



Article Investigations of Activated Carbon from Different Natural Sources for Preparation of Binder-Free Few-Walled CNTs/Activated Carbon Electrodes

Azamat Taurbekov ^{1,2,3}, Alisher Abdisattar ^{1,4}, Meiram Atamanov ^{1,2}, Bayan Kaidar ¹, Mukhtar Yeleuov ^{1,3,4}, Reza Joia ², Rachid Amrousse ⁵ and Tolganay Atamanova ^{1,2,*}

- ¹ Institute of Combustion Problems, 172 Bogenbay Batyr Str., Almaty 050012, Kazakhstan; a.taurbek@gmail.com (A.T.); alisher.abdisattar@inbox.ru (A.A.); amk1310@mail.ru (M.A.); kaydar.bayan@gmail.com (B.K.); mukhtar.yu@gmail.com (M.Y.)
- ² Faculty of Chemistry and Chemical Technology, Al Farabi Kazakh National University, 71 Al-Farabi Ave., Almaty 050040, Kazakhstan; joia.reza@yahoo.com
- ³ Bes Saiman Group, 171a Zharokov Str., Almaty 050057, Kazakhstan
- ⁴ Institute of Combustion Problems, Satbayev University, 22a Satpaev Str., Almaty 050013, Kazakhstan
- ⁵ Faculty of Sciences, University of Chouaib Doukkali, El Jadida 24000, Morocco; rachid.amrousse@gmail.com
 - Correspondence: tolganay.temirgaliyeva@gmail.com

Abstract: In this study, we present another approach to fabricating high-performance supercapacitor electrodes by combining activated carbon particles with carbon nanotubes (AC/CNT). We synthesized activated carbon from diverse biomass sources using a carbonization process and chemical activation with KOH. By incorporating carbon nanotubes, we significantly augmented the electrode's surface area, resulting in exceptional ion transport and a substantial increase in specific capacitance. Our investigation reveals that the optimized composition, 85:10:5 of AC, CNT, and conductive additive, achieved outstanding specific capacitance values, notably 125.6 F g⁻¹ at 1 mV s⁻¹ and 118 F g⁻¹ at 1 A g⁻¹, along with a maximum energy density of 4 Wh kg⁻¹. Electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS) further demonstrated the superior charge transfer capabilities of these electrodes, notably at a frequency range from 100 kHz to 10 mHz. Additionally, our research highlights the influence of different biomass precursors, such as apricot kernels, walnut shells, and rice husks, on the electrochemical behavior of these electrodes. Overall, this study provides valuable insights into the development of high-performance supercapacitors, emphasizing the potential of diverse biomass sources in optimizing electrode materials.

Keywords: electrochemistry; activated carbon; carbon nanotubes; pyrolysis; thermal decomposition

1. Introduction

Energy storage has become one of the main drivers of technological progress, as industrial and transportation activities are now heavily reliant on the combustion of fossil fuels [1]. The search for environmentally friendly, commercially available, and energyefficient systems is the objective of numerous research efforts. Batteries, fuel cells, and supercapacitors (SCs) are the most common electrical energy storage devices, each working based on different principles [2–4]. The main principle of batteries and fuel cells is based on converting electrical energy into chemical energy through redox reactions in the anode and cathode materials [5]. SCs (ultracapacitors or electric double-layer capacitors) are versatile devices with fast charging and discharging capabilities that store electrical energy via electrostatic charge separation. Energy storage is held at the interface between an aqua or organic electrolyte and an active electrode material [6]. These devices find applications across industries, including automotive, aerospace, renewable energy, and electronics, where they provide backup power, stabilize voltage, and enhance energy-harvesting efficiency [7].



Citation: Taurbekov, A.; Abdisattar, A.; Atamanov, M.; Kaidar, B.; Yeleuov, M.; Joia, R.; Amrousse, R.; Atamanova, T. Investigations of Activated Carbon from Different Natural Sources for Preparation of Binder-Free Few-Walled CNTs/Activated Carbon Electrodes. *J. Compos. Sci.* 2023, *7*, 452. https://doi.org/10.3390/jcs7110452

Academic Editor: Francesco Tornabene

Received: 26 September 2023 Revised: 11 October 2023 Accepted: 19 October 2023 Published: 31 October 2023



Copyright: © 2023 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by/ 4.0/).

The active material is traditionally activated carbon characterized by an extensive surface area. Various polymer materials are introduced to this active material, shaping it into a functional electrode [8,9]. To address the inherent insulating properties of the polymers, a variety of conductive materials are incorporated, effectively enhancing the electrode's conductivity. The mass fraction of the polymer binder is 10-20 wt.%, and the conductive additive is 5–15 wt.% of the total electrode mass [10]. The low conductivity of the electrode necessitates the use of a metal with high conductivity, called a current collector. During charging, electrons quickly spread throughout the two-dimensional surface of the electrode to the current collector, and they only need to pass through the thickness of the electrode to reach all of the active material [11]. The current collector also functions as a substrate and mechanical support for the electrode material. Although some electrode compositions can form a separate film or sheet, the substrate usually plays a crucial role in maintaining the structural integrity of the electrode throughout the production and service life of the device. Traditionally, the electrode consists of 80% active material by mass, while the other 20 wt.% is made up of inactive elements (binder and conductive additive) [12,13]. To enhance the specific energy of supercapacitors, it is imperative to not only employ advanced active materials capable of storing higher energy densities, but also to reduce the inactive weight by fabricating electrodes without the need for binders [14,15].

