



# Article Genome-Wide Association Studies of Salt Tolerance at the Seed Germination Stage and Yield-Related Traits in *Brassica napus* L.

Yan Zhang, Ping Li, Jie Zhang, Yaqi Li, Aixia Xu and Zhen Huang \*🗅

State Key Laboratory of Crop Stress Biology for Arid Areas, College of Agronomy, Northwest A&F University, Yangling, Xianyang 712100, China

\* Correspondence: huang\_zhen.8@163.com

**Abstract:** Salt stress severely affects crop growth and development and reduces the yield of *Brassica napus*. Exploring natural genetic variations for high salt tolerance in *B. napus* seedlings is an effective approach to improve productivity under salt stress. Using 10,658 high-quality single nucleotide polymorphic (SNP) markers developed by specific-locus amplified fragment sequencing (SLAF-seq) technology, genome-wide association studies (GWAS) were performed to investigate the genetic basis of salt tolerance and yield-related traits of *B. napus*. The results revealed that 77 and 497 SNPs were significantly associated with salt tolerance and yield-related traits, of which 40 and 58 SNPs were located in previously reported QTLs/SNPs, respectively. We identified nineteen candidate genes orthologous with *Arabidopsis* genes known to be associated with salt tolerance and seven potential candidates controlling both salt tolerance and yield. Our study provides a novel genetic resource for the breeding of high-yield cultivars resistant to salt stress.

Keywords: Brassica napus; GWAS; SLAF-seq; salt stress; yield-related traits; candidate genes



Citation: Zhang, Y.; Li, P.; Zhang, J.; Li, Y.; Xu, A.; Huang, Z. Genome-Wide Association Studies of Salt Tolerance at the Seed Germination Stage and Yield-Related Traits in *Brassica napus* L. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* 2022, 23, 15892. https://doi.org/ 10.3390/ijms232415892

Academic Editor: Mario A. Pagnotta

Received: 8 November 2022 Accepted: 12 December 2022 Published: 14 December 2022

**Publisher's Note:** MDPI stays neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.



**Copyright:** © 2022 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by/ 4.0/).

# 1. Introduction

Rapeseed (Brassica napus L.) is the second largest oilseed crop in the world, which provides vegetable oil for humans as well as being used in a variety of other ways, such as being used as vegetables, bio diesel fuel, animal feed, and ornamental flowers [1]. According to the United States Department of Agriculture (USDA) forecast data, global rapeseed oil production declined by 5.86% in the years 2021/2022. In China, the selfsufficiency rate of vegetable oil is about 40%, which is far below the safety level of 60% [2]. With the rapidly growing demand for rapeseed oil, increasing the yield of oilseed crops is always a major breeding objective. Plants under field conditions are subjected to various environmental stresses, and salt stress has emerged as a major factor. It is estimated that approximately 20% of the total cultivable lands and 33% of the irrigated agricultural lands are affected by salinity in the world, and 15% of the irrigated lands are affected by salinity in China [3], which has an important impact on agricultural production. Moreover, rapeseed planting areas have significantly decreased, and salt stress significantly restricts the growth and production of rapeseed [4]. Therefore, there is an urgent need to improve the environmental adaptation of *B. napus* so as to utilize the saline-alkaline land, increase the cultivation area and improve the yield. Unraveling the genetic and molecular mechanisms of salt tolerance is a critical step for improving yield.

Salt stress affects the growth of *B. napus* at different stages of the plant's growth [5,6], among which the germination and early seedling stages are more sensitive to salinity than the other growth stages, and consequently these effects lead to yield and biomass reduction [7]. The salt tolerance traits and yield-associated traits are complex quantitative traits that are controlled by numerous loci in *B. napus* [8,9]. Quantitative trait locus (QTL) mapping is one of the most common approaches for the genetic analysis of quantitative traits. Many studies have been conducted to identify QTLs for seed yield and yield-related

traits in *B. napus* based on traditional QTL mapping [10–12]. In contrast, QTLs associated with adaptation to salt stress are comparatively rare [13]. However, the resolution of these studies is low, time-consuming and costly due to the need to create large-scale segregation populations and high-density genetic maps. With the development of next-generation sequencing (NGS) technology, the genome-wide association study (GWAS) has become an effective approach to map QTLs related to complex traits of crops and has been applied in various crops, such as rice, soybean, and *B. napus* [14–16]. Some QTLs and candidate genes associated with salt-tolerance-related traits at the germination and seedling stages [17–23] and yield-related traits [24–26] have gradually been identified through GWAS in *B. napus*. Although a number of QTLs and candidate genes have been identified for salt tolerance, the molecular mechanisms underlying the regulation of salt tolerance and yield remain unclear in *B. napus*.

It is an important step to detect single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) markers in GWAS analysis. Recently, specific-locus amplified fragment sequencing (SLAF-seq), as a fast, accurate, highly efficient and cost-effective method, has been applied to generate a huge number of SNPs for GWAS studies. This approach has been used in many crops, such as rice [27], soybean [28], tea [29], citrus [30], and rapeseed [31]. However, no previous studies have been reported to use the SLAF-seq technology of GWAS analysis for salt-tolerance traits at the germination stage in *B. napus*. In this study, we used 10,658 SNP markers developed from SLAF-seq to genotype a panel of 146 rapeseed accessions. GWAS was performed with the combination of three salt-tolerance traits and six yield-related traits, and SNP markers. The present study aimed to identify salt tolerance and yield-related SNPs and candidate genes in rapeseed, which will help us better understand the genetic basis of *B. napus* breeding for yield and salt tolerance traits.

# 2. Results

#### 2.1. Phenotypic Variation

The descriptive statistics of all traits are summarized in Table 1. All traits showed significant variation in all environments, and the coefficient of variance (CV) ranged from 13.73% to 133.00% (Table 1, Figure S1). Compared with other yield-related traits, silique length (SL) and seeds per silique (SS) showed the lowest coefficient of variance (CV), while the seed yield per plant (SYP) exhibited the highest CV (Table 1). Interestingly, high variability for germination vigor (GV), germination rate (GR), and relative salt damage index (RSDI) traits was observed, showing 133%, 120%, and 20%, respectively. This suggests that our materials had a strong heterogeneity for salt-related traits. Among them, two germplasms had a strong tolerance to salt stress with a GV and GR of more than 70%, and RSDI of less than 30%. Overall, the GV and GR of more than 76% accessions ranged from 0 to 20%, and the RSDI of 77% accessions ranged from 80 to 100%.

The Pearson correlation coefficients among all traits were analyzed, and strong correlations were observed. For example, RSDI was significantly negatively correlated with GV and GR (Figure 1). SYP showed significant positive correlations with all yield-associated traits across different years except SL, especially DWP with a correlation coefficient of 0.70. SMI was significantly negatively correlated with SL and TSW, and significantly positively correlated with SYP and DWP. Interestingly, TSW was significantly negatively correlated with GV and GR, and positively correlated with RSDI. Taken together, these results demonstrate that there is a complex association between seed yield and other traits, either directly or indirectly, including salt tolerance traits and yield-related traits.

