



Article

Associations between Household Food Insecurity and Depressive Symptomology among Adolescent Girls and Young Women during the COVID-19 Pandemic in South Africa

Stanley Carries ^{1,*} , Lovemore Nyasha Sigwadhi ² , Audrey Moyo ² , Colleen Wagner ³, Catherine Mathews ¹ and Darshini Govindasamy ¹ 

¹ Health Systems Research Unit, South African Medical Research Council (SAMRC), Tygerberg 7505, South Africa; catherine.mathews@mrc.ac.za (C.M.); darshini.govindasamy@mrc.ac.za (D.G.)

² Division of Epidemiology and Biostatistics, Department of Global Health, Faculty of Medicine and Health Sciences, Stellenbosch University, Cape Town 7505, South Africa; lsigwadhi@gmail.com (L.N.S.); 27689387@sun.ac.za (A.M.)

³ Networking HIV and AIDS Community of Southern Africa (NACOSA), Cape Town 7441, South Africa; colleen@nacosa.org.za

* Correspondence: stanley.carries@mrc.ac.za

Abstract: Evidence suggests an association between food insecurity and depressive symptomatology; however, little is known about the association between adolescent girls and young women (AGYW) in the context of COVID-19. This study aimed to investigate the relationship between household food insecurity (HFI) and depressive symptomatology among AGYW in South Africa during the COVID-19 pandemic. Secondary data analysis was conducted using cross-sectional data collected from the HERStory2 study conducted during the COVID-19 pandemic. The data were collected from 515 AGYW (aged 15–24 years) recruited from six South African districts using a demographic detail and socio-economic questionnaire as well as the Center for Epidemiological Studies Depression (CESD-10) Scale. Data were fitted using a multi-variable robust Poisson regression model and controlled for sociodemographic and health factors. The results suggest that the majority of the sample of AGYW were 20 years old, with 74% exposed to HFI and 30.29% experiencing depressive symptomatology. AGYW exposed to HFI were 1.80 times at risk of depressive symptomatology compared to those from food-secure households [adjusted risk ratio (aRR): 1.80; 95% CI: 1.35–2.42, $p < 0.0001$]. Future pandemic-preparedness strategies should incorporate screening for HFI as a means to identify AGYW who may require psychosocial support.

Keywords: mental health; household food insecurity; adolescent girls and young women; COVID-19; CES-D-10; depression



Citation: Carries, S.; Sigwadhi, L.N.; Moyo, A.; Wagner, C.; Mathews, C.; Govindasamy, D. Associations between Household Food Insecurity and Depressive Symptomology among Adolescent Girls and Young Women during the COVID-19 Pandemic in South Africa. *Adolescents* **2024**, *4*, 185–199. <https://doi.org/10.3390/adolescents4010013>

Academic Editor: Michèle Preyde

Received: 28 June 2023

Revised: 7 March 2024

Accepted: 11 March 2024

Published: 21 March 2024



Copyright: © 2024 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).

1. Introduction

The global prevalence of clinical depression among young people (≤ 18 years) during COVID-19 (25.2%) [1] almost doubled the pre-COVID-19 estimate of 12.9% [2]. COVID-19-related lockdowns disrupted daily routines, restricted social interactions, and limited access to health and social services [3]. The early stages of lockdown were associated with languishing mental health [4], with younger people experiencing higher levels of depression, anxiety, and stress [5]. Adolescents and younger people generally felt more worried and helpless and experienced increased depressive symptomatology compared to pre-COVID-19 levels [6].

Globally, mental health disorders affect one in seven adolescents yet remain largely undetected and untreated despite the availability of effective treatments [2,7,8]. Depression is a major contributor to the overall burden of disease and a leading cause of disability worldwide [9,10]. Left untreated during early adolescence, depression can have long-term negative health, economic, and social consequences in adulthood [2]. Economic

consequences include health system costs to treat depression and related comorbidities and reduced contribution to national economic output due to decreased productivity [11]. The social impacts include relationship problems, social isolation, failure to complete school, unemployment, substance misuse, early pregnancy, and substance misuse [12].

Intervening to promote positive mental health during adolescence requires a solid understanding of the correlates of common mental health disorders to develop tailored strategies. Household food insecurity is a known risk factor for mental health disorders [12,13], particularly during adolescence [14] and young adulthood [15]. Research indicates that HFI during the COVID-19 pandemic was associated with increased vulnerability to mental health conditions [16–18]. In the United States, for instance, adults exposed to HFI had 3.53 greater odds of being depressed (95% CI: 2.99–4.17) [17]. This was more pronounced in sub-Saharan Africa (SSA), given the region's pre-existing structural inequalities. A study in Tanzania, for example, found that adolescents living in food-insecure households were at 1.8 times higher risk (95% CI: 1.3–2.5) of experiencing suicidal ideation and at a 2.4 times higher risk (95% CI: 1.7–3.3) of attempting suicide [19]. In addition, a Kenyan study showed that adolescents who skipped meals because of COVID-19-related household income losses had 2.5 higher odds (95% CI: 2.0–3.1) of having depressive symptoms [18]. Against this backdrop, AGYW have been neglected in COVID-19 recovery efforts [20].

AGYW are at a stage of life where they build human and social capital essential for their wellbeing in adulthood [21]. Studies in South Africa have shown that AGYW are more vulnerable to depressive symptoms than their male counterparts [8,10]. Depression may also be a precursor to the high prevalence of HIV infection among South African AGYW [22] because of its association with increased alcohol abuse and risky sexual behaviors [23], which are both risk factors for HIV acquisition [22]. Failure to detect depression early in AGYW could impair their mental health in adulthood. Understanding the correlates of depressive symptoms among AGYW in South Africa can help inform brief mental health screening tools to identify those at risk and link them to care.

High income losses (30%) during the COVID-19 lockdown in South Africa amplified HFI [24,25]. Reports of increased depression levels among adolescents and women due to COVID-19 income losses and HFI in South Africa [26,27], *inter alia*, have increased the urgency for technologies to readily detect AGYW at high risk of depression and, by proxy, households possibly in urgent need of economic support. However, few investigators have quantitatively examined the association between HFI and depressive symptoms among AGYW in this setting during COVID-19. Identifying AGYW at risk of developing depressive symptoms could help identify factors to prevent and/or manage anxiety and depression during adulthood and answer international calls to examine the structural drivers of anxiety and depression among youth [28,29], as well as inform mental health-related intervention designs to support the most vulnerable during pandemics. This study aimed to determine the relationship between HFI and depressive symptomatology among AGYW during the COVID-19 pandemic in South Africa.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Study Setting and Population

The data used in this secondary data analysis were drawn from a cross-sectional study, HERStory 2 [30] (the parent study), which was conducted between 01 December 2020 and 28 February 2021 during the COVID-19 lockdown in South Africa. The HERStory 2 study evaluated a combination HIV-prevention intervention (the My Journey programme) for AGYW aged 15–24 years, from six districts spread across six South African provinces: Bonjanala District (NorthWest Province), Klipfontein (Western Cape Province), King Cetshwayo (KwaZulu-Natal Province), Ehlanzeni District (Mpumalanga Province), Nelson Mandela Bay (Eastern Cape Province), and Thabo Mofutsanyana District (Free State Province).

