

| Indicators | Related criteria | Unit | Calculation | Scales | Thresholds values proposed | Threshold values | Automatic scaling |
|------------------------------|--|--------------------|-------------|--|--|---------------------------------|-------------------|
| On farm energy efficiency | Environmental sustainability: Productivity of energy used Economic sustainability: Resources productivity | MWh/Ton | 5,33 | Very High High Medium Low Very Low | Less than 0.5 MWh/T Between 0.5 and 1 MWh/T Between 1 and 1.5 MWh/T Between 1.5 and 5 MWh/T More than 5MWh/T | 0,5 0,5 1 1,5 5 | Very Low |
| Total feed conversion rate | Economic sustainability: Resources productivity Environmental sustainability: Feed efficiency | kg/kg | 1,02 | Very High High Medium Low Very Low | More than 2.2 Between 1.8 and 2.2 Between 1.6 and 1.8 Between 1.3 and 1.6 Less than 1.3 | 2,2 2,2 1,8 1,6 1,3 | Very Low |
| Labour productivity | Economic sustainability: Resources productivity | # | 3,3 | Very High High Medium Low Very Low | More than 2 Between 1.5 and 2 Between 1.25 and 1.5 Between 1 and 1.25 Less than 1 | 2 2 1,5 1,25 1 | Very High |
| Production loss | Economic sustainability: Production management Social sustainability: Production of quality-based products Environmental sustainability: Limit organic wastes production | % | 0,8% | Very High High Medium Low Very Low | More than 40% Between 30 and 40% Between 20 and 30% Between 10 and 20% Less than 10% | 0,4 0,4 0,3 0,2 0,1 | Very Low |
| Nutritional quality | Economic sustainability: Production management Social sustainability: Production health management | g [EPA + DHA]/100g | 20 | Very High High Medium Low Very Low | More than 25g/100g Between 20 and 25g/100g Between 15 and 20g/100g Between 10 and 15g/100g Less than 10 g/100g | 25 25 20 15 10 | High |
| Average sales prices | Economic sustainability: Production cost adequacy to sales prices | €/kg | 10 | Very High High Medium Low Very Low | More than 6.5€/kg Between 5.5 and 6.5€/kg Between 4.5 and 5.5€/kg Between 4 and 4.5€/kg Less than 4€/kg | 6,5 6,5 5,5 4,5 4 | Very High |
| Paid labour costs | Economic sustainability: Production cost | €/kg | 1,1 | Very High High Medium Low Very Low | More than 1€/kg Between 0.8 and 1€/kg Between 0.6 and 0.8 Between 0.4 and 0.6€/kg Less than 0.4€/kg | 1 1 0,8 0,6 0,4 | Very High |
| Feed costs | Economic sustainability: Production cost | €/kg | 1,8 | Very High High Medium Low Very Low | More than 2€/kg Between 1.7 and 2€/kg Between 1.5 and 1.7€/kg Between 1.3 and 1.5€/kg Less than 1.3€/kg | 2 2 1,7 1,5 1,3 | High |
| Juveniles and seedling costs | Economic sustainability: Production cost | €/kg | 0,1 | Very High High Medium Low Very Low | More than 1.1€/kg Between 0.9 and 1.1€/kg Between 0.7 and 0.9€/kg Between 0.5 and 0.7€/kg Less than 0.5€/kg | 1,1 1,1 0,9 0,7 0,5 | Very Low |
| Net Present Value | Economic sustainability: Profitability | € | 4546362 | High Medium Low | > 0 = 0 < 0 | 0 0 0 | High |
| Internal Rate of Return | Economic sustainability: Profitability | € | 87% | High Medium Low | > 6 % = 6 % < 6 % | 6% 6% 6% | High |
| Subsidies weight | Economic sustainability: Economic dependency | €/kg | 0 | High Medium Low | More than 0.42€/kg Between 0.22 and 0.42€/kg Less than 0.22€/kg | 0,42 0,42 0,22 | Low |
| Energy Yield Ratio | Environmental sustainability: Use local resources Economic sustainability: Resources dependency | # | 1,07 | High Medium | More than 2 Between 1,1 and 2 | 2 2 | Low |

| | | | | | | | |
|---|---|--------------------------|----------|-----------|-------------------------------------|----------|--------|
| Economic sustainability: Resources dependency | | | | Low | Less than 1,1 | 1,1 | |
| Production diversification | Economic sustainability: Level of sensitivity to pathology risks Environmental sustainability: To foster polyculture and integration of natural cycles | # | 1 | High | More than 5 species | 5 | Low |
| | | | | Medium | Between 2 and 5 species | 5 | |