#### 2.2. Genotyping Analysis and Linkage Disequilibrium

A total of 336.22 Mb paired-end reads were obtained with a cutoff efficiency of 90.97%. The average percentage of Q30 bases was 92.30% and the GC content was 40.57%, indicating that the sequencing results for 146 *B. napus* accessions were reliable. A total of 665,207 SLAF tags were detected, including 304,506 polymorphic SLAF tags. The average sequence depth of each SLAF tag was 12.30. These SLAF tags were evenly distributed on each chromosome

(Figure S2A; Table S1). SLAF tags on chromosome A10 had the highest polymorphic rate (57.52%), while the SLAF tags on chromosome C09 had the lowest polymorphic rate (33.78%). Furthermore, a total of 1,620,818 SNPs were developed from 304,506 polymorphic SLAF tags (Figure S2B), and 10,658 SNPs were selected as the candidate SNPs for GWAS analysis using the criteria of integrity ratio of >0.8 and MAF of >0.05. LD was estimated as the R<sup>2</sup> value. It was found that R<sup>2</sup> ranged from 0.26 on chromosome A05 to 0.37 on chromosome C01, with an average of 0.3, revealing the differences in the level of LD among chromosomes (Table S2). The average LD decay distance was about 300.33 kb at a threshold of R<sup>2</sup> = 0.1 (Figure 2).

											Plot
SL-	1.00	0.48	-0.23	0.40	0.16	-0.03	-0.05	-0.05	0.05		
SS-	0.48	1.00	0.01	0.03	0.19	0.09	-0.09	-0.08	0.08	-0.5-	
<b>C M</b>	**			***	***	***				0-	
51VII -	-0.23	0.01	1.00	-0.18	0.33	0.42	0.07	0.08	-0.08	0.5-	
TSW-	0.40	0.03	-0.18	1.00	0.22	0.02	-0.21	-0.23	0.23	1-	
SYP-	0.16	0.19	0.33	0.22	1.00	0.70	-0.01	-0.02	0.02		
DWP -	-0.03	0.09	0.42	0.02	0.70	1.00	0.06	0.08	-0.08		
GV-	-0.05	-0.09	0.07	-0.21	-0.01	0.06	1.00	0.99	-0.99		
GR-	-0.05	-0.08	0.08	-0.23	-0.02	0.08	0.99	1.00	-1.00		
RSDI -	0.05	0.08	-0.08	0.23	0.02	-0.08	-0.99	-1.00	1.00		
1	\$Y	es.	SMI	TSW	EXP	ONR	Ś	ક	REDI		

**Figure 1.** Correlation analysis of all traits for 146 rapeseed accessions. The numbers represent the value of the correlation coefficient. The color of the box indicates the degree of correlation between the row trait and the column trait. The orange box indicates a positive correlation between the two traits; the green box indicates a negative correlation between the two traits. SL, silique length; SMI, siliques on main inflorescence; SS, seeds per silique; TSW, thousand-seed weight; SYP, seed yield per plant; DWP, dry weight per plant; GV, germination vigor; GR, germination rate; RSDI, relative salt damage index. (\*), (\*\*), (\*\*\*) significance levels of 0.05, 0.01 and 0.001, respectively.

Trait	Year	$\mathbf{Mean} \pm \mathbf{SD}$	Range	Variance	CV (%)
SL (cm)	2018	$5.74\pm0.91$	4.32-10.86	0.83	15.85
	2019	$6.56 \pm 1.13$	4.34-12.71	1.28	17.23
	2020	$7.72 \pm 1.06$	5.19-12.06	1.12	13.73
SS (number)	2018	$15.76\pm2.60$	9.00-22.93	6.75	16.50
	2019	$22.84 \pm 3.90$	9.94-34.73	15.19	17.08
	2020	$26.50\pm4.05$	12.67-35.10	16.41	15.28

Trait	Year	Mean $\pm$ SD	Range	Variance	CV (%)
SMI (number)	2018	$50.02 \pm 11.69$	28.40-88.27	136.58	23.37
	2019	$61.02 \pm 12.30$	26.33-111.67	151.27	20.16
	2020	$71.01 \pm 14.23$	25.25-111.67	202.44	20.04
TSW (g)	2018	$5.07\pm0.86$	3.07-7.28	0.75	16.96
-	2019	$4.02\pm0.83$	2.20-7.27	0.70	20.65
	2020	$3.11\pm0.72$	1.69-5.45	0.52	23.15
SYP (g)	2018	$16.20\pm5.03$	4.10-33.24	25.26	31.05
0	2019	$26.12\pm9.77$	6.67-50.00	95.45	37.40
	2020	$22.42\pm8.53$	5.37-47.00	72.80	38.05
DWP (g)	2018	$77.60 \pm 15.90$	38.67-127.33	252.69	20.49
0	2019	$99.48 \pm 27.33$	46.67-183.33	747.01	27.47
	2020	$144.31\pm28.69$	80.00-215.00	823.28	19.88
GV (%)		$11.14 \pm 14.86$	0.00-72.00	220.90	133.00
GR (%)		$14.13\pm17.00$	0.00-76.50	288.89	120.00
RSDI (%)		$85.87 \pm 17.00$	23.50-100.00	288.89	20.00

Table 1. Cont.

SL, silique length; SMI, siliques on main inflorescence; SS, seeds per silique; TSW, thousand-seed weight; SYP, seed yield per plant; DWP, dry weight per plant; GV, germination vigor; GR, germination rate; RSDI, relative salt damage index; SD, standard deviation; CV, coefficient of variation.



Figure 2. The linkage disequilibrium (LD) decay for the 146 rapeseed accessions.

#### 2.3. Population Structure and Kinship Analyses

The population structure was analyzed using Admixture software, and the cross-validation (CV) error rate for K = 1–10 was examined. As shown in Figure 3A,B, when K = 3, the CV dropped to the lowest value, which indicated that the entire population could be divided into three subgroups. The phylogenetic tree analysis also showed that the 146 accessions could be assigned to three groups (Figure 3C). Furthermore, the genetic variance between accessions was conducted by PCA analysis. The first three components explained 7.02, 5.38, and 2.48% of the variance, respectively (Figure 3D). The kinship was evaluated using SPAGEDi software (Figure 3E). The results showed that 85.2% accessions had a genetic similarity coefficient lower than 0.05, indicating that the genetic relatedness among rapeseed individuals was low.



**Figure 3.** The population structure and relative kinship analysis for the 146 rapeseed accessions. **(A)** The population structure of rapeseed accessions estimated by ADMIXTURE. The colors represent separate groups. **(B)** Cross validation error rate for each K-value. **(C)** Phylogenetic tree of 146 rapeseed accessions. Different colors represent different subgroups: group1-group3. **(D)** Three-dimensional PCA scatter plots. The accessions were divided into three subgroups: group1–group3. The three axes refer to PC1, PC2, and PC3, respectively. Each dot represents a sample. **(E)** Distribution of pairwise relative kinship of rapeseed accessions.

