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Figure S1. NOESY spectrum ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, 298 \mathrm{~K}, \mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ) of 4. Chemical shifts in $\delta / \mathrm{ppm}$.


Figure S2. J-V curves for duplicate DSSCs with photoanodes assembled using the three dipping methods (ligand exchange, 1:1 mixture and sequential) described in the Materials and Methods section compared to a DSSC sensitized wth N719.

Table S1. EQE maxima for DSSCs (cell 2 in each case, see Table 3) with photoanodes assembled using the three assembly protocols described in the Materials and Methods section.

| Dye | Dipping procedure | On the day of DSSC fabrication |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\lambda_{\text {max }} / \mathrm{nm}$ | EQEmax $^{\text {/ \% }}$ |
| $[\mathrm{Cu}(3)(4)]^{+}$ | Ligand exchange | 480 | 31.9 |
| $[\mathrm{Cu}(3)(4)]^{+}$ | 1:1 | 470 | 49.1 |
| $[\mathrm{Cu}(3)(4)]^{+}$ | Sequential | 480 | 51.7 |
| N719 |  | 540 | 68.5 |
| Dye | Dipping procedure | 3 days after DSSC fabrication |  |
|  |  | $\lambda_{\text {max }} / \mathrm{nm}$ | EQEmax / \% |
| $[\mathrm{Cu}(3)(4)]^{+}$ | Ligand exchange | 470 | 23.9 |
| $[\mathrm{Cu}(3)(4)]^{+}$ | 1:1 | 470 | 47.8 |
| $[\mathrm{Cu}(3)(4)]^{+}$ | Sequential | 480 | 53.4 |
| N719 |  | 530 | 67.6 |
| Dye | Dipping procedure | 7 days after DSSC fabrication |  |
|  |  | $\lambda_{\text {max }} / \mathrm{nm}$ | EQEmax / \% |
| $[\mathrm{Cu}(3)(4)]^{+}$ | Ligand exchange | 470 | 24.1 |
| $[\mathrm{Cu}(3)(4)]^{+}$ | 1:1 | 470 | 48.1 |
| $[\mathrm{Cu}(3)(4)]^{+}$ | Sequential | 470 | 52.2 |
| N719 |  | 540 | 66.6 |



Figure S3. J- $V$ curves of a DSSC in which the $\mathrm{FTO} / \mathrm{TiO}_{2}$ working electrode was immersed in a DMSO solution of anchor 3 (one day) following by immersion in a MeCN solution of $\left[\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{MeCN})_{4}\right]\left[\mathrm{PF}_{6}\right]$ (one day). No ancillary ligand was used.


Figure S4. EQE spectrum of a DSSC in which the $\mathrm{FTO} / \mathrm{TiO}_{2}$ working electrode was immersed in a DMSO solution of anchor 3 (one day) followed by immersion in a MeCN solution of $\left[\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{MeCN})_{4}\right]\left[\mathrm{PF}_{6}\right]$ (one day). No ancillary ligand was used.


Figure S5. Solution absorption spectrum of compound $4\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 1 \times 10^{-5} \mathrm{~mol}\right.$ $\mathrm{dm}^{-3}$ ).