The HERStory 2 study sampling frame was AGYW who accessed the My Journey programme for at least one year and gave permission for telephonic follow-up ($n = 2160$). In the HERStory 2 study, a team of female interviewers (aged 20–35 years) fluent in

all languages spoken in the sampled districts, conducted telephonic follow-ups with all potentially eligible AGYW. Only those who provided verbal consent were eligible to participate. Of the potentially eligible AGYW, 23.8% (n = 515) were successfully contacted and participated in the study. All interviews were conducted once the AGYW self-reported being in a safe and comfortable place to be administered the telephonic survey. The survey took approximately an hour to complete. A reimbursement amount of ZAR 100 was sent to each participant using electronic banking. For this secondary data analysis, the entire study population in the dataset was used, and no restrictions were placed on age or region.

2.2. Measures

The data from the cross-sectional study (HERStory2) were collected with the assistance of study staff. The participants completed (i) a demographic detail and socio-economic questionnaire as well as (ii) the CES-D-10 Scale.

2.3. Demographic Detail and Socio-Economic Questionnaire

The demographic detail and socio-economic questionnaire was made up of 271 items, which included the following sub-sections (see Table A1 for the description of variables):

- Demographic variables included age at recruitment, highest school grade completed, enrolled in full-time study before lockdown, disengaged in secondary or tertiary education in 2020, and length of stay in the community.
- Clinical variables included self-reported HIV status, lacked access to essential medication, and lacked access to family planning services.
- Socio-economic variables included lacked access to social support services, violence at home, HFI (which was assessed based on participants' responses to the statement, "During the lockdown I worried that my food would run out because of a lack of money") and household asset index score. "No meals for the whole day" was used as a proxy for HFI in the sensitivity analysis.

2.4. CES-D-10 Scale

Depressive symptomology was assessed using the CES-D-10 scale. This scale is a short screening tool consisting of ten items assessing an individual's feelings over the past week. Eight items covered negative feelings (i.e., feeling bothered, lack of focus, feeling depressed, feeling that everything was an effort, feeling fearful, restless sleep, loneliness, and inability to get going), and two items (questions 5 and 8) covered positive feelings (i.e., feeling happy and hopeful about the future). The response options for the scale increased in severity from not/hardly experiencing a depressive symptom (i.e., less than a day) to experiencing the depressive symptom almost all the time (i.e., 5–7 days a week). The CES-D-10 scale was psychometrically evaluated in a South African sample and showed good validity and reliability [31]. A cutoff point of 12 was deemed optimal for detecting the presence of significant depressive symptoms in this sample [31].

3. Statistical Analysis

The following variables were dichotomized: *highest school grade completed* (\geq Grade 9 = 1 vs. $<$ Grade 9 = 0), *length of stay in community* ($<$ 5 years vs. \geq 5 years), *HIV status* (positive = 1 or negative = 0), *lacked access to social support services* (yes = 1, no = 0), *disengaged in secondary or tertiary education in 2020* (yes = 1 vs. no = 0); each of *lacked access to essential medication*, *lacked access to family planning services*, *violence at home*, *no meal for a whole day*, and *HFI* as (often/sometimes = 1 vs. never = 0). *Age at recruitment* was treated as a continuous variable.

Continuous variables were expressed as medians with interquartile ranges because they were not normally distributed. Categorical variables were expressed as frequencies and percentages. Chi-squared and Fisher's exact tests were used to assess the association between depressive symptoms and categorical variables. The Mann–Whitney U test was used to assess median differences. Owing to the high prevalence of depressive symptoms (30%), logistic regression overestimated the effect measure with large standard errors,

resulting in wide confidence intervals, and log-binomial regression faced convergence problems. Robust Poisson regression was used as an alternative to assess the associations between demographic and socio-economic variables with depressive symptoms. Known factors linked to depressive symptoms, such as socio-economic [32], education, social inclusivity [33], age, and gender [33], were regarded as a priori confounders in the model. Three different models were fitted and adjusted risk ratios with 95% CIs were used as a measure of association. In the first model (Model 1), the following variables were adjusted for *age at recruitment*, *length of stay in community*, *highest school grade completed*, and *household asset index score*. In Model 2, *lacked access to social support services* was added to Model 1. Finally, in Model 3, *lacked access to essential medication* was added to Model 2. Multicollinearity was assessed using variance inflation factors (VIF), with a VIF of less than five considered adequate for suggesting no multicollinearity [34]. All statistical analyses were performed using STATA software (version 16, Stata Corp., College Station, TX, USA).

4. Results

Among the $n = 515$ participants in this dataset, the median participant age at recruitment was 20 years (IQR: 18–22). Self-reported HIV prevalence was 3.7%, and nearly 80% of AGYW had resided in their community for more than five years (Table 1). Almost 97% of the participants had completed primary school education (i.e., Grade 9), and 77.3% were either attending high school (47.2%) or college/university (30.1%) before the COVID-19 lockdowns were imposed. Nearly 23% were not attending formal education when COVID-19-lockdowns were implemented, while 34% dropped out of enrolled education in 2020. Slightly over 10% did not use social support services from social workers within a year of the survey, 79.7% had access to family planning services, and 27.2% indicated that they were unable to obtain essential medication because of COVID-19 or the lockdown. The majority (73.8%) experienced HFI, almost 70% had household financial problems, while 22.5% went without a meal for a whole day at least once because of COVID-19. Thirteen percent experienced higher levels of violence at home during the lockdown.

Table 1. Exploration of participant characteristics ($n = 515$).

Characteristic	Total N (%)	Characteristic	Total N (%)
Age at recruitment in years (median, IQR)	20 (18–22)		
Depressive symptoms score			
<12	359 (69.7)		
≥12	156 (30.3)		
HIV status (self-reported)		Lacked access to essential medication	
HIV positive	17 (3.7)	Never	367 (72.8)
HIV negative	444 (96.3)	Often/Sometimes	137 (27.2)
Length of stay in community		Lacked access to family planning services	
<5 years	104 (20.2)	Never	401 (79.7)
≥5 years	410 (79.8)	Often/Sometimes	102 (20.3)
Enrolled in fulltime study before lockdown		Household financial problems	
Not enrolled	117 (22.7)	Never	154 (30.6)
High school	243 (47.2)	Often/Sometimes	349 (69.4)
College/University	155 (30.1)	HFI (worry about food) (main explanatory variable)	
Disengaged in secondary or tertiary education in 2020		Never	132 (26.2)
No	481 (93.4)	Often/Sometimes	371 (73.8)
Yes	34 (6.6)	HFI (no meals for the whole day)	
Highest school grade completed		Never	390 (77.5)
<Grade 9	17 (3.3)	Often/Sometimes	113 (22.5)
≥Grade 9	498 (96.7)	Violence at home	
Lacked access to social support services		Never	438 (86.9)
Yes	452 (89.7)	Often/Sometimes	66 (13.1)
No	52 (10.3)	Household asset index score *	4.37 (3.5–5.6)

* Based on Booyesen, et al. [35].