#### 2.4. Genome-Wide Association Analysis for Salt-Related Traits

To reduce the influence of population structure and improve the reliability of GWAS results, we used five statistical models, GLM, MLM, FaST-LMM, EMMAX, and CMLM.

Model fit was tested for each trait, and the most appropriate statistical model was selected based on no inflation of most of the *p* values with a sharp upward deviated tail in the Q-Q plots. The results showed that the FaST-LMM and GLM models were the most appropriate models for the three salt-related traits (Figure 4A). A total of 77 significant SNPs associated with three salt tolerance traits were detected using FaST-LMM and GLM analyses distributed on all chromosomes except A08 (Figure 4B; Table S3). Among them, 53 SNPs were obtained by FaST-LMM analysis, 28 by GLM analysis, and 4 by both FaST-LMM and GLM analyses (Table S3). For germination vigor (GV), 54 SNPs were identified; 33 of these were detected by FaST-LMM and 3 by both FaST-LMM and GLM models. Among them, 24 SNPs were identified simultaneously in two replicate experiments. For the germination rate (GR), 36 SNPs were identified; 28 SNPs were detected by FaST-LMM and 4 SNPs by both FaST-LMM and GLM analyses. Among them, 20 SNPs were identified simultaneously in two replicate experiments. Similarly, 32 SNPs were detected by relative salt damage index (RSDI), 24 SNPs by FaST-LMM and 4 SNPs by both FaST-LMM and GLM analyses. Among them, 15 SNPs were identified simultaneously in two replicate experiments. A total of 36 significant SNPs associated with three salt tolerance traits were detected simultaneously in two replicate experiments (Table S3). Furthermore, 30 SNPs were associated with more than one trait, and explained individually from 11.20 to 17.68% of the phenotypic variance, including 10 SNPs detected in one replicate experiment and 20 SNPs detected simultaneously in two replicate experiments (Table S3).

# 2.5. Genome-Wide Association Analysis for Yield-Related Traits

Model fit was tested for six yield-related traits based on Q-Q plots, and the results showed that GLM was the appropriate model for TSW and SYP, FaST-LMM was the appropriate model for SS, and FaST-LMM and GLM were the appropriate models for SL, SMI and DWP (Figure 5). A total of 497 significant SNPs associated with six yieldrelated traits were detected, which were distributed on all chromosomes and explained individually from 6.89 to 31.83% of the phenotypic variance (Figures 6 and S3; Table S4). These SNPs were combined to form 237 QTLs, including 78 for SL, 38 for SS, 59 for SMI, 24 for TSW, 10 for SYP, and 28 for DWP. Most of the QTLs were only detected in one year and 27 QTLs composed of 13 intervals were repeatedly detected in at least two years. These repeatable QTLs included 11 for SL distributed on A02, A03, A04, A09 and C04, 12 for SMI distributed on A05, C02, C03 and C08, 2 for SS on A06, and 2 for DWP on A02 (Table S4). Notably, we found some pleiotropic loci controlling two to three different traits, which were named as pleiotropic QTLs (*pq*QTLs) accordingly. A total of 69 QTLs were integrated into 31 pqQTLs, of which two pqQTLs (pqA2.2 and pqA4.1) had the same regions (Table S5). Other pqQTLs, pqA2.1 for SYP and DWP, pqA3.2 for SL and SYP, pqA9.1 for SL and SMI, pqA9.3 for SL and TSW were identified, and contained 11, 9, 18, and 12 significantly associated SNPs, respectively. Moreover, four QTLs controlling three different traits were identified, including *pqA8.2* for SS, TSW, and SMI, *pqA9.2* for SL, SYP, and SMI, *pqC2.1* for SMI, TSW, and SL, and *pq*C3.2 for SL, SMI, and SS.

#### 2.6. Associated SNPs for Salt Tolerance Co-Localized with QTLs for Yield-Related Traits

To explore the connection between salt tolerance traits and yield-related traits, saltassociated SNPs identified in the current study were compared with QTLs for yield-related traits. A total of 18 salt-associated SNPs were co-located with 8 QTLs for yield-associated traits, including 2 pleiotropic QTLs (pqA2.1 and pqC9.1) and 3 repeatable QTLs (qSL18-A2.1, qSL19-A2.2, and qSMI20-A5.1). Seven of the eighteen salt-associated SNPs were pleiotropic loci and were detected simultaneously in two replicate experiments. Notably, the QTL pqC9.1 for DWP and SS contained six strongly associated SNPs, among which two SNPs (C09: 12,521,248 bp, and 12,521,335 bp) for DWP overlapped with rsC09\_12521248 and rsC09\_12521335 for GR and GV/GR, respectively (Table 2).



**Figure 4.** Quantile-quantile and Manhattan plots from association analysis of salt tolerance traits. (**A**) Quantile-quantile plots using the five statistical models. The red line represents the 45° centerline, and the gray area is the 95 % confidence interval of the scattered points. (**B**) Manhattan plots using the optimal statistical models. The X-axis indicates the nineteen chromosomes and the Y-axis represents  $-\log_10(p)$  values of the SNP marker. The red dashed line represents the significance threshold (p < 0.0001). GLM, general linear model; MLM, mixed linear model; FaST-LMM, factored spectrally transformed linear mixed model; EMMAX, efficient mixed-model association expedited; CMLM, compressed linear mixed model. GV, germination vigor; GR, germination rate; RSDI, relative salt damage index. The graphs show the results of replicate 1.



**Figure 5.** Quantile-quantile plots from association analysis of six yield-related traits. The red line represents the 45° centerline, and the gray area is the 95% confidence interval of the scattered points. GLM, general linear model; MLM, mixed linear model; FaST-LMM, factored spectrally transformed linear mixed model; EMMAX, efficient mixed-model association expedited; CMLM, compressed linear mixed model; SL, silique length; SMI, siliques on main inflorescence; SS, seeds per silique; TSW, thousand-seed weight; SYP, seed yield per plant; DWP, dry weight per plant. The graphs show the results in a year (2018).

-log, (P)

(d) 0. gol

-log, (P)

6

-log<sub>10</sub> (P)

(*a*) <sup>01</sup> Gol-



**Figure 6.** Manhattan plots from association analysis of six yield-related traits using the optimal statistical models. The X-axis indicates the nineteen chromosomes and the Y-axis represents  $-\log 10$  (p) values of the SNP marker. The red dashed line represents the significance threshold (p < 0.0001). GLM, general linear model; FaST-LMM, factored spectrally transformed linear mixed model; SL, silique length; SMI, siliques on main inflorescence; SS, seeds per silique; TSW, thousand-seed weight; SYP, seed yield per plant; DWP, dry weight per plant. The graphs show the results in a year (2018).