| | | | | Low | 1 specie | 2 | |
| Biosecurity and good practices | Social sustainability: Respect of animal welfare Economic sustainability: Level of sensitivity to pathology risks Environmental sustainability: Protection of local fauna and flora | # | 4 | High | More than 3 | 4 | High |
| | | | | Medium | 2 or 3 | 4 | |
| | | | | Low | 0 or 1 | 2 | |
| Resistance to environmental constraints | Economic sustainability: Vulnerability | # | 11 | Very High | Less than 60% | 6 | High |
| | | | | High | Between 6 and 12 | 12 | |
| | | | | Medium | Between 12 and 18 | 18 | |
| | | | | Low | Between 18 and 24 | 24 | |
| | | | | Very Low | More than 24 | 24 | |
| Specialization rate | Economic sustainability: Resistance to commercial risks | % | 100,00% | High | More than 80% | 0,8 | High |
| | | | | Medium | Between 50 and 80% | 0,8 | |
| | | | | Low | Less than 50% | 0,5 | |
| Independence towards suppliers | Economic sustainability: Resistance to commercial risks Social sustainability: Quality of the relationship with suppliers and customers | # | 0 | High | More than 50% | 0,5 | Low |
| | | | | Medium | Between 30% and 50% | 0,5 | |
| | | | | Low | Less than 30% | 0,3 | |
| Independence towards customers | Economic sustainability: Resistance to commercial risks Social sustainability: Quality of the relationship with suppliers and customers | % | 17,86% | High | Less than 25% | 0,25 | High |
| | | | | Medium | Between 25 % and 50% | 0,5 | |
| | | | | Low | More than 50% | 0,5 | |
| Fish in Fish out Ratio | Environmental sustainability: Use sustainable resources for feed Economic sustainability: Resistance to commercial risks | # | 5,7 | Very High | More than 6 | 6 | High |
| | | | | High | Between 4.5 and 6 | 6 | |
| | | | | Medium | Between 3 and 4.5 | 4,5 | |
| | | | | Low | Between 1.5 and 3 | 3 | |
| | | | | Very Low | Less than 1.5 | 1,5 | |
| Interactions with professional institutions | Social sustainability: Quality of the relationship with professional institutions | NU | Option 1 | High | More than one interactions with | Option 3 | Low |
| | | | | Medium | More than one interactions with | Option 2 | |
| | | | | Low | Only one interaction (or less) with | Option 1 | |
| Professional involvement | Social sustainability: Quality of the relationship with professional institutions | # | 3 | High | More than 5 | 5 | Medium |
| | | | | Medium | Between 1 to 4 | 5 | |
| | | | | Low | No participation | 1 | |
| Workload | Social sustainability: Guarantee of staff protection and fulfilment | h/FTE/year | 2000 | High | More than 2200h | 2200 | Medium |
| | | | | Medium | Between 1600 and 2200h | 2200 | |
| | | | | Low | Less than 1600h | 1600 | |
| Health and safety | Social sustainability: Guarantee of staff protection and fulfilment | # days lost / 1000 hours | 0,75 | High | More than 2 | 2 | Low |
| | | | | Medium | Between 1 and 2 | 2 | |
| | | | | Low | Less than 1 | 1 | |
| Job difficulty appreciation | Social sustainability: Guarantee of staff protection and fulfilment | NU | Option 2 | High | The system is complex and requir | Option 3 | Medium |
| | | | | Medium | The system is quite complex but | Option 2 | |
| | | | | Low | The system is not complex and ca | Option 1 | |
| Labour remuneration | Social sustainability: Guarantee of staff protection and fulfilment | NU | 1,64 | High | More than 1.5 | 1,5 | High |
| | | | | Medium | Between 1 and 1.5 | 1,5 | |
| | | | | Low | Less than 1 | 1 | |
| Working status | Social sustainability: Conditions of employment | NU | 100,00% | High | More than 80% | 0,8 | High |
| | | | | Medium | Between 60 and 80% | 0,8 | |
| | | | | Low | Less than 60% | 0,6 | |
| Education level | Social sustainability: Conditions of employment | NU | 100,00% | High | More than 30% | 0,3 | High |
| | | | | Medium | Between 10 and 30% | 0,3 | |
| | | | | Low | Less than 10% | 0,1 | |
| Gender equality | Social sustainability: Conditions of employment | NU | Option 3 | High | More than 30% with no differenc | Option 1 | Low |
