



# Article Ferromagnetic Coupling and Single-Ion Magnet Phenomenon in Mononuclear Ruthenium(III) Complexes Based on Guanine Nucleobase

Marta Orts-Arroyo, Nicolás Moliner, Francesc Lloret and José Martínez-Lillo \*

Instituto de Ciencia Molecular (ICMol), Departament de Química Inorgànica, Universitat de València,

c/Catedrático José Beltrán 2, Paterna, 46980 València, Spain

\* Correspondence: f.jose.martinez@uv.es; Tel.: +34-9635-44460

**Abstract:** Two mononuclear Ru<sup>III</sup> complexes of formula *trans*-[RuCl<sub>4</sub>(Hgua)(dmso)]·2H<sub>2</sub>O (1) and *trans*-[RuCl<sub>4</sub>(Hgua)(gua)]·3H<sub>2</sub>O (2) [Hgua = protonated guanine (gua), dmso = dimethyl sulfoxide] have been synthesized and characterized magnetostructurally. **1** and **2** crystallize in the monoclinic system with space groups  $P2_1/n$  and Pc, respectively. Each Ru<sup>III</sup> ion in **1** and **2** is bonded to four chloride ions and one (**1**) or two (**2**) nitrogen atoms from guanine molecules and one sulfur atom (**1**) of a dmso solvent molecule, generating axially compressed octahedral geometries in both cases. In their crystal packing, the Ru<sup>III</sup> complexes are connected through an extended network of N-H···Cl hydrogen bonds and  $\pi \cdots$  Cl intermolecular interactions, forming novel supramolecular structures of this paramagnetic 4d ion. Variable-temperature dc magnetic susceptibility data were obtained from polycrystalline samples of **1** and **2** and their plots show a different magnetic behavior. While **1** is a ferromagnetic compound at low temperature, **2** exhibits a behavior of magnetically isolated mononuclear Ru<sup>III</sup> complexes with S = 1/2. The study on ac magnetic susceptibility data reveal slow relaxation of the magnetization, when external dc fields are applied, only for **2**. These results highlight the presence of field-induced single-ion magnet (SIM) behavior for this mononuclear guanine-based Ru<sup>III</sup> complex.

Keywords: ruthenium; crystal structures; ferromagnetic coupling; molecular magnetism; single-ion magnet

# 1. Introduction

Heteroleptic mononuclear Ru(III) complexes are especially relevant for the study of molecular systems with very appealing biological and biochemical properties [1]. The most successful examples of Ru(III) complexes are prepared with imidazole and indazole ligands, namely the anionic complexes *trans*-[tetrachloro(dimethyl sulfoxide)(imidazole)ruthenate(III)] (NAMI-A) and *trans*-[tetrachlorobis(indazole)ruthenate(III)] (KP1019), which are effective anticancer and antimetastatic compounds that have been investigated in clinical trials [2,3]. Most metal-based anti-tumor compounds interact strongly with DNA, however, NAMI-A acts as an inhibitor of the metastatic potential of tumor and its activity is not related with DNA binding in cancer cells [4].

Similar studies have been performed on ruthenium complexes based on nitrogenous bases [5–8]. In 2004, the first guanine-based Ru(III) compound of formula *trans*-[RuCl<sub>4</sub>(Hgua)(dmso)]·2H<sub>2</sub>O (Hgua = monoprotonated guanine) was investigated [5]. It was prepared by refluxing a mixture of *trans*-[RuCl<sub>4</sub>(dmso)<sub>2</sub>]<sup>-</sup> complex and acyclovir in ethanol and HCl. It was characterized by single-crystal X-ray diffraction and the in vitro antitumor activity was also evaluated [5], but the study of its magnetic properties, as for the most of these mononuclear Ru<sup>III</sup> complexes, remain largely unexplored. Indeed, in the literature there exists only one mononuclear Ru<sup>III</sup> complex exhibiting Single-Ion Magnet (SIM) behavior reported up to date, the Ru<sup>III</sup> system of formula [RuCl<sub>3</sub>(PPh<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>(MeCN)]



Citation: Orts-Arroyo, M.; Moliner, N.; Lloret, F.; Martínez-Lillo, J. Ferromagnetic Coupling and Single-Ion Magnet Phenomenon in Mononuclear Ruthenium(III) Complexes Based on Guanine Nucleobase. *Magnetochemistry* **2022**, *8*, 93. https://doi.org/10.3390/ magnetochemistry8080093

Academic Editor: Redha Taiar

Received: 22 July 2022 Accepted: 13 August 2022 Published: 17 August 2022

**Publisher's Note:** MDPI stays neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.



**Copyright:** © 2022 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by/ 4.0/). (PPh<sub>3</sub> = triphenylphosphine) [9]. This low number of works dealing with Ru(III)-based SIMs could be due to the complexity of the treatment of the experimental magnetic data of this 4d ion with a  ${}^{2}T_{2g}$  ground term and orbital contribution.

In this work, we report the synthesis, crystal structure and the study of the magnetic properties of two Ru<sup>III</sup> complexes of formula *trans*-[RuCl<sub>4</sub>(Hgua)(dmso)]·2H<sub>2</sub>O (1) and *trans*-[RuCl<sub>4</sub>(Hgua)(gua)]·3H<sub>2</sub>O (2) [Hgua = protonated guanine (gua), dmso = dimethyl sulfoxide], which are based on the guanine ligand (Scheme 1). While 1 is a novel ferromagnetic complex, 2 is the second reported example of SIM based on Ru<sup>III</sup> metal ion.



Scheme 1. Molecular structure of guanine.