	Yield-Related	Traits								
Chr	Trait	QTL	Interval (bp)	Peak SNP (bp)	Trait	Chr	SNP	SNP Position (bp)	Rep1	Rep2
A02	DWP/SMI	pqA2.1	1,897,176-3,836,751	2,397,176/3,336,751	GV/GR/RSDI	A02	rsA02_2496879	2,496,879		
					GR	A02	rsA02_3530820	3,530,820	•	v
	SL	qSL18–A2.1 qSL19–A2.2	7,907,803–8,907,803 8,051,356–9,051,356	8,407,803 8,551,356	GV/GR	A02	rsA02_8228124	8,228,124	$\checkmark$	
A05	SL	, qSL18–A5.2	6,194,267–7,194,267	6,694,267	GV/GR/RSDI	A05	rsA05_6670181	6,670,181		
		,			GV/GR/RSDI	A05	rsA05_6692257	6,692,257	v	v
					GV	A05	rsA05_6692275	6,692,275	v	v
	SMI	qSMI20–A5.1	18,168,998–19,168,998	18,668,998	GR	A05	rsA05_18700305	18,700,305	·	, V
A09	SL	, qSL18–A9.6	31,410,855-32,410,855	31,910,855	GR	A09	rsA09_31840807	31,840,807		, V
C04	SL	, qSL18–C4.2	5,051,524-6,051,524	5,551,524	RSDI	C04	rsC04_5933538	5,933,538		, V
					RSDI	C04	rsC04_5933539	5,933,539		v
					RSDI	C04	rsC04_5933579	5,933,579		
					RSDI	C04	rsC04_5933820	5,933,820		
				12,521,248/12,521,335/						
C09	DWP/SS	pqC9.1	12,405,354–13,405,354	12,724,461/12,976,490/	GR	C09	rsC09_12521248	12,521,248		
				12,976,522/12,905,354						
					GV/GR	C09	rsC09_12521335	12,521,335		
					GV	C09	rsC09_12645583	12,645,583		
					GV	C09	rsC09_12645586	12,645,586		
					GV/GR/RSDI	C09	rsC09_12949548	12,949,548		
					GV/GR/RSDI	C09	rsC09_12949561	12,949,561	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$

Table 2. Co-localized SNPs'	association between	the salt tolerance	and the y	ield-related traits.

Rep1/2, two biological replicates; Chr, Chromosome; SL, silique length; SMI, siliques on main inflorescence; SS, seeds per silique; DWP, dry weight per plant; GV, germination vigor; GR, germination rate; RSDI, relative salt damage index.

# 2.7. Comparative Genome Analysis for Salt Tolerance and Yield-Related Traits of the Present and Previous QTLs

A total of 40 SNPs may overlap with salt-related SNPs identified previously, among which 16 SNPs were pleiotropic loci and were detected simultaneously in two replicate experiments (Table S6). Four SNPs (ChrA02: 8,228,124 bp; ChrA03: 16,375,764 bp; ChrA06: 22,471,588, and 22,471,644 bp) for GV and GR were co-localized with the QTLs identified previously. Notably, the three SNPs (ChrA10: 15,189,187, 15,189,200, and 15,189,284 bp) for SII and the SNP (ChrA10, site: 15,188,859 bp) for multiple traits (GV/GR/RSDI) were repeatedly detected by previous studies for plant height (PH), ground dry weight (GDW), and yield (SYP) at the mature stage. Furthermore, the four SNPs were co-localized with the QTL qSFWs-A10 (15,181,794–17,289,298 bp) on chromosome A10 in our previous study (unpublished). In addition, 26 SNPs and 7 SNPs were less than 100 kb and 300 kb away from 84 and 28 salt-related SNPs identified previously, respectively. For yield-related traits, a total of 28 QTLs containing 58 SNPs overlapped with QTLs identified previously, including 11 QTLs for SL, 6 QTLs for SS, 2 QTLs for SMI, 6 QTLs for TSW, 2 QTLs for SYP, and 1 QTL for DWP (Table S7). Among the 28 QTLs, 12 QTLs were pleiotropic QTLs. Interestingly, a pleiotropic QTL pqA9.3 for SL and TSW (the present study) was identical to seven QTLs for SL in four different experiments, and five QTLs for TSW in three different experiments (previous study).

#### 2.8. Candidate Genes for Controlling Both Salt-Alkali Tolerance and Yield

As shown in Table S3, a total of 36 significant SNPs associated with three salt tolerance traits were detected simultaneously in two replicate experiments, of which 8 SNPs were co-localized SNPs for salt tolerance and yield-related traits (Table 2). Significant SNPs were mapped to the 'Darmor-bzh' reference genome (Brassica napus v.4.1), and the candidate genes were selected within 100 kb flanking sequences of a significant SNP. A total of 732 genes were associated with the 36 significant SNPs (Table S8). In order to identify the salt-stress-related candidate genes of rapeseed, we referred to the genome annotation information of Arabidopsis. Among these 732 genes, 514 were homologous to 477 Arabidopsis genes. Of these, 19 genes were putatively related to salt tolerance based on GO annotation, such as response to osmotic, water, water deprivation, and salt stresses (Table 3), of which 15 genes were located in the A genome, and 4 genes in the C genome. The physical distance between these candidate genes and the significant SNPs varied from 1.5 to 99.3 kb (Table 3). Among these genes, 18 genes were identified for GV, 17 genes were identified for GR, and 13 genes were identified for RSDI. Notably, 16 genes were associated with more than one trait. The 19 candidate genes belonged to various groups, such as transcription factors, kinases, phosphatases, calcium-binding proteins, and transporters, and are closely associated with 18 SNPs. Seven of these eighteen SNPs, including BnaA02g14490D, BnaA02g05520D, BnaA02g05590D, BnaA05g11750D, BnaA05g11880D, BnaC09g15950D, and BnaC09g16050D, were co-located with QTLs for yield-associated traits, and detected simultaneously in two replicate experiments. These seven genes are potential candidates controlling both salt tolerance and yield.

Table 3. The candidate genes c	controlling both salt tolerance and	vield.
--------------------------------	-------------------------------------	--------

Gene Name	Chr	Site_strat (bp)	Site_end (bp)	Distance (bp)	SNP Location (bp)	Orthologous Gene ID in Arabidopsis	Gene Name in Arabidopsis	Function Description in Arabidopsis
BnaA06g33990D	A06	22,513,737	22,516,788	5′_42149	22,471,588, 22,471,644	AT2G02820	MYB88	a putative transcription factor (MYB88)
BnaA03g33890D	A03	16,409,029	16,410,113	5′_33265	16,375,764	AT3G15500	ANAC55	NAC domain-containing protein 55
BnaC06g34470D	C06	33,929,460	33,934,599	3′_86296	33,843,164, 33,843,483, 33,843,558	AT1G73660	M3KDELTA6	serine/threonine-protein kinase EDR1
BnaA02g14490D	A02	8,200,831	8,202,439	3'_25685	8,228,124	AT1G69700	HVA22C	HVA22-like protein c
BnaA03g50340D	A03	26,148,221	26,149,401	5′_23636	26,173,037	AT2G18960	OST2	a plasma membrane proton ATPase
BnaA03g50610D	A03	26,258,416	26,258,990	5'_85379	26,173,037	AT4G33730	ATCAPE1	CAP-derived peptide1

