



Understanding Epicardial Cell Heterogeneity during Cardiogenesis and Heart Regeneration

Cristina Sanchez-Fernandez ^{1,2}, Lara Rodriguez-Outeiriño ^{1,2}, Lidia Matias-Valiente ^{1,2}, Felicitas Ramírez de Acuña ^{1,2}, Diego Franco ^{1,2} and Amelia Eva Aránega ^{1,2,*}

- ¹ Cardiovascular Development Group, Department of Experimental Biology, Faculty of Experimental Sciences, University of Jaén, 23071 Jaén, Spain; csfernan@ujaen.es (C.S.-F.); lrodrigu@ujaen.es (L.R.-O.); lmmatias@ujaen.es (L.M.-V.); fracuna@ujaen.es (F.R.d.A.); dfranco@ujaen.es (D.F.)
- ² Medina Foundation, Technology Park of Health Sciences, 18016 Granada, Spain
- * Correspondence: aaranega@ujaen.es; Tel.: +34-95-3212604; Fax: +34-95-3211874

Abstract: The outermost layer of the heart, the epicardium, is an essential cell population that contributes, through epithelial-to-mesenchymal transition (EMT), to the formation of different cell types and provides paracrine signals to the developing heart. Despite its quiescent state during adulthood, the adult epicardium reactivates and recapitulates many aspects of embryonic cardiogenesis in response to cardiac injury, thereby supporting cardiac tissue remodeling. Thus, the epicardium has been considered a crucial source of cell progenitors that offers an important contribution to cardiac development and injured hearts. Although several studies have provided evidence regarding cell fate determination in the epicardium, to date, it is unclear whether epicardium-derived cells (EPDCs) come from specific, and predetermined, epicardial cell subpopulations or if they are derived from a common progenitor. In recent years, different approaches have been used to study cell heterogeneity within the epicardial layer using different experimental models. However, the data generated are still insufficient with respect to revealing the complexity of this epithelial layer. In this review, we summarize the previous works documenting the cellular composition, molecular signatures, and diversity within the developing and adult epicardium.

Keywords: epicardium; heterogeneity; cardiac development; cardiac repair

1. Introduction

The epicardium is an epithelial layer covering the surface of the heart that plays a key role in the prenatal development of the heart, constituting a crucial source of cells and signaling. During cardiac development, epicardial cells undergo epithelial-to-mesenchymal transition (EMT), giving rise to epicardium-derived cells (EPDCs) that are internalized into the subepicardial, myocardial, and subendocardial areas and differentiate into distinct types of cardiac cell, such as coronary vascular smooth muscle cells (vSMCs), cardiac fibroblasts (CFs), or endothelial cells (ECs) [1–4]. Although the epicardium remains a quiescent layer during adulthood, it can be reactivated in response to cardiac injury via the upregulation of its embryonic developmental genetic program [5,6]. Thus, the epicardium constitutes an intriguing cell population of multipotent progenitors to study, and it may contribute to cardiac wound healing via regulating tissue remodeling after heart damage.

However, despite its essential role during embryonic development, in the maintenance of cardiac homeostasis, and after cardiac injury, fundamental insights into the epicardium's cell heterogeneity and functional crosstalk with other cell types are currently lacking. Furthermore, little is known about how different cell types emerge from EPDCs or the molecular mechanisms underlying EPDCs' cell fate during the development and reactivation after heart damage. In recent years, different approaches have been used to study cell heterogeneity and molecular signatures within the epicardial cell layer in embryonic and adult hearts. Despite this knowledge, there are many unanswered questions regarding



Citation: Sanchez-Fernandez, C.; Rodriguez-Outeiriño, L.; Matias-Valiente, L.; Ramírez de Acuña, F.; Franco, D.; Aránega, A.E. Understanding Epicardial Cell Heterogeneity during Cardiogenesis and Heart Regeneration. *J. Cardiovasc. Dev. Dis.* 2023, *10*, 376. https:// doi.org/10.3390/jcdd10090376

Academic Editor: Andrea Münsterberg

Received: 21 July 2023 Revised: 30 August 2023 Accepted: 31 August 2023 Published: 1 September 2023



Copyright: © 2023 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by/ 4.0/). epicardial cell behavior, and a better understanding of the role of this epithelial layer during development and with respect to heart diseases is necessary. Herein, we provide a state-of-the-art review of current studies on epicardial cell heterogeneity as assessed in different experimental models during embryonic development and adulthood.

2. Epicardium during Cardiac Development and Regeneration

2.1. Epicardial Contribution to Heart Development

An epithelial layer of cells covering the pericardial surface of the heart can be observed in the vertebrate heart. This evolutionarily conserved structure, called the epicardium, mainly originates from the proepicardium (PE) and harbors a population of progenitor cells that undergo EMT prior to differentiating into distinct cardiac lineages [7–9]. During this transition, epithelial cells lose their cell–cell adhesion and their apical–basal polarity, acquiring migratory and invasive characteristics of mesenchymal stem cells that allow for their internalization [9–11]. Once epicardial cells have been internalized, the delaminated EPDCs differentiate into specialized cells, including coronary vSMCs, CFs, ECs, and, presumably, a subpopulation of CMs [2–4,12].

However, the role of the epicardium during cardiac development is not limited to serving as a progenitor source contributing to multipotent cells that give rise to cardiac mesenchyme. This epithelial layer is also a source of paracrine cues and extracellular matrix (ECM) components that are essential for fetal cardiac growth or coronary vessel patterning [13]. In this context, epicardium-derived FGF (Fibroblast growth factor) signals and the production of chemokines such as CXCL12 (*C-X-C motif chemokine* 12) can regulate myocardial proliferation and coronary vessel maturation, respectively [14,15]. Thus, the epicardium and myocardium exchange bidirectional signals, which are crucial for the normal growth of the heart muscle and the development of coronary vessels [16].

After birth, the postnatal epicardium enters a deceptively quiescent state, serving as a barrier between the myocardium and the pericardial cavity.

2.2. Epicardial Response to Damage: Cardiac Repair

In response to cardiac damage, the adult epicardium reactivates an embryonic-like response in which developmental gene programs, including *Wt1* (*Wilms' tumor 1*) and *Tbx18* (*T-box transcription factor 18*), are upregulated to modulate tissue repair [6,16–18]. This potential contribution of adult epicardial cells to cardiac repair was initially observed in zebrafish, where a resection of the apex of the heart led to the activation of epicardial cells and the subsequent EMT of EPDCs [18]. The migration of these cells into the damaged tissue allows for their differentiation into vascular cells to support the regeneration of the injured area [19]. Additionally, *Wt1a* and *Wt1b* lineage reporter models have further revealed that the epicardium also contributes to cardiac fibroblast plasticity during zebrafish heart regeneration [20].

In murine models, the acute upregulation of embryonic and EMT marker genes is detected in adult reactivated epicardia in response to myocardial injury [6,16–18]. However, unlike what happens in the embryonic context, adult EPDCs have a limited regenerative capacity and preferentially differentiate into cardiac fibroblasts and smooth muscle cells [16,21,22]. Furthermore, in recent years, the use of lineage-tracing models has revealed that most of the newly differentiated cells that appear after cardiac injury arise from preexisting cardiac cell subpopulations, such as SMCs, ECs, or CMs [23–27]. These results suggest that the post-injury contribution of EPDCs appears to be less efficient when compared to their role during development [17,28]. Thus, the adult epicardium is thought to serve more as a source of paracrine signals rather than a source of "reparative" cells [17,29,30]. For instance, in injured adult zebrafish hearts, activated *Igf2b* (*Insulin growth factor 2b*) is observed on the epicardial surface and in surrounding apical wounds, suggesting that IGF paracrine signaling is required for the proliferation of CMs during wound repair [30,31]. Similarly, follistatin-1 produced by epicardial cells increased cell cycle re-entry and the division of pre-existing cardiomyocytes in mouse and swine models of myocardial infarction [32]. In addition to the information described above, it should not be forgotten that the ardium is a crucial agent in relation to cardiac development and tissue remodeling

epicardium is a crucial agent in relation to cardiac development and tissue remodeling after heart damage. Although the epicardium has been proposed as a potential target in the treatment of cardiovascular disease in recent years, a deeper understanding is needed to unlock its full potential as a source of cells and signals that satisfactorily complete the reparative process.