Carbon materials are used as the main material for electrodes in energy storage devices—such as electrically conducting additives, substrates for active materials, electron converters, intercalation hosts, substrates for current-carrying wires—and as agents for controlling thermal conductivity, porosity, surface area, and permeability [16]. Since carbon material is the main material for capacitor electrodes, it is clear that the final characteristics of carbon-based supercapacitors will be directly related to the physical and chemical characteristics of carbon electrodes. Currently, activated carbon (AC) is widely used as the main material for EC electrodes due to its high specific capacitance and reasonable cost. AC can be obtained from carbon-rich organic precursors through carbonization in an inert atmosphere, followed by activation processes such as oxidation in CO₂, steam, or KOH to control the specific surface area and pore volume [17]. Natural materials such as coconut shell, wood, resin or coal, apricot kernels (AK), rice husks (RH), walnut shells (WS), or synthetic materials such as polymers can be used as precursors [18].

Activated carbons are renowned for their high surface area and diverse pore size distribution, which facilitates the accumulation of electrical charge [19,20]. Electrode capacitance, a critical factor, is influenced by electrical conductivity, pore size, electrolyte type, and surface chemical composition. Traditionally, particles of activated carbon are affixed to a metallic foil with the aid of binders, leading to the challenge of creating electrodes based on activated carbons without a metallic base and binding agents. To address this challenge, different researchers explored the impact of carbon nanotubes (CNTs) in the fabrication of AC-based electrodes [21,22]. Electrodes based on AC and CNT offer a compelling synergy of advantages, including flexibility, durability, long cycle life, chemical stability, and versatility. Activated carbons provide a structure that promotes significant energy storage capacity, while carbon nanotubes enhance electrical conductivity, facilitating fast charge and discharge. These materials, derived from waste sources, are environmentally friendly and reduce binder dependency, simplifying electrode fabrication.

In this study, we developed binder-free electrodes from AC particles coated with carbon nanotubes (AC/CNT). The AC-derived different biomass was synthesized by using a carbonization process and chemical activation via KOH in an inert atmosphere (Ar). The introduction of the CNTs additionally expands the surface area of electrodes, leading to increased ion transportation and a large rise in specific capacitance. Therefore, this study proposed an electrode that can achieve higher specific capacitance, flexibility, and low vulnerability to structural damage due to the synergy between AC and CNT. Also, electrochemical properties such as specific capacitance, power density, energy density, and cycle stability were evaluated.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Materials

In the present study, a series of experiments were conducted to chemically activate various types of biomass, including AK, RH, and WS. Potassium hydroxide (KOH), carbon black (Super C45), and a 1 M Li₂SO₄ aqueous solution were all procured from Sigma Aldrich and used without further modification. Commercial double-walled carbon nanotubes (CNTs) were purchased from Tuball, OCSiAl, Novosibirsk, Russia.

2.2. Synthesis of AC and Electrode Preparation

2.2.1. Carbonization

Carbonization was performed in a vertical tube furnace equipped with adjustable electric heating at a temperature of 550 °C for 90 min. The heating rate was maintained at 5 °C min⁻¹ in an inert atmosphere using an argon (Ar) gas flow rate of 350 cm³ min⁻¹.

2.2.2. Chemical Activation

For chemical activation, the carbonized materials were mixed with potassium hydroxide powder in a mass ratio of 1:4. Chemical activation took place at a temperature of 850 °C for 90 min, with a heating rate of 5 °C min⁻¹, in an inert atmosphere with an Ar gas flow rate of 250 cm³ min⁻¹. After activation, the resulting samples were thoroughly washed with distilled water until the pH normalized. Subsequently, the samples were dried in an oven at 120 °C for 12 h.

2.2.3. Electrode Fabrication

Composite electrodes were prepared by blending AC particles with CNTs and carbon black in weight ratios of AC/CNTs/CB: 85/10/5 and 80/10/10, respectively. These mixtures were subjected to ultrasound treatment for varying durations. High-conductivity commercial double-walled CNTs were used in the electrode preparation. Carbon nanomaterials were dispersed in isopropanol at a concentration of 0.1 mg ml⁻¹. The dispersion of AC/CNTs was achieved by subjecting them to a 600 W ultrasonic bath for 20 min with a cooling device set to 20 °C.

To create electrode films, the dispersions of AC/CNTs were vacuum-filtered through PTFE membrane filters with a pore size of 5 μ m. Residual isopropanol was removed by drying at 90 °C for 2 h. This process resulted in mechanically robust films of interwoven CNTs that held AC particles together. The electrodes typically had a thickness of ~200 μ m after vacuum drying and ~100 μ m after pressing at a pressure of 10 MPa. Subsequently, the electrodes were cut into 8 mm diameter samples using a laser-cutting machine. The procedure for producing activated carbons and fabricating an AC/CNT electrode is illustrated in Figure 1.