# 2. Results and Discussion

## 2.1. Description of the Crystal Structures

The crystal structure of compound **1** was previously reported elsewhere, and was deposited with identifier ARAMUB [5]. Nevertheless, we include here some structural data and details, which are useful to discuss and understand its magnetic properties (Table 1). Compounds **1** and **2** crystallize in monoclinic space groups ( $P2_1$ /n and Pc for **1** and **2**, respectively). The crystal structure of **1** is made up of neutral [RuCl<sub>4</sub>(Hgua)(dmso)] units, whereas that of **2** is made up of neutral [RuCl<sub>4</sub>(Hgua)(gua)] units. In both crystal structures, hydration H<sub>2</sub>O molecules are also present (Figure 1).

Table 1. Crystal data along with structure refinement values for compounds 1 and 2.

Compound	1	2
Formula	C <sub>7</sub> H <sub>16</sub> N <sub>5</sub> O <sub>4</sub> SCl <sub>4</sub> Ru	C <sub>10</sub> H <sub>11</sub> N <sub>10</sub> O <sub>5</sub> Cl <sub>4</sub> Ru
$M_{\rm r}/{ m g}~{ m mol}^{-1}$	509.18	594.16
Crystal system	monoclinic	monoclinic
Space group	$P2_1/n$	Pc
a/Å	9.836(1)	7.319(1)
b/Å	13.326(1)	11.433(1)
c/Å	12.886(1)	11.402(1)
$\alpha/^{\circ}$	90(1)	90(1)
β/°	93.04(1)	91.64(1)
$\gamma/^{\circ}$	90(1)	90(1)
$V/Å^3$	1686.6(1)	953.7(1)
Z	4	2
$D_{\rm c}/{\rm g}{\rm cm}^{-3}$	2.005	2.069
$\mu$ (Mo- $K_{\alpha}$ )/mm <sup>-1</sup>	1.708	1.433
F(000)	1012	586
Goodness-of-fit on $F^2$	1.435	1.073
$R_1 \left[ I > 2\sigma(I) \right]$	0.0340	0.0591
$wR_2 \left[ I > 2\sigma(I) \right]$	0.0350	0.1552



**Figure 1.** View of the [RuCl<sub>4</sub>(Hgua)(dmso)] and [RuCl<sub>4</sub>(Hgua)(gua)] complexes showing the atom numbering of the Ru(III) metal ions together with those of their chromophores in **1** (**a**) and **2** (**b**). Water molecules and H atoms have been omitted for clarity. Thermal ellipsoids are shown at the 50% of probability.

In **1**, the Ru(III) ion is six-coordinate and bonded to four chloride ions, one sulfur atom from a dimethyl sulfoxide molecule and one nitrogen atom from one protonated guanine molecule. In **2**, the Ru(III) ion is bonded to four chloride ions and two nitrogen atoms from two guanine ligands (Figure 1). The average values of the Ru-Cl bond lengths are 2.353(1) and 2.350(1) Å for **1** and **2**, respectively. The Ru-N bond length in **1** [2.107(4) Å] is somewhat longer than the average value of the Ru-N bond lengths in **2** [2.081(1) Å]. In both cases, the central metal ion exhibits two axial bonds [Ru-S (1) and Ru-N (1 and 2)] which are shorter than those of the equatorial bonds [Ru-Cl (1 and 2)], generating axially compressed octahedral geometries. In **2**, the two guanine molecules are pretty much planar and form an intramolecular angle between them of approximately 2.0(1)°. In **1** and **2**, the C-C, C-N and C-O bond lengths of the guanine ligands are as previously reported for this molecule when coordinated through N9 to 4d/5d metal centers [10–13].

In the crystal lattice of compound **1**, adjacent [RuCl<sub>4</sub>(Hgua)(dmso)] units are connected through H-bonding interactions between carbonyl and amino groups of protonated guanine and dmso ligands, which generate one-dimensional motifs growing offshore the *b* crystallographic axis [O…N distances covering the range ca. 2.72(1)–2.81(1) Å; (a) = -x + 3/2, y + 1/2, -z + 5/2]. These chains are also linked through additional H bonds involving water molecules and forming a 2D framework (Figure 2) [Ow…N and Ow…Cl distances of ca. 2.734(1) and 3.367(1) Å, respectively; (b) = x + 3/2, y + 1/2, -z + 3/2]. In **1**, Cl… $\pi$  contacts covering the range 3.24(1)–3.53(1) Å occur and lead to the formation of Ru-Cl… $\pi$ …Cl-Ru interactions between neighboring [RuCl<sub>4</sub>(Hgua)(dmso)] units (Figure 2), this being a motif which have been observed previously in systems displaying intermolecular ferromagnetic coupling [14,15].

In the crystal packing of **2**,  $N-H\cdots(Cl)_2$  type hydrogen bonds between amino groups and chloride ions of adjacent [RuCl<sub>4</sub>(Hgua)(gua)] units form zig-zag 1D motifs, that grow along the crystallographic *c* axis [Cl1 $\cdots$ N22a distance = 3.273 Å and Cl4b $\cdots$ N22 distance = 3.285 Å; being (a) = x, -y + 2, z-1/2 and (b) = x, -y + 2, z + 1/2, respectively] (Figure 3). Longer H-bonded N–H $\cdots$ Cl interactions of ca. 3.377 Å connect these chains forming a 2D framework [Cl3 $\cdots$ N2c distance = 3.377 Å; (c) = x + 1, -y + 1, z-1/2] in **2** (Figure 3). The shortest intermolecular Cl $\cdots$ Cl distance is ca. 4.073 Å [Cl1 $\cdots$ Cl3d; (d) = x - 1, y, z], whereas the shortest Ru $\cdots$ Ru separation is approximately 6.996 Å [Ru(1) $\cdots$ Ru(1e); (e) = x, -y + 1, z-1/2]. Finally,  $\pi$  $\cdots$ Cl contacts, which cover the range ca. 3.18(1)–3.47(1) Å, stabilize the crystal structure of **2**.