3. Determining Epicardial Heterogeneity: Do Different Cell Types Constitute the Epicardial Layer?

The identification of epicardial cell heterogeneity and cellular intercommunication can play a vital role in differentiating healthy hearts from diseased hearts, and it may predict future outcomes with superior precision and at earlier stages of cardiac failure. Fluorescence-activated cell sorting (FACS) is a powerful tool that allows for the identification of specific cells; however, it either has limitations in terms of sample preparation or a paucity of markers that can be assayed simultaneously [33]. Therefore, FACS is not suitable for the subclassification of unknown cells and the identification of their potential functions. Although Cre-based lineage-tracing models have recently been used to understand the cell fate of EPDCs, most of them are not sufficiently specific to the epicardial layer and have been supplemented or replaced by single-cell RNA-sequencing (scRNA-seq) techniques [5]. These methods have enabled us to gain a much more detailed view of novel individual cellular phenotypes, identifying new cell types and subpopulations with distinct cellular expression patterns by integrating the transcripts of different genes, even within an apparently homogeneous cell population [34,35]. In this context, by using scRNA-seq and transcriptomic analyses, Weinberger et al. (2020) have described three epicardial cell subpopulations with distinctive spatial distributions during cardiac development in zebrafish embryos; these phenomena were observed concretely on day 5 post-fertilization [36] (Table 1). Interestingly, only one of these transcriptionally distinct epicardial cell subpopulations contained cells co-expressing the prototypical epicardial signature genes *Tcf21* (Transcription factor 21), Tbx18, and Wt1b. In addition, this cell cluster expressed high levels of *Tgm2b* (*Transglutaminase 2b*), which serves to ensure cell–cell contact and plays a critical role in maintaining the integrity of the developing epicardium. The second subpopulation was enriched with genes associated with the regulation of epicardial cell contribution to the smooth muscle layer of the outflow tract such as *Tbx18*, *Acta2* (*Actin alpha 2*), and Mylka (Myosin light chain kinase a). These scRNA-seq analyses defined a third cell cluster spatially restricted to an area between the bulbus arteriosus (BA) and the atrium that was characterized by a high expression of genes involved in leukocyte chemotaxis and guidance cues [36]. Altogether, the appearance of three distinct cell subpopulations, with different localizations and functions, suggests that the epicardium in the developing zebrafish heart is a heterogenous cell layer.

In developing mammals, such as mice and humans, epicardial cells heterogeneously express the transcription factors *Tcf21* and *Wt1*. Both are also expressed in PE cells and downregulated in the adult epicardium but reactivated after myocardial ischemic injury [37,38]. However, little is known about the molecular regulation of epicardial cell heterogeneity and its different functions. In this context, scRNA-seq assays of EPDCs derived from human pluripotent stem cells have identified basonuclin (BNC1) as an upstream regulator of a transcriptional hierarchy regulating cell identity in the developing epicardium. This transcription factor is expressed in the adult epicardium and downregulated after myocardial injury. BNC1 has been identified, together with the membrane protein THY1 (*Thy-1 cell surface antigen*), as a marker of different epicardial subpopulations [37,39]. Thus, TCF21^{high}/THY1⁺ cell populations had a higher propensity to become CFs, whereas BNC1^{high} cell clusters expressed genes involved in muscle differentiation, migration, and cell–cell interaction [37] (Table 1).

As mentioned above, scRNA-seq is a powerful tool for studying the cellular mechanisms involved in heart development, allowing us to characterize different cell types and generating hypotheses about their origins and fates [40]. Therefore, scRNA-seq enables the dissection of cellular heterogeneity in an unbiased manner, with no need for any prior knowledge of a cell population [35]. However, this recent approach does not preserve spatial information about tissue morphology and cellular interactions [40]. Thus, to obtain more detailed analyses that can provide us with a more global view of epicardial development, scRNA-seq studies have been combined with spatiotemporal transcriptomics, in which several time points within a studied period have been considered. In this context, two heterogeneous epicardial cell subpopulations expressing genes that encode integral membrane proteins such as *Upk1b* (*Uroplakin-1b*) and *Upk3b* (*Uroplakin-3b*) have been identified in the outflow tract region between the E11.5 and E13 stages in mice [41,42] (Table 1). In a similar study integrating scRNA-seq and spatial RNA-seq data, epicardial cells were identified in five main cell clusters based on their positions within different Hamburger-Hamilton ventricular development stages in a chicken embryo [43] (Table 1). The time points analyzed represented key steps of epicardial development ranging from the state of the epicardium prior to EMT (HH24), during EMT (HH31), and during/after epicardial differentiation (HH36 and HH40). Thus, at HH24, an early epicardial progenitor cell cluster, characterized by the expression of canonical epicardial progenitor markers such as Tcf21, *Tbx18*, and *Wt1*, was identified as being restricted to the epicardial layer of the ventricular walls. In this region, another subpopulation enriched in *Bmp4* (Bone morphogenetic protein 4) and Lum (Lumican) was described at HH31, during the EMT. In the third cell cluster described within the myocardium, high levels of extracellular matrix transcripts involved in cell migration were observed at the same stage. Interestingly, as Mantri et al. (2021) have suggested, this subpopulation may represent a mesenchymal phenotype of EPDCs that are undergoing EMT and migrating into the myocardium. At HH36, a fibroblast-like cell cluster, which expressed Col3a1 (Collagen type III), and a mural cell cluster enriched, among others, in Acta2 and Myh11 (Myosin heavy chain 11) were present within the myocardium. Furthermore, at the same stage, some cells of the outermost epicardial layer still maintained an undifferentiated phenotype [43].

Although no functional epicardial cell heterogeneity was observed in the study by Mantri et al. (2021), a variation in the differentiation state was described, as cells may continue to stay in the epicardium with an undifferentiated intermediate phenotype during later stages of development, at which point EMTs will have been initiated. Thus, their data led them to hypothesize that EPDCs that undergo EMT maintain a progenitor-like transcriptional profile before their fate specification in the myocardium [43]. These results suggest that the observed heterogeneity does not stem from differences in the initial cell population. Although what triggers EPDCs to undergo EMT at specific developmental times and locations remains unknown, this study suggests that ECM cues are significantly involved in this process [43]. Similarly, recent studies have demonstrated that ECM is a key player in EMT and EPDC generation in the developing mouse heart. Interestingly, recent scRNA-seq analysis of a developing murine heart supports the notion that epicardiumderived cell fate, namely, the formation of fibroblasts or vSMCs, is specified by Tcf21 expression and PDGF-B endothelium-mediated signaling, respectively [44]. These data suggest that epicardium-derived cell fate is specified only after EMT, seemingly in response to environmental cues, and that, importantly, marker expression profiles do not restrict cell fate choice [44].

Although cellular heterogeneity is a general feature of biological tissues that has been identified through sequencing analyses of multiple organisms, even within an apparently homogeneous cell population, some controversial results have been observed linked to cellular heterogeneity within the epicardial layer [35]. Thus, the conversion of early epicardial Wt1^{high}/Tcf21^{high} cells to Wt1^{low}/Tcf21^{high} mesenchymal cells during EMT reflects a developmental transition rather than the heterogeneity of the starting population in the developing murine heart. These results suggest that the different subpopulations that have

been described are more likely a result of developmental progression and that the fate of EPDCs is specified after EMT, potentially in response to extrinsic cues like paracrine factors or ECM [44]. In contrast, similar analyses of the human heart at different time points during development (4.5–5-, 6.5-, and 9-weeks post-conception) showed that the epicardium displays less gene expression heterogeneity compared to more undifferentiated mesenchymal cells (Table 1). However, the authors argued that the low number of sequenced cells and the limited number of genes analyzed were insufficient for a detailed comprehension of what occurs in epicardial cells throughout the period under study [45].

