

## **Supplementary Material**

### **Risk Assessment of Phthalate Esters in Baiyangdian Lake and Typical Rivers in China**

**Yin Hou<sup>1,2</sup>, Mengchen Tu<sup>1</sup>, Cheng Li<sup>3</sup>, Xinyu Liu<sup>1</sup>, Chao Wei<sup>1</sup>, Jing Wang<sup>1,2</sup>, Xin Zheng<sup>1,\*</sup> and Yihong Wu<sup>3,\*</sup>**

<sup>1</sup> State Key Laboratory of Environmental Criteria and Risk Assessment, Chinese Research Academy of Environmental Sciences, Beijing 100012, China

<sup>2</sup> College of Marine Ecology and Environment, Shanghai Ocean University, Shanghai 201306, China

<sup>3</sup> Institute of Green Development, Hebei provincial Academy of Environmental Sciences, Shijiazhuang, 050037, China

\* Correspondence: zhengxin@craes.org.cn (X.Z.); yh\_wuhb@126.com (Y.W.)

**Table S1.** Characterization of 7 PAEs investigated

Phthalate esters	Acronym	CAS	Alkyl-	Molecular	Molecular weight	Solubility	LgK <sub>ow</sub>	K <sub>oc</sub> (L/kg)
			chain length	formula		in water (mg/L)		
Dimethyl phthalate	DMP	131-11-3	1	C <sub>10</sub> H <sub>10</sub> O <sub>4</sub>	194	2014	1.61	40
Diethyl phthalate	DEP	84-66-2	2	C <sub>12</sub> H <sub>14</sub> O <sub>4</sub>	222	287	2.24	69
Diisobutyl phthalate	DIBP	84-69-5	3	C <sub>16</sub> H <sub>22</sub> O <sub>4</sub>	278	0.00	4.11	-
Dibutyl phthalate	DBP	84-74-2	4	C <sub>16</sub> H <sub>22</sub> O <sub>4</sub>	278	2.35	4.27	1380
Butyl benzyl phthalate	BBP	85-68-7	4	C <sub>19</sub> H <sub>20</sub> O <sub>4</sub>	312	0.95	4.70	5248
Di-n-octyl phthalate	DOP	117-84-0	8	C <sub>24</sub> H <sub>38</sub> O <sub>4</sub>	390	0.00	8.18	23988
Bis(2-ethylhexyl) phthalate	DEHP	117-81-7	8	C <sub>20</sub> H <sub>14</sub> O <sub>4</sub>	390	0.00	7.73	87096

-: No data

**Table S2.** Reproductive toxicity data used to construct SSDs of DEHP, BBP, DEP, and DBP

Phthalate esters	Test species	Duration (days)	Endpoint	Measurement	Con. ( $\mu\text{g/L}$ )	Reference
DEHP	<i>Eurytemora affinis</i>	21	NOEC	REP	109	Forget-Leray, et al, 2005
	<i>Oryzias melastigma</i>	180	LOEC	MPH	100	Ye, et al, 2014
	<i>Oryzias latipes</i>	91	NOEC	REP	1	Kim et al, 2002
	<i>Salvelinus fontinalis</i>	150	NOEC	MPH	3.7	Mayer et al, 1977
	<i>Daphnia magna</i>	21	NOEC	REP	640	Adams and Heidolph, 1985
	<i>Gobiocypris rarus</i>	21	NOEC	MPH	3.6	Wang, et al, 2013
	<i>Danio rerio</i>	21	LOEC	REP	20	Carnevali, et al, 2010
	<i>Pimephales promelas</i>	28	NOEC	MPH	12	Crago and Klaper, 2012
	<i>Poecilia reticulata</i>	28	NOEC	MPH	320	Adema and Zwart, 1984
	<i>Oncorhynchus mykiss</i>	42	NOEC	GRO	502	Spehar, R.L., 1986
	<i>Pseudokirchneriella subcapitata</i>	5	EC <sub>50</sub>	POP	960	Richter, 1982