Overall, 30.29% of the AGYW had depressive symptoms (Table 2). Comparing associations between demographic and socio-economic variables with depressive symptoms, significant differences between AGYW with and those without depressive symptoms were observed for the following variables: *age at recruitment* ($p = 0.016$), *enrolled in fulltime study before lockdown* ($p = 0.009$), *lacked access to essential medication* ($p = 0.005$), HFI ($p = 0.043$), *no meal for a whole day* ($p < 0.001$), and *violence at home* ($p = 0.003$) (Table 2). Almost three-quarters (73.76%) of the study population had HFI. One-third of the AGYW with HFI had depressive symptoms, which was higher than those without HFI ($p = 0.043$) (Table 3). Significant differences between AGYW with and without HFI were observed on median age at recruitment ($p = 0.021$), full study enrolment before lockdown ($p = 0.005$), financial problems ($p < 0.001$), and household asset index score ($p = 0.001$).

In regression analysis, the unadjusted model found that AGYW living in households with food insecurity were at an 87% higher risk of experiencing depressive symptoms [risk ratio (RR): 1.87; 95% CI: 1.47–2.38, $p < 0.001$] compared to those from food-secure households (Table 4). In Model 1, adjusting for demographic characteristics increased the risk of depressive symptoms among AGYW in HFI by 1% (aRR₁: 1.88; 95% CI: 1.43–2.48, $p < 0.001$). Adjusting for *lacked access to social support services* in Model 2 increased the risk of AGYW living in food-insecure households experiencing depressive symptoms to 89% (aRR₂: 1.89; 95% CI: 1.43–2.51, $p < 0.001$). In Model 3, adjusting for *access to essential medicine* reduced the risk among AGYW from HFI experiencing depressive symptoms to 80% (aRR₃: 1.80; 95% CI: 1.35–2.42, $p < 0.001$). AGYW who lacked access to essential medication were 1.4 times (RR: 1.40; 95% CI: 1.20–1.64, $p < 0.001$) more likely to experience depressive symptoms than those who were able to access essential medication.

There was also a significant association between *age at recruitment* of AGYW and depressive symptoms, with younger women (based on median age [i.e., 20 (IQR:19–22)]) at 7% higher risk of experiencing depressive symptoms (RR:1.07; 95% CI: 1.01–1.14, $p < 0.020$). Sensitivity analysis (using *no meals for a whole day* as an alternate proxy for HFI) did not produce significant associations between HFI and depressive symptoms in univariable and multi-variable analyses.

Table 2. Comparison between AGYW with versus without depressive symptoms (n = 515).

Characteristic	No Depressive Symptoms N (%)	Depressive Symptoms N (%)	p-Value	Characteristic	No Depressive Symptoms N (%)	Depressive Symptoms N (%)	p-Value
Total participants	359 (69.71)	156 (30.29)		Lacked access to social-support services			
	Median (IQR)	Median (IQR)		Yes	321 (89.4)	131 (90.3)	
Age at recruitment (years)	20 (18–22)	20 (19–22)	0.016	No	38 (10.6)	14 (9.7)	
	N (%)	N (%)		Lacked access to essential medication			0.005
HIV status			0.530	Never	274 (76.3)	93 (64.1)	
HIV positive	13 (4.0)	4 (2.9)		Often/Sometimes	85 (23.7)	52 (35.9)	
HIV negative	308 (96.0)	136 (97.1)		Lacked access to family planning services			0.095
Length of stay in community			0.180	Never	293 (81.6)	108 (75.0)	
<5 years	78 (21.8)	26 (16.7)		Often/Sometimes	66 (18.4)	36 (25.0)	
≥5 years	280 (78.2)	130 (83.3)		Household financial problems			<0.001
Enrolled in fulltime study before lockdown			0.009	Never	126 (35.2)	28 (19.3)	
Not enrolled	69 (19.2)	48 (30.8)		Often/Sometimes	232 (64.8)	117 (80.7)	
High school	182 (50.7)	61 (39.1)		Household food insecurity (worry about food) (main explanatory variable)			0.043
College/University	108 (30.1)	47 (30.1)		Never	103 (28.8)	29 (20.0)	
Disengaged in secondary or tertiary education in 2020			0.510	Often/Sometimes	255 (71.2)	116 (80.0)	
No	337 (93.9)	144 (92.3)		Household food insecurity (no meals for the whole day)			<0.001
Yes	22 (6.1)	12 (7.7)		Never	296 (82.7)	94 (64.8)	
Highest school grade completed			0.650	Often/Sometimes	62 (17.3)	51 (35.2)	
<Grade 9	11 (3.1)	6 (3.8)		Violence at home			0.003
≥Grade 9	348 (96.9)	150 (96.2)		Never	322 (89.7)	116 (80.0)	
			0.870	Often/Sometimes	37 (10.3)	29 (20.0)	
				Household asset index score	4.37 (3.46–5.62)	4.37 (3.86–5.62)	0.93

Table 3. Comparison between AGYW with versus without household food insecurity (n = 515).

Characteristic	Household Food Insecurity (Worry about Food), N (%)		p-Value
	Never	Often/Sometimes	
Total participants	132 (26.24)	379 (73.76)	
	Median (IQR)	Median (IQR)	
Age at recruitment (years)	19 (17–22)	20 (18–22)	0.021 ^M
	N (%)	N (%)	
HIV status			0.860 ^F
HIV negative	112 (96.6)	328 (96.2)	
HIV positive	4 (3.4)	13 (3.8)	
Length of stay in community			0.400 ^C
<5 years	24 (18.2)	80 (21.6)	
≥5 years	108 (81.8)	290 (78.4)	
Enrolled in fulltime study before lockdown			0.005 ^C
Not enrolled	17 (12.9)	98 (26.4)	
High school	72 (54.5)	161 (43.4)	
College/University	43 (32.6)	112 (30.2)	
Disengaged in secondary or tertiary education in 2020			0.170 ^C
No	120 (90.9)	350 (94.3)	
Yes	12 (9.1)	21 (5.7)	
Highest school grade completed			0.910 ^F
<Grade 9	4 (3.0)	12 (3.2)	
≥Grade 9	128 (97.0)	359 (96.8)	
Depression			0.043 ^C
No	103 (78.0)	255 (68.7)	
Yes	29 (22.0)	116 (31.3)	
Financial problems			<0.001 ^C
No	100 (75.8)	54 (14.6)	
Yes	32 (24.2)	317 (85.4)	
Household asset index score	5.11 (3.86–5.62)	4.37 (3.41–5.62)	0.001 ^M

Note: ^C—Chi-Square test; ^F—Fisher’s Exact; ^M—Mann–Whitney U.

Table 4. Regression modeling to identify associations between food insecurity and depressive symptoms with unadjusted risk ratio (RR) and adjusted risk ratio (aRR).