Cell Markers Organism Function Reference Population Tcf21, Tbx18, Zebrafish Maintain epicardial Epi1 [36] Wt1b, Tgm2b (5-dpf) integrity Tbx18, Acta2, Contribution to smooth Epi2 Mylka, Sema3fb muscle layer Epi3 Tcf21, Cxcl12a Leukocyte chemotaxis Muscle differentiation, migration, and BNC1⁺/TCF21^{low} Bnc1, Tcf21, Wt1 [37] Human cell-cell interaction Potential to become cardiac fibroblasts THY1+/TCF21high Thy1, Tcf21 EP genes, Myl7, Mouse C10 [41] (E11.5-13) Tmem255a EP genes, Ein, C11 Dlk1, Klk14 Chicken C1 Tcf21, Tbx18, Wt1 [43] (HH24-40) Tcf21, Tbx18, Wt1, C2 Mdk, Bmp4, Lum Tcf21, Fn1, Postn, C3 Egfl7, Agrn, Snai1 C4 Tcf21, Col3a1, Dcn Acta2, Myh11, C5 Tagln, Rgs5 Human **EPDCs** C3 Tbx18, Tcf21 [46] (4.5-9 pcw) Aldh1a2, Lrp2, C9 Epicardial cells Itln1, Tbx18

Table 1. Subtypes of epicardial cells identified, during development, in different experimental models.

EP = epicardial, dpf = days post-fertilization, and pcw = post-conception weeks. *Myl7* (*Myosin light chain 7*), *Tmem255a* (*Transmembrane protein 255A*), *Ein* (*Elongated internode*), *Dlk1* (*Delta like non-canonical notch ligand 1*), *Klk14* (*Kallikrein-related peptidase 14*), *Mdk* (*Midkine*), *Fn1* (*Fibronectin-1*), *Postn* (*Periostin*), *Egf17* (*EGF-like domain multiple 7*), *Agrn* (*Agrin*), *Snai1* (*Snail family transcriptional repressor 1*), *Dcn* (*Decorin*), *Tagln* (*Transgelin*), *Rgs5* (*Regulator of G-protein signaling 5*), *Aldh1a2* (*Aldehyde dehydrogenase 1*), *Lrp2* (*LDL-receptor-related protein 2*), and *Itln1* (*Intelectin 1*).

In contrast to the developing epicardium, in the postnatal period, this epithelial layer maintains a quiescent state characterized by a reduced expression of developmental genes such as *Wt1* and *Tbx18*. Furthermore, no cellular contribution to the myocardium has been observed in this quiescent state [16,18,46]. Nevertheless, in response to cardiac damage, the epicardium suffers a reactivation initiating an embryonic-like response [16,18]. Thus, reactivated epicardial cells can form a multi-cell layer of epicardial stromal cells (EpiSc) on the surface of the heart that secretes paracrine factors to stimulate cardiomyocyte growth, angiogenesis, and adaptive immune regulation [16,18,47]. In this context, FGF2 and VEGFA (*Vascular endothelial growth factor A*) secreted by EPDCs have been described to increase vessel density after myocardial infarction in a mouse model [16]. Hence, the epicardium plays a crucial role as a signaling center, serving as a resident population of progenitor cells involved in cardiac repair, carrying out cell repopulation of the damaged area, and promoting regenerative responses against cardiac injury [46,48]. For instance,

in the Wt1^{CreERT2/+}; ROSA26-tdT^{+/-} murine model of atrial cardiomyopathy, reactivated epicardial cells differentiate into myofibroblasts during tissue remodeling [49].

Although it is generally assumed that the adult activated epicardium recapitulates an embryonic-like response characterized by the generation of mesenchymal progenitor cells, after ischemic insults or cardiac injury, there may be important differences concerning their embryonic phenotypes [50]. In the adult heart, the reparative process appears to be less efficient with regard to EPDC migration and differentiation, producing signals that activate the proliferation of resident CFs and fibrosis induction [51]. Furthermore, it remains unknown whether this disparity in regenerative capacity could derive from differences in the cellular composition of the epicardium due to the presence of subsets of cells that contribute to cardiac repair to a greater extent. In this context, several groups have made significant efforts to better understand cell heterogeneity and molecular signatures within the epicardial layer of the adult heart. For instance, Cao et al. (2016) have reported three different cell subsets defined by distinct gene expression signatures in a Tcf21⁺ epicardial population isolated from adult zebrafish ventricles during regeneration (Table 2). One subpopulation represents the outermost epithelial epicardial cells; the second cell cluster, composed of internal cells ubicated in the middle of the ventricular wall, was characterized by likely containing perivascular components; and the third one formed an innermost layer of EPDCs [52].

In mammals, epicardium activation after MI induction and thymosin beta 4 (T β 4) administration revealed the presence of distinct subpopulations of EPDCs in the activated epicardium with variated cardiovascular potentials and molecular phenotypes distinct from embryonic EPDCs (E12.5) [50,53] (Table 2). However, it is important to highlight that the origins of the different cell populations observed in these studies are unclear, and it remains to be elucidated whether such cell heterogeneity is already present within the "quiescent" epicardial layer.

In recent years, scRNA-seq combined with RNA in situ hybridization and lineage tracing has allowed for the identification of 11 transcriptionally distinct EpiSC populations isolated from mouse hearts after MI, and these populations can be classified into three independent groups [54] (Table 2). Based on data pertaining to these populations, it has been hypothesized that they are involved in the secretion of paracrine factors and the attraction of monocytes and neutrophils during the modulation of the innate immune response post-MI and that they exhibit a fibroblast-like phenotype [54]. However, despite analyses using a high-resolution technique, these results did not assess the function of the identified cell subpopulations and came from a singular timepoint analysis. However, these results bear some resemblance to the functionally heterogeneous epicardial subpopulations described in zebrafish by Weinberger et al. [36,54].

Table 2. Subtypes of	epicardial cells	identified in di	fferent experimental	models of	cardiac inj	ury.
----------------------	------------------	------------------	----------------------	-----------	-------------	------

Cell Population	Markers	Organism	Function/Role	Reference
Ι	Tcf21, Raldh2, Bmp4, Tfa	Zebrafish (4 and 12 months)	Epithelial epicardial cells	[52]
п	Tcf21, Nrg1, Col18a1, Ltbp1		Perivascular components	
III	Tcf21, Cxcl12a	EPDCs		
Wt1+, Sca-1+	Wt1, Sca-1, Gata4, Flk1, Sma, Fapx	Mouse (2,4 and 7d post-MI)	Myofibroblast potential	[50]
Wt1 ⁺ , Sca-1 ⁺ , CD90 ^{high} /CD44 ^{high}	Wt1, Sca-1, Cd90, Cd44, Isl1, Gata4, Flk1	Cell–cell interaction, cell adhesion or migration		