| | | | | Medium | More than 30% with difference o | Option 2 | |
| | | | | Low | Less than 30% or difference of sa | Option 3 | |
| Employment of worker with handicap | Social sustainability: Conditions of employment | NU | Yes | Yes | | | Yes |
| Fish physical damages | Social sustainability: Respect animal welfare | % | 10,00% | High | More than 20% | 0,2 | Medium |
| | | | | Medium | Between 4% and 20% | 0,2 | |

| | | | | | | | |
|--|---|-----------------------|-------------|-----------|-------------------------------------|----------|----------|
| | | | | Low | Less than 4% | 0,04 | |
| Stocking density | Social sustainability: Respect animal welfare | % | 20 | High | More than 45 kg/m³ | 45 | Low |
| | | | | Medium | Between 22 and 45 kg/m³ | 45 | |
| | | | | Low | Less than 22kg/m³ | 22 | |
| Assured supply of food products | Social sustainability: Contribution to food security | Ton of Dry Matter/FTE | 7,8 | Very High | More than 17,5 T/FTE | 17,5 | Medium |
| | | | | High | Between 12,5 and 17,5 T/FTE | 17,5 | |
| | | | | Medium | Between 7,5 and 12,5 T/FTE | 12,5 | |
| | | | | Low | Between 2,5 and 7,5 T/FTE | 7,5 | |
| | | | | Very Low | Less than 2,5 T/FTE | 2,5 | |
| Accessibility of products | Social sustainability: Contribution to food security | # | 6,57 | Very High | Less than 4 | 4 | Very Low |
| | | | | High | Between 4 and 4.5 | 4,5 | |
| | | | | Medium | Between 4.5 and 5.5 | 5,5 | |
| | | | | Low | Between 5.5 and 6.5 | 6,5 | |
| | | | | Very Low | More than 6.5 | 6,5 | |
| Contribution to employment | Social sustainability: Contribution to the local development | FTE/100000 € | 0,36 | Very High | More than 1.2 FTE/100000€ | 1,2 | Very Low |
| | | | | High | Between 0.9 and 1.2 FTE/100000 | 1,2 | |
| | | | | Medium | Between 0.7 and 0.9 FTE/100000 | 0,9 | |
| | | | | Low | Between 0.4 and 0.7 FTE/100000 | 0,7 | |
| | | | | Very Low | Less than 0.4 FTE/100000€ | 0,4 | |
| Feedstuff locally produced | Environmental sustainability: Use local resources Social sustainability: Contribution to the local development | % | 0,00% | High | More than 60% | 0,6 | Low |
| | | | | Medium | Between 40 and 60% | 0,6 | |
| | | | | Low | Less than 40% | 0,4 | |
| Education contribution | Social sustainability: Contribution to the local development | NU | Option 2 | High | At least one trainee hired and [at | Option 1 | Medium |
| | | | | Medium | At least one trainee hired or at le | Option 2 | |
| | | | | Low | No trainee hired and no educatio | Option 3 | |
| Health costs | Environmental sustainability: Negative local impact on ecosystems | €/kg | 0,18 | High | More than 0.06€/kg | 0,06 | High |
| | | | | Medium | Between 0.04 and 0.06€/kg | 0,04 | |
| | | | | Low | Less than 0.04€/kg | 0,04 | |
| Total Nitrogen emissions | Environmental sustainability: Negative local impact on ecosystems | kg/Ton | 22,72424615 | High | More than 94kg/T | 94 | Low |
| | | | | Medium | Between 40 and 94 kg/T | 94 | |
| | | | | Low | Less than 40 kg/T | 40 | |
| Suspended solid emissions | Environmental sustainability: Negative local impact on ecosystems | kg/Ton | 57,69 | High | More than 405kg/T | 405 | Medium |
| | | | | Medium | Between 57 and 405 kg/T | 405 | |
| | | | | Low | Less than 57 kg/T | 57 | |
| On farm ground surface used | Environmental sustainability: Negative local impact on ecosystems | m²/Ton | 272,31 | High | More than 4 m²/T | 4 | High |
| | | | | Medium | Between 0.2 and 4 m²/T | 4 | |
| | | | | Low | Less than 0.2m²/T | 0,2 | |
| Global warming potential | Environmental sustainability: Negative global impact on ecosystems | ton CO2 eq. / ton | 3,14 | Very High | More than 8 T/T | 8 | Low |
| | | | | High | Between 6 and 8 T/T | 8 | |
| | | | | Medium | Between 4.5 and 6 T/T | 6 | |
| | | | | Low | Between 2 and 4.5 T/T | 4,5 | |
| | | | | Very Low | Less than 2T/T | 2 | |