Figure 1. Schematic diagram of electrode preparation using AC/CNT without a polymer binder.

2.2.4. Electrochemical Measurements

Electrochemical characteristics were measured using an electrochemical workstation P-40X with FRA-24M (Elins, Chernogolovka, Russia). Electrodes were impregnated with a 1 M Li₂SO₄ solution, which served as the aqueous electrolyte. Testing was conducted

in a two-electrode cell with gold current collectors. Cyclic voltammetry (CV) curves were obtained within a potential window of 1 V. Galvanostatic charge–discharge (GCD) tests were performed with specific applied currents (I) ranging from 1 to 5 A g⁻¹. Impedance measurements were conducted in the frequency range of 100 kHz to 10 mHz with a potential amplitude of 5 mV. Specific capacitances were calculated from GCD curves using the following equation:

$$C = \frac{4I\Delta t}{m\Delta V} \tag{1}$$

where *C* (F g⁻¹) is the specific capacitance; *I* (A) is the discharge current; Δt (s) is the discharge time; ΔV (V) is the potential window; and m (mg) is the total mass of two electrodes. Specific capacitances from CV curves were calculated using the following equation:

$$C = \frac{2}{m\nu(V_b - V_a)} \int_{V_a}^{V_b} IV$$
⁽²⁾

where *C* (F g⁻¹) is the specific capacitance; *m* (mg) is the total mass of two electrodes; $v \text{ (mV s}^{-1})$ is the scan rate; *I* (A) is the discharge current; and V_b and V_a are high and low limits of the CV test [23]

2.3. Characterization of Obtained Samples

The surface morphology of the activated carbons was examined using a JEOL scanning electron microscope (model JSM-6490LA) with an accelerating voltage ranging from 0.1 to 30 kV. The probe diameter was up to 3.0 nm, and magnification ranged from \times 5 to \times 300,000, following standard methodology. Elemental analysis of the resulting carbon structure was performed using Energy Dispersive X-ray Analysis (EDX) on a JSM-6490LA instrument, which is a scanning electron microscope equipped with an embedded energy dispersive X-ray analyzer.

Thermal decomposition parameters of various biomass samples were determined using differential scanning calorimetry (DSC) and thermogravimetry (TG) on a RIGAKU TG 8120 apparatus. The experiments were conducted in the temperature range of -180 to +725 °C with a heating rate of 10 K min^{-1} under a nitrogen atmosphere (N₂ flow rate = $100 \text{ cm}^3 \text{ min}^{-1}$). The samples were placed in aluminum crucibles with vertical loading.

3. Results and Discussion

In Figure 2a, the DSC curve shows peaks in each sample that can be recognized as decomposition stages. Each sample has a small endothermic curve corresponding to the evaporation of water, followed by exothermic shifts. Most of the exothermic peaks fall within the range of 350–500 °C, which may be associated with the pyrolysis of pectin, glucose, or sucrose present in the starting material, and additional fragmentation of hemicellulose through thermal depolymerization [24,25]. Finally, the highest temperature (345 °C) represents the highest rate of decomposition of cellulose [26].

In the TG analysis, the weight loss due to sample pyrolysis is consistent with the DSC results. Three main decomposition regions were observed in all samples, covering temperature ranges of 50-250 °C, 250-370 °C, and 370-600 °C. The first region is related to water evaporation and partial loss of low-molecular-weight compounds from room temperature to 200 °C, consistent with the DSC data. The decomposition range of pectin, hemicellulose sugars, and cellulose is known to be 200-400 °C [27]. In region III, at temperatures of 400-600 °C, lignin decomposition occurs during pyrolysis [28]. The highest weight loss in each region was observed in the RH sample compared to the other samples.

Figure 3a provides a profound view into the structural characteristics of obtained activated carbons through Raman spectroscopy. Notably, the Raman spectra reveal a remarkable distinction between all three activated carbons. Activated carbon sourced from AK exhibits the highest G peak intensity among the samples. This heightened G



peak signifies a significant degree of graphitization within this sample, implying enhanced electrical conductivity and potential suitability for applications demanding a highly ordered carbon structure.

Figure 2. DSC and TG of the thermal decomposition of various biomasses at a heating rate of 5 K min^{-1} in a nitrogen flow of 50 mL min⁻¹. (a) TG analysis, (b) DSC analysis, and (c) heat release.

XRD patterns, shown in Figure 3b, exhibit the characteristics of all samples which stand out with their significant graphitized structure, emphasizing their exceptional graphitic content, which is advantageous for applications requiring high electrical conductivity. Importantly, the XRD results also uncover the presence of iron derivatives (Fe⁺, Fe₃O₄, FeN) on the surface of all activated carbon samples, which can be explained by the influence of chemical activation in a steel reactor.