Cell Population	Markers	Organism	Function/Role	Reference
EpiSC-1	Wt1, Sema3d, Aldh1a2, Gata5, Tbx18, Tgm2, Sema3f, Mesp1, Gata4, Hif1a	Mouse (5d post-MI)	Secretion of paracrine factors	[55]
EpiSC-2	Tbx18, Cxcl12, Top2a, Mesp1, Gata4, Hif1a		Attraction of monocytes and neutrophils	
EpiSC-3	Tcf21, Pcsk6, Mesp1, Gata4, Mef2c, Bmp2, Bmp4		Potential of cardiac fibroblasts	
EpiSC-4	Tbx18, Cd44, Mesp1, Gata4, Hif1a		Attraction of monocytes and neutrophils	
EpiSC-5	Tcf21, Mesp1, Gata4, Mef2c, Bmp2, Bmp4		Potential of cardiac fibroblasts	
EpiSC-6	Tcf21, Sfrp2, Pcsk6, Mesp1, Gata4, Mef2c, Bmv2, Bmv4		Potential of cardiac fibroblasts	
EpiSC-7	Wt1, Sema3d, Aldh1a2, Gata5, Tbx18, Tgm2, Sema3f, Msln, Mesp1, Gata4, Hif1a		Secretion of paracrine factors	
EpiSC-8	Wt1, Tbx18, Mylk, Tmsb4x, Smarca4, Mesp1, Gata4, Hoxa5, Hif1a		Attraction of monocytes and neutrophils	
EpiSC-9	Tbx18, Tcf21, Top2a, Mef2c, Bmp2, Bmp4		Potential of cardiac fibroblasts	
EpiSC-10	Dkk3, Mef2c, Bmp2, Bmp4		Potential of cardiac fibroblasts	
EpiSC-11	Tbx18, Ifit3, Hif1a, Ccl2, Ccl7, Cxcl10		Attraction of monocytes and neutrophils	

Table 2. Cont.

Raldh2 (Retinaldehyde dehydrogenase 2), Tfa (Tail fiber assembly), Nrg1 (Neuregulin 1), Col18a1 (Collagen type XVIII alpha 1), Ltbp1 (Latent-transforming growth factor beta-binding protein 1), Sca-1 (Stem cell antigen-1), Gata4 (GATA binding protein 4), Flk1 (Flt-related receptor tyrosine kinase 1), Sma (Smooth muscle actin), Fapa (Fibroblast activation protein alpha), Cd90 (Cluster of differentiation 90), Cd44 (Cluster of differentiation 44), Isl1 (Isl lim homeobox 1), Aldh1a2 (Aldehyde dehydrogenase 1), Gata5 (GATA binding protein 5), Tgm2 (Transglutaminase 2), Sema3f (Semaforin 3F), Mesp1 (Mesoderm posterior 1), Hif1a (Hypoxia inducible factor 1 subunit alpha), Top2a (DNA topoisomerase II alpha), Pcsk6 (Proprotein convertase subtilisin/kexin type 6), Mef2c (Myocyte enhancer factor 2c), Bmp2 (Bone morphogenetic protein 2), Bmp4 (Bone morphogenetic protein 4), Sfrp2 (Secreted frizzled related protein 2), Msln (Mesothelin), Tmsb4x (Thymosin beta 4 x-linked), Smarca4 (SWI/SNF-related matrix-associated actin 4), Hoxa5 (Homeobox A5), Dkk3 (Dickkopf WNT signaling pathway inhibitor 3, Ifit3 (Interferon-induced protein with tetratricopeptide repeats 3), Ccl2 (CC motif chemokine ligand 2), and Ccl7 (CC motif chemokine ligand 7).

4. Does the Embryonic Origin of the Epicardium Underlie Its Cell Heterogeneity?

As mentioned above, during development, a subset of epicardial cells undergoes an EMT and migrates into the subepicardial space to give rise to several cardiac cell types. The fact that epicardial cells in the developing heart can differentiate into distinct cell subpopulations suggests that this epithelial layer is not composed of only one specific cell type. The hypothesis of the presence of different cell clusters along the epicardial layer can be supported by the notion that multiple tissues contribute to this layer's embryonic origin. In this context, it has been proposed that the observed epicardial heterogeneity may be attributed, at least in part, to the origin and cellular composition of the PE or proepicardial organ (PEO), a heterogeneous cell mass from which most epicardial cells originate, which could influence the multiple cell fates of EPDCs during heart development [55].

Therefore, the PE is a primitive extracardiac organ formed as an outgrowth of the coelomic mesothelium located between the heart and the liver [56]. In mice, on embryonic day 8.5 (E8.5), the PE is situated at the base of the venous inflow tract of the developing

primitive heart and does not interact directly with the myocardium [57–59]. The PE has an outer layer of epithelial cells expressing well-characterized proepicardial markers such as *Wt1* or *Tbx18*. These cells, which originate from precursors of the early cardiac progenitor fields that express the transcription factors *Nkx2.5* (*Homeobox protein Nkx2.5*) and *Isl-1* (*Insulin gene enhancer protein Isl-1*), overlie an inner core of several mesenchymal cell types and ECs [60]. In the PE, these different molecular markers have a heterogeneous spatiotemporal expression, potentially indicating the existence of several subtypes of cells with distinct roles that divide the PE into genetically distinguishable cell sub-compartments. Therefore, apart from *Tbx18-* and *Wt1*-expressing cell populations, lineage-tracing experiments conducted on mice and chickens have shown that some proepicardial cells from the mesenchymal core partially express other markers such as Scx (*Scleraxis*) and *Sema3D* (*Semaphorin 3D*) [44,61]. Curiously, in early stages, the *Scx*⁺ cell population contributes to the formation of the endocardium, and *Sema3D*⁺ cells contribute to the formation of the endothelium of the sinus venosus. It is important to note that both tissues are linked to the formation of the endothelium of coronary vasculature at later stages [61].

In addition to the previously stated information, it has been shown that the PE is an important transient structure that contributes to different types of cells from various cardiac lineages; for instance, studies using avian and mammalian models have established that this compartmentalized structure is a source of vSMCs and CFs [61,62]. Other works assert that the PE also influences cardiomyocytes; however, this finding is subject to debate, as the lineage-tracing models used in these studies are not specific enough and may mislabel cells that do not necessarily originate from the PE [60-62]. In this context, Cossett and Misra (2011) have identified three different populations of endothelial cell precursors within the PE that appear to have distinct origins, such as the developing liver bud or the sinus venosus, suggesting that the PE "per se" may also act as a source of ECs [63]. Thus, there is previous evidence highlighting the possibility that the primitive PE organ might possess distinct cell sub-compartments containing different cardiac cell precursor populations that differ in both their routes and timing of migration to the heart to first constitute the epicardium and, later on, give rise to distinct, albeit overlapping, cell fates [61]. However, recent findings contrast with the idea of proepicardial sub-compartments reported by Katz et al. (2012), suggesting that, in mice, all PE and epicardial cells co-express Wt1, Tbx18, *Tcf21, Scx,* and *Sema3D* until embryonic stage E13.5 (Figure 1). Additionally, the minimal variation in the expression levels of these canonical markers between cells does not provide evidence for the existence of distinct areas in the PE or epicardial layer [44].

Once the embryonic heart has looped, clusters of PE cells begin to proliferate and spread, covering the bare heart tube with an epithelial layer, i.e., the epicardium [64]. It is important to highlight that the way PE cells migrate toward the heart differs between species. For instance, in chicks or frogs, proepicardial cells migrate via extracellular matrix bridges, while in mammals, cells from the PE form cell aggregates or vesicles that float through the pericardial cavity to colonize the myocardial surface [65–68]. When the epicardium completely covers the heart, namely, at around E11.5 in mice and at week 5 in human embryos, it constitutes a heterogeneous multicellular epithelium lining the ventricles, expressing different specific proteins such as WT1, TBX18, TCF21, GATA5 (*GATA binding protein 5*), and cytokeratin [60,62,69–71] (Figure 1). Furthermore, other clusters, such as CD45⁺ cells, have been identified within the epicardium. However, whether the different cell populations identified in the PE represent distinct stages of cell differentiation or constitute different cell precursors that give rise to specific cell populations remains to be investigated [72].



