z)$. *J. Number Theory* **2003**, *99*, 338–360.
- 13. Berndt, B.C.; Evans, R.J. Problem E2758. Am. Math. Mon. 1979, 86, 128.
- 14. Apostol, T.M.; Vu, T.H. Elementary proofs of Berndt's reciprocity laws. Pac. J. Math. 1982, 98, 17–23.
- 15. Sitaramachandrarao, R. Dedekind and Hardy sums. Acta Arith. 1978, 48, 325–340.
- 16. Simsek, Y. On Analytic properties and character analogs of Hardy sums. Taiwan J. Math. 2009, 13, 253–268.
- 17. Cetin, E.; Simsek, Y.; Cangul, I.N. Some special finite sums related to the three-term polynomial relations and their applications. *Adv. Differ. Equ.* **2014**, *283*, 1–18.
- 18. Simsek, Y. Theorems on three-term relations for Hardy sums. Turk. J. Math. 1998, 22, 153–162.
- 19. Beck, M. Geometric proofs of polynomial reciprocity laws of Carlitz, Berndt, and Dieter, M. Beck, in Diophantine analysis and related fields. *Sem. Math. Sci.* **2006**, *35*, 11–18.
- 20. Carlitz, L. Some polynomials associated with Dedekind sums. Acta Math. Sci. Hung. 1975, 26, 311–319.
- 21. Meyer, J.L. Symmetric Arguments in the Dedekind Sum; Syracuse University: Syracuse, NY, USA, 2002.
- 22. Koshy, T. Fibonacci and Lucas Identities. In *Fibonacci and Lucas Numbers with Applications;* John Wiley and Sons: New York, NY, USA, 2001; pp. 69–100.

- 23. Cetin, E. A note on Hardy type sums and Dedekind sums. *Filomat* 2016, *30*, 977–983.
- 24. Berndt, B.C.; Dieter, U. Sums involving the greatest integer function and Riemann Stieltwes integration. *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **1982**, 337, 208–220.



© 2016 by the author; licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC-BY) license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).