

Article

A Possible Cosmological Application of Some Thermodynamic Properties of the Black Body Radiation in n -Dimensional Euclidean Spaces

Julian Gonzalez-Ayala *, Jennifer Perez-Oregon, Rubén Cordero and Fernando Angulo-Brown

Departamento de Física, Escuela Superior de Física y Matemáticas, Instituto Politécnico Nacional, Edificio 9, CP 07738, Mexico; E-Mails: jnnfr@esfm.ipn.mx (J.P.-O.); cordero@esfm.ipn.mx (R.C.); angulo@esfm.ipn.mx (F.A.-B.)

* Author to whom correspondence should be addressed; E-Mail: julian@esfm.ipn.mx.

Academic Editor: George Ruppeiner

Received: 18 March 2015 / Accepted: 16 June 2015 / Published: 29 June 2015

Abstract: In this work, we present the generalization of some thermodynamic properties of the black body radiation (BBR) towards an n -dimensional Euclidean space. For this case, the Planck function and the Stefan–Boltzmann law have already been given by Landsberg and de Vos and some adjustments by Menon and Agrawal. However, since then, not much more has been done on this subject, and we believe there are some relevant aspects yet to explore. In addition to the results previously found, we calculate the thermodynamic potentials, the efficiency of the Carnot engine, the law for adiabatic processes and the heat capacity at constant volume. There is a region at which an interesting behavior of the thermodynamic potentials arises: maxima and minima appear for the n -dimensional BBR system at very high temperatures and low dimensionality, suggesting a possible application to cosmology. Finally, we propose that an optimality criterion in a thermodynamic framework could be related to the 3-dimensional nature of the universe.

Keywords: black body radiation; n -dimensional Euclidean space; cosmology

1. Introduction

Along much of the 20th century, there had been many contributions to the development of a thermodynamic treatment of relativity and cosmology [1–3]: since the extension of thermodynamics

to special relativity, first carried out by Planck [4] and by Einstein [5] and after to general relativity [1], until the thermodynamic approaches to cosmology [2,3,6].

On the other hand, Kaluza in 1921 [7] and Klein in 1926 [8] proposed a generalization of general relativity to unify gravitation and electromagnetism by using a five-dimensional geometrical model of space-time. Since then, many n -dimensional models within cosmology have been proposed [9–19]. In 1989, Landsberg and de Vos [20] made an n -dimensional generalization of the Planck distribution, the Wien displacement law and the Stefan–Boltzmann law for black body radiation (BBR) within a zero curvature space. These authors also calculated the total internal energy U of radiation filling a hypervolume V leading to the recognition of the system as an ideal quantum gas obeying $pV = \varepsilon U$, p being the pressure and ε a constant given by $\varepsilon = \frac{1}{n}$. Recently, there has been great interest in systems satisfying this kind of equation of state with ε positive or negative ($\varepsilon < -\frac{1}{3}$) for the case of an expanding accelerated universe [21,22]. Later, Menon and Agrawal [23] modified the expression for the Stefan–Boltzmann constant in n -dimensions found by Landsberg and De Vos by using the appropriate spin-degeneracy factor of the photon without affecting the curves of the normalized Planck spectrum found by Landsberg and De Vos. In 1990, Barrow and Hawthorne investigated the behavior of matter and radiation in thermal equilibrium in an n -dimensional space in the early universe; in particular, they calculated the number of particles N , the pressure p and the energy density u [9]. In all of these cases, the results have to do with an isotropic and homogeneous average universe. Within this context, in the present paper, in addition to the Landsberg–de Vos and Barrow–Hawthorne results for BB-radiation in an n -dimensional space, we calculate for this system the thermodynamic potentials, the efficiency of a Carnot cycle, the equation of adiabatic processes and the heat capacity at constant volume. By using Planck units, it is possible to find new and interesting information about the BBR thermodynamic potentials. A peculiar behavior in the potentials appear at very high temperatures and low dimensionality, suggesting that a cosmological approach of this phenomenon could give some clues about the nature of the dimensionality of our space. The need for understanding this aspect of our universe can be tracked to the ancient Greece [24]. In modern times, this problem was first studied by Kant in 1746 [25] and later, among others, by Ehrenfest in 1917 [26], Barrow in 1983 [24], Brandenberger and Vafa in 1989 [6] and Tegmark in 1997 [27] (see also [19,28–30]).

On the other hand, some corrections have been proposed in the context of loop quantum gravity (LQG) by changing the dispersion relation of photons in an n -dimensional flat space [31]. Since the region of interest is the one with a very high temperature, we will incorporate these corrections to the dispersion relation and the Planck function in order to find the corresponding modification of the thermodynamic potentials.

The article is organized as follows: In Section 2, the generalization of the thermodynamic potentials, the pressure and the chemical potential to n space dimensions is showed. In Section 3, the equation for adiabatic processes is found. In Section 4, the efficiency of a Carnot cycle is calculated. In Section 5, an analysis of critical points of the thermodynamic potentials is made, which suggests a possible application to cosmology, which is addressed in Section 6. In Section 7, a modification to the dispersion relation stemming from LQG theory is incorporated into the analysis. Finally, in Section 8, some conclusions are presented. Appendices A and B contain some mathematical calculations including the Carnot efficiency.

2. Generalization to n Dimensions

For a black body in an n -dimensional space, it is known [9,20,23,32–34] that the distribution of modes in the interval ν to $\nu + d\nu$ is,

$$g(\nu, n, V) d\nu = \frac{2(n-1) \pi^{\frac{n}{2}} V \nu^{n-1}}{\Gamma\left(\frac{n}{2}\right) c^n} d\nu \quad (1)$$

where V is the hypervolume, n the dimensionality of the space and $\Gamma\left(\frac{n}{2}\right)$ the gamma function evaluated in $\frac{n}{2}$. The differential spectral energy is,

$$dU_\nu(\nu, T, V, n) = \frac{2(n-1) \pi^{\frac{n}{2}} V h \nu^n}{\Gamma\left(\frac{n}{2}\right) c^n (e^{\frac{h\nu}{kT}} - 1)} d\nu \quad (2)$$

which coincides with the expression presented in [32] and [33]. Integration over the frequency gives the total internal energy,