Gene Name	Chr	Site_strat (bp)	Site_end (bp)	Distance (bp)	SNP Location (bp)	Orthologous Gene ID in Arabidopsis	Gene Name in Arabidopsis	Function Description in Arabidopsis
BnaA02g05520D	A02	2,548,591	2,548,857	3'_51712	2,496,879	AT5G22270	SIED1	salt-induced and EIN3/EIL1-dependent 1
BnaA02g05590D	A02	2,569,927	2,571,416	3′_73048	2,496,879	AT5G22360	VAMP714	Vesicle-associated membrane protein 714
BnaA05g11750D	A05	6,644,918	6,646,115	3′_46142	6,670,181, 6,692,257, 6,692,275	AT4G18780	LEW2	cellulose synthase A catalytic subunit 8
BnaA05g11880D	A05	6,747,961	6,752,424	3′_55704	6,670,181, 6,692,257, 6,692,275	AT2G30580	DRIP2	C3HC4 RING-domain- containing ubiquitin E3 ligase
BnaA05g20580D	A05	15,949,088	15,952,214	3′_99304	16,051,518	AT3G19490	NHD1	member of Na +/H+ antiporter- putative family
BnaA05g20640D	A05	15,986,304	15,989,708	5'_61810	16,051,518	AT3G19420	PEN2	phosphatase with low tyrosine phosphatase activity
BnaA10g22560D	A10	15,173,521	15,178,533	5′_10326	15,188,859	AT5G09410	CAMTA1	Calmodulin-binding transcription activator 1
BnaA10g22820D	A10	15,266,296	15,267,019	3'_77437	15,188,859	AT5G08620	STRS2	ATP-dependent RNA
BnaA10g22850D	A10	15,278,666	15,281,149	3′_89807	15,188,859	AT5G08590	SNRK2.1	serine/threonine-protein kinase SRK2G
BnaA10g22880D	A10	15,287,668	15,290,955	5′_98809	15,188,859	AT5G08560	WDR26	a WD-40-repeat- containing protein
BnaC02g31110D	C02	33,207,103	33,208,273	5'_11078	33,196,025, 33,196,054	AT5G44650	CEST	chloroplast protein-enhancing stress tolerance
BnaC09g15950D	C09	12,945,604	12,947,996	5'_1552	12,949,548, 12,949,561	AT1G56570	PGN	putative pentatricopeptide repeat-containing protein
BnaC09g16050D	C09	13,037,634	13,039,703	5'_88086	12,949,548, 12,949,561	AT1G02205	CER1	protein ECERIFERUM 1

 Table 3. Cont.

Chr, Chromosome; Distance (bp), the distance between significant SNPs and candidate genes; GV, germination vigor; GR, germination rate; RSDI, relative salt damage index; GLM, general linear model; FaST-LMM, factored spectrally transformed linear mixed model.

#### 3. Discussion

Good seedling establishment is critical to crop yield, and one of the key factors is the seed germination performance, which is directly affected by seed dormancy and vigor. Germination speed is a major key element of vigorous seeds [32,33]. Previous investigations have shown that seedlings with better germination rates under non-stress conditions also have advantages under stress conditions [34]. In this study, we determined the response of 146 B. napus accessions to salt stress at the seed germination stage. Three phenotypic traits were measured at the seed germination stage. Large phenotypic variations for the three traits were observed in these 146 B. napus accessions, suggesting GV, GR, and RSDI are good indexes for our study (Table 1). We found that more than 76% accessions decreased in GV and GR, and increased in RSDI, indicating the importance of the seed germination stage to plant growth. Different genotypes of plants have different tolerances to salt stress [35]. Here, two accessions simultaneously exhibited higher GV and GR, and lower RSDI under salt stress, which is consistent with the strong correlation between GV, GR, and RSDI. These specific materials may contain key salt-tolerant genes and will be good sources for molecular breeding of salt tolerance. SYP was significantly correlated with all traits except for SS, but a significant correlation was observed between SYP and SS in a previous study [36]. In addition, no significant correlation was observed between TSW and SS, which is not consistent with a previous study [12]. This indicates that agronomic traits are complex quantitative traits and are diversified under different genetic backgrounds.

We identified a total of 665,207 polymorphic SLAF tags containing 1,620,818 SNPs<sub>s</sub>, and finally selected 10,658 high consistency SNPs. The polymorphism rate was slightly higher for the A subgenome than for the C subgenome in our population, indicating that the genetic diversity of the A subgenome was higher than the C subgenome (Table S1). This result is consistent with the results of Li et al. [24], while it is contrary to the results of Delourme et al. [37]. In our study and the study of Li et al., most of the genotypes

were Chinese rapeseed cultivars, while those of Delourme et al. were European. Previous studies have shown that there is extensive genetic diversity in three ecotypes (semi-winter, winter, and spring) of *B. napus* [38,39]. Our study showed that the entire population could be divided into three subgroups although all the germplasms were winter types. This may be due to ecogeographic adaptation and human selection. The kinship analysis also showed only a weak or absent relationship among most materials, together with significant variance in phenotype performance, indicating that this population is suitable for association analysis. Population structure strongly influences LD patterns [40]. Here, we found LD decayed dramatically faster in the A subgenome than in the C subgenome (Table S2), which was consistent with previous studies [41]. Higher genetic diversity and LD decay in the A subgenome indicated that the A subgenome recombination rate was higher than the C subgenome. The primary reason for this is thought to be that Chinese rapeseed cultivars have been selected for improved adaptation to local environments by introgression of Chinese *B. rapa* into *B. napus* [38,42].

In order to improve the accuracy of GWAS results, we used five statistical models: GLM, MLM, FaST-LMM, EMMAX, and CMLM models. It has been previously suggested that multiple algorithmic models should be used for GWAS analysis of complex traits [31,43,44]. In our study, FaST-LMM and GLM models were the most effective models for all traits compared with other models. For three salt-related traits, a total of 77 SNPs were obtained by FaST-LMM and GLM models, while only 28 loci were detected by the GLM model (Table S3). For each salt-related trait, the FaST-LMM model detected the maximum number of SNPs compared with the other models, in accordance with the previous studies [15]. However, for six yield-related traits, the GLM model fits all traits except for SS.

In our study, we identified 54 SNPs for germination vigor (GV), 36 SNPs for germination rate (GR), and 32 SNPs for relative salt damage index (RSDI). Among these, 30 SNPs were associated with multiple traits in accordance with the high correlation among the three traits (Table S3). Additionally, 20 of 30 SNPs were detected simultaneously in two replicate experiments, indicating the reliability of these loci. Previous studies have explored the genetic basis of salt stress responses at the germination or seedling stage using traditional QTL mapping or GWAS analysis [13,17-23,45]. Compared with the previous results, four SNPs (ChrA10: 15, 189, 187, 15, 189, 200, 15, 189, 284, 15, 188, 859 bp) could be repeatedly detected at the seedling and mature stages [23], and controlled different traits, suggesting that the four SNPs are common loci that control the salt tolerance of rapeseed at different stages. In addition, 33 SNPs did not overlap with salt-related SNPs identified previously, but they were less than 300kb apart. Among these 33 SNPs, 5 SNPs on A02 (2, 496, 879, 8, 228, 124 bp) and A05 (6, 670, 181, 6, 692, 257, 6, 692, 275 bp) were not only detected simultaneously in two replicate experiments, but co-located with 4 QTLs for yield-associated traits, including 1 pleiotropic QTL pqA2.1, 2 repeatable QTLs (qSL18–A2.1 and *qSL19–A2.2*), and 1 QTL *qSL18–A5.2*. Moreover, four of the five SNPs controlled more than one trait. These results indicate that these SNPs detected in the present study are reliable. Except for the above SNPs reported previously, all remaining 37 SNPs identified in our study should be considered novel. Interestingly, most of the novel SNPs are located on chromosome C, of which 11 SNPs were associated with more than one trait.