| Acidification potential | Environmental sustainability: Negative global impact on ecosystems | kg SO2 eq. / Ton | 12,8 | High | More than 35kg/T | 35 | Low |
| | | | | Medium | Between 15 and 35kg/T | 35 | |
| | | | | Low | Less than 15kg/T | 15 | |
| Eutrophication potential | Environmental sustainability: Negative global impact on ecosystems | kg PO43- eq/Ton | 34,3 | High | More than 70kg/T | 70 | Low |
| | | | | Medium | Between 35 and 70kg/T | 70 | |
| | | | | Low | Less than 35kg/T | 35 | |
| Percentage of renewability | Environmental sustainability: Use sustainable natural resources | % | 10,66% | High | More than 40% | 0,4 | Low |
| | | | | Medium | Between 20 and 40% | 0,4 | |
| | | | | Low | Less than 20% | 0,2 | |
| Percentage of wild juveniles and plants used | Environmental sustainability: Use sustainable natural resources | % | 0,00% | High | More than 50% | 0,5 | Low |
| | | | | Medium | Between 10 and 50% | 0,5 | |
| | | | | Low | Less than 10% | 0,1 | |
| Water demand | Environmental sustainability: To limit the use of resources | m³/kg | 124 | High | More than 125m³/kg | 125 | Medium |
| | | | | Medium | Between 10 and 125 m³/kg | 125 | |
| | | | | Low | Between 1 and 10 m³/kg | 10 | |

| | | | | | | | |
|---|---|------------|----------|----------------|--|----------------------|----------------|
| | | | | Very Low | Less than 1m³/kg | 1 | |
| Net primary production use | Environmental sustainability: To limit the use of resources | kg C eq/kg | 32 | High | More than 85kg/kg | 85 | Medium |
| | | | | Medium | Between 15 and 85 kg/kg | 85 | |
| | | | | Low | Less than 15kg/kg | 15 | |
| Global land competition | Environmental sustainability: To limit the use of resources | m²/Ton | 1000 | Very High | More than 5500m²/T | 5500 | Low |
| | | | | High | Between 2500 and 5500 m²/T | 5500 | |
| | | | | Medium | Between 1500 and 2500 m²/T | 2500 | |
| | | | | Low | Between 800 and 1500m²/T | 1500 | |
| | | | | Very Low | Less than 800m²/T | 800 | |
| Total cumulative energy demand | Environmental sustainability: To limit the use of resources | GJ/Ton | 105,8 | Very High | More than 110GJ/T | 110 | High |
| | | | | High | Between 70 and 110 GJ/T | 110 | |
| | | | | Medium | Between 45 and 70 GJ/T | 70 | |
| | | | | Low | Between 30 and 45 GJ/T | 45 | |
| | | | | Very Low | Less than 30GJ/T | 30 | |
| Percentage of nitrogen derived from co-products | Environmental sustainability: Limit production wastes and increase recycling | % | 0,0% | High | More than 50% | 0,5 | Low |
| | | | | Medium | Between 20 and 50% | 0,5 | |
| | | | | Low | Less than 20% | 0,2 | |
| Percentage of phosphorus recovered | Environmental sustainability: Limit production wastes and increase recycling | % | 18,00% | High | More than 30% | 0,3 | Medium |
| | | | | Medium | Between 10 and 30% | 0,3 | |
| | | | | Low | Less than 10% | 0,1 | |
| Percentage of renewable energy used | Environmental sustainability: To limit the use of resources | % | 0,00% | High | More than 50% | 0,5 | Low |
| | | | | Medium | Between 20% to 50% | 0,5 | |
| | | | | Low | Less than 20% | 0,2 | |
| Nitrogen use efficiency | Environmental sustainability: Feed efficiency | % | 58,38% | High | More than 30% | 0,3 | High |
| | | | | Medium | Between 15 and 30% | 0,3 | |
| | | | | Low | Less than 15% | 0,15 | |
| Predator control | Environmental sustainability: Protection of local fauna and flora species | NU | Option 1 | Not acceptable | Use of lethal predator control (or Non-use of lethal predator control) | Option 1 Option 2 | Not acceptable |
| Multi-trophic integration | Environmental sustainability: To foster polyculture and integration of natural cycles | # | 1 | High | 3 trophic levels or more | 3 | Low |
| | | | | Medium | 2 trophic levels | 3 | |
| | | | | Low | 1 trophic level | 2 | |
| Escapees management | Environmental sustainability: Maintenance of genetic diversity | % | 0,00% | High | More than 4% | 0,04 | Low |
| | | | | Medium | Between 0.5% and 4% | 0,04 | |
| | | | | Low | Less than 0.5% | 0,005 | |