$$U(T, n, V) = \frac{2(n-1) \pi^{\frac{n}{2}} V (kT)^{n+1}}{\Gamma\left(\frac{n}{2}\right) c^n h^n} \int_{\chi=0}^{\infty} \frac{\chi^n}{e^\chi - 1} d\chi \quad (3)$$

where $\chi \equiv \frac{h\nu}{kT}$. The above integral is equal to the product of Riemann's zeta function $\zeta(n+1)$ and $\Gamma(n+1)$, as is shown in Appendix A. Then, the energy volume density $u = U/V$ is,

$$u(T, n) = \frac{2(n-1) \pi^{\frac{n}{2}} (kT)^{n+1} \zeta(n+1) \Gamma(n+1)}{c^n h^n \Gamma\left(\frac{n}{2}\right)} \quad (4)$$

which reduces to the usual T^4 -law for $n = 3$. Equation (4) was found also by Barrow and Hawthorne [9]. The Helmholtz function $F(n, V, T)$ is given by the following expression [35],

$$F(n, V, T) = -kT \int_{\nu=0}^{\infty} \ln(Z_{ph}(\nu, T)) g(\nu, n, V) d\nu \quad (5)$$

The last integral is solved in Appendix A, and the final form of $F(n, V, T)$ is,

$$\begin{aligned} F &= -\frac{kT 2(n-1) \pi^{\frac{n}{2}} V (kT)^n \zeta(n+1) \Gamma(n+1)}{nc^n h^n \Gamma\left(\frac{n}{2}\right)} \\ &= -\frac{1}{n} U = -\frac{V}{n} u \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

Now, we calculate the entropy S . Since its relation with $F(n, V, T)$ is given by [35],

$$S = -\left(\frac{\partial F}{\partial T}\right)_V$$

and according to Equation (6), it turns out to be,

$$S = \left(\frac{n+1}{n} \right) \frac{U}{T} \quad (7)$$

From the Helmholtz function, it is also possible to calculate the pressure p [35],

$$p = - \left(\frac{\partial F}{\partial V} \right)_T \quad (8)$$

From Equation (6), it immediately follows that,

$$p = \left(\frac{1}{n} \right) u \quad (9)$$

On the other hand, U , F and S are consistent with the Helmholtz function expression [35],

$$U = F + TS \quad (10)$$

In the same manner, the enthalpy H can be calculated as follows,

$$H \equiv U + pV = \left(\frac{n+1}{n} \right) U \quad (11)$$

Comparing Equations (7) and (11), we see that $H = TS$ for the BBR system. The Gibbs free energy is zero just as it happens in the case $n = 3$:

$$G \equiv F + pV = 0 \quad (12)$$

From the three-dimensional case, it is known that the chemical potential μ of photons is zero, and because this is related to the Gibbs free energy by the equation [35],

$$\mu = \left(\frac{\partial G}{\partial N} \right)_{T,p}$$

then it is concluded that this quantity is zero regardless of the number of the space's dimensions. Since an isobaric process for the BBR is at the same time isothermal, then the calorific capacity at constant pressure $C_p \equiv \left(\frac{\partial H}{\partial T} \right)_p$ is undefined [36]. On the other hand, C_V can be calculated as usual,

$$C_V = \left(\frac{\partial U}{\partial T} \right)_V = \frac{n+1}{T} U \quad (13)$$

This result agrees with the obtained for the three-dimensional case. In fact, all of these results agree with the well-known three-dimensional cases. It is worthwhile to mention that the Planck function has been obtained within different contexts, from a formulation based in statistical mechanics [20,35], considering special relativity [37–39], in the particle field theory framework and from electrodynamics. Additionally, with the exception of a multiplicative factor related to the spin multiplicity of the photons, the same Planck function is valid for scalar particles, photons and gravitons [9,20,21,32,33].

3. Adiabatic Processes.

From the first law of thermodynamics:

$$dU = \bar{d}Q - pdV$$

in an adiabatic process, the exchange of heat is zero, then:

$$dU = -pdV \quad (14)$$

because $U = uV$ and also $u = np$, then,

$$nVdp + npdV = -pdV$$

and:

$$pV^{\frac{n+1}{n}} = \text{constant} \quad (15)$$

which, for $n = 3$, agrees with the well-known equation $pV^{\frac{4}{3}} = \text{constant}$. Let us consider a hypercube with volume $V = R^n$ as the black body system, where R is the length of its sides. By using Equation (4) and the expression for p in Equation (9), the adiabatic condition for two different states is:

$$R = \frac{T_0 R_0}{T} = \frac{T_P l_P}{T} \quad (16)$$

where R_0 and T_0 are some initial conditions, and since we are dealing with a region close to the Planck scale, it could be considered that the initial conditions are the temperature and length of Planck T_P and l_P .

4. Carnot Cycle

By definition, the efficiency η of a heat engine is given by:

$$\eta \equiv \frac{|W|}{|Q_1|} = \frac{|Q_1| - |Q_2|}{|Q_1|} = 1 - \frac{|Q_2|}{|Q_1|}$$

where W is the work and Q_1 and Q_2 are the input and output heats, respectively. Let us consider a heat engine working between two reservoirs with temperatures T_1 and T_2 ($T_1 > T_2$) with black body radiation as the working substance. As we know, a Carnot cycle consists of two isothermal processes at temperatures T_1 and T_2 and two adiabatic processes. The calculation for this efficiency is given in Appendix B, where one obtains the already familiar expression for the Carnot efficiency,

$$\eta_c = 1 - \frac{T_2}{T_1}$$

showing that the Carnot efficiency agrees with the three-dimensional case. Moreover, this quantity is invariant under the number of dimensions. What this means is that there is an upper limit to the efficiency for a thermodynamic engine in any n -dimensional Euclidean space. This is important, since this fact establishes the second law of thermodynamics as a more general principle [40].

5. Critical Points of the Thermodynamic Potentials

Now, we shall analyze the behavior of energy, entropy and Helmholtz free energy densities (see Figures 1 to 3). All of the potentials have a region of concavity or convexity along the n -axis. Here, n is just a parameter, and it is not a thermodynamic variable, since there is not a conjugate variable whose product has energy units and appears in the Gibbs equation. The units used are the so-called Planck or natural units [41]. In this scale, the Planck temperature is $T_P = 1.42 \times 10^{32} K$, and the energy of Planck is $E_P = 1.9561 \times 10^9 J$. In each plot, the most relevant region is the one at high temperatures and low dimensions, as can be seen in Figures 1–3.