Variable	Risk Ratio	Model 1	Model 2	Model 3
	RR (95% CI) ^{p-Value}	aRR ₁ (95% CI) ^{p-Value}	aRR ₂ (95% CI) ^{p-Value}	aRR ₃ (95% CI) ^{p-Value}
Age at recruitment (years)		1.07 (1.01–1.14) ^{0.020}	1.07 (1.01–1.14) ^{0.024}	1.07 (1.01–1.14) ^{0.023}
Length of stay in community				
<5 years		ref	ref	ref
≥5 years		1.24 (0.86–1.79) ^{0.255}	1.23 (0.84–1.79) ^{0.294}	1.20 (0.81–1.77) ^{0.363}
Highest school grade completed				
<Grade 9		ref	ref	ref
≥Grade 9		0.83 (0.38–1.80) ^{0.641}	0.83 (0.39–1.81) ^{0.650}	0.82 (0.39–1.75) ^{0.616}
Household asset-index score		1.04 (0.98–1.10) ^{0.172}	1.04 (0.98–1.10) ^{0.217}	1.05 (0.99–1.10) ^{0.089}

Table 4. Cont.

Variable	Risk Ratio		Model 1		Model 2		Model 3	
	RR (95% CI) <i>p</i> -Value		aRR ₁ (95% CI) <i>p</i> -Value		aRR ₂ (95% CI) <i>p</i> -Value		aRR ₃ (95% CI) <i>p</i> -Value	
Lacked access to social support services								
No					ref		ref	
Yes					0.90 (0.71–1.14) 0.391		0.86 (0.64–1.15) 0.320	
Lacked access to essential medication								
Never							ref	
Often/Sometimes							1.40 (1.20–1.64) <0.001	
Household food insecurity (worry about food)								
Never	ref		ref		ref		ref	
Often/Sometimes	1.87 (1.47–2.38) <0.001		1.88 (1.43–2.48) <0.001		1.89 (1.43–2.51) <0.001		1.80 (1.35–2.42) <0.001	

5. Discussion

The COVID-19 pandemic amplified structural inequalities and HFI, increasing psychological distress among vulnerable populations. Globally, there have been calls to identify groups severely impacted by the pandemic to better direct recovery efforts toward high-risk groups and inform economic protection strategies during future pandemics [20,36]. AGYW in SSA are vulnerable to mental health problems, given the physiological, psychosocial, and cognitive changes experienced during this phase [10]. This vulnerable group has been neglected in COVID-19 recovery efforts [20]. The present study investigated the relationship between HFI and depressive symptomatology among AGYW during COVID-19, using cross-sectional survey data from South Africa. The vast majority of AGYW (73.8%) resided in food-insecure households. After adjusting for key socio-economic and demographic variables, AGYW living in households with food insecurity were at 80% (95% CI) higher risk of experiencing depressive symptoms compared to those from food-secure households. This highlights the need for stronger COVID-19 recovery investment towards multi-sectoral policies and programmes that promote the economic and psychological wellbeing of AGYW residing in food-insecure households.

In our sample, the prevalence of depressive symptoms during the COVID-19 pandemic was 30.3%. While few studies have reported depression outcomes among AGYW in SSA during COVID-19, our estimate is comparable with global estimates [18,37,38]. For example, the prevalence of depressive symptoms among AGYW in Kenya was estimated to be 34.5% [18], 32% in Peru [37], and 33% in the United States [38]. The Organization for Economic Cooperation and Development [39] (OECD) [35] reported a 31% prevalence of depression in the United Kingdom and 29% in both Japan and Belgium. The OECD also reported a global increase in depression among younger people during the COVID-19 pandemic, ranging from 30 to 80%.

Comparing the prevalence of depressive symptoms among AGYW from this cohort to previous South African studies proved challenging. This was in part due to the dearth of literature on the topic in SSA [40]. However, an earlier study among young South African people (aged 15–26 years) estimated the prevalence of depressive symptoms among young women at 20.5% [40]. A more recent estimate among 16–24-year-olds by Jesson, et al. [41] was much higher (48%). This high estimate is possibly due to the study's focus on a select group of young people residing in known high-risk areas of South Africa. Another study in the region examined the association between depressive symptoms and HIV incidence among AGYW (aged 13–21 years) and estimated a prevalence of depressive symptoms of 18.2% among this group [22]. Given the strong link between depressive symptoms and HIV acquisition, and HIV/AIDS being the leading cause of mortality and morbidity among AGYW in this region, policymakers should direct resources towards reducing depression among AGYW. Depression has also been linked to poor sexual reproductive health out-

comes (e.g., unplanned pregnancy and STIs). Programmes targeting depression could, therefore, assist in preventing unplanned pregnancies in AGYW. Healthcare policies should ensure that appropriate measures are in place to secure continued access to medication among vulnerable populations during times of disaster, as lack of access to needed medication was linked to an increased risk of depressive symptoms among AGYW during the COVID-19 lockdown.

Our study also found that AGYW living in households with food insecurity were at higher risk of depressive symptoms compared to those living in food-secure households (RR: 1.87; 95% CI: 1.47–2.38, $p < 0.001$) [26,27]. After adjusting for confounders, this risk decreased slightly (aRR₃, 1.80; 95% CI: 1.35–2.42, $p < 0.001$). This is also consistent with previous studies from SSA, which indicated that AGYW living in HFI were at higher risk of developing depressive symptoms in comparison to their male counterparts [17,18,42]. Gibbs, Govender and Jewkes [42], for instance, found that food-insecure AGYW from South African informal settlements were 5.57 times more likely to have depressive symptoms (aOR 5.57, $p = 0.039$).

Similarly, a study on Kenyan parent-adolescent dyads found that adolescents had 2.5 higher odds of depressive symptoms (OR 2.5, 95% CI: 2.0–3.1) [18]. Although several studies have highlighted the association between HFI and depression, it is worth noting that depression itself may be the reason for household food insecurity [43,44]. For example, Jesson et al. [41] found that younger people with probable depression had higher odds of being food-insecure (2.79, 95% CI: 1.57–4.94).

Previous studies in the region have found that HFI was exacerbated due to the COVID-19 pandemic [26,27]. In South Africa, formal and informal job losses during the COVID-19 pandemic may have directly contributed to HFI [45]. Job losses in this setting possibly meant that households lacked the income to purchase essential food items [46], which may have caused AGYW to become distressed [25] and develop depressive symptoms. HFI caused by job losses has been shown to be associated with caregiver anxiety, depression, and parenting stress [47]. In addition, poor HFI-induced parent/caregiver mental health is also associated with psychological distress in younger people [48]. Younger people also feel embarrassed by their household's food situation [48]. Feeling powerless, desperate, and guilty about their household's food situation could have directly contributed to their anxiety and depressive symptoms [49]. Such a state could lower adolescents' self-esteem and happiness and negatively affect their perceptions of their parental role model(s) [50]. If these socio-economic conditions persist without any coping strategies, this could have a longer-term negative effect on the health of young people. It is thus important to implement interventions that allow young people to easily access mental health services to prevent and/or manage depression.