Contrary to the salt tolerance traits, QTLs or SNPs of yield-related traits have been frequently reported in *B. napus*. In this study, 497 SNPs/237 QTLs were obtained for yield-related traits under the three-year growth environment. Of these, 27 QTLs (repeatable QTLs) were repeatedly detected in two or three years (Table S4), suggesting that the QTLs associated with these SNPs were stable under the growing environments [46]. The other QTLs were environment-specific, indicating that phenotypic plasticity plays an essential role in plant agronomic diversity [47]. A total of 28 QTLs overlapped with QTLs identified previously (Table S7), of which a QTL for SL on A09 (27,745,423–28,839,525 bp) was repeatedly identified seven times in four different experiments. The QTL region was not only repeatedly identified at three years, but overlapped with the QTL *qTSW19–A9.1* for TSW. Interestingly, the QTL *qTSW19-A9.1* (28,050,920–28,051,279 bp) was also repeatedly

identified four times in three different experiments. These results indicated that the QTL region for SL and TSW on A09 was stable in different genetic backgrounds and exhibited pleiotropic effects, which is consistent with the positive correlation between TSW and SL. These findings may be useful in molecular marker-assisted selection breeding.

Pleiotropism is a common phenomenon that has been found in the GWAS of rapeseed [48]. In our study, we identified 31 pleiotropic QTLs (pqQTLs) for different yield related traits (Table S5). Of these, SNP-rsA04\_4700698 exhibited a pleiotropic effect on TSW and SL. The SNP-rsA04\_4700698-associated candidate gene was BnaA04g06140D which is homologous to AT4G14240 (CST2) and encodes a CBS domain-containing protein with a domain of unknown function DUF21 of Arabidopsis. Knockout of CST2 results in stomatal closing, decreased photosynthesis, and growth retardation [49]. In addition, five SNPs (rsA02\_7701568, rsA02\_7701573, rsA02\_7701581, rsA02\_7701637, and rsA02\_7701673) exhibited a pleiotropic effect on SYP and DWP, which is consistent with the strong correlation between them. The five-SNP-associated candidate gene was *BnaA02g13910D* which is homologous to AT1G68500, which may function in the fatty acid metabolic process, organic substance catabolic process, response to cold, response to lipids, and response to water deprivation based on GO annotation. Moreover, we identified some pleiotropic loci between yield-related traits and salt tolerance traits (Table 2). Of these, SNPs rsC09\_12521248 and rsC09\_12521335 exhibited a pleiotropic effect on GV, GR, and DWP. The two-SNP-associated candidate gene was *BnaC09g15650D* which is homologous to *AT1G57680* (*CAND1*) and encodes a candidate G-protein-coupled receptor, which may function in cell growth, developmental growth involved in morphogenesis, the hormone-mediated signaling pathway, and regulation of developmental processes. Pollen germination and pollen tube growth is an essential process for the reproduction of flowering plants. The expression of CAND1 is significantly changed during pollen germination and tube growth [50]. Another two G-protein-coupled receptors, Cand2 and Cand7, are involved in the regulation of root growth in Arabidopsis [51]. Root development of plants is related to the environment. Plants can adapt to environmental stresses by modulating root growth, and water and nutrition extraction [52]. CAND1 may have a similar function to Cand2 and Cand7, which may be a candidate gene to regulate both yield and resistance for abiotic stresses. These pleiotropic SNPs will be helpful in understanding the molecular mechanism of rapeseed yield formation.

Currently, little is known about the salt tolerance and yield genes of *B. napus*. In this study, we identified 19 candidate genes linked with 18 significant SNPs for salt tolerance traits, of which 7 genes may regulate both salt resistance and yield of plants. Three genes (*OST2, NHD1* and *CAMTA1*) are related to osmotic adjustment and Na<sup>+</sup> ion concentration. OST2 (OPEN STOMATA 2) encodes a plasma membrane H<sup>+</sup>ATPase, and the *ost2* mutation impairs stomatal response to ABA of *Arabidopsis* during its drought response [53]. The elimination of excess sodium ions in cells is a major mechanism for plants to deal with salt stress. The Na +/H + transporters play an important role in dealing with ion homeostasis under salinity [54]. NHD1, one of the isoforms of sodium-hydrogen-antiporter (NHAD)-type carriers, mediates sodium/proton antiport. The *nhd1* knockout mutants significantly decreased capacity for sodium export out and salt tolerance of *Arabidopsis* [55]. Plant CAMTAs are known to mediate responses to biotic and abiotic stresses [56]. The *camta1* mutant showed drought sensitivity, and CAMTA1 regulates "drought recovery" as the most indicative pathway along with ABA response, osmotic balance, DNA methylation, and photosynthesis [57].

It is well known that transcription factors (TFs) play an important role in response to salt stress in plants [58]. However, little is known about the role of TFs in salt stress responses in rapeseed. Two TFs, *ANAC55* (*BnaA03g33890D*), an ATAF-like NAC-domain transcription factor, and *MYB88* (*BnaA06g33990D*), a member of the R2R3 factor gene family, were identified in our candidate genes. These classes of transcription factors have also been predicted in previous studies to respond to salt or drought stresses [19,31]. The R2R3-type of the MYB gene is a large family within the MYB family. Some R2R3-MYB proteins have