Figure 1. Energy density $u(n, T)$ given by Equation (4).




Figure 2. Helmholtz free energy density $f(n, T) = F(V, n, T)/V$ (see Equation (6)).

The functions $u(n, T)$, $f(n, T)$ and $s(n, T)$ do not have a global maximum nor minimum, but for a given temperature, we can find both local maxima and minima for each potential along the n -axis. These critical points are located in a certain range of n and T . Outside this region, the function presents a monotonic growth, because of the contribution of the term $\zeta(n+1)\Gamma(n+1)$. One can analyze the first and second derivatives with respect to the dimension with the aim of determining whether the maxima and minima are restricted to a certain dimensionality. For example, at constant temperature, one can go from a minor to a major dimensionality, passing from positive to negative derivatives (or *vice versa*) and the changes of sign of the derivatives are the maxima (or minima) of the energy density. As the

temperature increases, the minima and maxima get closer to each other until they reach a saddle point (see Figure 4).




Figure 3. Entropy density $s(n, T) = S(V, n, T) / V$ (see Equation (7)).




Figure 4. First derivative in the dimension for the energy density $u(n, T)$ given by Equation (4). The vertical dashed line marks the location of the saddle point, located at $n < 5$. The horizontal dashed line represents an isothermal process, in which by increasing the dimension from $n = 1$ to $n = 25$, the black body radiation (BBR) system has an increasing energy density u (positive derivative); then, it reaches a local maximum and decreases (negative derivative) until it reaches a local minimum, and then, u increases again. The saddle point is located at approximately $n \approx 4.8$. If n is considered as an integer number, the maxima appear at $n \leq 4$ and the minima at $n > 4$, as summarized in Table 1.

One point is of special interest, the point at which one can no longer obtain any of both extreme points (nor minima nor maxima). The saddle point separates the regions of maxima and minima. This is summarized in Table 1. Remarkably, the behavior of maxima, minima and saddle points (critical points) of f , s and u along the n -axis resembles the behavior of some first-order phase transitions in state equations of the van der Waals type, for example. For the case $-f = p$ in the BBR system, the plane p versus n is in general terms geometrically analogous to the plane p versus V in the van der Waals

case [42]. However, evidently, V is the conjugate thermodynamic variable of p ; meanwhile, n does not have this property with respect to p . We believe this analogy deserves to be deepened. In the case of the Helmholtz free energy, it would be of interest to determine if n can take the role of the parameter of order in phase transitions. Furthermore, in stability analysis, the stability points are found where the critical points of f , u and s are located.

Table 1. The locations of the maxima and minima of energy, Helmholtz free energy, entropy and enthalpy densities are restricted to certain dimensionalities.

	$u(n, T)$	$f(n, T)$	$s(n, T)$	$h(n, T)$
Maxima in	$n \lesssim 4$	$n > 3$	$n \lesssim 4$	$n \lesssim 4$
Minima in	$n > 4$	$n \lesssim 3$	$n > 4$	$n > 4$

If a hypersphere is considered as the black body system, the total thermodynamic potential can be found. The volume of this hypersphere of radius R is given by the expression [35],

$$V = \frac{\pi^{\frac{n}{2}}}{\Gamma(\frac{1}{2}n + 1)} R^n$$

In Figures 5–7, the thermodynamic potentials $U(n, T, R)$, $F(n, T, R)$, and $S(n, T, R)$ are shown (see Equations (3), (6) and (7) respectively),




Figure 5. Energy $U(n, T, R = 0.9)$ given by Equation (3).




Figure 6. Helmholtz free energy $F(n, T, R = 0.9)$ given by Equation (6).




Figure 7. Entropy $S(n, T, R = 0.9)$ given by Equation (7).

In these cases, we cannot find any restriction in the dimensionality at which the maxima or minima would appear. The variables R , T and n can be combined in several ways in order to produce critical points at any dimension.

6. A Possible Simple Application to Cosmology

Since the works by Kaluza and Klein [7,8], many proposals about the universe's models with dimensionality different from three have been published [7,8,18,20,22,23,32–34,43–45]. Remarkably, this has been the case for papers stemming from string, D -branes (where D is for dimensionality) and gauge theories [10–14,17,22]. However, nowadays, we only have evidence for a universe with three space and one time dimensions. In the present section, we make a simple thermodynamic analysis leading to a scenario of a universe with only three space dimensions. This scenario is present within the region of high temperatures and low dimensionality mentioned in the previous section.

Temperatures as high as 10^{32} K are only possible in a very primeval time in the evolution of the universe. It is known that the period dominated by radiation is from $t = 10^{-44}$ s to $t \approx 10^{10}$ s [46], that means that in this period, the universe could have been well described by a spatially-flat, radiation-only model [47]. Thus, considering the whole primeval universe as a black body system in a Euclidean space is in principle a reasonable approach.

In some textbooks [48,49], the critical energy density at the time of $t \approx 1t_P$ was about $u \approx 1E_P/V_P$ (V_P is a Planck volume) and the temperature approximately $T \approx 1T_P$. The energy density and temperature obtained when the maximum of the energy density is located at $n = 3$ (see Figure 2) are surprisingly near the values referred to above.

For example, according to string theories, at some point (at the end of the Planck epoch), the rest of the dimensions remain small, and only the known three-dimensional space grew bigger. Another possibility is the mechanism known as dimensional reduction in the context of the Kaluza–Klein model [50]. Although compact dimensions are not addressed in the context of this article, modifications to the integral with a cut-off frequency due to a finite size of the universe only introduce small corrections, as will be pointed out later. The remaining question is: why do we live in a 3-dimensional universe?

Let us suppose that by some sort of mechanism, the dimension of space was changed from a given initial value of the spatial dimensions to a final state with $n = 3$. In this scenario, a change of energy can be obtained as is shown in Table 2.