5.1. Policy and Future Recommendations

The advent of COVID-19 and lockdowns worsened the economic situation of many households. Policies should prioritize identifying such households and provide them with relief (e.g., cash and food packages) to reduce HFI, thereby reducing the risk of depressive symptoms in these households. AGYW should also be given psychosocial support via building fortitude, defined by Pretorius and Padmanabhanunni [51] as a person's ability to manage stress and remain well. Fortitude is rooted in positive and/or adaptive appraisals of the self, family, and significant others.

Online and digital platforms could be offered to AGYW for mental health education and psychological counseling services, delivered via low-cost mobile phone applications [51,52]. These applications can also be used by trained community healthcare workers to disseminate knowledge about future pandemics, address uncertainties, and provide support [51]. Moreover, a strong focus should be placed on the early detection and management of depressive symptoms in AGYW. Family strengthening interventions focused on enhancing parenting, communication, and social connectedness should be prioritized, with an emphasis on building resilience among AGYW [53]. There is, therefore, a need for more

intersectionality research to look at findings by geography, ethnicity, and demography to ensure nuanced policy decision-making [54]. In addition, scaling up food programmes during future pandemics could be effective in protecting the mental health of vulnerable AGYW in South Africa.

5.2. Study Strengths

The strengths of the study include the following: (1) the survey was conducted in multiple South African districts; (2) the study used a robust depressive-symptoms measure (CES-D-10) previously validated in South Africa [31]; and (3) data collectors conducted interviews in participants' preferred languages and built a good rapport with AGYW to make them feel safe over the phone.

5.3. Limitations

This study had the following limitations: (1) the main explanatory variable, HFI, was based on one item, namely, *worry about food running out because of a lack of money*. To account for this shortcoming, a sensitivity analysis using *no meals for the whole day* as a proxy for HFI was performed and found no significant associations with depressive symptoms. Future studies should be designed using validated measures, such as the Food Insecurity Experience Scale, to measure HFI in population surveys [55]. (2) This analysis was based on a cross-sectional dataset. Therefore, causation cannot be established. It is possible that household violence or substance misuse may have been confounding the relationship, as families experiencing higher levels of violence or exposed to substance misuse may experience greater levels of HFI [56], and thus, AGYW residing in these homes could have higher levels of depressive symptoms. Furthermore, it is plausible that a coincident variable, such as district-level economic development, may also be influencing the relationship. Households in areas with lower economic development may have lower access to job opportunities, thus increasing HFI levels and, subsequently, depressive symptoms among adolescents in these homes. There is thus a need for a longitudinal study to examine the temporality of HFI-depression causation [57]. (3) The study sample was not a national sample; as such, the results might not be generalizable over the entire AGYW population of South Africa. (4) Selection bias, the AGYW in this study were selected specifically because they were enrolled in a programme for AGYW (the My Journey programme). (5) The parent study did not collect data on all key factors that can impact mental health, such as social media use. (6) The study was performed during the COVID-19 pandemic. We did not sample this population pre-COVID-19. Hence, we were unable to perform pre-post COVID-19 analyses of study findings.

6. Conclusions

This study found that during the COVID-19 pandemic, AGYW who experienced HFI were at higher risk of exhibiting depressive symptoms compared to those living in food-secure households. This study sheds light on the effect of HFI on the mental health of AGYW living in these households during the COVID-19 pandemic. As part of COVID-19 recovery efforts, there is a need to scale up intensive AGYW mental-health screening programmes, given the burden of depressive symptoms in this population. Our findings suggest that using HFI would be an effective indicator in community-based screening programmes for identifying AGYW at risk of depressive symptoms and linking them to mental health services. Our results highlight that multi-sectorial approaches and programmes are critical for reducing the mental-health burden among AGYW. Social policies should include food hampers, cash transfers, support groups, and social-protection interventions that target younger people. Economic policies should also focus on mobilizing youth economic development by investing in entrepreneurship development.

Author Contributions: S.C. and D.G. prepared and wrote the manuscript. C.M. and C.W. critically reviewed and edited the manuscript. D.G. formulated the ideas and overarching goals and aims and led the compilation of the manuscript. L.N.S. and A.M. conducted all statistical analyses and data syntheses. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This research was funded by the Networking HIV and AIDS Community of Southern Africa (NACOSA). The AGYW intervention was funded by the Global Fund to Fight AIDS, TB and Malaria. The combination HIV prevention interventions were implemented in 12 districts in South Africa by a range of civil society organisations that were appointed by the organisations responsible for the management of the AGYW programme: NACOSA; the AIDS Foundation of South Africa (AFSA) and Beyond Zero. The programme was aligned with the She Conquers campaign and was implemented with support from the South African National AIDS Council (SANAC) through the Country Coordinating Mechanism (CCM) and the CCM Secretariat.

Institutional Review Board Statement: The study was conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki and approved by the Ethics Committee of the South African Medical Research Council (EC036-9/2020).

Informed Consent Statement: Written informed consent was obtained from the participants involved in the study to publish this paper. Parental/caregiver consent was obtained for participants younger than 18 years old prior to conducting the assent procedures.

Data Availability Statement: The raw data were generated by the South African Medical Research Council. The derived data supporting the findings of this study are available from the corresponding author [SC] on request.

Acknowledgments: We would like to thank the AGYW who participated in this research and shared their views and experiences with us. We acknowledge the implementers of the AGYW programme for their support in the evaluation study and for providing support and counselling to the participants referred to them. We also thank our excellent team of data collectors and monitors, and individuals who provided administrative and logistical support for the study.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

Appendix A

Table A1. Description of variables.

Variables	Survey Questions	Survey Response Options	Grouping and Recoding of Survey Response Options
Age at recruitment	When were you born? If you are not sure, please estimate your date of birth	dd-MM-yyyy [Min 01-01-1996, Max 23-11-2005]	N/A
Length of stay in community	How long have you been living in this community?	1 = Less than a year 2 = About 1 year 3 = About 2 years 4 = About 3 years 5 = About 4 years 6 = About 5 years 7 = More than 5 years 99 = Prefer not to answer	1–5 = [<5 years] 6–7 = [≥5 years]
Enrolled in fulltime study before lockdown	In 2020, before COVID-19 and the lockdown, were you enrolled in school, or college or university fulltime?	1 = I was enrolled in high school 2 = I was enrolled in a TVET college 3 = I was enrolled in another type of college 4 = I was enrolled in university 5 = I was enrolled in another training institution 6 = I was not enrolled in any educational institution 99 = Prefer not to answer	1 = [High school] 2–5 = [College/University] 6 = [Not enrolled]
Disengaged in secondary or tertiary education in 2020	During 2020, did you drop out of any school, college, university or other educational institution?	1 = Yes 2 = No 99 = Prefer not to answer	1 = [Yes] 2 = [No]

Table A1. Cont.