Figure 1. Cell heterogeneity of the epicardium during heart development. At E7.75–8.0, cardiac progenitor cells extend towards the midline to form the cardiac crescent or first heart field (FHF, shown in red) in the caudal direction with respect to the headfolds. In the rostral direction with respect to the FHF, there is a population of progenitor cells, constituting the juxta-cardiac field (JCF, shown in light blue). The second heart field (SHF) is composed of two subdomains: anterior (shown in dark green) and posterior (illustrated in light green). At E8.5–9.5, cardiac crescent fusion and posterior looping at the midline form the early cardiac tube. PE is situated in the venous inflow tract in the developing primitive heart. At around E10–11.5, clusters of PE cells begin to proliferate and spread, covering the heart tube with an epithelial layer, the epicardium. New experimental data have proposed (question marks) that EPDCs with specific cell fates (fibroblasts or muscle cells) might originate from different epicardial cell subpopulations.

Although most epicardial cells originate from a heterogeneous cell mass called the PE, part of the epicardium covering the BA in zebrafish was found to be derived from the pericardial sac [73–75]. Furthermore, a non-PE-derived epicardial covering of the intrapericardial great arteries has been described in avian embryos [74,76,77]. In the developing mouse heart, Tyser et al. (2021) have described a novel source of proepicardial cells derived from the juxta-cardiac field (JCF), namely, a population of progenitor cells located rostrally with respect to the cardiac crescent, representing the earliest known progenitors of the epicardium. Using single-cell resolution time-lapse imaging and genetic

F, characterized by Mab2112 (Male-

lineage labeling, this group established that the JCF, characterized by *Mab21l2* (*Maleabnormal 21-like 2*) expression, constitutes a cardiac progenitor cell pool that is spatially and transcriptionally distinct from the sinus venosus progenitors. Thus, JCF progenitor cells may have specific abilities to differentiate into CMs within the linear heart tube, and they may also contribute to the PE. However, it is still unclear whether the JCF population is composed of unipotent progenitors of CMs and proepicardial cells or contains bipotent cells capable of giving rise to both cell types [40] (Figure 1).

The data described above have highlighted some still unsolved questions about the complexity of the origin of epicardial heterogeneity. For example, is the colonization of myocardial surfaces by PE cells connected to epicardial heterogeneity? Could additional embryonic structures serve as new origins of proepicardial cells? To resolve these and other lingering questions, additional studies are required in order to shed light on the role of the PE in epicardial heterogeneity.

5. Reflections and Future Perspectives

Far from being just the outermost layer of the heart, the epicardium plays an essential role during cardiac development, serving as a source of cardiac cells and secretory and paracrine factors. As previously mentioned, during heart development, a subset of epicardial cells loses their apical–basal polarity and cell–cell adhesion when undergoing an EMT, which allows for the formation of EPDCs and their differentiation into various cell types such as fibroblasts or smooth muscle cells [2,3,10,11]. However, it remains unclear whether pre-migratory EPDCs are a homogeneous group of multipotent cell progenitors or if they are somehow specified as epicardial subpopulations within the epicardium before migration. In addition, many other questions remain unanswered regarding epicardial cell behavior during the EMT process. For instance, why do some epicardial cells undergo an EMT, while others remain as epithelial cells? What are the molecular mechanisms that drive epicardial cell dynamics during the process of EMT?

After a cardiac injury, this epithelial layer, which remains in a quiescent state during adulthood, recapitulates embryonic capabilities, providing the epicardium with the ability to contribute to cardiac repair. Although contributing in a less efficient manner compared to its role during development, the epicardium has been considered a very interesting actor in endogenous cardiac remodeling after damage. However, it is still unclear whether specific epicardial cell subpopulations can participate in cardiac remodeling or whether distinct cell types residing within the epicardial layer have determined capabilities during the reparative response [5]. In this context, several studies conducted over the last few years have focused on understanding epicardial composition as well as the molecular mechanisms underlying epicardial cell fate decisions in order to identify targets to optimize the post-injury response in the adult heart. However, it is common for the potential heterogeneity of the epicardium to be overlooked due to a low number of epicardial cells, few developmental stages under study, or incorrect markers used to identify these novel subpopulations [78,79]. These factors, along with fluctuations in the abundance of diverse cellular lineages, spatial organization, molecular composition, and interactions, as well as interspecies differences, complicate the interpretation of the results and their extrapolation to humans. Moreover, it is important to note that some of the aforementioned studies were performed using different organisms and were confined to a limited developmental timeframe. However, in the approaches in which different time points have been studied, the datasets could not be used to confirm functional heterogeneity, suggesting that this divergence may be due to a varying differentiation state or a reflection of the transcriptional changes rather than the origin of the distinct subpopulations of cells [43,45]. In the adult heart, scRNA-seq analyses after cardiac injury have suggested the presence of diverse clusters of EPDCs during the reparative response. Furthermore, in most of these studies, epicardial cell characterization was based on known markers and was not further scrutinized; thus, novel subpopulations were potentially overlooked [5].

Nevertheless, if there is anything evident regarding epicardial heterogeneity and its composition, it is the need for further analyses to distinguish epicardial cells from EPDCs, in which the transcriptional signature is altered. Although scRNA-seq and highresolution analyses have recently suggested that there is a heterogenic environment within the epicardial layer, thousands of generated data remain unanalyzed, harboring rare cell populations and interactions within the epicardium waiting to be discovered. It is also important to pay special attention to the developmental stage by performing different analyses at multiple time points during embryonic development and in relation to the adult epicardium after cardiac injury. While maintaining a keen awareness of the cellular context, future studies could greatly benefit from delving into the niche wherein epicardial cells reside. As observed in other experimental models, environmental cues can play a critical role in cellular growth and activity [80,81]. In recent years, several studies have focused on the external signals surrounding epicardial cells, demonstrating their influence on the fate and actions of EPDCs during embryonic development and cardiac repair processes [44,82]. An example is the identification of an epicardial-specific niche within the adult mouse heart, comprising $wt1^+$ cells encapsulated by ECM components like fibronectin (FN), collagen IV, or hyaluronic acid (HA) [72].

Thus, studies conducted during embryonic development and cardiac disease can help us to attain a more comprehensive view of the heterogeneity of the epicardium, allowing for the identification of epicardial subpopulations and their roles during heart formation and in the repair process through either cellular contributions or via paracrine signaling. Such findings could shed more light on the optimization of the post-injury response, leading to the identification of mechanisms that contribute to cardiac repair and regeneration.

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, C.S.-F., A.E.A., C.S.-F. and A.E.A.; writing—original draft preparation, C.S.-F. and A.E.A.; writing—review and editing, C.S.-F. and A.E.A.; review the manuscript critically for important intellectual content, L.R.-O., L.M.-V., F.R.d.A. and D.F. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This research was funded by the Spanish Ministry of Science and Innovation, grant number PID2019-107492GB-I00, and by the Regional Government of Andalucía, Department of University, Research and Innovation, grant number ProyExcel_00513.

Institutional Review Board Statement: Not applicable.

Informed Consent Statement: Not applicable.