Table 2. Energy (Equation (4)) at $T = 0.65T_p$ within a Planck volume. $T = 0.65T_p$ is an arbitrary value close to T_P .

n	$u [E_p/V_P]$	$E (GeV/V_P)$
2	0.11	1.3E18
3	0.12	1.4E18
4	0.11	1.3E18
9	0.08	0.9E19
25	1.35	1.65E19

If such transitions would occur between an initial and a final dimension, a difference of energy could exist. In some cases, the “missing” energy represents a significant part of the total initial energy, as can be seen in Table 3.

Table 3. Change of energy at the temperature of $T = 0.65T_p$ in a Planck volume.

$n_{initial}$	n_{final}	ΔE_p	$\%E_{missing}$
2	3	−0.01	−11.8
4	3	−0.008	−7
9	3	−0.04	−54.3
10	3	−0.04	−54.5
25	3	1.24	91.3

The energy difference between an initial and the final state is small for $n = 2$ and $n = 4$; for $n \leq 10$, the total energy is lower than the case $n = 3$ for a Planck volume. In the cases $n = 9, 10, 25$, the difference of energy may be relevant, and the questions of “where did that energy go?” and “could it be in some extra compactified dimensions?” may be relevant. In this model, we do not treat with compact dimensions, but this can be achieved by considering a maximum wave length in the integration of Equation (2). For example, in a $9 + 1$ space-time, the six extra spatial dimensions are supposed to be compactified, with a compactification related to the Planck scale [32]. Table 3 means that a 3-dimensional universe should have been 0.89-times less energetic to form a two-dimensional space, 0.93-times less energetic for a four-dimensional space, 0.64-times less energetic for a 10-dimensional space and 11.5-times more energetic to form a 25-dimensional space. By increasing the temperature up to $1T_P$, the difference between these energies is larger. For $T > 0.72T_P$, the energy does not have any critical point, and the energy difference grows considerably for each initial dimensionality scenario.

Interestingly, the simple BBR system discussed here has a reasonable qualitative behavior relative to the universe’s entropy. It is believed that the early universe was in a state of incredibly low entropy [51], and since then, the entropy has been growing according to the second law of thermodynamics. If we use the expression for the 3-dimensional BBR entropy ($S = 4aVT^3/3$, with $a = 4\sigma/c$) for calculating S_0 at the Planck scale and S_f at the present time, immediately, one obtains $S_0 \approx 10^{-23}$ J/K and $S_f \approx 10^{76}$ J/K, respectively. These values in Planck units are $S_0 \approx 1$ and $S_f \approx 10^{99}$, which are within the range of other estimates [51]. It should be noted that, in fact, if we consider the cosmological evolution of the

universe, the entropy is conserved in the radiation-dominated era. However, the photons' entropy grows due to the additional influence in the evolution of the universe, taking into account inflation, dark matter and dark energy components.

Notice that from Equation (16) in an adiabatic expansion we have $T \propto 1/R$, then, as the size of the universe decreases to zero (time is also tending to zero), the temperature increases to infinity, which may be related to an initial singularity.

If we want to include the evolution of the universe in our proposal, we could make the following suppositions. If we extrapolate the validity of the Einstein equations to just after the end of the Planck era, the corresponding fluid equation in an n -dimensional space reads:

$$\dot{u} + n \frac{\dot{a}}{a} (u + p) = 0$$

For radiation $p = \frac{u}{n}$, and the dependence of the energy density u in terms of the scale factor a is $u = \frac{u_0}{a^{n+1}}$. The evolution of the the scale factor can be calculated from the Friedmann equation for a spatially-flat Universe:

$$\left(\frac{\dot{a}}{a}\right)^2 = \kappa \frac{u_0}{a^{n+1}}$$

where κ could be related to the gravitational constant in n -dim G_n , ($\kappa = 4\pi^{n/2}G_n/n(n-2)\Gamma(n/2)$; see [52]) or to the Plank length in 3-dim ($\kappa = 16\pi l_p^{n+1}/n(n-1)$; see [53]).

From the Friedmann equation, we get:

$$a = \left(\frac{t}{t_0}\right)^{\frac{2}{n+1}} \quad (17)$$

where $t_0 = (4/\kappa U_0(n+1)^2)^{1/2}$ is the present time, and the evolution of the energy density is described by:

$$u = \frac{4}{\kappa(n+1)^2} t^{-2}$$

Comparing the last equation with the Stefan–Boltzmann law in n -dim, we obtain the dependence of the temperature of the radiation-dominated universe with time and the scale factor:

$$\left(\frac{T}{T_P}\right)^{n+1} = \gamma \left(\frac{t_p}{t}\right)^2 \quad (18)$$

where:

$$\gamma = \frac{2^{n+1}\pi^{n/2}\Gamma(\frac{n}{2})}{(n^2-1)(n+1)\zeta(n+1)\Gamma(n+1)} \left(\frac{l_p^{n-2}}{\kappa m_p}\right) \quad (19)$$

However, there are several points that should be taken into account when the evolution of the universe is considered. The horizon distance is finite and could be calculated as follows:

$$d_h(t) = c \int_0^t \frac{dt}{a(t)} = \left(\frac{n+1}{n-1}\right) l_p \left(\frac{t}{t_p}\right) \quad (20)$$

This finite distance imposes an upper limit for the wavelength of photons inside the horizon and then a minimum frequency, and as a consequence, the dimensionless constants that describe the black body radiation should be changed, because they are calculated by means of integrals of the form:

$$\int_{\chi=0}^{\infty} \frac{\chi^n}{e^\chi - 1} d\chi$$

where $\chi = \frac{h\nu}{kT}$. Considering that $\lambda_{max} \sim d_h$, the minimum χ value is:

$$\chi_{min} = \frac{2\pi}{\sqrt{\gamma}} \frac{n-1}{n+1} \left(\frac{T}{T_P} \right)^{\frac{n-1}{2}}$$

For example, for $n = 3$ and $T = 0.9T_P$, the original integral should be reduced by a factor of order 10^{-3} when the value of χ_{min} is considered. However, for $T = 0.08T_P$, the two integrals are different by approximately 5%. For higher values of n , the difference between the integrals is even smaller.