Variables	Survey Questions	Survey Response Options	Grouping and Recoding of Survey Response Options
Highest school grade completed *	What is the highest grade of school you have completed?	0 = Not completed any grade 1 = Grade 1 2 = Grade 2 3 = Grade 3 4 = Grade 4 5 = Grade 5 6 = Grade 6 7 = Grade 7 8 = Grade 8 9 = Grade 9 10 = Grade 10 11 = Grade 11 12 = Grade 12 99 = Prefer not to answer	1–8 = [<grade 9]: 9–12 = [≥grade 9]:
Lacked access to social support services **	What kind of problems has the social worker or counsellor helped with in the past year? Choose as many answers as relevant.	1 = Getting an ID or birth certificate 2 = Getting a child support grant 3 = Helped me when I was feeling sad or depressed 4 = Helped me with problems at school 5 = Helped me with the abuse I've experienced 6 = Helped me with rape 7 = Other help 99 = Prefer not to answer	1 = [Yes to any of 1–7] 0 = [None of any of 1–7 selected]
Lacked access to essential medication	You were unable to get medicine you need because of COVID-19 or the lockdown	1 = Never 2 = Sometimes 3 = Often 4 = I did not need medicine 99 = Prefer not to answer	1 and 4 = [Never] 2–3 = [Often/Sometimes]
Lacked access to family planning services	You were unable to get family planning (birth- control pills, injection, implant) you needed because of COVID-19 or the lockdown.	1 = Never 2 = Sometimes 3 = Often 4 = I did not need family planning 99 = Prefer not to answer	1 and 4 = [Never] 2–3 = [Often/Sometimes]
Household financial problems	During the lockdown, me or my family experienced financial (money) problems	1 = Never 2 = Sometimes 3 = Often 99 = Prefer not to answer	1 = [Never] 2–3 = [Often/Sometimes]
Household food insecurity (worry about food)	During the lockdown I worried that my food would run out because of a lack of money	1 = Never 2 = Sometimes 3 = Often 99 = Prefer not to answer	1 = [Never] 2–3 = [Often/Sometimes]
Household food insecurity (no meals for the whole day)	During the lockdown did you go a day and night without eating because of lack of food?	1 = Never 2 = Sometimes 3 = Often 99 = Prefer not to answer	1 = [Never] 2–3 = [Often/Sometimes]
Violence at home	During the lockdown, was there more violence in your home?	1 = Never 2 = Sometimes 3 = Often 99 = Prefer not to answer	1 = [Never] 2–3 = [Often/Sometimes]
Household asset index score *** [rw+tw+fw+toiw+ww]	rw+tw+fw: At home, do you have any of these things in working condition?	Fridge TV Radio	Fridge (fw): 1 = yes; 0 = no fw = 1.682 if fridge = 1 fw = −0.096 if fridge = 0 TV (tw): 1 = yes; 0 = no tw = 1.608 if tv = 1 tw = −0.1 if tv = 0 Radio (rw): 1 = yes; 0 = no rw = 0.282 if radio = 1 rw = −0.225 if radio = 0

Table A1. Cont.

Variables	Survey Questions	Survey Response Options	Grouping and Recoding of Survey Response Options
	toiw: What type of toilet do you have?	1 = Own flush toilet 2 = Shared flush toilet with other household/s 3 = Bucket latrine 4 = Pit latrine 5 = Ventilated pit latrine 6 = Other 99 = Prefer not to answer	toiw = 1.164 if toilet = 1–2 toiw = −0.088 if toilet = 3–5 vtoiw = −0.16 if toilet = 6
	ww: At home, where do you get your drinking water from?	1 = Tap in house 2 = Tap in yard 3 = Tap in community 4 = Well or borehole 5 = River or stream 6 = Rainwater tank 7 = Water truck 8 = Other 99 = Prefer not to answer	ww = 0.885 if water = 1–2 ww = −0.026 if water = 3 ww = −0.225 if water = 4 ww = −0.222 if water = 5 ww = −0.197 if water = 6–8

* Grade 9 is the minimal or compulsory level of education; ** a “yes” response indicates that at least one form of support/service was received from a social worker (e.g., obtaining ID or birth certificate, receiving child support, help when feeling sad or depressed, help with problems at school, help with abuse, or help with rape); *** is an index score used to gauge poverty. It considers whether a household has a radio, television, fridge, a toilet, and water, as per Booysen, van der Berg, Burger, Maltitz and Rand [35].

References

- Racine, N.; McArthur, B.A.; Cooke, J.E.; Eirich, R.; Zhu, J.; Madigan, S. Global Prevalence of Depressive and Anxiety Symptoms in Children and Adolescents During COVID-19: A Meta-analysis. *JAMA Pediatr.* **2021**, *175*, 1142–1150. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Lu, W. Adolescent depression: National trends, risk factors, and healthcare disparities. *Am. J. Health Behav.* **2019**, *43*, 181–194. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Magson, N.R.; Freeman, J.Y.A.; Rapee, R.M.; Richardson, C.E.; Oar, E.L.; Fardouly, J. Risk and Protective Factors for Prospective Changes in Adolescent Mental Health during the COVID-19 Pandemic. *J. Youth Adolesc.* **2021**, *50*, 44–57. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Gloster, A.T.; Lamnisos, D.; Lubenko, J.; Presti, G.; Squatrito, V.; Constantinou, M.; Nicolaou, C.; Papacostas, S.; Aydın, G.; Chong, Y.Y.; et al. Impact of COVID-19 pandemic on mental health: An international study. *PLoS ONE* **2020**, *15*, e0244809. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Loades, M.E.; Chatburn, E.; Higson-Sweeney, N.; Reynolds, S.; Shafran, R.; Brigden, A.; Linney, C.; McManus, M.N.; Borwick, C.; Crawley, E. Rapid Systematic Review: The Impact of Social Isolation and Loneliness on the Mental Health of Children and Adolescents in the Context of COVID-19. *J. Am. Acad. Child Adolesc. Psychiatry* **2020**, *59*, 1218–1239.e3. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Meherali, S.; Punjani, N.; Louie-Poon, S.; Abdul Rahim, K.; Das, J.K.; Salam, R.A.; Lassi, Z.S. Mental Health of Children and Adolescents Amidst COVID-19 and Past Pandemics: A Rapid Systematic Review. *Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health* **2021**, *18*, 3432. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- WHO. Adolescent Mental Health. Available online: <https://www.who.int/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/adolescent-mental-health#:~:text=Depression%20is%20estimated%20to%20occur%20among%201.1%25%20of,disorders%20can%20profoundly%20affect%20school%20attendance%20and%20schoolwork> (accessed on 22 August 2022).
- Donenberg, G.; Merrill, K.G.; Atujuna, M.; Emerson, E.; Bray, B.; Bekker, L.G. Mental health outcomes of a pilot 2-arm randomized controlled trial of a HIV-prevention program for South African adolescent girls and young women and their female caregivers. *BMC Public Health* **2021**, *21*, 2189. [CrossRef]
- WHO. Depression. Available online: <https://www.who.int/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/depression> (accessed on 22 August 2022).
- Shorey, S.; Ng, E.D.; Wong, C.H. Global prevalence of depression and elevated depressive symptoms among adolescents: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Br. J. Clin. Psychol.* **2022**, *61*, 287–305. [CrossRef]
- McDaid, D.; Park, A.-L.; Wahlbeck, K. The economic case for the prevention of mental illness. *Annu. Rev. Public Health* **2019**, *40*, 373–389. [CrossRef]
- Clayborne, Z.M.; Varin, M.; Colman, I. Systematic review and meta-analysis: Adolescent depression and long-term psychosocial outcomes. *J. Am. Acad. Child Adolesc. Psychiatry* **2019**, *58*, 72–79. [CrossRef]
- Pourmotabbed, A.; Moradi, S.; Babaei, A.; Ghavami, A.; Mohammadi, H.; Jalili, C.; Symonds, M.E.; Miraghajani, M. Food insecurity and mental health: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Public Health Nutr.* **2020**, *23*, 1778–1790. [CrossRef]
- Burke, M.P.; Martini, L.H.; Çayır, E.; Hartline-Grafton, H.L.; Meade, R.L. Severity of household food insecurity is positively associated with mental disorders among children and adolescents in the United States. *J. Nutr.* **2016**, *146*, 2019–2026. [CrossRef]
- Pryor, L.; Lioret, S.; van Der Waerden, J.; Fombonne, É.; Falissard, B.; Melchior, M. Food insecurity and mental health problems among a community sample of young adults. *Soc. Psychiatry Psychiatr. Epidemiol.* **2016**, *51*, 1073–1081. [CrossRef]