In Figure 3c, we present the results of the EDAX analysis conducted on all samples under examination. The figure provides a comprehensive depiction of the samples' elemental composition, specifically the presence of carbon, oxygen, and iron, characterized through the "FeK α " and "FeK β " X-ray emission lines originating from iron (Fe) within the samples. These lines correspond to electron transitions within the K-shell of iron atoms, thereby elucidating the iron content within the material. Furthermore, the AC sourced from AK showcases the presence of "FeKes", tentatively interpreted as the "Fe K escape peak", signifying secondary X-ray emissions induced by the egress of select K-shell electrons from the sample following primary X-ray excitation. This representation yields invaluable insights into the iron composition within the scrutinized material, with variations in peak intensity or energy potentially furnishing quantitative data. It is imperative to underscore that the overall quantified iron content was remarkably low. This meticulous concordance between the outcomes of the EDAX analysis and the X-ray diffraction (XRD) data fortifies our comprehension of the structural composition of AC derived from RH, particularly regarding its iron content.



Figure 3. Raman (a), XRD (b), and EDAX (c) analysis of the prepared activated carbons.

Figure 4a offers a comprehensive analysis of the nitrogen adsorption–desorption isotherms of AC from different biomass. All samples exhibit a characteristic Type I isotherm, which indicates a prevalence of microporous structures within the materials. Notably, the curve for AC-derived RH indicates a lower adsorption capacity compared to the other samples. This suggests a relatively smaller surface area available for ion adsorption. Isotherms for activated carbon derived from WS and AK display the dominance of microporosity and indicate higher adsorption capacities due to a high surface area available for efficient adsorption. Figure 4b reveals the Differential Pore Size Distributions (PSD) of activated carbon derived from AK, RH, and WS. The RH-derived AC exhibits a single peak in the mesopore range; the PSDs revealed that the peak centered at ~2 nm wide, suggesting a dominant pore size with uniformity. In contrast, the WS-derived AC displays a broader distribution of pore sizes, offering versatility for diverse applications. Meanwhile, the AK-derived AC presents two narrow peaks, centered at ~2 nm and 2.5 nm.



Figure 4. (a) Nitrogen adsorption–desorption isotherms, (b) differential PSDs, and (c) cumulative PSDs in the mesopore range for all prepared activated carbons.

Figure 4c presents a comparative analysis of the cumulative PSDs in the mesopore range for three activated carbon samples (RH, WS, and AK). These results offer detailed information on the textural properties and characteristics that can significantly influence their ion adsorption performance. The AC derived from RH exhibits the highest cumulative pore volume and signifies the presence of substantial mesopore volume in comparison with the other samples. This implies a predominant mesopore size or a higher density of mesopores within an investigated size range. Importantly, all three curves maintain a consistent plateau starting from 3 nanometers and extending beyond, indicating a stable mesopore structure in this range. In contrast, the curves representing AC derived from WS and AK exhibit lower profiles. This observation could be indicative of a more significant development of microporous structures within these materials. Importantly, this trend

aligns with the findings presented in Figure 4a. These findings hold significant implications for applications demanding high adsorption capacities for ions within this size bracket.

Figure 5 show SEM images of the AC electrode based on AK/CNTs under magnification. A uniform distribution of AC coated with CNTs is shown. The combination of a large surface area of AC with conductive CNTs increases the mass of active materials and leads to high electrolyte accessibility. The resulting electrode has a developed, uniform, porous structure with many cells. Carbon nanotubes form a uniform coating on the surface of AC particles, exhibiting strong adhesion that enhances the creation of self-supporting films. Our experiments aimed to produce a flexible and exceptionally efficient hybrid electrode utilizing distinct weight ratios of AC/CNT electrodes, specifically 90/10, 95/5, and 97/3 (Table 1). Notably, the most substantial values were achieved at the weight ratio of 90:10. Electrodes with lower CNT weight ratios (95/5 and 97/3) exhibited reduced specific capacitance and greater brittleness. The electrochemical attributes of these electrodes' specific capacitances are depicted in Figure 6d.



Figure 5. SEM images of the obtained electrode (AK_90_10) at different resolutions, at different levels of magnification.

Sample	Thickness, mm	Weight, mg	Mass Density, $g^{-1} cm^3$	Loading Density, mg cm ²
AK_90_10	0.081	4.557	0.33	2.60
AK_95_5	0.077	4.694	0.35	2.67
AK 97 3	0.069	4.74	0.38	2.69

Table 1. Physical characteristics of prepared electrodes with various amounts of CNT.

To identify the optimal ratio of components for a self-supporting supercapacitor electrode without a metal current collector, the electrochemical properties of electrodes with different ratios of AC, CNT, and conductive additive were studied. Figure 6a represents cyclic voltammetry curves of electrodes with 80:10:10 and 85:10:5 ratios of AC, CNT, and CB, respectively, where AK derived AC (denoted as AK_80_10_10 and AK_85_10_5) at a scan rate of 1 mV s⁻¹ and in the potential range of 0 and 1 V.