In classical standard treatments, the energy density in a flat, radiation-only 3-dimensional universe is [47]

$$u(t) = \frac{3}{32\pi} \frac{E_P}{l_P^3} \left(\frac{t}{t_P} \right)^{-2}$$

with a horizon distance:

$$d_{hor}(t) = 2l_P \left(\frac{t}{t_P} \right) = 2 \left(\frac{3}{32\pi} \frac{E_P}{l_P^3} u(t) \right)^{1/2}$$

In an n -dim Euclidean space with a volume $V = \frac{\pi^{\frac{n}{2}}}{\Gamma(\frac{1}{2}n+1)} d_{hor}^n$ (sphere of radius d_{hor}), the total number of particles is then:

$$N(T, d_{hor}, n) = \frac{2(n-1)\pi^{\frac{n}{2}}(kT)^n \zeta(n) \Gamma(n)}{c^n h^n \Gamma(\frac{n}{2})} \times \frac{\pi^{\frac{n}{2}}}{\Gamma(\frac{1}{2}n+1)} d_{hor}^n \quad (21)$$

By using Equations (17) and (19), it is possible to find the following expression:

$$d_{hor} = \left(\frac{n+1}{n-1} \right) l_P \sqrt{\gamma} \left(\frac{T_P}{T} \right)^{\frac{n+1}{2}} \quad (22)$$

from Equation (18) and considering the value $\kappa = \frac{16\pi l_P^{n-1}}{n(n-1)}$ from [53], then,

$$\gamma = \frac{2^{n-3} n \pi^{\frac{n}{2}-1} \Gamma(\frac{n}{2})}{(n+1)^2 \zeta(n+1) \Gamma(n+1)} \left(\frac{l_P}{t_P^2 E_P} \right) \quad (23)$$

For $n = 3$ and a temperature $T = 0.65T_P$, the number of particles is $N \approx 100$; meanwhile, for $n = 9$, $N \approx 10^6$. That is, in low dimensionality, the statistical behavior is not accurate. In fact, as $T \rightarrow T_P$, the number of photons tends to unity. The latter should be corrected if one pursues including the effects of the evolution of the universe near the Planck scale and the behavior of the black body radiation in the universe. The former issue is a very complicated problem, because the speed of light depends on the energy, and the quantum effects have to be incorporated by means of loop quantum cosmology, for example. One of the possible modifications consists of a different form of the dependence of the energy density on the scale factor, $\varepsilon = \varepsilon_0 \alpha(a) a^{-4}$ (see [54]), where α is calculated from loop quantum cosmology.

In the previous section, we saw that the maxima and minima are restricted to a certain dimensionality; thus, it could be a worthy effort to explore whether some thermodynamic property could intervene in the construction of a three-dimensional universe.

It is possible from this generalization of the Planck function to an Euclidean n -dimensional space to incorporate some corrections. Given the range of high temperatures, a modification of the dispersion relation found in LQG can be considered.

7. LQG Corrections

There is a large number of people in the scientific community that accept the possibility of the existence of extra dimensions besides the $3 + 1$ known space-time dimensions. If one is willing to give the black body radiation a cosmological context, it is necessary to ask about the applicability of the above ideas in the regime of very high energies and temperatures. Nowadays, the necessity of having a theory that unifies the quantum world with general relativity in order to understand the possible scenarios of the high energy and the physics near the Planck epoch better is clear. One path to do this is by the modification of general relativity, that is quantum gravity, and this is the scope given by some important research programs. In the context of brane theories, it is possible to modify the geometrical part of the Einstein equations to incorporate extra dimensions, which have had certain success in explaining the dark energy problem and the interpretations of astronomical data [31]. On the other hand, the extra dimensions are considered to have the size of the Planck scale and remain in a certain way hidden from our detectors [10–14,17]. In a variety of quantum gravity treatments, string theory, LQG and doubly-special relativity, models based on non-commutative geometry, there exists a common topic: the dispersion relation modification. Some of these are related to addressing black hole thermodynamic problems. Nozari and Anvari in [31] proposed a modification of the Planck law. This was made by using a modified dispersion relation showed in [31,55–59], in which the square of the energy-momentum vector is:

$$\vec{p}^2 \simeq E^2 - \mu^2 + \alpha L_P^2 E^4 + \alpha' L_P^4 E^6 + \mathcal{O}(L_P^6 E^8) \quad (24)$$

where L_P is the Planck length and it depends on the dimensionality of the space-time [57–59], E is the energy and α and α' take different values depending on the details of the quantum gravity candidates. Finally, the spectral energy density in the interval ν to $\nu + d\nu$ shown in [31] is,

$$g(\nu) \nu d\nu = \frac{2\pi^{\frac{n}{2}} (n-1) \nu^n}{\Gamma(\frac{n}{2}) (e^{\nu/T} - 1)} \left\{ 1 + \left(\frac{3}{2} + \frac{n-1}{2} \right) \alpha \nu^2 + \left[\alpha^2 \left(-\frac{5}{8} + \frac{3(n-1)}{4} \right) + \alpha' \left(\frac{5}{2} + \frac{n-1}{2} \right) \right] \nu^4 \right\} d\nu \quad (25)$$

In this way, the internal energy density is given by the following expression (see [31]),

$$u(n, T) = \frac{2\pi^{\frac{n}{2}} (n-1) T^{n+1}}{\Gamma(\frac{n}{2})} \left\{ \Gamma(n+1) \zeta(n+1) + \left(\frac{3}{2} + \frac{n-1}{2} \right) \alpha T^2 \Gamma(n+3) \zeta(n+3) + \left[\alpha^2 \left(-\frac{5}{8} + \frac{3(n-1)}{4} \right) + \alpha' \left(\frac{5}{2} + \frac{n-1}{2} \right) \right] T^4 \Gamma(n+5) \zeta(n+5) \right\} \quad (26)$$

and from Equation (5) and Appendix A, the Helmholtz free energy density now is,

$$f(n, T) = -\frac{2\pi^{\frac{n}{2}} (n-1) T^{n+1}}{\Gamma(\frac{n}{2})} \left\{ \frac{\Gamma(n+1) \zeta(n+1)}{n} + \frac{\left(\frac{3}{2} + \frac{n-1}{2} \right) \alpha T^2 \Gamma(n+3) \zeta(n+3)}{n+2} + \left[\alpha^2 \left(-\frac{5}{8} + \frac{3(n-1)}{4} \right) + \alpha' \left(\frac{5}{2} + \frac{n-1}{2} \right) \right] \frac{T^4 \Gamma(n+5) \zeta(n+5)}{n+4} \right\} \quad (27)$$

One relevant aspect is that it is possible to get the same interesting behavior of the thermodynamic potential densities mentioned before, but one order of magnitude smaller in the temperature than in the

model with no LQG modifications, as can be seen in Figure 8 (see Figure 4 for comparison). More importantly, the saddle point is located at $n \approx 3.2$. The location of the saddle point depends on the values of α and α' of the quantum gravity candidates.