**Figure 2.** (a) A fragment of the crystal of **1** showing hydrogen bonds involving [RuCl<sub>4</sub>(Hgua)(dmso)] units (dashed green lines), and [RuCl<sub>4</sub>(Hgua)(dmso)] complexes and water molecules (dashed red lines), along the crystallographic *a* axis; (b) Detail of the Ru–Cl… $\pi$ …Cl–Ru interaction (dashed red lines) generated by alternate [RuCl<sub>4</sub>(Hgua)(dmso)] complexes in **1**.



**Figure 3.** (a) Detail of the zig-zag chain formed through bifurcated three-centered hydrogen bonds (dashed green lines) between mononuclear [RuCl<sub>4</sub>(Hgua)(gua)] units in **2**; (b) 2D arrangement of [RuCl<sub>4</sub>(Hgua)(gua)] complexes in **2** connected through additional hydrogen bonds (dashed red lines) and viewed along the crystallographic *b* axis.

# 2.2. Computed Hirshfeld Surfaces

The Hirshfeld surfaces, along with their fingerprint maps, for complexes 1 and 2 are given in Figures 4 and 5, respectively. Shorter contacts are displayed by using red color, whereas white color is assigned to interactions around the van der Waals distance [16,17]. In 1, the most relevant contacts are H…O interactions involving water molecules and also interactions between carbonyl and amino groups of neighboring guanine molecules. These contacts gave ca. 26.4% of the fingerprint plot (Figure 4). Moreover, intermolecular Cl…H interactions, which are mainly generated by chloride ions and water molecules, cover approximately 21.8% of the full fingerprint plot of 1 (Figure 4). In compound 2, the Cl…H interactions, with a value of 17.5% of the full fingerprint plot, represent a percentage lower than that found in 1, whereas the H-bonding H…O interactions cover pretty much the same



value in both compounds, this value being approximately 26.5% of the complete fingerprint plot of **2** (Figure 5).

**Figure 4.** (a) Hirshfeld surface of **1** calculated through  $d_{\text{norm}}$  function; (b) Fingerprint maps for compound **1** (see text).



**Figure 5.** (a) Hirshfeld surface of **2** calculated through  $d_{\text{norm}}$  function; (b) Fingerprint maps for compound **2** (see text).

Hirshfeld surfaces of the neutral [RuCl<sub>4</sub>(Hgua)(dmso)] and [RuCl<sub>4</sub>(Hgua)(gua)] complexes were thus obtained and their intermolecular contacts were studied by means of CrystalExplorer [16,17]. The surfaces were drawn considering the distances from a point on the surface to the nearest atom outside ( $d_e$ ) and inside ( $d_i$ ) their surfaces. Any restriction associated to the size of the involved atoms was corrected through a normalized distance, named  $d_{norm}$ , which was analyzed as previously done [18–20].

### 2.3. Magnetic Properties

# 2.3.1. Dc Magnetic Susceptibility

The magnetic properties of **1** and **2** were investigated through direct current (dc) magnetic susceptibility measurements on polycrystalline samples in the range of temperature 300–2 K and along with an external dc magnetic field ( $H_{dc} = 0.5$  T).  $\chi_M$ T vs. T plots for compounds **1** and **2** [ $\chi_M$  being the molar magnetic susceptibility per Ru(III) ion] are given in Figure 6. At T = 300 K,  $\chi_M$ T values are approximately 0.58 (**1**) and 0.54 cm<sup>3</sup>mol<sup>-1</sup>K (**2**), which are very close to those earlier published for mononuclear Ru(III) compounds with low-spin configuration ( $t_{2g}^5$ ) and S = 1/2 for this 4d<sup>5</sup> metal ion [21–24]. Upon cooling,  $\chi_M$ T for **1** decreases constantly to ca. 70 K and then more slowly with decreasing temperature, reaching a minimum value of 0.49 cm<sup>3</sup>mol<sup>-1</sup>K at 30 K. Then, it increases gradually to a maximum value of ca. 0.66 cm<sup>3</sup>mol<sup>-1</sup>K at 2.0 K (Figure 6). In contrast,  $\chi_M$ T for **2** continuously

decreases with decreasing temperature, showing a linear dependence and reaching a final value of approximately 0.46 cm<sup>3</sup>mol<sup>-1</sup>K at 2.0 K (Figure 6). The decrease observed for the  $\chi_M T$  value of both compounds at medium-high temperature would occur as a result of the spin–orbit coupling (SOC) of the  ${}^2T_{2g}$  ground term and its orbital contribution [21,22]. The increase of the  $\chi_M T$  value observed at low T values for 1 would indicate the phenomenon of an unprecedented intermolecular ferromagnetic exchange in a mononuclear Ru(III) complex. This magnetic behavior has been previously studied in complexes containing a magnetically-active 5d ion, but never before on a paramagnetic 4d metal ion [14,15].

$$\hat{H} = -kL\hat{S} + \Delta[L_Z^2 - (1/3)L(L+1)] + \beta H(-kL+2\hat{S})$$
(1)



**Figure 6.** (a) Plot of  $\chi_M T$  vs. *T* obtained for compound **1**, the solid red line being the best fit. The inset displays the *M* vs. *H* plot for **1**; (b) Plot of  $\chi_M T$  vs. *T* obtained for compound **2**, the solid red line being the best fit. The inset displays the *M* vs. *H* plot for **2**.