been found to be involved in responses to abiotic stresses [59]. Overexpression of AtMYB44 enhances ABA-mediated stomatal closure to confer abiotic stress tolerance in transgenic Arabidopsis [60]. ANAC55 is induced by drought, high salinity and heat stresses, and overexpression of this gene increases drought tolerance [61], while mutation enhances heat tolerance in Arabidopsis [62]. Additionally, DRIP2, LEW2, M3KDELTA6, SNRK2.1, PEN2, STRS2, and OST2 belong to the enzyme functional group. Of these, DRIP2 and LEW2 are located in the region controlling both salt tolerance and yield-related traits. DRIP2 acts as a negative regulator in drought-responsive gene expression by targeting DREB2A to 26S proteasome proteolysis, and plant development, and the growth of the *drip1 drip2* double mutant were significantly delayed and prolonged compared with the wild-type and the two single mutants [63]. The mutants *lew2-1* and *lew2-2* show weaker or no wilting phenotype under normal conditions, but are more tolerant to salt and drought stresses [64]. M3KDELTA6 and SNRK2.1 encode two serine/threonine-protein kinases. There are ten SnRK2 kinases in *Arabidopsis*, and at least nine of these are activated in response to osmotic stresses [65]. The MAP kinase kinase kinases (M3Ks) family is essential for reactivation of SnRK2 protein kinases after PP2C dephosphorylation [66]. STRS2 encodes an RNA helicase protein and is identified as an upstream negative regulator of Arabidopsis in response to multiple abiotic stresses [67]. Besides these genes, five other genes (SIED1, VAMP714, HVA22C, PGN, and CER1) related to salt tolerance are also located in the region controlling both salt tolerance and yield-related traits, of which BnHVA22C (BnaA02g14490D) has been mapped in previous studies [23,45]. Of the five genes, two genes (SIED1 and CER1) have been reported to be associated with plant growth and development. The mutant *cer1-1* reduces the production of stem epicuticular wax, pollen fertility under conditions of low humidity, and reduced plant height. The overexpression of CsCER1 alters cuticular wax biosynthesis and enhances drought resistance of cucumber [68]. Using map-based cloning, a Ms-cd1 gene, a homolog of the Arabidopsis SIED1 gene, has been identified, which is responsible for the male sterile phenotype in Brassica oleracea, and the gene exhibits higher expression in the male sterile lines but not in the fertile lines [69]. Taken together, among the seven genes located in salt tolerance and agronomy co-localization, four genes have been reported to be related to the growth and stress tolerance of plants. However, three genes (DRIP2, SIED1 and LEW2) showed opposite phenotypes between the development and resistance of plants, and only one gene CER1 showed a consistent positive phenotype. These results indirectly reflect the fact that yield traits and stress resistance traits are often antagonistic to each other [70]. Functional exploration of these candidate genes has not been reported in *B. napus*. More studies are needed to reveal the roles of these genes.

In conclusion, our results revealed significant natural variations in germination traits under salt stress and yield-related traits. A total of 77 and 497 significant SNPs associated with three salt tolerance traits and six yield-related traits were detected, respectively. Based on GO analysis, we identified 19 candidate genes homologous to *Arabidopsis* genes known to be associated with salt stress and 7 promising candidates controlling both salt tolerance and yield traits. These SNP loci and candidate genes will be useful for future high salt resistance and high-yield breeding programs in rapeseed.

#### 4. Materials and Methods

#### 4.1. Plant Materials and Growth Conditions

A total of 146 *B. napus* accessions used in this study were provided by the Rapeseed Research Laboratory of the College of Agriculture, Northwest Agriculture and Forestry University. These germplasms were collected from China and were winter types. All accessions were grown in a greenhouse (light/dark 16/8 h photoperiod, 25/20 °C day/night temperature) for the subsequent salt-related trait evaluation. For the yield-related traits, 146 accessions were planted in the experimental field of Northwest Agriculture and Forestry University in Yangling, Shaanxi, China, in the winters of 2017, 2018, and 2019, and harvested in the springs of 2018, 2019, and 2020, respectively. The field trials in the three years were treated as three independent environments. Field trials followed a completely

randomized design with no replicates in 2017, 2018, and 2019. Each accession was grown in a row with 10-12 plants, with a distance of 20 cm between plants within each row and 30 cm between rows. At the seedling stage, leaf tissues of all accessions were collected and frozen in liquid nitrogen for SLAF-seq analysis.

# 4.2. Phenotypic Evaluation and Statistical Analysis

For salt-related traits, seeds of uniform size were selected from 146 accessions and sterilized in 75% ethanol. Then, the seeds were washed with distilled water several times, and placed on glass petri dishes (90 mm) with double-layered filter paper for germination under control conditions and 230 mM NaCl conditions. Fifty seeds of each accession were measured per replicate and two replicates were assessed. The total number of the germinated seeds were counted after the 3rd and 7th day. Germination vigor was calculated as GV (%) = total number of germinated seeds on the 3rd day/total number of seeds  $\times$  100. Germination rate was calculated as GR(%) = total number of germinated seeds on the 7th day/total number of seeds  $\times$  100. Relative salt damage index was calculated as RSDI (%) = (control germination rate-treatment germination rate)/control germination rate  $\times$  100. For the yield-related traits, five plants with consistent growth per accession were selected for phenotypic determination during the maturity period, including silique length (SL), siliques on main inflorescence (SMI), seeds per silique (SS), thousand-seed weight (TSW), seed yield per plant (SYP), and dry weight per plant (DWP). The yieldrelated traits were determined as described previously [71]. Descriptive statistics were calculated using the mean values of all phenotypic data per accession and were evaluated using IBM SPSS version 20.0 (SPSS, Inc., Chicago, IL, USA). Pearson's correlations between traits were calculated by IBM SPSS version 20.0 using the mean values of all traits across three years. Association analyses were carried out using the values per replicate for salt-related traits and values each year for yield-related traits. The frequency distribution of each trait was obtained using GraphPad Prism@ 8 (Version 8.0, GraphPad Software, Inc., San Diego, CA, USA). The correlation heatmap was obtained using the ChiPlot (www.chiplot.online/correlation\_heatmap.html (accessed on 18 October 2022)).

# 4.3. SLAF Library Construction and Sequencing

The DNA of the 146 *B. napus* accessions was extracted from young leaf samples according to a modified cetyltrimethylammonium bromide (CTAB) method [72]. The concentration and quality of the DNA were determined using a Nanodrop 2000 spectrophotometer (Thermo Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA). The 'Darmor-bzh' reference Brassica\_napus\_v4.1 genome was used to design marker discovery experiments (http://www.genoscope.cns.fr/ brassicanapus/data/, accessed on 18 October 2022). The SLAF library was constructed, as previously described with minor modifications [73]. HaeIII and Hpy166II were used to digest the genomic DNA. Fragments ranging from 464 to 514 base pairs (with indexes and adaptors) in size were excised and purified. Gel-purified products were then diluted for pair-end sequencing (each end 125 bp) on an Illumina High-Seq 2500 system (Illumina, Inc.; San Diego, CA, USA). Raw SLAF-seq data were analyzed using the Dual-Index software [74]. After filtering out the adapter reads, the sequencing quality was evaluated by estimating the guanine-cytosine (GC) content and Q30 ratio (Q value of 30 represents a 0.1% risk of error and 99.9% confidence). Thereafter, pair-end reads were clustered based on sequence similarity by BLAT. Polymorphic SLAF tags showed sequence polymorphisms across the accessions.

#### 4.4. SNP Genotyping Analysis

The high-quality SLAF tags which show polymorphisms between accessions were aligned to the *B. napus* reference genome using Burrow's Wheeler Alignment Tool (BWA) software [75]. SNPs were identified using the Genome Analysis Toolkit (GATK) and SAMtools [76,77]. We designated the intersection of SNPs obtained by both GATK and SAMtools as the reliable SNPs. Ultimately, SNPs with an integrity ratio of <0.8 and

minor allele frequency (MAF) of <0.05 were filtered out via Plink2 software [78]. The sequencing data have been deposited in the sequence read archive under the accession number PRJNA883932.