It can be seen in the figure that the behavior of these electrodes is typical for an electrical double layer, as indicated by the curves having a similar geometry characteristic to supercapacitors with an electrical double layer. Cyclic voltametric curves of the samples taken for the two-electrode cell configuration do not have clear redox peaks. The area under the CV curve for the AK_85_10_5 electrode is lower than that of the AK_80_10_10 electrode. Figure 6b shows the galvanostatic charge–discharge curves in the potential range from 0 to 1 V for the AK_80_10_10 and AK_85_10_5 electrodes at current densities of 1 and 2 A g⁻¹. It can be seen that the electrodes exhibit electric double layer behavior since the charge–discharge curves are symmetrical. As in the case of CV, from GCD it is clear that the specific capacitance of the AK_85_10_5 (118 and 96 F g⁻¹) electrode is larger than the AK_80_10_10 (96.4 and 61 F g⁻¹) electrode both at 1 and 2 A g⁻¹.

The AK_85_10_5 electrode showed better specific capacitance and energy density (maximum energy densities of 4.8 vs. 4.4 Wh kg⁻¹ at the same power densities) than the AK_80_10_10 sample because there was more active material, while resistance (see EIS curve), rate capability (Figure 6c), and power density (1.5 vs. 0.24 Wh kg⁻¹ at the maximum power density of 1.2 kWh kg⁻¹)—which are dependent on the conductive capability of the electrode (based on conductive additive content)—were neither better nor worse. Figure 6d shows specific capacitances upon different scan rates of electrodes with varied content of AC (derived from AK) and CNT, denoted as AK_90_10, AK_95_5, and AK_97_3. The highest specific capacitance of 137 F g⁻¹ at 1 mV s⁻¹ was achieved by the electrode with 10% content of CNT. From the graph, it is clear that the best ratio of AC and CNT is 90:10 in terms of specific capacitance. Based on the above, we can come to the conclusion that for a self-supporting electrode of a supercapacitor, the most optimal ratio of the active, binding, and conducting components is 85:10:5. The electrochemical performance of electrodes with this composition with active material derived from other bioprecursors can be further evaluated.



Figure 6. Electrochemical analysis of the electrodes based on AK measured in a two-electrode system using an aqueous electrolyte (1 M Li₂SO₄): (a) CV curves of the AK_80_10_10 and AK_85_10_5 electrodes at a potential scan rate of 1 mV s⁻¹; (b) GCD curves of the AK_80_10_10 and AK_85_10_5 electrodes at a current density of 1 and 2 A g⁻¹; (c) scan rate capabilities of the AK_80_10_10 and AK_85_10_5 electrodes at 1, 5, 10, 20, 40, 80, 160, 300, and 500 mV s⁻¹; (d) scan rate capabilities of the AK_90_10, AK_95_5, and AK_97_3 electrodes at 1, 5, 10, 20, 40, 80, 160, 300, 40, 80, 160, 300, and 500 mV s⁻¹.

In the case of WS, the best electrochemical characteristics were shown by an electrode with an active, binding, and conductive ratio of 85:10:5. From Figure 7a it is clear that the area of the CV curve at 1 mV s⁻¹ of the WS_85_10_5 electrode is not much larger than WS_80_10_10, to which the specific capacitance of 133 F g⁻¹ corresponds. When

charging and discharging at a current density of 1 A g^{-1} (Figure 2b), the difference in specific capacitances becomes clearer. So, the WS_85_10_5 electrode showed 105.7 F g^{-1} at 1 A g^{-1} , while the WS_80_10_10 electrode showed 98 F g^{-1} at the same current density.

Despite the fact that the WS_85_10_5 and WS_80_10_10 electrodes have almost the same specific capacitances and energy densities at low currents, at high currents these electrodes exhibit different rate capabilities. When the scanning speed increases to 500 mV s⁻¹, the specific capacitance of the WS_85_10_5 electrode drops to 10 F g⁻¹, while for the WS_80_10_10 electrode it drops to 6 F g⁻¹, which corresponds to 4.6 and 7.6% capacity retention, respectively. And with an increase in the charge–discharge current density to 5 A g⁻¹, the WS_85_10_5 electrode showed a specific capacitance of 32.7 F g⁻¹, which is much greater than the specific capacitance of the WS_80_10_10 electrode, which showed 8.4 F g⁻¹. At a maximum power density of 1.2 kWh kg⁻¹, these electrodes had energy densities of 0.3 and 1.1 W kg⁻¹, respectively.



Figure 7. Electrochemical analysis of the electrodes based on RH and WS measured in a two-electrode system using an aqueous electrolyte (1 M Li₂SO₄): (a) CV and (b) GCD curves of the RH and WS-based electrodes, with different ratios of the components at a potential scan rate of 1 mV s⁻¹ and at a current density of 1 A g⁻¹, respectively; (c) scan rate capabilities of the electrodes at 1, 5, 10, 20, 40, 80, 160, 300, and 500 mV s⁻¹; (d) current rate capabilities of the AK, RH and WS-based electrodes with different ratios of the components at 250, 500, 1000, 2000, and 5000 mA g⁻¹.