Phthalate esters	Test species	Duration (days)	Endpoint	Measurement	Con. (µg/L)	Reference
DBP	<i>Hydra viridissima</i>	7	NOEC	POP	10	Ganeshakumar, M., 2009
	<i>Oncorhynchus mykiss</i>	99	NOEC	GRO	100	Rhodes, et al, 1995
	<i>Gasterosteus aculeatus</i>	22	NOEC	GRO	35.2	Aoki, et al, 2011
	<i>Melanotaenia fluviatilis</i>	7	NOEC	REP	14	Bhatia, et al, 2014
	<i>Glandirana rugosa</i>	21	NOEC	MPH	278	Ohtani et al., 2000
	<i>Xenopus laevis</i>	266	NOEC	MPH	1000	Higuchi, 2002
	<i>Daphnia magna</i>	21	NOEC	REP	960	Kuhn, et al, 1989
	<i>Danio rerio</i>	95	NOEC	GRO	400	Chen et al., 2015
	<i>Pimephales promelas</i>	14	NOEC	GRO	500	Weston et al., 2009
	<i>Pseudokirchneriella subcapitata</i>	4	NOEC	POP	210	Adams, et al, 1995
	<i>Scenedesmus subspicatus</i>	3	NOEC	POP	500	Scholz, N., 1995
	<i>Chlorella vulgaris</i>	4	EC <sub>50</sub>	POP	7780	Chi et ai,2006

Phthalate esters	Test species	Duration (days)	Endpoint	Measurement	Con. (μg/L)	Reference
BBP	<i>Scenedesmus acutus</i>	3	NOEC	POP	30200	Kuang et al,2003
	<i>Lemna minor</i>	7	LOEC	MPH	5	Huang et al., 2006
	<i>Ceratophyllum demersum</i>	25	NOEC	BIO	150	Li et al., 2006
	<i>Pseudokirchneriella subcapitata</i>	4	NOEC	POP	60	USEPA, 1978
	<i>Daphnia magna</i>	20	NOEC	REP	170	E.G. and G. Bionomics, 1979
	<i>Fundulus heteroclitus</i>	28	NOEC	GRO	100	Kaplan,et al,2013
	<i>Navicula pelliculosa</i>	4	EC <sub>50</sub>	POP	600	Gledhill,1980
	<i>Anacystis aeruginosa</i>	4	EC <sub>50</sub>	POP	1000	Gledhill,1980
	<i>Ipomoea aquatica</i>	28	NOEC	GRO	50000	Chen,et al,2011
DEP	<i>Pimephales promelas</i>	21	NOEC	FEC	64.6	Hicks, 2008
	<i>Chlamydomonas reinhardtii</i>	3	EC10	POP	1020	Brack, W., and H. Rottler, 1994
	<i>Pseudokirchneriella subcapitata</i>	4	NOEC	POP	3650	Adams, et al, 1995

Phthalate esters	Test species	Duration (days)	Endpoint	Measurement	Con. ( $\mu\text{g}/\text{L}$ )	Reference
	<i>Daphnia magna</i>	21	NOEC	REP	3800	Kuhn, et al, 1989
	<i>Cyprinus carpio</i>	28	LOEC	MPH	1000	Barse, et al, 2007
	<i>Tetrahymena thermophila</i>	2	NOEC	POP	40000	Pauli, et al, 1993
	<i>Danio rerio</i>	3.8	NOEC	REP	427.2	Xu et al, 2013
	<i>Anodonta cygnea</i>	4	EC <sub>50</sub>	POP	16000	Adams and Gorsuch, 1995
	<i>Scenedesmus subspicatus</i>	4	EC <sub>50</sub>	POP	21000	Kuhn et al, 1989

POP: population growth rate, REP: reproduction, GRO: growth, MPH: morphology, BEH: behavior, FEC: fecundity, BIO: Biochemistry.

