

Table S1. Results of discriminant analysis between left and right otoliths

| | Number | Accuracy% | Predicted result | |
|---------------|--------|-----------|------------------|---------------|
| | | | Left otolith | Right otolith |
| Left otolith | 102 | 54.9 | 56 | 46 |
| Right otolith | 102 | 54.9 | 46 | 56 |

Table S2. ANOVA results of different geographic stocks

| Shape index | Group I | Group II | Group III |
|----------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|
| Roundness | 5.830±2.036 ^a | 4.543±2.952 ^a | 4.922±4.103 ^a |
| Format factor | 0.863±0.024 ^a | 0.864±0.034 ^a | 0.866±0.032 ^a |
| Circularity | 14.569±0.432 ^a | 14.561±0.598 ^a | 14.420±0.525 ^a |
| Rectangularity | 0.767±0.022 ^a | 0.768±0.019 ^a | 0.770±0.019 ^a |
| Ellipticity | 0.151±0.030 ^b | 0.166±0.034 ^a | 0.136±0.035 ^b |
| Radius ratio | 1.792±0.150 ^b | 1.898±0.198 ^a | 1.779±0.188 ^b |
| Feret ratio | 1.130±0.022 ^b | 1.143±0.030 ^a | 1.119±0.028 ^b |
| Aspect ratio | 1.360±0.080 ^b | 1.403±0.101 ^a | 1.319±0.093 ^b |

Note: The same superscripts mean the differences are not significant, different superscripts mean the differences are significant.

Table S3. Comparing otolith shape among three stocks using an ANOVA like permutation test

| Method | df | Var | F | P |
|----------------|-----|---------|--------|-------|
| Fourier | | | | |
| Model | 2 | 0.0511 | 3.5295 | 0.001 |
| Residual | 116 | 0.83969 | | |
| Wavelet | | | | |
| Model | 2 | 0.073 | 4.546 | 0.001 |
| Residual | 116 | 0.93141 | | |