In the case of RH, the electrode with components at a ratio of 85:10:5 showed higher specific capacitances and energy densities than those with a component ratio of 80:10:10 only at low charge–discharge currents. Thus, at 1 mV s⁻¹, the RH_85:10:5 electrode showed a specific capacitance equal to 125.6 F g⁻¹, and at 1 A g⁻¹ 86.4 F g⁻¹, with a maximum energy density of 4 Wh kg⁻¹ (Figure 7). The RH_80:10:10 electrode at 1 mV s⁻¹ showed

a specific capacitance of 109 F g⁻¹, and at 1 A g⁻¹ 85 F g⁻¹, with a maximum energy density of 3.54 Wh kg⁻¹. But, with increasing charge–discharge currents, the capacity of the RH_85:10:5 electrode drops more than that of the RH_80:10:10. Thus, at 500 mV s⁻¹ and 5 A g⁻¹, the specific capacitance of the RH_85:10:5 electrode drops to 4.9 and 2 F g⁻¹, with an energy density of 0.07 Wh kg⁻¹ at a maximum power of 1.2 kWh kg⁻¹. Conversely, the specific capacitance of the RH_80:10:10 electrode at 500 mV s⁻¹ is equal to 8.1 F g⁻¹, and at 5 A g⁻¹ 20 F g⁻¹, with an energy density equal to 0.7 Wh kg⁻¹ at a maximum power of 1.2 kWh kg⁻¹. Furthermore, in the Supplementary Materials, we provide a comprehensive comparison table that contrasts the performance of our current study with relevant data from the existing literature in the field of similar research [29–34].

A clearer distinction in the conductivity, rate, and power-handling capacities of the electrodes is evident from the electrochemical impedance spectra. EIS was conducted at a voltage amplitude of 5 mV over the frequency range from 100 kHz to 10 mHz as shown in Figure 3a–c. In the cases of AK and WS, electrodes with the 85:10:5 ratio of components exhibit better charge transfer capabilities and higher rates of ion diffusion, whereas the RH_80_10_10 electrode demonstrates superior kinetics of charge transfer than the RH_85_10_5 electrode. Figure 8d represents the galvanostatic charge/discharge cycling stability test conducted at 2 A g⁻¹. All the samples demonstrate good capacity retention over 96%, except the RH_85_10_5 electrode, which exhibits only 85,5%. The Coulombic efficiency of all the electrodes is near 99%, indicating that no side reactions occur during charging/discharging processes.



Figure 8. Nyquist plots of EIS for (a) AK, (b) RH, and (c) WS-based electrodes; (d) GCD cycling stability test of the electrodes at 2 A g^{-1} over 5000 cycles.

4. Conclusions

In summary, this research has yielded promising insights into the development of advanced supercapacitor electrodes using AC/CNT composites. The utilization of various biomass-derived activated carbons, combined with precise control of component ratios, has resulted in electrodes that excel in specific capacitance, power density, and energy density. Notably, the 85:10:5 ratio of AC, CNT, and conductive additives emerged as optimal, demonstrating excellent electrochemical performance (specific capacitance (GCD) of AK, RH, and WS—118, 125, and 105 at 1 A g⁻¹, respectively). Furthermore, the examination of different biomass precursors, such as AK, RH, and WS, revealed varying electrochemical behaviors, emphasizing the importance of precursor selection in tailoring electrode properties. While certain electrodes displayed remarkable stability and rate capabilities, the RH_85_10_5 electrode exhibited slightly lower cycling stability. Overall, these findings contribute to the ongoing development of high-performance supercapacitor technology and underscore the potential for diverse biomass sources in electrode materials. The exceptional charge transfer capabilities, low resistance, and high Coulombic efficiency observed in most electrodes suggest their suitability for a wide range of energy storage applications.

Supplementary Materials: The following supporting information can be downloaded at: https://www.mdpi.com/article/10.3390/jcs7110452/s1, Table S1. Comparison of the electrochemical performance of the electrodes of the present work with other works.

Author Contributions: All authors contributed to the study's conception and design. Material preparation, data collection, and analysis were performed by A.T., A.A., M.A., B.K., M.Y., R.J., R.A. and T.A. The first draft of the manuscript was written by T.A. and all authors commented on previous versions of the manuscript. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This study is funded by the Science Committee of the Ministry of Science and Higher Education of the Republic of Kazakhstan (grant. No. AP09057909).

Data Availability Statement: The data presented in this study are available within the article.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