16. Shepherd, D.L. Food insecurity, depressive symptoms, and the salience of gendered family roles during the COVID-19 pandemic in South Africa. *Soc. Sci. Med.* **2022**, *301*, 114830. [CrossRef]
17. Fang, D.; Thomsen, M.R.; Nayga, R.M. The association between food insecurity and mental health during the COVID-19 pandemic. *BMC Public Health* **2021**, *21*, 607. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
18. Pinchoff, J.; Friesen, E.L.; Kangwana, B.; Mbushi, F.; Muluve, E.; Ngo, T.D.; Austrian, K. How Has COVID-19-Related Income Loss and Household Stress Affected Adolescent Mental Health in Kenya? *J. Adolesc. Health Off. Publ. Soc. Adolesc. Med.* **2021**, *69*, 713–720. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
19. Shayo, F.K.; Lawala, P.S. Does food insecurity link to suicidal behaviors among in-school adolescents? Findings from the low-income country of sub-Saharan Africa. *BMC Psychiatry* **2019**, *19*, 227. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
20. Ahinkorah, B.O.; Hagan, J.E., Jr.; Ameyaw, E.K.; Seidu, A.-A.; Schack, T. COVID-19 pandemic worsening gender inequalities for women and girls in Sub-Saharan Africa. *Front. Glob. Women's Health* **2021**, *2*, 686984. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
21. Govindasamy, D.; Ferrari, G.; Maruping, K.; Bodzo, P.; Mathews, C.; Seeley, J. A qualitative enquiry into the meaning and experiences of wellbeing among young people living with and without HIV in KwaZulu-Natal, South Africa. *Soc. Sci. Med.* **2020**, *258*, 113103. [CrossRef]
22. Goin, D.E.; Pearson, R.M.; Craske, M.G.; Stein, A.; Pettifor, A.; Lippman, S.A.; Kahn, K.; Neilands, T.B.; Hamilton, E.L.; Selin, A. Depression and incident HIV in adolescent girls and young women in HIV prevention trials network 068: Targets for prevention and mediating factors. *Am. J. Epidemiol.* **2020**, *189*, 422–432. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
23. Parry, C.D.; Tomlinson, M.; Bryant, K.; Rotherham-Borus, M.J. Fresh perspectives on the alcohol and HIV Nexus: A call for action in an era of increased opportunities and challenges. *AIDS Behav.* **2017**, *21*, 121–125. [CrossRef]
24. van Staden, Q.; Laurenzi, C.A.; Toska, E. Two years after lockdown: Reviewing the effects of COVID-19 on health services and support for adolescents living with HIV in South Africa. *J. Int. AIDS Soc.* **2022**, *25*, e25904. [CrossRef]
25. Posel, D.; Oyenubi, A.; Kollamparambil, U. Job loss and mental health during the COVID-19 lockdown: Evidence from South Africa. *PLoS ONE* **2021**, *16*, e0249352. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
26. Duby, Z.; Bunce, B.; Fowler, C.; Bergh, K.; Jonas, K.; Dietrich, J.J.; Govindasamy, D.; Kuo, C.; Mathews, C. Intersections between COVID-19 and socio-economic mental health stressors in the lives of South African adolescent girls and young women. *Child Adolesc. Psychiatry Ment. Health* **2022**, *16*, 23. [CrossRef]
27. Humphries, H.; Lewis, L.; Lamontagne, E.; Choonara, S.; Dikgale, K.; Yakusik, A.; Massawe, D.; Mkhize, N.; Mzungu, F.; Karim, Q.A. Impact of COVID-19 public health responses on income, food security and health services among key and vulnerable women in South Africa. *Afr. J. AIDS Res.* **2022**, *21*, 317–329. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
28. Wellcome Trust. Anxiety and Depression in Young People: Finding the Next Generation of Treatments and Approaches. Available online: <https://wellcome.org/what-we-do/mental-health/projects/anxiety-depression-young-people-finding-next-generation-treatments> (accessed on 31 August 2022).
29. Kola, L.; Kohrt, B.A.; Hanlon, C.; Naslund, J.A.; Sikander, S.; Balaji, M.; Benjet, C.; Cheung, E.Y.L.; Eaton, J.; Gonsalves, P. COVID-19 mental health impact and responses in low-income and middle-income countries: Reimagining global mental health. *Lancet Psychiatry* **2021**, *8*, 535–550. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
30. Kim Jonas, K.B.; Mathews, C.; Duby, Z.; Govindasamy, D.; Fowler, C.; Appollis, T.M.; Dietrich, J.; Beauclair, R.; Lombard, C. *HerStory 2 Study: Process Evaluation of the Combination HIV Prevention Intervention for Adolescent Girls and Young Women (AGYW), Global Fund Grant Period 2019 to 2022*; SAMRC: Tygerberg, South Africa, 2022; p. 215.
31. Baron, E.C.; Davies, T.; Lund, C. Validation of the 10-item centre for epidemiological studies depression scale (CES-D-10) in Zulu, Xhosa and Afrikaans populations in South Africa. *BMC Psychiatry* **2017**, *17*, 6. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
32. Zhou, Q.; Fan, L.; Yin, Z. Association between family socioeconomic status and depressive symptoms among Chinese adolescents: Evidence from a national household survey. *Psychiatry Res.* **2018**, *259*, 81–88. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
33. Peng, M.; Mo, B.; Liu, Y.; Xu, M.; Song, X.; Liu, L.; Fang, Y.; Guo, T.; Ye, J.; Yu, Z.; et al. Prevalence, risk factors and clinical correlates of depression in quarantined population during the COVID-19 outbreak. *J. Affect. Disord.* **2020**, *275*, 119–124. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
34. Belsley, D. *Conditioning Diagnostics: Collinearity and Weak Data in Regression*; John Wiley & Sons: New York, NY, USA, 1991.
35. Booysen, F.; van der Berg, S.; Burger, R.; Maltitz, M.v.; Rand, G.d. Using an Asset Index to Assess Trends in Poverty in Seven Sub-Saharan African Countries. *World Dev.* **2008**, *36*, 1113–1130. [CrossRef]
36. UN. *UN Research Roadmap for the COVID-19 Recovery: Leveraging the Power of Science for a More Equitable, Resilient and Sustainable Future*; United Nations: New York, NY, USA, 2020.
37. Porter, C.; Favara, M.; Hittmeyer, A.; Scott, D.; Sánchez Jiménez, A.; Ellanki, R.; Woldehanna, T.; Duc, L.T.; Craske, M.G.; Stein, A. Impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on anxiety and depression symptoms of young people in the global south: Evidence from a four-country cohort study. *BMJ Open* **2021**, *11*, e049653. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
38. Liu, C.H.; Zhang, E.; Wong, G.T.F.; Hyun, S.; Hahm, H.C. Factors associated with depression, anxiety, and PTSD symptomatology during the COVID-19 pandemic: Clinical implications for U.S. young adult mental health. *Psychiatry Res.* **2020**, *290*, 113172. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
39. Organization for Economic Cooperation and Development. *Supporting Young People's Mental Health through the COVID-19 Crisis*; OECD Publishing: Paris, France, 2021; Volume 14.