Figure 8. First derivative in the dimension for the energy density $u(n, T)$ given by Equation (26). The values of $\alpha = 0.1$ and $\alpha' = 2.28$ are such that the curves shown in [31] are reproduced.

By using Equation (7), we can calculate the entropy, which is the following,

$$s(n, T) = \frac{2\pi^{\frac{n}{2}} (n-1) T^n}{\Gamma(\frac{n}{2})} \left\{ \frac{\Gamma(n+1) \zeta(n+1) (n+1)}{n} + \frac{(\frac{3}{2} + \frac{n-1}{2}) \alpha T^2 \Gamma(n+3) \zeta(n+3) (n+3)}{n+2} \right. \\ \left. + \left[\alpha^2 \left(-\frac{5}{8} + \frac{3(n-1)}{4} \right) + \alpha' \left(\frac{5}{2} + \frac{n-1}{2} \right) \right] \frac{T^4 \Gamma(n+5) \zeta(n+5) (n+5)}{n+4} \right\} \quad (28)$$

and fulfills the relation $U = F + TS$, that is $uV = fV + TsV$. It is possible to obtain also the pressure, which is (according to Equation (8)) $p = -f$. The Gibbs potential is also zero, since $G = F - pV = fV - pV = 0$.

Lets recall that this is a simple model, and the results obtained above should be considered as a qualitative behavior. It is remarkable that the behavior of the thermodynamic potentials is the same with and without LQG modifications. Notice that the maximum temperature at which there are no other critical points for the energy density u is $T \approx .08$. In order to apply Equation (24), the condition $E \ll 1/L_P$ must be fulfilled. In this case, by dividing the total internal energy U between the number of particles N , it is possible to obtain a mean energy per photon E_{mean} , which is $E_{mean} \leq 0.3E_P$. In the Taylor expansion (Equation (24)), the terms of order higher than $L_P^6 E^8$ are neglected, which corresponds to contributions on the order of 6.5×10^{-5} . In this way, the qualitative behavior of the model can be justified. In regards to the number of photons, when the classical cosmological approach is considered with no LQG modifications, the number of photons N is $N \approx 100$ at $T = 0.65T_P$, then statistical physics is not accurate. With the same classical cosmology approach and LQG modifications included, the number of photons at $T = .08$ is such that $N > 5 \times 10^5$ for $n = 3$ and $N > 10^{34}$ for $n = 9$. As mentioned before, this certainly must be corrected by quantum effects and a theory of quantum cosmology

8. Conclusions

The generalizations of the entropy, free energies of Helmholtz and Gibbs, enthalpy, pressure, the equation for adiabatic processes, the Carnot cycle efficiency and the chemical potential for the black body radiation in an n -dimensional Euclidean space have been presented.

This flat space generalization with reasonable suppositions could be a good approximation to model the very early universe. The peculiar behavior of the thermodynamic potential densities in the region of low dimensionality-high temperature could be profoundly linked with the three-dimensional character of our space since the beginning of time. In particular, the maximization of some thermodynamic functions seems a suitable scenario to choose $n = 3$ since the very early universe. Finally, a correction that takes into account modifications to the dispersion relations stemming from loop quantum gravity are incorporated into the analysis. It is very interesting to note that the qualitative behavior of the thermodynamic potentials is the same with and without LQG modifications; however, the maximum temperature at which there are no other critical points for the energy density u is $T = 0.08T_P$.

In order to include the complete quantum effects in the very early universe in the description of the black body radiation at times around the Planck era, it is necessary to employ a quantum gravity theory.

Appendix

A. Riemann's Zeta Function

$$\zeta(x) = \frac{1}{1^x} + \frac{1}{2^x} + \frac{1}{3^x} + \dots = \frac{1}{\Gamma(x)} \int_0^{\infty} \frac{u^{x-1}}{e^u - 1} du \quad x > 1 \quad (29)$$

Integrating $\int_{\chi=0}^{\infty} \chi^{n-1} \ln(1 - e^{-\chi}) d\chi$ by parts.

$$u = \ln(1 - e^{-\chi}), \quad du = \frac{e^{-\chi}}{1 - e^{-\chi}} d\chi = \frac{1}{e^{\chi} - 1} d\chi$$

$$dv = \frac{1}{n} d\chi^n, \quad v = \frac{\chi^n}{n}$$

$$\int_{\chi=0}^{\infty} \chi^{n-1} \ln(1 - e^{-\chi}) d\chi = \frac{\chi^n}{n} \ln(1 - e^{-\chi}) \Big|_{\chi=0}^{\infty} - \frac{1}{n} \int_{\chi=0}^{\infty} \frac{\chi^n}{e^{\chi} - 1} d\chi$$

The first member of the right side of the equation, by L'Hopital's rule, is zero:

$$\lim_{\chi \rightarrow 0} \frac{\ln(1 - e^{-\chi})}{\chi^{-n}} = \lim_{\chi \rightarrow 0} - \frac{\chi^{n+1}}{n(e^{\chi} - 1)} = \lim_{\chi \rightarrow 0} - \frac{(n+1)\chi^n}{ne^{\chi}} = 0,$$

$$\lim_{\chi \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\ln(1 - e^{-\chi})}{\chi^{-n}} = \lim_{\chi \rightarrow \infty} - \frac{\chi^{n+1}}{n(e^{\chi} - 1)} = \lim_{\chi \rightarrow \infty} - \frac{(n+1)\chi^n}{ne^{\chi}} = \dots = \lim_{\chi \rightarrow \infty} - \frac{(n+1)!}{n^2 e^{\chi}} = 0$$