**Table S3.** The average chronic toxicity value and cumulative frequency of DEHP, BBP, DEP, and DBP

Phthalate esters	Test species	Endpoint	Con. ( $\mu\text{g}/\text{L}$ )	CVE ( $\mu\text{g}/\text{L}$ )	lg(CVE) ( $\mu\text{g}/\text{L}$ )	R	f	F <sub>R</sub> (%)
DEHP	<i>Oryzias latipes</i>	NOEC	1	1	0	1	1	7.7
	<i>Gobiocypris rarus</i>	NOEC	3.6	1.897	0.278	2	1	15.4

Phthalate esters	Test species	Endpoint	Con. ( $\mu\text{g}/\text{L}$ )	CVE ( $\mu\text{g}/\text{L}$ )	$\lg(\text{CVE})$ ( $\mu\text{g}/\text{L}$ )	R	f	$F_R$ (%)
DBP	<i>Salvelinus fontinalis</i>	NOEC	3.7	1.924	0.284	3	1	23.1
	<i>Hydra viridissima</i>	NOEC	10	3.162	0.500	4	1	30.8
	<i>Pimephales promelas</i>	NOEC	12	3.464	0.540	5	1	38.5
	<i>Danio rerio</i>	LOEC	20	4.472	0.651	6	1	46.2
	<i>Oryzias melastigma</i>	LOEC	100	10	1.000	7	1	53.8
	<i>Eurytemora affinis</i>	NOEC	109	10.440	1.019	8	1	61.5
	<i>Poecilia reticulata</i>	NOEC	320	17.889	1.253	9	1	69.2
	<i>Oncorhynchus mykiss</i>	NOEC	502	22.405	1.350	10	1	76.9
	<i>Daphnia magna</i>	NOEC	640	25.298	1.403	11	1	84.6
	<i>Pseudokirchneriella subcapitata</i>	EC <sub>50</sub>	960	30.984	1.491	12	1	92.3
	<i>Lemna minor</i>	LOEC	5	2.236	0.350	1	1	6.7
	<i>Melanotaenia fluviatilis</i>	NOEC	14	3.742	0.573	2	1	13.3

Phthalate esters	Test species	Endpoint	Con. ( $\mu\text{g}/\text{L}$ )	CVE ( $\mu\text{g}/\text{L}$ )	$\lg(\text{CVE})$ ( $\mu\text{g}/\text{L}$ )	R	f	$F_R$ (%)
	<i>Gasterosteus aculeatus</i>	NOEC	35.2	5.932	0.773	3	1	20
	<i>Oncorhynchus mykiss</i>	NOEC	100	10	1.000	4	1	26.7
	<i>Ceratophyllum demersum</i>	NOEC	150	12.247	1.088	5	1	33.3
	<i>Pseudokirchneriella subcapitata</i>	NOEC	210	14.491	1.161	6	1	40
	<i>Glandirana rugosa</i>	NOEC	278	16.673	1.222	7	1	46.7
	<i>Danio rerio</i>	NOEC	400	20	1.301	8	1	53.3
	<i>Scenedesmus subspicatus</i>	NOEC	500	22.361	1.350	9	1	60
	<i>Pimephales promelas</i>	NOEC	500	22.361	1.350	10	1	66.7
	<i>Daphnia magna</i>	NOEC	960	30.984	1.491	11	1	73.3
	<i>Xenopus laevis</i>	NOEC	1000	31.623	1.500	12	1	80
	<i>Chlorella vulgaris</i>	EC <sub>50</sub>	7780	88.204	1.945	13	1	86.7
	<i>Scenedesmus acutus</i>	NOEC	30200	173.781	2.240	14	1	93.3