- 1. Bleda-Martínez, M.J.; Lozano-Castelló, D.; Morallón, E.; Cazorla-Amorós, D.; Linares-Solano, A. Chemical and Electrochemical Characterization of Porous Carbon Materials. *Carbon* **2006**, *44*, 2642–2651. [CrossRef]
- Zhao, T.; Peng, X.; Zhao, X.; Hu, J.; Jiang, T.; Lu, X.; Zhang, H.; Li, T.; Ahmad, I. Preparation and Performance of Carbon Dot Decorated Copper Sulphide/Carbon Nanotubes Hybrid Composite as Supercapacitor Electrode Materials. J. Alloys Compd. 2020, 817, 153057. [CrossRef]
- Ma, Y.; Hao, J.; Liu, H.; Shi, W.; Lian, J. Facile Synthesis Clusters of Sheet-like Ni₃S₄/CuS Nanohybrids with Ultrahigh Supercapacitor Performance. J. Solid State Chem. 2020, 282, 121088. [CrossRef]
- Deng, D.; Chen, N.; Li, Y.; Xing, X.; Liu, X.; Xiao, X.; Wang, Y. Cerium Oxide Nanoparticles/Multi-Wall Carbon Nanotubes Composites: Facile Synthesis and Electrochemical Performances as Supercapacitor Electrode Materials. *Phys. E Low-Dimens. Syst. Nanostruct.* 2017, *86*, 284–291. [CrossRef]
- Liu, C.; Neale, Z.G.; Cao, G. Understanding Electrochemical Potentials of Cathode Materials in Rechargeable Batteries. *Mater. Today* 2016, 19, 109–123. [CrossRef]
- Dhandapani, E.; Thangarasu, S.; Ramesh, S.; Ramesh, K.; Vasudevan, R.; Duraisamy, N. Recent Development and Prospective of Carbonaceous Material, Conducting Polymer and Their Composite Electrode Materials for Supercapacitor—A Review. J. Energy Storage 2022, 52, 104937. [CrossRef]
- Wang, J.; Tang, J.; Xu, Y.; Ding, B.; Chang, Z.; Wang, Y.; Hao, X.; Dou, H.; Kim, J.H.; Zhang, X.; et al. Interface Miscibility Induced Double-Capillary Carbon Nanofibers for Flexible Electric Double Layer Capacitors. *Nano Energy* 2016, 28, 232–240. [CrossRef]
- 8. Li, G.; Gao, L.; Li, L.; Guo, L. An Electrochromic and Self-Healing Multi-Functional Supercapacitor Based on PANI/Nw-WO2.7/Au NPs Electrode and Hydrogel Electrolyte. *J. Alloys Compd.* **2019**, *786*, 40–49. [CrossRef]
- 9. Jin, L.-N.; Liu, P.; Jin, C.; Zhang, J.-N.; Bian, S.-W. Porous WO3/Graphene/Polyester Textile Electrode Materials with Enhanced Electrochemical Performance for Flexible Solid-State Supercapacitors. J. Colloid Interface Sci. 2018, 510, 1–11. [CrossRef]
- Ratajczak, P.; Suss, M.E.; Kaasik, F.; Béguin, F. Carbon Electrodes for Capacitive Technologies. *Energy Storage Mater.* 2019, 16, 126–145. [CrossRef]