Data Availability Statement: Not applicable.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

- Trembley, M.A.; Velasquez, L.S.; de Mesy Bentley, K.L.; Small, E.M. Myocardin-related transcription factors control the motility of epicardium-derived cells and the maturation of coronary vessels. *Development* 2015, 142, 21–30. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Grieskamp, T.; Rudat, C.; Lüdtke, T.H.W.; Norden, J.; Kispert, A. Notch signaling regulates smooth muscle differentiation of epicardium-derived cells. *Circ. Res.* 2011, 108, 813–823. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Moore-Morris, T.; Cattaneo, P.; Puceat, M.; Evans, S.M. Origins of cardiac fibroblasts. J. Mol. Cell Cardiol. 2016, 91, 1304–1312. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Carmona, R.; Barrena, S.; López Gambero, A.J.; Rojas, A.; Muñoz-Chápuli, R. Epicardial cell lineages and the origin of the coronary endothelium. *FASEB J.* 2020, *34*, 5223–5239. [CrossRef]
- Streef, T.J.; Smits, A.M. Epicardial Contribution to the Developing and Injured Heart: Exploring the Cellular Composition of the Epicardium. Front. Cardiovasc. Med. 2021, 8, 750243. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Redpath, A.N.; Smart, N. Recapturing embryonic potential in the adult epicardium: Prospects for cardiac repair. *Stem Cells Transl. Med.* 2021, 10, 511–521. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Zhou, B.; Ma, Q.; Rajagopal, S.; Wu, S.M.; Domian, I.; Rivera-Feliciano, J.; Jiang, D.; von Gise, A.; Ikeda, S.; Chien, K.R.; et al. Epicardial progenitors contribute to the cardiomyocyte lineage in the developing heart. *Nature* 2008, 454, 109–113. [CrossRef]
- 8. Carmona, R.; Guadix, J.A.; Cano, E.; Ruiz-Villalba, A.; Portillo-Sánchez, V.; Pérez-Pomares, J.M.; Muñoz-Chápuli, R. The embryonic epicardium: An essential element of cardiac development. *J. Cell Mol. Med.* **2010**, *14*, 2066–2072. [CrossRef]

- 9. Lamouille, S.; Xu, J.; Derynck, R. Molecular mechanisms of epithelial-mesenchymal transition. *Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol.* 2014, 15, 178–196. [CrossRef]
- 10. Von Gise, A.; Pu, W.T. Endocardial and epicardial epithelial to mesenchymal transitions in heart development and disease. *Circ. Res.* **2012**, *110*, 1628–1645. [CrossRef]
- 11. Nieto, M.A.; Huang, R.Y.Y.J.; Jackson, R.A.A.; Thiery, J.P.P. EMT:2016. Cell 2016, 166, 21–45. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 12. Díaz Del Moral, S.; Barrena, S.; Hernández-Torres, F.; Aránega, A.; Villaescusa, J.M.; Gómez Doblas, J.J.; Franco, D.; Jiménez-Navarro, M.; Muñoz-Chápuli, R.; Carmona, R. Deletion of the Wilms' Tumor Suppressor Gene in the Cardiac Troponin-T Lineage Reveals Novel Functions of WT1 in Heart Development. *Front. Cell Dev. Biol.* **2021**, *9*, 683861. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 13. Masters, M.; Riley, P.R. The epicardium signals the way towards heart regeneration. *Stem Cell Res.* **2014**, *13 Pt B*, 683–692. [CrossRef]
- 14. Lavine, K.J.; Yu, K.; White, A.C.; Zhang, X.; Smith, C.; Partanen, J.; Ornitz, D.M. Endocardial and epicardial derived FGF signals regulate myocardial proliferation and differentiation in vivo. *Dev. Cell* **2005**, *8*, 85–95. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Cavallero, S.; Shen, H.; Yi, C.; Lien, C.L.; Kumar, S.R.; Sucov, H.M. CXCL12 Signaling Is Essential for Maturation of the Ventricular Coronary Endothelial Plexus and Establishment of Functional Coronary Circulation. *Dev. Cell* 2015, 33, 469–477. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 16. Zhou, B.; Mcgowan, F.X.; Pu, W.T.; Zhou, B.; Honor, L.B.; He, H.; Ma, Q.; Oh, J.H.; Butterfield, C.; Lin, R.Z.; et al. Adult mouse epicardium modulates myocardial injury by secreting paracrine factors. *J. Clin. Investig.* **2011**, 121, 1894–1904. [CrossRef]
- 17. Cao, J.; Poss, K.D. The epicardium as a hub for heart regeneration. Nat. Rev. Cardiol. 2018, 15, 631–647. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Van Wijk, B.; Gunst, Q.D.; Moorman, A.F.M.; Van Den Hoff, M.J.B. Cardiac regeneration from activated epicardium. *PLoS ONE* 2012, 7, e44692. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 19. Lepilina, A.; Coon, A.N.; Kikuchi, K.; Holdway, J.E.; Roberts, R.W.; Burns, C.G.; Poss, K.D. A dynamic epicardial injury response supports progenitor cell activity during zebrafish heart regeneration. *Cell* **2006**, *127*, 607–619. [CrossRef]
- Sánchez-Iranzo, H.; Galardi-Castilla, M.; Sanz-Morejón, A.; González-Rosa, J.M.; Costa, R.; Ernst, A.; Sainz de Aja, J.; Langa, X.; Mercader, N. Transient fibrosis resolves via fibroblast inactivation in the regenerating zebrafish heart. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 2018, 115, 4188–4193. [CrossRef]
- 21. Smart, N.; Risebro, C.A.; Clark, J.E.; Ehler, E.; Miquerol, L.; Rossdeutsch, A.; Marber, M.S.; Riley, P.R. Thymosin beta4 facilitates epicardial neovascularization of the injured adult heart. *Ann. N. Y. Acad. Sci.* **2010**, *1194*, 97–104. [CrossRef]
- Zhou, B.; Honor, L.B.; Ma, Q.; Oh, J.H.; Lin, R.Z.; Melero-Martin, J.M.; von Gise, A.; Zhou, P.; Hu, T.; He, L.; et al. Thymosin beta 4 treatment after myocardial infarction does not reprogram epicardial cells into cardiomyocytes. J. Mol. Cell Cardiol. 2012, 52, 43–47. [CrossRef]
- 23. Cai, W.; Tan, J.; Yan, J.; Zhang, L.; Cai, X.; Wang, H.; Liu, F.; Ye, M.; Cai, C.L. Limited Regeneration Potential with Minimal Epicardial Progenitor Conversions in the Neonatal Mouse Heart after Injury. *Cell Rep.* **2019**, *28*, 190–201.e3. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Sereti, K.I.; Nguyen, N.B.; Kamran, P.; Zhao, P.; Ranjbarvaziri, S.; Park, S.; Sabri, S.; Engel, J.L.; Sung, K.; Kulkarni, R.P.; et al. Analysis of cardiomyocyte clonal expansion during mouse heart development and injury. *Nat. Commun.* 2018, 9, 754. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Kanisicak, O.; Khalil, H.; Ivey, M.J.; Karch, J.; Maliken, B.D.; Correll, R.N.; Brody, M.J.; Lin, S.C.J.; Aronow, B.J.; Tallquist, M.D.; et al. Genetic lineage tracing defines myofibroblast origin and function in the injured heart. *Nat. Commun.* 2016, 7, 12260. [CrossRef]
- 26. Senyo, S.E.; Steinhauser, M.L.; Pizzimenti, C.L.; Yang, V.K.; Cai, L.; Wang, M.; Wu, T.D.; Guerquin-Kern, J.L.; Lechene, C.P.; Lee, R.T. Mammalian heart renewal by pre-existing cardiomyocytes. *Nature* **2013**, *493*, 433–436. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- He, L.; Huang, X.; Kanisicak, O.; Li, Y.; Wang, Y.; Li, Y.; Pu, W.; Liu, Q.; Zhang, H.; Tian, X.; et al. Preexisting endothelial cells mediate cardiac neovascularization after injury. J. Clin. Investig. 2017, 127, 2968–2981. [CrossRef]
- Moerkamp, A.T.; Lodder, K.; van Herwaarden, T.; Dronkers, E.; Dingenouts, C.K.; Tengström, F.C.; van Brakel, T.J.; Goumans, M.J.; Smits, A.M. Human fetal and adult epicardial-derived cells: A novel model to study their activation. *Stem Cell Res. Ther.* 2016, 7, 174. [CrossRef]
- 29. Stevens, S.M.; von Gise, A.; VanDusen, N.; Zhou, B.; Pu, W.T. Epicardium is required for cardiac seeding by yolk sac macrophages, precursors of resident macrophages of the adult heart. *Dev. Biol.* **2016**, *413*, 153–159. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Huang, G.N.; Thatcher, J.E.; McAnally, J.; Kong, Y.; Qi, X.; Tan, W.; DiMaio, J.M.; Amatruda, J.F.; Gerard, R.D.; Hill, J.A.; et al. C/EBP transcription factors mediate epicardial activation during heart development and injury. *Science* 2012, 338, 1599–1603. [CrossRef]
- 31. Huang, Y.; Harrison, M.R.; Osorio, A.; Kim, J.; Baugh, A.; Duan, C.; Sucov, H.M.; Lien, C.L. Igf Signaling is Required for Cardiomyocyte Proliferation during Zebrafish Heart Development and Regeneration. *PLoS ONE* **2013**, *8*, e67266. [CrossRef]
- 32. Wei, K.; Serpooshan, V.; Hurtado, C.; Diez-Cuñado, M.; Zhao, M.; Maruyama, S.; Zhu, W.; Fajardo, G.; Noseda, M.; Nakamura, K.; et al. Epicardial FSTL1 reconstitution regenerates the adult mammalian heart. *Nature* **2015**, *525*, 479–485. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Menasche, B.L.; Crisman, L.; Gulbranson, D.R.; Davis, E.M.; Yu, H.; Shen, J. Fluorescence Activated Cell Sorting (FACS) in Genome-Wide Genetic Screening of Membrane Trafficking. *Curr. Protoc. Cell Biol.* 2019, 82, e68. [CrossRef]
- 34. Packer, J.; Trapnell, C. Single-cell multi-omics: An engine for new quantitative models of gene regulation. *Trends Genet.* **2018**, *34*, 653–665. [CrossRef]
- 35. Wen, L.; Tang, F. Single-cell sequencing in stem cell biology. Genome Biol. 2016, 17, 71. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

