

## Supplementary Information

**Table S1.** Summary of top 3 performing architecture hyperparameter configurations based on Bayesian optimization for each scan site.

		CNN Depth	Node Size	Multiplier	Filter Size	Dropout	Accuracy
RUQ	1 <sup>st</sup>	4	13	1.99356	7	3	0.867
	2 <sup>nd</sup>	5	16	1.96767	7	8	0.859
	3 <sup>rd</sup>	5	16	1.93826	7	8	0.857
BLD	1 <sup>st</sup>	2	2	1.61756	6	2	0.575
	2 <sup>nd</sup>	4	10	1.91307	7	5	0.57
	3 <sup>rd</sup>	5	11	1.86532	2	8	0.569
PTX_B	1 <sup>st</sup>	3	16	1.82879	5	1	0.747
	2 <sup>nd</sup>	3	13	1.02141	2	5	0.744
	3 <sup>rd</sup>	3	16	1.17496	4	1	0.74
PTX_M	1 <sup>st</sup>	2	16	1.00818	3	3	0.86
	2 <sup>nd</sup>	2	2	1.47797	3	9	0.853
	3 <sup>rd</sup>	2	12	1.02064	3	3	0.845
HTX_B	1 <sup>st</sup>	4	16	1.008018	3	6	0.854
	2 <sup>nd</sup>	4	13	1.941593	5	6	0.842
	3 <sup>rd</sup>	5	16	1.677502	2	3	0.836
HTX_M	1 <sup>st</sup>	3	16	1.832214	2	9	0.874
	2 <sup>nd</sup>	4	16	1.884837	2	9	0.866
	3 <sup>rd</sup>	3	16	1.192284	2	9	0.844

**Table S2.** Blind test performance metric summary for each model architecture for the RUQ scan site. Results are shown for each metric as mean values across the 5 LOSO runs with standard deviation shown in parentheses. Heat map overlay is setup for green coloring to indicate the stronger performing model for each row, metric.

RUQ Scan Site Results							
	Simple	Optimized 1	Optimized 2	Optimized 3	ShrapML	MobileNetV2	DarkNet53
Accuracy	0.71(±0.07)	0.7(±0.08)	0.66(±0.09)	0.72(±0.09)	0.73(±0.08)	0.74(±0.09)	0.67(±0.13)
AUROC	0.78(±0.12)	0.76(±0.05)	0.74(±0.06)	0.76(±0.11)	0.81(±0.06)	0.79(±0.06)	0.72(±0.16)
F1	0.71(±0.08)	0.72(±0.06)	0.68(±0.09)	0.72(±0.09)	0.75(±0.06)	0.73(±0.14)	0.65(±0.22)
Precision	0.72(±0.15)	0.73(±0.18)	0.68(±0.18)	0.74(±0.16)	0.75(±0.16)	0.77(±0.14)	0.67(±0.19)
Recall	0.74(±0.2)	0.76(±0.19)	0.74(±0.2)	0.74(±0.16)	0.79(±0.14)	0.72(±0.22)	0.7(±0.31)
Specificity	0.67(±0.2)	0.64(±0.3)	0.58(±0.27)	0.69(±0.24)	0.68(±0.26)	0.76(±0.18)	0.64(±0.26)

\*Results shown as Average (± Standard Deviation)