To investigate the magnetic properties of 1 and 2, the Hamiltonian of equation (1) and its theoretical expression for the magnetic susceptibility, adding a  $\theta$  parameter to explain any intermolecular interaction, was used as previously done for similar complexes with S = 1/2 [25,26]. Besides, the PHI program was employed for comparing the results of some of the fitted values [27]. The three sections in equation (1) can be assigned to the SOC, the field-ligand axial distortion and the Zeeman effect, respectively. It deserves to be mentioned that the three main parameters in Equation (1) [considering L = 1, S = 1/2 and  $g_{||} = g_{\perp} = g$  for both 1 and 2], namely, energy gap ( $\Delta$ ), orbital reduction factor ( $\kappa$ ) and the spin-orbit coupling constant ( $\lambda$ ), are strongly correlated [25,26]. The experimental data of the  $\chi_M T$  vs. T curves of 1 and 2 can be reproduced with the following parameters:  $\Delta = 913 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ ,  $\kappa = 0.86$ ,  $\lambda = -865 \text{ cm}^{-1}$  and  $\theta = +0.57 \text{ cm}^{-1}$  for **1** and  $\Delta = 1140 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ ,  $\kappa = 0.81$  and  $\lambda = -885 \text{ cm}^{-1}$  for **2**. Besides, the g and  $\theta$  values were in good agreement with the simulation obtained through PHI program [g = 2.26,  $\theta$  = +0.57 cm<sup>-1</sup> and  $\chi_{\text{TIP}} = 326 \times 10^{-6} \text{ cm}^3 \text{mol}^{-1}$  for 1 and g = 2.23, and  $\chi_{\text{TIP}} = 244 \times 10^{-6} \text{ cm}^3 \text{mol}^{-1}$  for 2] and were used to simultaneously fit the field dependence of the molar magnetization (M) plots at several temperatures for 1 and 2 [9], which are given in the respective insets of Figure 6. In general, the computed curves fit well the experimental data at the studied temperatures. The parameters thus obtained are very close to those of earlier published Ru(III) compounds [21–24]. The positive  $\theta$  value obtained for 1 would indicate the presence of a ferromagnetic exchange coupling for this compound. It is well-known that short halogen matermolecular contacts can transmit antiferromagnetic couplings between neigboring paramagnetic metal ions [28–34], but ferromagnetic exchange

couplings thus obtained are uncommon [14,15]. A suitable spatial arrangement of the mononuclear [RuCl<sub>4</sub>(Hgua)(gua)] units with Ru–Cl… $\pi$ …Cl–Ru interactions, as shown in Figure 2b, would generate a spin polarization by means of guanine rings between close [RuCl<sub>4</sub>(Hgua)(gua)] units, and would explain the unprecedented ferromagnetic behavior observed only for **1**.

# 2.3.2. Ac Magnetic Susceptibility

Alternating current (ac) magnetic susceptibility studies on polycrystalline samples of **1** and **2** were carried out, and it was observed that none of them showed out-of-phase ac signals ( $\chi''_{M}$ ) at H<sub>dc</sub> = 0 G. Nonetheless, compound **2** displays ac signals at low temperatures when an external dc magnetic field is utilized (H<sub>dc</sub> = 2500 and 5000 G). In the case of compound **1**, structural factors and/or a strong quantum tunneling of the magnetization (QTM), would cancel any slow relaxation of the magnetization for this other mononuclear Ru(III) complex. The features observed for **2** are characteristic of compounds displaying slow relaxation of magnetization, what is called Single-Ion Magnet (SIM) behavior when they are mononuclear systems [19,35]. These out-of-phase ac signals obtained at 2500 and 5000 G are given as  $\chi''_{M}$  vs. v plots in Figure 7. As one can observe, the number of  $\chi''_{M}$  maxima, as well as their intensity, increase with increasing the utilized dc magnetic field. Besides, these  $\chi''_{M}$  maxima shift to higher frequencies with increasing the external magnetic field (Figure 7).

$$\tau^{-1} = \tau^{-1}_{\text{ORBACH}} + \tau^{-1}_{\text{DIRECT}} + \tau^{-1}_{\text{RAMAN}} + \tau^{-1}_{\text{QTM}}$$
(2)



**Figure 7.** (a) Out-of-phase ac susceptibility vs. frequency plot under an external dc field of 2500 G for **2**. The inset is the  $\ln(\tau)$  vs. 1/T plot with the fit considering the contribution of two relaxation mechanisms (direct + Raman); (b) Out-of-phase ac susceptibility vs. frequency plot under an external dc field of 5000 G for **2**. The inset is the  $\ln(\tau)$  vs. 1/T plot with the fit considering the contribution of two relaxation of two relaxation mechanisms (direct + Raman); (b) Out-of-phase ac susceptibility vs. frequency plot under an external dc field of 5000 G for **2**. The inset is the  $\ln(\tau)$  vs. 1/T plot with the fit considering the contribution of two relaxation mechanisms (direct + Raman).

Through the data of the out-of-phase ac susceptibility, the  $\ln(\tau)$  vs. 1/T plots at 2500 and 5000 G were obtained for complex **2** (insets in Figure 7). These data draw curved lines which would account for the occurrence of at least two relaxation processes in compound **2**. As a result, the whole  $\ln(\tau)$  vs. 1/T curve could be fitted through equation (2), where several mechanisms for spin-lattice relaxation of magnetization could be examined, that is, Orbach  $[\tau_o^{-1}\exp(-U_{\text{eff}}/k_BT);$  with  $\tau_o$  being the preexponential factor,  $\tau$  is the relaxation time,  $U_{\text{eff}}$  is the anisotropy energy barrier to the magnetization reorientation, and  $k_B$  is the Boltzmann constant], direct (*AT*), Raman (*CT*<sup>n</sup>), and Quantum Tunnelling (QTM). All these relaxation processes were analyzed during the fitting method of the  $\ln(\tau)$  vs. 1/T curves for **2**, even though the best fits were obtained when considering the Orbach and