40. Nduna, M.; Jewkes, R.K.; Dunkle, K.L.; Jama Shai, N.P.; Colman, I. Prevalence and factors associated with depressive symptoms among young women and men in the Eastern Cape Province, South Africa. *J. Child Adolesc. Ment. Health* **2013**, *25*, 43–54. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
41. Jesson, J.; Dietrich, J.; Beksinska, M.; Closson, K.; Nduna, M.; Smit, J.; Brockman, M.; Ndung'u, T.; Gray, G.; Kaida, A. Food insecurity and depression: A cross-sectional study of a multi-site urban youth cohort in Durban and Soweto, South Africa. *Trop. Med. Int. Health* **2021**, *26*, 687–700. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
42. Gibbs, A.; Govender, K.; Jewkes, R. An exploratory analysis of factors associated with depression in a vulnerable group of young people living in informal settlements in South Africa. *Glob. Public Health* **2018**, *13*, 788–803. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
43. Reesor-Oyer, L.; Cepni, A.B.; Lee, C.Y.; Zhao, X.; Hernandez, D.C. Disentangling food insecurity and maternal depression: Which comes first? *Public Health Nutr.* **2021**, *24*, 5506–5513. [CrossRef]
44. Garg, A.; Toy, S.; Tripodis, Y.; Cook, J.; Cordella, N. Influence of Maternal Depression on Household Food Insecurity for Low-Income Families. *Acad. Pediatr.* **2015**, *15*, 305–310. [CrossRef]
45. Ingle, K.; Brophy, T.; Daniels, R. National Income Dynamics Study–Coronavirus Rapid Mobile Survey (NIDS-CRAM) Panel User Manual. Available online: <https://cramsury.org/reports/> (accessed on 18 August 2022).
46. Maina, M.; Pillay Gonzalez, S. The emotional toll of the ‘hard’ lockdown: An analysis of diary entries from Gauteng, South Africa. *S. Afr. Geogr. J.* **2022**, *104*, 328–347. [CrossRef]
47. Ward, K.P.; Lee, S.J. Associations of food insecurity and material social support with parent and child mental health during COVID-19. *Child. Youth Serv. Rev.* **2022**, *140*, 106562. [CrossRef]
48. Leung, C.W.; Stewart, A.L.; Portela-Parra, E.T.; Adler, N.E.; Laraia, B.A.; Epel, E.S. Understanding the Psychological Distress of Food Insecurity: A Qualitative Study of Children’s Experiences and Related Coping Strategies. *J. Acad. Nutr. Diet.* **2020**, *120*, 395–403. [CrossRef]
49. Nagata, J.M.; Palar, K.; Gooding, H.C.; Garber, A.K.; Whittle, H.J.; Bibbins-Domingo, K.; Weiser, S.D. Food insecurity is associated with poorer mental health and sleep outcomes in young adults. *J. Adolesc. Health* **2019**, *65*, 805–811. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
50. Powdthavee, N.; Vernoit, J. *The Transferable Scars: A Longitudinal Evidence of Psychological Impact of Past Parental Unemployment on Adolescents in the United Kingdom*; Centre for Economic Performance: London, UK, 2012.
51. Pretorius, T.; Padmanabhanunni, A. A looming mental health pandemic in the time of COVID-19? Role of fortitude in the interrelationship between loneliness, anxiety, and life satisfaction among young adults. *S. Afr. J. Psychol.* **2021**, *51*, 256–268. [CrossRef]
52. Connolly, S.L.; Kuhn, E.; Possemato, K.; Torous, J. Digital clinics and mobile technology implementation for mental health care. *Curr. Psychiatry Rep.* **2021**, *23*, 38. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
53. Bhana, A.; Abas, M.A.; Kelly, J.; van Pinxteren, M.; Mudekunye, L.A.; Pantelic, M. Mental health interventions for adolescents living with HIV or affected by HIV in low- and middle-income countries: Systematic review. *BJPsych Open* **2020**, *6*, e104. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
54. Mazzeo, J.; Al Abdeen Qusair, Z.; Gadhoke, P.; Freiberg, T.; Brenton, B.P.; Sedlacek, A.; Torres, A. A Tale of Two Cities During the COVID-19 Pandemic: Evaluating Food Insecurity in Chicago and New York City. *J. Racial Ethn. Health Disparities* **2022**, *10*, 1703–1720. [CrossRef]
55. Food and Agriculture Organisation (FAO). The Food Insecurity Experience Scale. Available online: <https://www.fao.org/in-action/voices-of-the-hungry/fies/en/> (accessed on 5 September 2022).
56. Moraes, C.L.d.; Marques, E.S.; Reichenheim, M.E.; Ferreira, M.d.F.; Salles-Costa, R. Intimate partner violence, common mental disorders and household food insecurity: An analysis using path analysis. *Public Health Nutr.* **2016**, *19*, 2965–2974. [CrossRef]
57. Tomita, A.; Ramlall, S.; Naidu, T.; Mthembu, S.S.; Padayatchi, N.; Burns, J.K. Major depression and household food insecurity among individuals with multidrug-resistant tuberculosis (MDR-TB) in South Africa. *Soc. Psychiatry Psychiatr. Epidemiol.* **2019**, *54*, 387–393. [CrossRef]

Disclaimer/Publisher’s Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.