- Cheng, Q.; Tang, J.; Ma, J.; Zhang, H.; Shinya, N.; Qin, L.-C. Graphene and Nanostructured MnO₂ Composite Electrodes for Supercapacitors. *Carbon* 2011, 49, 2917–2925. [CrossRef]
- 12. Cai, X.; Shen, X.; Ma, L.; Ji, Z.; Xu, C.; Yuan, A. Solvothermal Synthesis of NiCo-Layered Double Hydroxide Nanosheets Decorated on RGO Sheets for High Performance Supercapacitor. *J. Chem. Eng.* **2015**, *268*, 251–259. [CrossRef]
- 13. Zhang, L.L.; Xiong, Z.; Zhao, X.S. A Composite Electrode Consisting of Nickel Hydroxide, Carbon Nanotubes, and Reduced Graphene Oxide with an Ultrahigh Electrocapacitance. *J. Power Sources* **2013**, 222, 326–332. [CrossRef]
- 14. Xu, B.; Wang, H.; Zhu, Q.; Sun, N.; Anasori, B.; Hu, L.; Wang, F.; Guan, Y.; Gogotsi, Y. Reduced Graphene Oxide as a Multi-Functional Conductive Binder for Supercapacitor Electrodes. *Energy Storage Mater.* **2018**, *12*, 128–136. [CrossRef]
- Salleh, N.A.; Kheawhom, S.; Ashrina A Hamid, N.; Rahiman, W.; Mohamad, A.A. Electrode Polymer Binders for Supercapacitor Applications: A Review. J. Mater. Res. Technol. 2023, 23, 3470–3491. [CrossRef]
- Prikhodko, N.; Yeleuov, M.; Abdisattar, A.; Askaruly, K.; Taurbekov, A.; Tolynbekov, A.; Rakhymzhan, N.; Daulbayev, C. Enhancing supercapacitor performance through graphene flame synthesis on nickel current collectors and active carbon material from plant biomass. *J. Energy Storage* 2023, *73*, 108853. [CrossRef]
- 17. Atamanov, M.K.; Amrousse, R.; Hori, K.; Kolesnikov, B.Y.; Mansurov, Z.A. Influence of Activated Carbon on the Thermal Decomposition of Hydroxylammonium Nitrate. *Combust. Explos. Shock. Waves* **2018**, *54*, 316–324. [CrossRef]
- Lozano-Castelló, D.; Cazorla-Amorós, D.; Linares-Solano, A.; Shiraishi, S.; Kurihara, H.; Oya, A. Influence of Pore Structure and Surface Chemistry on Electric Double Layer Capacitance in Non-Aqueous Electrolyte. *Carbon* 2003, 41, 1765–1775. [CrossRef]
- 19. Gryglewicz, G.; Machnikowski, J.; Lorenc-Grabowska, E.; Lota, G.; Frackowiak, E. Effect of Pore Size Distribution of Coal-Based Activated Carbons on Double Layer Capacitance. *Electrochim. Acta* **2005**, *50*, 1197–1206. [CrossRef]
- 20. Raymundo-Piñero, E.; Kierzek, K.; Machnikowski, J.; Béguin, F. Relationship between the Nanoporous Texture of Activated Carbons and Their Capacitance Properties in Different Electrolytes. *Carbon* **2006**, *44*, 2498–2507. [CrossRef]
- 21. Afzal, A.; Abuilaiwi, F.A.; Habib, A.; Awais, M.; Waje, S.B.; Atieh, M.A. Polypyrrole/Carbon Nanotube Supercapacitors: Technological Advances and Challenges. *J. Power Sources* **2017**, *352*, 174–186. [CrossRef]
- Kumar, S.; Nehra, M.; Kedia, D.; Dilbaghi, N.; Tankeshwar, K.; Kim, K.-H. Carbon Nanotubes: A Potential Material for Energy Conversion and Storage. Prog. Energy Combust. Sci. 2018, 64, 219–253. [CrossRef]
- 23. Zhang, Z.J.; Xie, D.H.; Cui, P.; Chen, X.Y. Conversion of a zinc salicylate complex into porous carbons through a template carbonization process as a superior electrode material for supercapacitors. *RSC Adv.* **2014**, *4*, 6664–6671. [CrossRef]
- Hajaligol, M.; Waymack, B.; Kellogg, D. Low Temperature Formation of Aromatic Hydrocarbon from Pyrolysis of Cellulosic Materials. *Fuel* 2001, *80*, 1799–1807. [CrossRef]
- 25. Rezzadori, K.; Benedetti, S.; Amante, E.R. Proposals for the Residues Recovery: Orange Waste as Raw Material for New Products. *Food Technol. Biotechnol.* **2012**, *90*, 606–614. [CrossRef]
- 26. Kaushik, A.; Singh, M. Isolation and Characterization of Cellulose Nanofibrils from Wheat Straw Using Steam Explosion Coupled with High Shear Homogenization. *Carbohydr. Res.* **2011**, *346*, 76–85. [CrossRef]
- Martínez, C.M.; Cantero, D.A.; Cocero, M.J. Production of Saccharides from Sugar Beet Pulp by Ultrafast Hydrolysis in Supercritical Water. J. Clean. Prod. 2018, 204, 888–895. [CrossRef]
- Yang, H.; Yan, R.; Chen, H.; Lee, D.H.; Zheng, C. Characteristics of Hemicellulose, Cellulose and Lignin Pyrolysis. Fuel 2007, 86, 1781–1788. [CrossRef]
- 29. Xie, Q.; Wu, S.; Zhang, Y.; Zhao, P. Nitrogen-enriched flexible porous carbon/graphene composite cloth as free-standing electrodes for high performance aqueous supercapacitors. *J. Electroanal. Chem.* **2017**, *801*, 57–64. [CrossRef]
- 30. Hao, X.; Wang, J.; Ding, B.; Wang, Y.; Chang, Z.; Dou, H.; Zhang, X. Bacterial-cellulose-derived interconnected meso-microporous carbon nanofiber networks as binder-free electrodes for high-performance supercapacitors. *J. Power Sources* 2017, 352, 34–41. [CrossRef]
- Tran, C.D.; Ho, H.C.; Keum, J.K.; Chen, J.; Gallego, N.C.; Naskar, A.K. Sustainable Energy-Storage Materials from Lignin-Graphene Nanocomposite-Derived Porous Carbon Film. *Energy Technol.* 2017, *5*, 1927–1935. [CrossRef]
- Ma, C.; Chen, J.; Fan, Q.; Guo, J.; Liu, W.; Cao, E.; Shi, J.; Song, Y. Preparation and one-step activation of nanoporous ultrafine carbon fibers derived from polyacrylonitrile/cellulose blend for used as supercapacitor electrode. *J. Mater. Sci.* 2017, 53, 4527–4539. [CrossRef]
- 33. Tang, Z.; Pei, Z.; Wang, Z.; Li, H.; Zeng, J.; Ruan, Z.; Huang, Y.; Zhu, M.; Xue, Q.; Yu, J.; et al. Highly anisotropic, multichannel wood carbon with optimized heteroatom doping for supercapacitor and oxygen reduction reaction. *Carbon* 2018, 130, 532–543. [CrossRef]
- 34. Nguyen, T.-D.; Shopsowitz, K.E.; MacLachlan, M.J. Mesoporous nitrogen-doped carbon from nanocrystalline chitin assemblies. *J. Mater. Chem. A* 2014, 2, 5915–5921. [CrossRef]

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.