direct mechanisms and the direct + Raman mechanisms. Thus, taking into account only Orbach and direct processes, the best least-squares fit of the experimental data of 2 gave as result:  $\tau_0 = 2.6 \times 10^{-7}$  s,  $U_{\text{eff}} = 17.1$  cm<sup>-1</sup> and A = 17,464 s<sup>-1</sup> K<sup>-1</sup> for data obtained at 2500 G and  $\tau_0 = 1.7 \times 10^{-8}$  s,  $U_{\text{eff}} = 36.6$  cm<sup>-1</sup> and A = 17,454 s<sup>-1</sup> K<sup>-1</sup> for data obtained at 5000 G. If we consider only direct and Raman processes, the best least-squares fit of the experimental data of **2** give the following values:  $A = 16,914 \text{ s}^{-1} \text{ K}^{-1}$ ,  $C = 18.52 \text{ s}^{-1}$  $K^{-n}$  and n = 4.4 for data obtained at 2500 G and  $A = 17,330 \text{ s}^{-1} \text{ K}^{-1}$ ,  $C = 0.04 \text{ s}^{-1} \text{ K}^{-n}$  and n = 7.0 for data obtained at 5000 G. From these results, which are somewhat unsimilar to those of other SIMs of metal ions with S = 1/2 [36,37], it is worthy to point out that the energy barrier value ( $U_{eff}$ ) increases with increasing field, the external dc magnetic field of 5000 G being optimal for 2. Indeed, the values of the  $U_{\rm eff}$  parameter computed for 2 are higher than that reported for the first Ru(III)-based SIM, the [RuCl<sub>3</sub>(PPh<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>(MeCN)] complex, with  $\tau_0 = 9.8 \times 10^{-6}$  s and  $U_{eff} = 15.3$  cm<sup>-1</sup>, these values being obtained through the Arrhenius equation [9]. Nevertheless, for this other Ru(III)-based SIM, it was suggested a phonon-bottlenecked direct relaxation for temperatures up to 10 K [9]. The n > 4 values obtained for 2 fall into the range typical of metal ions with relaxation through optical and acoustic Raman-like process, this fact suggesting that only a phonon-bottlenecked direct process could not be expected in the relaxation dynamics of compound 2.

In any case, this comparison shows that both Ru(III) SIMs display relaxation dynamics with distinct relaxation pathways and further detailed magnetic and theoretical studies performed on different Ru(III) complexes will be necessary to correctly understand the relaxation dynamics of Ru(III)-based SIMs.

# 3. Materials and Methods

# 3.1. Reagents and Instruments

All the synthesis were carried out under aerobic conditions, by using reagents as received. The ruthenium precursor  $(PPh_4)_2[\{RuCl_4(H_2O)\}_2(\mu-O)]\cdot 4H_2O$  was obtained following the synthetic method previously described in the literature [18]. SEM-EDX analyses were obtained through a Hitachi S-4800 field emission scanning electron microscope, and elemental analyses (C, H, N) were performed by the Central Service for the Support to Experimental Research (SCSIE) at the University of Valencia (Hitachi High-Tech, GLOBAL). Infrared spectra of 1 and 2 were recorded with a PerkinElmer Spectrum 65 FT-IR spectrometer (4000–400 cm<sup>-1</sup> region, PerkinElmer, Inc., Waltham, MA, USA) (Figure S1). Variable-temperature, solid-state (dc and ac) magnetic susceptibility data down to 2.0 K were collected on a Quantum Design MPMS-XL SQUID magnetometer equipped with a 5 T dc magnet (Quantum Design, San Diego, CA, USA). Experimental magnetic data were corrected for the diamagnetic contributions of the involved atoms by using Pascal's constants [38].

## 3.2. *Preparation of the Compounds*

#### 3.2.1. Synthesis of [RuCl<sub>4</sub>(Hgua)(dmso)]·2H<sub>2</sub>O (1)

Guanine (0.06g, 0.40 mmol) was dissolved in a dmso:HCl mixture (20 mL, 1.0 M, 5:1, v/v) and added drop by drop to a refluxing solution of (PPh<sub>4</sub>)<sub>2</sub>[{RuCl<sub>4</sub>(H<sub>2</sub>O)}<sub>2</sub>( $\mu$ -O)]·4H<sub>2</sub>O (0.198 g, 0.15 mmol) in dmso (30 mL). Then, the reflux was kept for 24 h. The resulting reddish-brown solution was filtered and then heated at 60 °C until the solvent was left to evaporate. The solid residue was collected with HCl (10 mL, 1.0 M) and the generated solution was filtered. EtOH (10 mL) was poured to the final solution that was left to evaporate at room temperature. Red crystals of **1** were obtained in 2 weeks. Yield: ca. 45%. Anal. Calcd. for C<sub>7</sub>H<sub>16</sub>Cl<sub>4</sub>N<sub>5</sub>O<sub>4</sub>SRu (1): C, 16.5; H, 3.2; N, 13.8%. Found: C, 16.7; H, 3.4; N, 13.7%. SEM-EDX analysis gave 1:1 (Ru/S) and 1:4 (Ru/Cl) molar ratios for **1**. IR (KBr pellets/cm<sup>-1</sup>): the absorption associated to H<sub>2</sub>O molecule occurs at 3423br and bands assigned to the coordinated/protonated guanine and dmso appear at 3240(m), 3194(s), 3117(w), 3005(w), 1718(s), 1669(vs), 1635(m), 1578(m), 1457(m), 1457(m), 1406(m), 1385(m), 1158(m), 1099(s), 765(m), 667(m), 545(m), and 497(m).

## 3.2.2. Synthesis of $[RuCl_4(Hgua)(gua)] \cdot 3H_2O(2)$

 $K_2[RuCl_5(H_2O)]$  (11.2 mg, 0.03 mmol) and guanine (6.80 mg, 0.03 mmol) were reacted by means of a solvothermal synthesis in HCl (2.5 mL, 6.0 M) at 90 °C for two days, then a 48 h cooling process took place to room temperature. Orange cubes of **2** were thus obtained. Yield: ca. 35%. Anal. Calcd. for  $C_{10}H_{17}Cl_4N_{10}O_5Ru$  (**2**): C, 20.0; H, 2.9; N, 23.3%. Found: C, 20.3; H, 2.9; N, 23.6%. SEM-EDX analysis gave a 1:4 (Ru/Cl) molar ratio for **2**. IR peaks (KBr pellets,  $\nu/cm^{-1}$ ): 3402(m), 3330(sh), 3220(m), 3123(m), 2568(w), 2924(w), 1732(s), 1669(vs), 1635(s), 1559(m), 1473(m), 1456(m), 1370(m), 1300(w), 1258(w), 1204(m), 1149(s), 1052(m), 976(m), 760(s), 709(m), and 668(m).