Additionally, because of Equation (29):

$$\int_{\chi=0}^{\infty} \chi^{n-1} \ln(1 - e^{-\chi}) d\chi = -\frac{1}{n} \zeta(n+1) \Gamma(n+1).$$

B. Carnot Efficiency

From the first law of thermodynamics:

$$dQ = dU + pdV = npdV + nVdp + pdV$$

because $p = p(T)$, in an isothermal path $p = \text{const}$ and $dp = 0$,

$$dQ = (n + 1)pdV$$

$$Q_1 = (n + 1) \int_1^2 p_1 dV = (n + 1)p_1 \int_1^2 dV = (n + 1)p_1(V_2 - V_1) \quad (30)$$

and:

$$Q_2 = (n + 1)p_3 \int_3^4 dV = (n + 1)p_3(V_4 - V_3) \quad (31)$$

$$\Rightarrow \eta = 1 - \frac{p_3(V_3 - V_4)}{p_1(V_2 - V_1)} \quad (32)$$

From Equation (15):

$$p_1 V_1^{\frac{n+1}{n}} = p_3 V_4^{\frac{n+1}{n}} \quad y \quad p_1 V_2^{\frac{n+1}{n}} = p_3 V_3^{\frac{n+1}{n}}$$

then:

$$\begin{aligned} V_1 p_1^{\frac{n}{n+1}} &= V_4 p_3^{\frac{n}{n+1}} \quad y \quad V_2 p_1^{\frac{n}{n+1}} = V_3 p_3^{\frac{n}{n+1}} \\ p_1^{\frac{n}{n+1}} (V_2 - V_1) &= p_3^{\frac{n}{n+1}} (V_3 - V_4) \\ \frac{(V_3 - V_4)}{(V_2 - V_1)} &= \frac{p_1^{\frac{n}{n+1}}}{p_3^{\frac{n}{n+1}}} \end{aligned}$$

Taking a look at Equation (32) and because $p_2 = p_3$:

$$\eta_c = 1 - \frac{p_1^{\frac{n}{n+1}} p_2}{p_2^{\frac{n}{n+1}} p_1} = 1 - \frac{p_2^{\frac{1}{n+1}}}{p_1^{\frac{1}{n+1}}}$$

we know that,

$$\begin{aligned} p &= a_n T^{n+1} \\ \Rightarrow p^{\frac{1}{n+1}} &= \alpha T \end{aligned}$$

Finally, arriving at the desired expression for the Carnot efficiency,

$$\eta_c = 1 - \frac{T_2}{T_1}$$

Acknowledgments

We want to thank the partial support from COFAA-SIP-EDI IPN and SNI CONACYT, México.

Author Contributions

The authors contributed equally to the paper. All authors have read and approved the final manuscript.

Conflicts of Interest

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

1. Tolman, R.C. *Relativity, Thermodynamics and Cosmology*; Dover: New York, NY, USA, 1934.
2. Kolb, E.W.; Turner, M.S. *The Early Universe*; Westview Press: New York, NY, USA, 1994.
3. Weinberg, S. *Cosmology*; Oxford University Press: Oxford, UK, 2008.
4. Einstein, A. Über das Relativitätsprinzip und die aus demselben gezogenen Folgerungen *Jahrb. RadioaktivitätElektron.* **1907**, *4*, 411–464. (In German)
5. Planck, M. Zur Dynamik bewegter Systeme. *Annalen der Physik* **1908**, *331*, 1–34. (In German)
6. Brandenberger, R.; Vafa, C. Superstrings in the early universe. *Nucl. Phys. B* **1989**, *316*, 391–410.
7. Kaluza, T. Zum Unitätsproblem in der Physik. *Sitz. Preuss. Akad. Wiss. Math. Phys.* **1921**, 966–972. (In German)
8. Klein, O. Quantentheorie und fünfdimensionale Relativitätstheorie. *Z. Phys. Hadron. Nucl.* **1926**, *37*, 895–906. (In German)
9. Barrow, J.D.; Hawthorne, W.S. Equilibrium matter fields in the early universe. *Mon. Not. R. Astr. Soc.* **1990**, *243*, 608–609.
10. Arkani-Hamed, N.; Dimopoulos, S.; Dvali, G.R. The hierarchy problem and new dimensions at a millimeter. *Phys. Lett. B* **1998**, *429*, 263–272.
11. Antoniadis, I.; Arkani-Hamed, N.; Dimopoulos, S.; Dvali, G. New dimensions at a millimeter to a fermi and superstrings at a TeV. *Phys. Lett. B* **1998**, *436*, 257–263.
12. Randall, L.; Sundrum, R. Large Mass Hierarchy from a Small Extra Dimension. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **1999**, *83*, 3370–3373.
13. Randall, L.; Sundrum, R. An Alternative to Compactification. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **1999**, *83*, 4690–4693.
14. Dvali, G.; Gabadadze, G.; Porrati, M. Metastable Gravitons and Infinite Volume Extra Dimensions. *Phys. Lett. B* **2000**, *484*, 112–118.
15. Zwiebach, B. *A First Course in String Theory*; Cambridge University Press: New York, NY, USA, 2004.
16. Erdmenger, J. *String Cosmology, Modern String Theory Concepts from the Big Bang to Cosmic Structure*; Wiley-VCH: Mörlenbach, Germany, 2009.
17. Cordero, R.; Rojas, E. Classical and Quantum Aspects of Braneworld Cosmology. In Proceedings of the AIP Conference, Tuxtla Gutiérrez, Chiapas, México, 22–26 November 2010; Volume 1396, p. 55.
18. Mureika, J.; Stojkovic, D. Detecting Vanishing Dimensions via Primordial Gravitational Wave Astronomy. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **2011**, *106*, 101101.
19. Brandenberger, R. Introduction to Early Universe Cosmology. **2011**, arXiv:1103.2271.
20. Landsberg, P.T.; de Vos, A. The Stefan Boltzman constant in an n-dimensional space. *J. Phys. A Math. Gen.* **1989**, *22*, 1073–1084.

