Supplementary Materials


Transcatheter Versus Surgical Aortic Valve Replacement in Patients with Cardiac Surgery: Meta-Analysis and Systematic Review of the Literature

Azka Latif ¹, Noman Lateef ¹, Muhammad Junaid Ahsan ¹, Vikas Kapoor ¹, Rana Mohammad Usman ², Stephen Cooper ¹, Venkata Andukuri ¹, Mohsin Mirza ¹, Muhammad Zubair Ashfaq ¹ and Rami Khouzam ³


- ¹ Department of Internal Medicine, Creighton University, Omaha, NE 68124, USA; noman.mlateef@gmail.com (N.L.); junaidahsan333@gmail.com (M.J.A.); VikasKapoor@creighton.edu (V.K.); StephenCooper@creighton.edu (S.C.); VenkataAndukuri@creighton.edu (V.A.); Muhammad.Ashfaq@alegent.org (M.Z.A.); mohsin.mirza@creighton.edu (M.M.)
- ² Department of Internal Medicine, University of Tennessee, Memphis, TN 38152, USA; rusman@uthsc.edu
- ³ Department of Cardiology, University of Tennessee, Memphis, TN 38152, USA; khouzamrami@yahoo.com
- * Correspondence: azkalatif@creighton.edu; Tel.: +402-651-4961

Study name	Year		Statistics for each study				Odds ratio and 95% Cl
		Odds ratio	Lower limit	Upper limit	Z-Value	p-Value	
Chen et al.	2018	0.517	0.339	0.789	-3.058	0.002	
Conte et al.	2016	0.284	0.108	0.746	-2.555	0.011	
Greason et al.	2014	0.944	0.297	2.998	-0.098	0.922	
Gupta et al.	2018	0.809	0.720	0.909	-3.561	0.000	
Papadopoulos et al.	2014	0.487	0.042	5.599	-0.577	0.564	
Wendet et al.	2015	2.036	0.499	8.314	0.991	0.322	
Wilbring et al.	2013	1.461	0.433	4.932	0.611	0.542	
		0.709	0.492	1.023	-1.840	0.066	
							0.01 0.1 1 10 100
							Favours TAVR Favours SAVR

Supplementary Figure 1: Forest Plot showing comparing the risk of acute kidney injury between transcather versus surgical aortic valve replacement.



Supplementary Figure 2: Forest Plot showing comparing the procedural time between transcather versus surgical aortic valve replacement.



Supplementary Figure 3: Forest Plot showing comparing the length of hospital stay between transcather versus surgical aortic valve replacement.