### 3.3. X-ray Data Collection and Structure Refinement

X-ray diffraction data from a single crystal of dimensions  $0.13 \times 0.10 \times 0.09 \text{ mm}^3$ (2) were collected on a Bruker D8 Venture diffractometer with graphite-monochromated Mo-K<sub> $\alpha$ </sub> radiation ( $\lambda = 0.71073$  Å). The resulting crystal and refinement parameters for **1** and **2** are given in Table 1. The structure of **2** was solved by standard direct methods and in turn completed by Fourier recycling by using the SHELXTL software packages (Shelxtl-2018/1, Bruker Analytical X-ray Instruments, Madison, WI, USA). The model thus obtained was refined through version 2018/1 of SHELXL against  $F^2$  on all data by full-matrix least squares [39]. All non-hydrogen atoms were refined anisotropically. The hydrogen atoms of the guanine molecules were set in calculated positions and refined isotropically by using the riding model. The H atoms of the disordered H<sub>2</sub>O molecules in **2** were neither detected nor included in the model. Graphical manipulations were carried out through DIAMOND [40]. CCDC code for **2**: 2191993.

### 4. Conclusions

In summary, we have characterized magnetostructurally two novel mononuclear guanine-based Ru(III) compounds of formula *trans*-[RuCl<sub>4</sub>(Hgua)(dmso)]·2H<sub>2</sub>O (**1**) and *trans*-[RuCl<sub>4</sub>(Hgua)(gua)]·3H<sub>2</sub>O (**2**) [Hgua = protonated guanine (gua), dmso = dimethyl sulfoxide]. The two Ru<sup>III</sup> complexes are self-assembled through an extended network of N-H···Cl hydrogen bonds and  $\pi$ ···Cl intermolecular contacts, which generates novel supramolecular structures based on this 4d metal ion. The investigation of the magnetic properties of **1** and **2** by means of dc magnetic susceptibility data reveals a different magnetic behavior. While **1** is a ferromagnetic compound at low temperatures, **2** exhibits a behavior characteristic of magnetically isolated mononuclear Ru(III) complexes with S = 1/2. In addition, the ac magnetic susceptibility experimental data reveal slow relaxation of the magnetization in the presence of external dc fields only for **2**, hence indicating the occurrence of field-induced single-ion magnet (SIM) behavior in this mononuclear guanine-based Ru(III) complex. In fact, the  $U_{\text{eff}}$  value for **1** is higher than that of an earlier published Ru(III)-based SIM. Anyway, more theoretical and experimental studies will need to fully understand the relaxation dynamics of the very interesting Ru(III)-based SIMs.

**Supplementary Materials:** The following supporting information can be downloaded at: https://www.mdpi.com/article/10.3390/magnetochemistry8080093/s1. Figure S1 and X-ray crystallographic data in CIF format for compound **2**.

**Author Contributions:** Conceptualization, F.L. and J.M.-L.; funding acquisition, F.L. and J.M.-L.; methodology, M.O.-A., N.M. and J.M.-L.; investigation, M.O.-A., N.M., F.L. and J.M.-L.; formal analysis, M.O.-A., N.M. and J.M.-L.; writing—original draft preparation, J.M.-L.; writing—review and editing, J.M.-L. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

**Funding:** This research was funded by the VLC-BIOCLINIC Program of the University of Valencia [Project PI-2021-007-DIRUGEN] and the Spanish Ministry of Science and Innovation [Projects PID2019-109735GB-I00 and CEX2019-000919-M (Excellence Unit "María de Maeztu")].

Institutional Review Board Statement: Not applicable.

Informed Consent Statement: Not applicable.

**Data Availability Statement:** The raw data that support the findings of this study are available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request.

Acknowledgments: M.O.A. thanks the Spanish "FPI fellowships" Program.