21. Pereira, S.H. On the Sakur Tetrode equation of state in an expanding universe. *Rev. Mex. Física* **2001**, *E57*, 11–15.
22. García-Compeán, H.; Loaiza-Brito, O. Lectures on Strings, D-branes and Gauge Theories. **2000**, arXiv:hep-th/0003019v1.
23. Menon, V.J.; Agrawal, D.C. Comment on The Stefan–Boltzmann constant in n -dimensional space. *J. Phys. A* **1998**, *31*, 1109–1110.
24. Barrow, J.D. Dimensionality. *Phil. Trans. R. Soc. A* **1983**, *310*, 337–346.
25. Callender, C. Answers in search of a question: Proofs of the tri-dimensionality of space. *Stud. Hist. Philos. Mod. Phys.* **2005**, *36*, 113–136.
26. Ehrenfest, P. In what way does it become manifest in the fundamental laws of physics that space has three dimensions?. *Proc. Amst. Acad.* **1917**, *20*, 200–209.
27. Tegmark, M. On the dimensionality of spacetime. *Class. Quantum Grav.* **1997**, *14*, L69–L75.
28. Barrow, J.D.; Tipler, F.J. *The Anthropic Cosmological Principle*; OUP: Oxford, UK, 1986; pp. 258–276.
29. Weinstein, S. Multiple Time Dimensions. **2008**, arXiv:0812.3869v1.
30. De Bianchi, S.; Wells, J.D. Explanation and dimensionality of space. Kant’s argument revisited. *Synthese* **2015**, *192*, 287–303.
31. Kourosh, N.; Anvari, S.F. Black Body Radiation in a Model Universe with Large Extra Dimensions and Quantum Gravity effects. **2012**, arXiv:1206.5631v1.
32. Ramos, R.; Boschi-Filho, H. Blackbody Radiation with Compact and non-Compact Extra Dimensions. **2009**, arXiv:0910.1561v1.
33. Cardoso, T.R.; de Castro, A.S. The blackbody radiation in a D-dimensional universes. *Rev. Bras. Ensino Fís.* **2005**, *27*, 559–563.
34. Alnes, H.; Ravndal, F.; Wehus, K. Ingunn Black-Body Radiation in Extra Dimensions. **2005**, arXiv:quant-ph/0506131v1.
35. Greiner, W.; Neise, L.; Horst, S. *Thermodynamics and Statistical Mechanics*; Springer: Berlin, Germany, 1995; pp. 130–131.
36. Rodríguez, R.F.; García-Colín, L.S. The specific heat puzzle in black-body radiation. *Eur. J. Phys.* **1989**, *10*, 214–216.
37. Ares de Parga, G.; López-Carrera, B.; Angulo-Brown, F. A proposal for relativistic transformations in thermodynamics. *J. Phys. A* **2005**, *38*, 2821–2834.
38. Ares de Parga, G.; López-Carrera, B. Redefined relativistic thermodynamics based on the Nakamura formalism. *Physica A* **2009**, *388*, 4345–4350.
39. López-Carrera, B.; Granados, V.; Ares de Parga, G. An alternative deduction of relativistic transformations in thermodynamics. *Rev. Mex. Física* **2008**, *54*, 15–19.
40. Gonzalez-Ayala, J.; Angulo-Brown, F. The universality of the Carnot theorem. *Eur. J. Phys.* **2013**, *34*, 273–289.
41. 2014 CODATA recommended values. Available online: <http://physics.nist.gov/cgi-bin/cuu/Category?view=gif&Universal.x=88&Universal.y=11> (available on 25 June 2015).
42. Gonzalez-Ayala, J.; Angulo-Brown, F. Is the $(3 + 1)d$ Nature of the Universe a Thermodynamic necessity? **2015**, arXiv:1502.01843.

43. Padmanabhan, T. Cosmological Constant. *Weight Vacuum Phys. Rep.* **2003**, 380, 235–320.
44. Stojkovic, D. Vanishing dimensions: A review. *Mod. Phys. Lett. A* **2013**, 28, 1330034.
45. Afshordi, N.; Stojkovic, D. Emergent Spacetime in Stochastically Evolving Dimensions. *Phys. Lett. B* **2014**, 739, 117–124.
46. Cepa, J. *Cosmología Física*; Madrid Espa: Akal, Spain, 2007. (In Spanish)
47. Ryden, B. *Introduction to Cosmology*; Addison Wesley: San Francisco, CA, USA, 2006.
48. Liddle, A. *An Introduction to Modern Cosmology*; Wiley: Sussex, UK, 2004.
49. Maroto, A.L.; Ramirez, J. A Conceptual Tour About the Standard Cosmological Model. **2004**, arXiv:astro-ph/0409280v1.
50. Barr, S.M.; Brown, L.S. Entropy from extra dimensions. *Phys. Rev. D* **1984**, 29, 2779–2782.
51. Sean, M. Carroll Is our Universe natural? *Nature* **2006**, 440, 1132–1136.
52. Mansouri, R.; Nayeri, A. Gravitational coupling constant in higher dimensions. *Grav. Cosmol.* **1998**, 4, 142–144.
53. Sheykhi, A. Friedmann equations from emergence of cosmic space. *Phys. Rev. D* **2013**, 87, 061501.
54. Bojowald, M.; Das, R. Radiation equation of state and loop quantum gravity corrections. *Phys. Rev. D* **2007**, 75, 123521.
55. Amelino-Camelia, G.; Arzano, M.; Procaccini, A. Severe constraints on Loop-Quantum-Gravity energy-momentum dispersion relation from black-hole area-entropy law. *Phys. Rev. D* **2004**, 70, 107501.
56. Amelino-Camelia, G.; Arzano, M.; Ling, Y.; Mandanici, G. Black-hole thermodynamics with modified dispersion relations and generalized uncertainty principles *Class. Quantum Grav.* **2006**, 23, 2585.
57. Sefiedgar, A.S.; Sepangi, H.R. General Relativity and Quantum Cosmology. *Phys. Lett. B* **2010**, 692, 281–285.
58. Sefiedgar, A.S.; Nozari, K.; Sepangi, H.R. Modified dispersion relations in extra dimensions. *Phys. Lett. B* **2011**, 696, 119–123.
59. Sefiedgar, A.S.; Sepangi, H R. Corrections to the Cardy-Verlinde formula from the modified dispersion relation in extra dimensions. *Phys. Lett. B* **2012**, 706, 431–435.