- 36. Weinberger, M.; Simões, F.C.; Patient, R.; Sauka-Spengler, T.; Riley, P.R. Functional heterogeneity within the developing zebrafish epicardium. *Dev. Cell* **2020**, *52*, 574–590.e6. [CrossRef]
- Gambardella, L.; McManus, S.A.; Moignard, V.; Sebukhan, D.; Delaune, A.; Andrews, S.; Bernard, W.G.; Morrison, M.A.; Riley, P.R.; Göttgens, B.; et al. BNC1 regulates cell heterogeneity in human pluripotent stem cell-derived epicardium. *Development* 2019, 146, dev174441. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Braitsch, C.M.; Combs, M.D.; Quaggin, S.E.; Yutzey, K.E. Pod1/Tcf21 is regulated by retinoic acid signaling and inhibits differentiation of epicardium-derived cells into smooth muscle in the developing heart. *Dev. Biol.* 2012, 368, 345–357. [CrossRef]
- Bochmann, L.; Sarathchandra, P.; Mori, F.; Lara-Pezzi, E.; Lazzaro, D.; Rosenthal, N. Revealing new mouse epicardial cell markers through transcriptomics. *PLoS ONE* 2010, 5, e11429. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 40. Tyser, R.C.V.; Ibarra-Soria, X.; McDole, K.; Jayaram, A.S.; Godwin, J.; van den Brand, T.A.H.; Miranda, A.M.A.; Scialdone, A.; Keller, P.J.; Marioni, J.; et al. Characterization of a common progenitor pool of the epicardium and myocardium. *Science* **2021**, *371*, eabb2986. [CrossRef]
- 41. Liu, X.; Chen, W.; Li, W.; Li, Y.; Priest, J.R.; Zhou, B.; Wang, J.; Zhou, Z. Single-cell RNA-seq of the developing cardiac outflow tract reveals convergent development of the vascular smooth muscle cells. *Cell Rep.* **2019**, *28*, 1346–1361.e4. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 42. Rudat, C.; Grieskamp, T.; Röhr, C.; Airik, R.; Wrede, C.; Hegermann, J.; Herrmann, B.G.; Schuster-Gossler, K.; Kispert, A. Upk3b is dispensable for development and integrity of urothelium and mesothelium. *PLoS ONE* **2014**, *9*, e112112. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Mantri, M.; Scuderi, G.J.; Abedini-Nassab, R.; Wang, M.F.Z.; McKellar, D.; Shi, H.; Grodner, B.; Butcher, J.T.; De Vlaminck, I. Spatiotemporal single-cell RNA sequencing of developing chicken hearts identifies interplay between cellular differentiation and morphogenesis. *Nat. Commun.* 2021, 12, 1771. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 44. Lupu, I.E.; Redpath, A.N.; Smart, N. Spatiotemporal analysis reveals overlap of key proepicardial markers in the developing murine heart. *Stem Cell Rep.* 2020, 14, 770–787. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Asp, M.; Giacomello, S.; Larsson, L.; Wu, C.; Fürth, D.; Qian, X.; Wärdell, E.; Custodio, J.; Reimegård, J.; Salmén, F.; et al. A spatiotemporal organ-wide gene expression and cell atlas of the developing human heart. *Cell* 2019, 179, 1647–1660.e19. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 46. Smart, N.; Bollini, S.; Dube, K.N.; Vieira, J.M.; Zhou, B.; Davidson, S.; Yellon, D.; Riegler, J.; Price, A.N.; Lythgoe, M.F.; et al. De novo cardiomyocytes from within the activated adult heart after injury. *Nature* **2011**, *474*, 640–644. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Ramjee, V.; Li, D.; Manderfield, L.J.; Liu, F.; Engleka, K.A.; Aghajanian, H.; Rodell, C.B.; Lu, W.; Ho, V.; Wang, T.; et al. Epicardial YAP/TAZ orchestrate an immunosuppressive response following myocardial infarction. *J. Clin. Investig.* 2017, 127, 899–911. [CrossRef]
- Frangogiannis, N.G. The inflammatory response in myocardial injury, repair, and remodeling. *Nat. Rev. Cardiol.* 2014, 11, 255–265. [CrossRef]
- Suffee, N.; Moore-Morris, T.; Jagla, B.; Mougenot, N.; Dilanian, G.; Berthet, M.; Proukhnitzky, J.; Le Prince, P.; Tregouet, D.A.; Pucéat, M.; et al. Reactivation of the Epicardium at the Origin of Myocardial Fibro-Fatty Infiltration During the Atrial Cardiomyopathy. *Circ. Res.* 2020, *126*, 1330–1342. [CrossRef]
- Bollini, S.; Vieira, J.M.; Howard, S.; Dubè, K.N.; Balmer, G.M.; Smart, N.; Riley, P.R. Re-activated adult epicardial progenitor cells are a heterogeneous population molecularly distinct from their embryonic counterparts. *Stem Cells Dev.* 2014, 23, 1719–1730. [CrossRef]
- 51. Bertaud, A.; Joshkon, A.; Heim, X.; Bachelier, R.; Bardin, N.; Leroyer, A.S.; Blot-Chabaud, M. Signaling Pathways and Potential Therapeutic Strategies in Cardiac Fibrosis. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* **2023**, *24*, 1756. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Cao, J.; Navis, A.; Cox, B.D.; Dickson, A.L.; Gemberling, M.; Karra, R.; Bagnat, M.; Poss, K.D. Single epicardial cell transcriptome sequencing identifies Caveolin 1 as an essential factor in zebrafish heart regeneration. *Development* 2016, 143, 232–243. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 53. Owenier, C.; Hesse, J.; Alter, C.; Ding, Z.; Marzoq, A.; Petzsch, P.; Köhrer, K.; Schrader, J. Novel technique for the simultaneous isolation of cardiac fibroblasts and epicardial stromal cells from the infarcted murine heart. *Cardiovasc. Res.* **2020**, *6*, 1047–1058. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 54. Hesse, J.; Owenier, C.; Lautwein, T.; Zalfen, R.; Weber, J.F.; Ding, Z.; Alter, C.; Lang, A.; Grandoch, M.; Gerdes, N.; et al. Single-cell transcriptomics defines heterogeneity of epicardial cells and fibroblasts within the infarcted murine heart. *Elife* **2021**, *10*, e65921. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 55. Simões, F.C.; Riley, P.R. The ontogeny, activation and function of the epicardium during heart development and regeneration. *Development* 2018, 145, dev155994. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 56. Smits, A.M.; Dronkers, E.; Goumans, M.J. The epicardium as a source of multipotent adult cardiac progenitor cells: Their origin, role and fate. *Pharmacol. Res.* **2018**, *127*, 129–140. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 57. Maya-Ramos, L.; Cleland, J.; Bressan, M.; Mikawa, T. Induction of the proepicardium. J. Dev. Biol. 2013, 1, 82–91. [CrossRef]
- 58. Bressan, M.; Liu, G.; Mikawa, T. Early mesodermal cues assign avian cardiacpacemaker fate potential in a tertiary heart field. *Science* 2013, *80*, 744–748. [CrossRef]
- 59. Wei, K.; Díaz-Trelles, R.; Liu, Q.; Diez-Cuñado, M.; Scimia, M.C.; Cai, W.; Sawada, J.; Komatsu, M.; Boyle, J.J.; Zhou, B.; et al. Developmental origin of age-related coronary artery disease. *Cardiovasc. Res.* **2015**, *107*, 287–294. [CrossRef]
- Zhou, B.; von Gise, A.; Ma, Q.; Rivera-Feliciano, J.; Pu, W.T. Nkx2-5- andIsl1-expressing cardiac progenitors contribute to proepicardium. *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* 2008, 375, 450–453. [CrossRef]