**Conflicts of Interest:** The authors declare no conflict of interest.

# References

- 1. Li, F.; Collins, J.G.; Keene, F.R. Ruthenium complexes as antimicrobial agents. Chem. Soc. Rev. 2015, 44, 2529–2542. [CrossRef]
- Hartinger, C.G.; Zorbas-Seifried, S.; Jakupec, M.A.; Kynast, B.; Zorbas, H.; Keppler, B.K. From bench to bedside-preclinical and early clinical development of the anticancer agent indazolium trans-[tetrachlorobis(1H-indazole)ruthenate(III)] (KP1019 or FFC14A). J. Inorg. Biochem. 2006, 100, 891–904. [CrossRef]
- Hartinger, C.G.; Jakupec, M.A.; Zorbas-Seifried, S.; Groessl, M.; Egger, A.; Berger, W.; Zorbas, H.; Dyson, P.J.; Keppler, B.K. KP1019, A New Redox-Active Anticancer Agent—Preclinical Development and Results of a Clinical Phase I Study in Tumor Patients. *Chem. Biodivers.* 2008, *5*, 2140–2155. [CrossRef]
- 4. Ang, W.H.; Casini, A.; Sava, G.; Dyson, P.J. Organometallic ruthenium-based antitumor compounds with novel modes of action. *J. Organomet. Chem.* **2011**, *696*, 989–998. [CrossRef]
- 5. Turel, I.; Pečanac, M.; Golobič, A.; Alessio, E.; Serli, B.; Bergamo, A.; Sava, G. Solution, solid state and biological characterization of ruthenium(III)-DMSO complexes with purine base derivatives. *J. Inorg. Biochem.* **2004**, *98*, 393–401. [CrossRef]
- 6. Correa, R.S.; Freire, V.; Barbosa, M.I.F.; Bezerra, D.P.; Bomfim, L.M.; Moreira, D.R.M.; Soares, M.B.P.; Ellena, J.; Batista, A.A. Ru(II)–thyminate complexes: New metallodrug candidates against tumor cells. *N. J. Chem.* **2018**, *42*, 6794–6802. [CrossRef]
- Silva, S.L.R.; Baliza, I.R.S.; Dias, R.B.; Sales, C.B.S.; Rocha, C.A.G.; Soares, M.B.P.; Correa, R.S.; Batista, A.A.; Bezerra, D.P. Ru(II)-thymine complex causes DNA damage and apoptotic cell death in human colon carcinoma HCT116 cells mediated by JNK/p38/ERK1/2 via a p53-independent signaling. *Sci. Rep.* 2019, *9*, 11094. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Orts-Arroyo, M.; Gutiérrez, F.; Gil-Tebar, A.; Ibarrola-Villava, M.; Jiménez-Martí, E.; Silvestre-Llora, A.; Castro, I.; Ribas, G.; Martínez-Lillo, J. A novel adenine-based diruthenium(III) complex: Synthesis, crystal structure, electrochemical properties and evaluation of the anticancer activity. *J. Inorg. Biochem.* 2022, 232, 111812. [CrossRef]
- Wu, S.-Q.; Miyazaki, Y.; Nakano, M.; Su, S.-Q.; Yao, Z.S.; Kou, H.-Z.; Sato, O. Slow Magnetic Relaxation in a Mononuclear Ruthenium(III) Complex. *Chem. Eur. J.* 2017, 23, 10028–10033. [CrossRef]
- Colacio, E.; Crespo, O.; Cuesta, R.; Kivekas, R.; Laguna, A. [Au<sub>2</sub>(μ-G)(μ-dmpe)]·(KBr)<sub>0.75</sub>·2H<sub>2</sub>O, a cyclic dinuclear gold(I) complex with an N3,N9-bridging coordination mode of guanine and aurophilic interactions: Synthesis, X-ray crystal structure and luminescence properties (dmpe=1,2-bis(dimethylphosphino)ethane and G=guaninato dianion). *J. Inorg. Biochem.* 2004, *98*, 595–600.
- 11. Gaballa, A.S.; Schmidt, H.; Wagner, C.; Steinborn, D. Structure and characterization of platinum(II) and platinum(IV) complexes with protonated nucleobase ligands. *Inorg. Chim. Acta* 2008, *361*, 2070–2080. [CrossRef]
- 12. Gupta, D.; Nowak, R.; Lippert, B. Pt(II) complexes of unsubstituted guanine and 7-methylguanine. *Dalton Trans.* **2010**, *39*, 73–84. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 13. Orts-Arroyo, M.; Silvestre-Llora, A.; Castro, I.; Martínez-Lillo, J. Molecular Self-Assembly of an Unusual Dinuclear Ruthenium(III) Complex Based on the Nucleobase Guanine. *Crystals* **2022**, *12*, 448. [CrossRef]
- 14. Martínez-Lillo, J.; Armentano, D.; De Munno, G.; Marino, N.; Lloret, F.; Julve, M.; Faus, J. A self-assembled tetrameric water cluster stabilized by the hexachlororhenate(IV) anion and diprotonated 2,2'-biimidazole: X-ray structure and magnetic properties. *Cryst. Eng. Comm.* **2008**, *10*, 1284–1287. [CrossRef]
- 15. Martínez-Lillo, J.; Pedersen, A.H.; Faus, J.; Julve, M.; Brechin, E.K. Effect of Protonated Organic Cations and Anion *π* Interactions on the Magnetic Behavior of Hexabromorhenate(IV) Salts. *Cryst. Growth Des.* **2015**, *15*, 2598–2601. [CrossRef]
- 16. Spackman, M.A.; Jayatilaka, D. Hirshfeld surface analysis. Cryst. Eng. Comm. 2009, 11, 19–32. [CrossRef]
- 17. Turner, M.J.; McKinnon, J.J.; Wolff, S.K.; Grimwood, D.J.; Spackman, P.R.; Jayatilaka, D.; Spackman, M.A. *Crystal Explorer* 17; University of Western Australia: Perth, Australia, 2017.
- 18. Orts-Arroyo, M.; Castro, I.; Lloret, F.; Martínez-Lillo, J. Molecular Self-Assembly in a Family of Oxo-Bridged Dinuclear Ruthenium(IV) Systems. *Cryst. Growth Des.* **2020**, *20*, 2044–2056. [CrossRef]
- Sanchis-Perucho, A.; Orts-Arroyo, M.; Camús-Hernández, J.; Rojas-Dotti, C.; Escrivà, E.; Lloret, F.; Martínez-Lillo, J. Hexahalorhenate(IV) salts of protonated ciprofloxacin: Antibiotic-based single-ion magnets. *Cryst. Eng. Comm.* 2021, 23, 8579–8587. [CrossRef]
- Orts-Arroyo, M.; Ten-Esteve, A.; Ginés-Cárdenas, S.; Castro, I.; Martí-Bonmatí, L.; Martínez-Lillo, J. A gadolinium(III) complex based on the thymine nucleobase with properties suitable for magnetic resonance imaging. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* 2021, 22, 4586. [CrossRef]
- Yeung, W.F.; Man, W.-L.; Wong, W.-T.; Lau, T.-C.; Gao, S. Ferromagnetic Ordering in a Diamond-Like Cyano-Bridged Mn<sup>II</sup>Ru<sup>III</sup> Bimetallic Coordination Polymer. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 2001, 40, 3031–3033. [CrossRef]
- Toma, L.M.; Toma, L.D.; Delgado, F.S.; Ruiz-Pérez, C.; Sletten, J.; Cano, J.; Clemente-Juan, J.M.; Lloret, F.; Julve, M. Transdicyanobis(acetylacetonato)ruthenate(III) as a precursor to build novel cyanide-bridged Ru<sup>III</sup>–M<sup>II</sup> bimetallic compounds [M = Co and Ni]. *Coord. Chem. Rev.* 2006, 250, 2176–2193. [CrossRef]