Phthalate esters	Test species	Endpoint	Con. ( $\mu\text{g}/\text{L}$ )	CVE ( $\mu\text{g}/\text{L}$ )	$\lg(\text{CVE})$ ( $\mu\text{g}/\text{L}$ )	R	f	$F_R$ (%)
BBP	<i>Pseudokirchneriella subcapitata</i>	NOEC	60	7.476	0.874	1	1	12.5
	<i>Pimephales promelas</i>	NOEC	64.6	8.037	0.905	2	1	25
	<i>Fundulus heteroclitus</i>	NOEC	100	10	1.000	3	1	37.5
	<i>Daphnia magna</i>	NOEC	170	13.038	1.115	4	1	50
	<i>Navicula pelliculosa</i>	EC <sub>50</sub>	600	24.495	1.389	5	1	62.5
	<i>Anacystis aeruginosa</i>	EC <sub>50</sub>	1000	31.623	1.500	6	1	75
DEP	<i>Ipomoea aquatica</i>	NOEC	50000	223.607	2.349	7	1	87.5
	<i>Danio rerio</i>	NOEC	427.2	20.669	1.315	1	1	11.1
	<i>Cyprinus carpio</i>	LOEC	1000	31.623	1.500	2	1	22.2
	<i>Chlamydomonas reinhardtii</i>	EC <sub>10</sub>	1020	31.937	1.504	3	1	33.3
	<i>Pseudokirchneriella subcapitata</i>	NOEC	3650	60.415	1.781	4	1	44.4
	<i>Daphnia magna</i>	NOEC	3800	61.644	1.790	5	1	55.5

Phthalate esters	Test species	Endpoint	Con. ( $\mu\text{g}/\text{L}$ )	CVE ( $\mu\text{g}/\text{L}$ )	$\lg(\text{CVE})$ ( $\mu\text{g}/\text{L}$ )	R	f	$F_R$ (%)
	<i>Anodonta cygnea</i>	EC <sub>50</sub>	16000	126.491	2.102	6	1	66.6
	<i>Scenedesmus subspicatus</i>	EC <sub>50</sub>	21000	144.914	2.161	7	1	77.7
	<i>Tetrahymena thermophila</i>	NOEC	40000	200	2.301	8	1	88.8

**Table S4.** Exposure concentrations and HQ values of  $\sum_3$  PAEs in Baiyangdian Lake

Number	Sampling site	Functional area	DIBP		DBP		DEHP	
			EEC ( $\mu\text{g}/\text{L}$ )	HQ	EEC ( $\mu\text{g}/\text{L}$ )	HQ	EEC ( $\mu\text{g}/\text{L}$ )	HQ
1	Qi li zhuang liu wharf	living area	0.10	0.11	0.19	0.20	0.49	1.69
2	Zao lin zhuang	living area	0.18	0.20	0.27	0.29	0.71	2.45
3	Guang dian zhang zhuang	living area	0.15	0.17	0.28	0.30	0.83	2.86
4	Wang jia sai	tourism area	0.06	0.07	0.12	0.13	0.23	0.79
5	Shao che dian	tourism area	0.13	0.14	0.19	0.20	0.27	0.93

6	Wei hua yuan	tourism area	0.10	0.11	0.15	0.16	0.64	2.21
7	Nan liu zhuang	tourism area	0.16	0.18	0.30	0.32	0.38	1.31
8	Quan tou	living area	0.13	0.14	0.21	0.22	0.51	1.76
9	Xiao bai yang dian duan cun nan	breeding area	0.16	0.18	0.17	0.18	0.41	1.41
10	Dong li zhuang	breeding area	0.09	0.10	0.17	0.18	0.19	0.66
11	Shi hou dian	breeding area	0.10	0.11	0.19	0.20	0.24	0.83
12	Cai pu tai	living area	0.19	0.21	0.21	0.22	0.31	1.07
13	Jin long dian	living area	0.19	0.21	0.24	0.26	0.42	1.45
14	Zhu long he ru dian kou	living area	0.16	0.18	0.36	0.38	0.31	1.07
15	Zao zuo dian	primitive area	0.074	0.08	0.19	0.20	0.26	0.90
16	Bai gou yin ru dian kou	inflow area	0.25	0.28	0.46	0.49	0.50	1.72
17	Jiao cha kou xia	inflow area	0.25	0.28	0.35	0.37	0.32	1.10
18	Xiao li zhen	inflow area	0.19	0.21	0.23	0.24	0.39	1.34