- 61. Katz, T.C.; Singh, M.K.; Degenhardt, K.; Rivera-Feliciano, J.; Johnson, R.L.; Epstein, J.A.; Tabin, C.J. Distinct compartments of the proepicardial organ give rise to coronary vascular endothelial cells. *Dev. Cell* **2012**, *22*, 639–650. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 62. Cai, C.L.; Martin, J.C.; Sun, Y.; Cui, L.; Wang, L.; Ouyang, K.; Yang, L.; Bu, L.; Liang, X.; Zhang, X.; et al. A myocardial lineage derives from Tbx18 epicardial cells. *Nature* **2008**, 454, 104–108. [CrossRef]
- 63. Cossett, S.; Misra, R. The identification of different endothelial cell populations within the mouse proepicardium. *Dev. Dyn.* **2011**, 240, 2344–2353. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Vicente-Steijn, R.; Scherptong, R.W.C.; Kruithof, B.P.T.; Duim, S.N.; Goumans, M.J.T.H.; Wisse, L.J.; Zhou, B.; Pu, W.T.; Poelmann, R.E.; Schalij, M.J.; et al. Regional differences in WT-1 and Tcf21 expression during ventricular development: Implications for myocardial compaction. *PLoS ONE* 2015, *10*, e0136025. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 65. Hirose, T.; Karasawa, M.; Sugitani, Y.; Fujisawa, M.; Akimoto, K.; Ohno, S.; Noda, T. PAR3 is essential for cyst-mediated epicardial development by establishing apical cortical domains. *Development* 2006, *133*, 1389–1398. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 66. Nesbitt, T.; Lemley, A.; Davis, J.; Yost, M.J.; Goodwin, R.L.; Potts, J.D. Epicardial development in the rat: A new perspective. *Microsc. Microanal.* **2006**, *12*, 390–398. [CrossRef]
- 67. Rodgers, L.S.; Lalani, S.; Runyan, R.B.; Camenisch, T.D. Differential growth and multicellular villi direct proepicardial translocation to the developing mouse heart. *Dev. Dyn.* **2008**, 237, 145–152. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 68. Plavicki, J.S.; Hofsteen, P.; Yue, M.S.; Lanham, K.A.; Peterson, R.E.; Heideman, W. Multiple modes of proepicardial cell migration require heartbeat. *BMC Dev. Biol.* **2014**, *14*, 18. [CrossRef]
- 69. Kikuchi, K.; Gupta, V.; Wang, K.; Holdway, J.E.; Wills, A.A.; Fang, Y.; Poss, K.D. Tcf21+ epicardial cells adopt non-myocardial fates during zebrafish heart development and regeneration. *Development* **2011**, *138*, 2895–2902. [CrossRef]
- MacNeill, C.; French, R.; Evans, T.; Wessels, A.; Burch, J.B. Modular regulation ofcGATA-5 gene expression in the developing heart and gut. *Dev. Biol.* 2000, 217, 62–76. [CrossRef]
- 71. Vrancken Peeters, M.P.; Mentink, M.M.; Poelmann, R.E.; Gittenberger-de Groot, A.C. Cytokeratins as a marker for epicardial formation in the quail embryo. *Anat. Embryol.* **1995**, *191*, 503–508. [CrossRef]
- Balmer, G.M.; Bollini, S.; Dubé, K.N.; Martinez-Barbera, J.P.; Williams, O.; Riley, P.R. Dynamic haematopoietic cell contribution to the developing and adult epicardium. *Nat. Commun.* 2014, *5*, 4054. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Niderla-BieliŃska, J.; Jankowska-Steifer, E.; Flaht-Zabost, A.; Gula, G.; Czarnowska, E.; Ratajska, A. Proepicardium: Current Understanding of its Structure, Induction, and Fate. *Anat. Rec.* 2019, 302, 893–903. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 74. Pérez-Pomares, J.M.; Phelps, A.; Sedmerova, M.; Wessels, A. Epicardial-like cells on the distal arterial end of the cardiac outflow tract do not derive from the proepicardium but are derivatives of the cephalic pericardium. *Dev. Dyn.* 2003, 227, 56–68. [CrossRef]
- 75. Peralta, M.; González-Rosa, J.M.; Marques, I.J.; Mercader, N. The epicardium in the embryonic and adult zebrafish. *J. Dev. Biol.* **2014**, 2, 101–116. [CrossRef]
- 76. Männer, J. Does the subepicardial mesenchyme contribute myocardioblasts to the myocardium of the chick embryo heart? A quail-chick chimera study tracing the fate of the epicardial primordium. *Anat. Rec.* **1999**, 255, 212–226. [CrossRef]
- 77. Gittenberger-de Groot, A.C.; Winter, E.M.; Bartelings, M.M.; Goumans, M.J.; DeRuiter, M.C.; Poelmann, R.E. The arterial and cardiac epicardium in development, disease and repair. *Differentiation* **2012**, *84*, 41–53. [CrossRef]
- 78. Cui, Y.; Zheng, Y.; Liu, X.; Yan, L.; Fan, X.; Yong, J.; Hu, Y.; Dong, J.; Li, Q.; Wu, X.; et al. Single-cell transcriptome analysis maps the developmental track of the human heart. *Cell Rep.* **2019**, *26*, 1934–1950.e5. [CrossRef]
- 79. Farbehi, N.; Patrick, R.; Dorison, A.; Xaymardan, M.; Janbandhu, V.; Wystub-Lis, K.; Ho, J.W.; Nordon Re Harvey, R.P. Single-cell expression profiling reveals dynamic flux of cardiac stromal, vascular and immune cells in health and injury. *Elife* 2019, *8*, e43882. [CrossRef]
- Plaks, V.; Kong, N.; Werb, Z. The cancer stem cell niche: How essential is the niche in regulating stemness of tumor cells? *Cell Stem Cell* 2015, 16, 225–238. [CrossRef]
- Satcher, R.L.; Zhang, X.H. Evolving cancer-niche interactions and therapeutic targets during bone metastasis. *Nat. Rev. Cancer* 2022, 22, 85–101. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Sun, X.; Malandraki-Miller, S.; Kennedy, T.; Bassat, E.; Klaourakis, K.; Zhao, J.; Gamen, E.; Vieira, J.M.; Tzahor, E.; Riley, P.R. The extracellular matrix protein agrin is essential for epicardial epithelial-to-mesenchymal transition during heart development. *Development* 2021, 148, dev197525. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.