- Armentano, D.; Martínez-Lillo, J. Hexachlororhenate(IV) salts of ruthenium(III) cations: X-ray structure and magnetic properties. Inorg. Chim. Acta 2012, 380, 118–124. [CrossRef]
- Palacios, M.A.; Mota, A.J.; Ruiz, J.; Hänninen, M.M.; Sillanpää, R.; Colacio, E. Diphenoxo-Bridged Ni<sup>II</sup>Ln<sup>III</sup> Dinuclear Complexes as Platforms for Heterotrimetallic (Ln<sup>III</sup>Ni<sup>II</sup>)<sub>2</sub>Ru<sup>III</sup> Systems with a High-Magnetic-Moment Ground State: Synthesis, Structure, and Magnetic Properties. *Inorg. Chem.* 2012, *51*, 7010–7012. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Pacheco, M.; Cuevas, A.; González-Platas, J.; Faccio, R.; Lloret, F.; Julve, M.; Kremer, C. Synthesis, crystal structure and magnetic properties of the Re(II) complexes NBu<sub>4</sub>[Re(NO)Br<sub>4</sub>(L)] (L = pyridine and diazine type ligands). *Dalton Trans.* 2013, 42, 15361–15371. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 26. Pacheco, M.; Cuevas, A.; González-Platas, J.; Lloret, F.; Julve, M.; Kremer, C. The crystal structure and magnetic properties of 3-pyridinecarboxylate-bridged Re(II)M(II) complexes (M = Cu, Ni, Co and Mn). *Dalton Trans.* **2015**, *44*, 11636–11648. [CrossRef]
- Chilton, N.F.; Anderson, R.P.; Turner, L.D.; Soncini, A.; Murray, K.S. PHI: A powerful new program for the analysis of anisotropic monomeric and exchange-coupled polynuclear d- and f-block complexes. J. Comput. Chem. 2013, 34, 1164–1175. [CrossRef]
- González, R.; Chiozzone, R.; Kremer, C.; Guerra, F.; De Munno, G.; Lloret, F.; Julve, M.; Faus, J. Magnetic Studies on Hexaiodorhenate(IV) Salts of Univalent Cations. Spin Canting and Magnetic Ordering in K<sub>2</sub>[ReI<sub>6</sub>] with Tc = 24 K. *Inorg. Chem.* 2004, 43, 3013–3019. [CrossRef]
- Martínez-Lillo, J.; Armentano, D.; De Munno, G.; Lloret, F.; Julve, M.; Faus, J. A Two-Dimensional Re<sup>IV</sup>Ag<sup>I</sup> Compound: X-ray Structure and Magnetic Properties. *Cryst. Growth Des.* 2006, 6, 2204–2206. [CrossRef]
- Martínez-Lillo, J.; Armentano, D.; De Munno, G.; Faus, J. Magneto-structural study on a series of rhenium(IV) complexes containing biimH<sub>2</sub>, pyim and bipy ligands. *Polyhedron* 2008, 27, 1447–1454. [CrossRef]
- Martínez-Lillo, J.; Faus, J.; Lloret, F.; Julve, M. Towards multifunctional magnetic systems through molecular-programmed self assembly of Re(IV) metalloligands. *Coord. Chem. Rev.* 2015, 289-290, 215–237. [CrossRef]
- 32. Martínez-Lillo, J.; Kong, J.; Julve, M.; Brechin, E.K. Self-Assembly of the Hexabromorhenate(IV) Anion with Protonated Benzotriazoles: X-ray Structure and Magnetic Properties. *Cryst. Growth Des.* **2014**, *14*, 5985–5990. [CrossRef]
- Armentano, D.; Barquero, M.A.; Rojas-Dotti, C.; Moliner, N.; De Munno, G.; Brechin, E.K.; Martínez-Lillo, J. Enhancement of Intermolecular Magnetic Exchange through Halogen…Halogen Interactions in Bisadeninium Rhenium(IV) Salts. Cryst. Growth Des. 2017, 17, 5342–5348. [CrossRef]
- Armentano, D.; Sanchis-Perucho, A.; Rojas-Dotti, C.; Martínez-Lillo, J. Halogen ··· halogen interactions in the self-assembly of one-dimensional 2,2'-bipyrimidine-based Cu<sup>II</sup>Re<sup>IV</sup> systems. *Cryst. Eng. Comm.* 2018, 20, 4575–4581. [CrossRef]
- Rojas-Dotti, C.; Sanchis-Perucho, A.; Orts-Arroyo, M.; Moliner, N.; González, R.; Lloret, F.; Martínez-Lillo, J. Field-Induced Single-Ion Magnet Phenomenon in Hexabromo- and Hexaiodorhenate(IV) Complexes. *Magnetochemistry* 2020, 6, 20. [CrossRef]
- 36. Sanchis-Perucho, A.; Martínez-Lillo, J.J. Ferromagnetic exchange interaction in a new Ir(IV)–Cu(II) chain based on the hexachloroiridate(IV) anion. *Dalton Trans.* **2019**, *48*, 13925–13930. [CrossRef]
- 37. Sanchis-Perucho, A.; Martínez-Lillo, J.J. A new family of one-dimensional bromo-bridged Ir(IV)–Cu(II) complexes based on the hexabromoiridate(IV) metalloligand. *Dalton Trans.* 2022, *51*, 3323–3330. [CrossRef]
- 38. Bain, G.A.; Berry, J.F. Diamagnetic Corrections and Pascal's Constants. J. Chem. Educ. 2008, 85, 532–536. [CrossRef]
- 39. SHELXTL-2018/1; Bruker Analytical X-ray Instruments. Bruker: Madison, WI, USA, 2018.
- 40. DIAMOND 4.5.0; Crystal Impact GbR. Crystal Impact: Bonn, Germany, 2018.