19	Bei pu bu he ru dian kou	inflow area	0.13	0.14	0.22	0.23	0.41	1.41
20	Nan pu bu he ru dian kou	inflow area	0.17	0.19	0.24	0.26	0.25	0.86
21	Cao he ru dian kou	inflow area	0.19	0.21	0.39	0.41	0.47	1.62
22	Cao he	inflow area	0.20	0.22	0.46	0.49	0.64	2.21
23	Qiao nan qiao bei cun	inflow area	0.22	0.24	0.27	0.29	0.56	1.93
24	Liu kou	inflow area	0.16	0.18	0.23	0.24	0.33	1.14
25	Tang he (jiu he dao)	inflow area	0.27	0.30	0.37	0.39	0.37	1.28
26	Tang he (to kou)	inflow area	0.13	0.14	0.25	0.27	0.22	0.76
27	Xiao yi he ru dian kou	inflow area	0.24	0.27	0.34	0.36	0.48	1.66
28	Xiao yi he (pu kou)	inflow area	0.19	0.21	0.38	0.40	0.47	1.62
29	Sai li shang	inflow area	0.09	0.10	0.22	0.23	0.54	1.86
30	Ge cun	inflow area	0.16	0.18	0.26	0.28	0.53	1.83

**Table S5.**Summary of HQ values in water

Rivers		BBP	DEP	DBP	DEHP	DIBP
Baiyangdian		-	-	0.277	1.434	0.176
Lake	Middle reaches of	0.010	0.011	0.223	0.034	-
Yangtze River	Jiangsu section					
	Lower jiangsu section	0.010	0.011	0.112	0.034	-
	Zhenjiang	-	0.502	13.383	35.207	13.956
	Nanjing	0.010	0.027	0.198	3.872	-
	Suzhou	-	0.002	-	-	-
	Yangcheng Lake	0.073	0.016	7.647	58.421	-
	Taihu Lake	0.505	0.136	1.691	4.448	-
Yellow River	Lanzhou	-	0.086	0.846	2.859	0.537
	Middle and lower	-	0.068	14.936	58.966	-

---

Haihe River	reaches					
	Summer Palace	0.006	0.001	0.356	0.900	0.294
	Guanting reservoir	0.481	-	0.324	0.300	0.341
Liao River	Lakes Shichahai	0.185	0.002	0.070	0.824	0.198
	Pu River	-	0.030	1.245	3.552	12.444
Pearl River	Xi River	-	0.093	2.287	2.397	3.422
Jiulong River		-	0.009	9.032	19.379	-
Songhua River		-	0.016	0.713	6.000	3.740
Chaohu Lake		2.515	0.445	5.447	0.679	-
		0.072	0.027	3.432	0.679	-

---

-: No data.

**Table S6.**Summary of HQ values in sediments

Rivers	BBP	DEP	DBP	DEHP	DIBP
Songhua River	0.006	0.004	1.115	8.454	-
Jiulong River	-	0.001	0.301	1.649	2.800
Taihu Lake	1.466	0.553	2.291	6.147	-
Yongding River	-	0.013	0.216	1.401	15.000
Yellow River	-	0.271	94.437	333.119	-
Yangtze River	-	0.300	321.990	285.309	-
JiangHan Plain	0.092	0.451	0.380	0.768	12.780
Qiantang River	0.024	0.053	0.315	8.040	15.380
Pearl River	0.180	0.043	6.099	10.992	-
Taiwan river	2.029	0.266	39.660	30.799	-
Taiwan's rivers	3.495	-	1.702	59.923	-

---

Kaohsiung	-	-	1.715	44.845	-
Harbor,Taiwan	-	0.048	3.181	10.696	224.000
Xi river	-	0.014	0.398	57.34	8.080
Pu river					

---

-: No data