#### 4.5. Population Structure and Linkage Disequilibrium Analysis

To obtain accurate results for population structure analysis, we performed linkage disequilibrium (LD) pruning for SNPs derived from the filtered SNP. SNPs with pair-wise  $R^2 > 0.2$  were removed with a window size of 50 SNPs and window step of one SNP. Population analysis, phylogeny analysis, and principal component analysis (PCA) were performed. The population structure was analyzed by Admixture software [79]. The phylogenetic tree was constructed using MEGA5 software based on the neighbor-joining method, using the Kimura 2-parameter model with bootstrap repeated 1000 times [80]. PCA was performed using EIGENSOFT software [81]. The relative kinship between individuals was estimated using SPAGeDi software [82]. Linkage disequilibrium between SNPs was estimated using Plink2 software [78].

#### 4.6. Genome-Wide Association Analysis

GWAS analysis was carried out using five different statistical GWAS models: the general linear model (GLM), mixed linear model (MLM), and compressed linear mixed model (CMLM) of TASSEL 5.0 Software [83], factored spectrally transformed linear mixed model (FaST-LMM) software [84], and efficient mixed-model association expedited (EMMAX) software [85]. The appropriate model for each trait was chosen based on the distribution of the *p*-values in the tail area using quantile-quantile plot (Q-Q plot) [86]. Markers with adjusted  $-\log_{10} (p) > 4$  (threshold) was considered as a significant SNP-trait association. A region of ~1 Mb that contains a few adjacent SNPs was regarded as a QTL region. The Q-Q plot and Manhattan plot were drawn using the R package "qqman" [87].

#### 4.7. Genomic Comparison of Present and Previous QTLs for All Traits

In order to further verify the reliability of the QTLs, QTL mapping studies co-localized with the present study were collected for salt tolerance [13,17–23,39] and yield-related traits including SL, SS, SMI, TSW, SYP, and DWP [10–12,36,48,88–103] conducted on *B. napus*. QTLs from previous studies and the present study were compared to the physical genomic regions of *B. napus*, "Darmor-*bzh*". If only one flanking marker could be aligned to the reference genome, we used a uniform area of 1 cM to delimit all QTLs.

**Supplementary Materials:** The following supporting information can be downloaded at: https://www.mdpi.com/article/10.3390/ijms232415892/s1.

**Author Contributions:** Z.H. was responsible for designing and supervising this study; Y.Z. performed manuscript drafting. P.L., J.Z. and Y.L. performed experiments and data analysis. A.X. revised the manuscript. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

**Funding:** This research was funded by the National Key Research and Development Program (2022YFD1200405), the Key Research and Development Projects of Yangling Seed-industry innovation Center, grant number (Ylzy–yc2021–01), the Open Project Program (CSBAA202204) of State Key Laboratory of Crop Stress Biology for Arid Areas, NWAFU, Yangling, Shaanxi, China.

Institutional Review Board Statement: Not applicable.

Informed Consent Statement: Not applicable.

**Data Availability Statement:** The datasets generated during the current study are available in the Sequence Read Archive (SRA) database of National Center for Biotechnology Information (NCBI) under accession PRJNA883932 (https://dataview.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/object/PRJNA883932 (accessed on 10 October 2022)).

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

# References

- 1. Saeidnia, S.; Gohari, A.R. Importance of Brassica napus as a medicinal food plant. J. Med. Plant Res. 2012, 6, 2700–2703. [CrossRef]
- 2. Wang, H. Analysis and Strategy for Current Domestic Edible Oil Supply. *Chin. J. Oil Crop Sci.* 2007, 29, 347–349.
- 3. Singh, H.; Kumar, P.; Kumar, A.; Kyriacou, M.; Colla, G.; Rouphael, Y. Grafting Tomato as a Tool to Improve Salt Tolerance. *Agronomy* **2020**, *10*, 263. [CrossRef]
- 4. Machado, R.M.A.; Serralheiro, R.P. Soil Salinity: Effect on Vegetable Crop Growth. Management Practices to Prevent and Mitigate Soil Salinization. *Horticulturae* 2017, *3*, 30. [CrossRef]
- 5. Puppala, N.; Fowler, J.L.; Poindexter, L.; Bhardwaj, H.L. *Evaluation of Salinity Tolerance of Canola Germination*; Janick, J., Ed.; ASHS: Alexandria, VA, USA, 1999.
- 6. Shabani, A.; Sepaskhah, A.R.; Kamgar-Haghighi, A.A. A model to predict the dry matter and yield of rapeseed under salinity and deficit irrigation. *Arch. Agron. Soil Sci.* **2014**, *61*, 525–542. [CrossRef]
- Hamdy, A.; Abdel-Dayem, S.; Abu-Zeid, M. Saline water management for optimum crop production. *Agric. Water Manag.* 1993, 24, 189–203. [CrossRef]
- Paterson, A.H.; Lander, E.S.; Hewitt, J.D.; Peterson, S.; Lincoln, S.E.; Tanksley, S.D. Resolution of quantitative traits into Mendelian factors by using a complete linkage map of restriction fragment length polymorphisms. *Nature* 1988, 335, 721–726. [CrossRef]
- 9. Flowers, T.J. Improving crop salt tolerance. J. Exp. Bot. 2004, 55, 307–319. [CrossRef]
- Udall, J.A.; Quijada, P.A.; Lambert, B.; Osborn, T.C. Quantitative trait analysis of seed yield and other complex traits in hybrid spring rapeseed (*Brassica napus* L.): 2. Identification of alleles from unadapted germplasm. *Theor. Appl. Genet.* 2006, 113, 597–609. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Jiaqin, S.; Ruiyuan, L.; Dan, Q.; Congcong, J.; Yan, L.; Morgan, C.; Bancroft, I.; Jianyi, Z.; Jinling, M. Unraveling the Complex Trait of Crop Yield with Quantitative Trait Loci Mapping in Brassica Napus. *Genetics* 2009, 182, 851–861.
- 12. Cai, D.; Xiao, Y.; Yang, W.; Ye, W.; Wang, B.; Younas, M.; Wu, J.; Liu, K. Association mapping of six yield-related traits in rapeseed (*Brassica napus* L.). *Theor. Appl. Genet.* 2013, 127, 85–96. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Lang, L.N.; Xu, A.X.; Ding, J.; Zhang, Y.; Zhao, N.; Tian, Z.S.; Liu, Y.P.; Wang, Y.; Liu, X.; Liang, F.H.; et al. Quantitative Trait Locus Mapping of Salt Tolerance and Identification of Salt-Tolerant Genes in *Brassica Napus* L. *Front. Plant Sci.* 2017, *8*, 1–13. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 14. Wang, X.; Li, J.; Sun, J.; Gu, S.; Wang, J.; Su, C.; Li, Y.; Ma, D.; Zhao, M.; Chen, W. Mining Beneficial Genes for Salt Tolerance from a Core Collection of Rice Landraces at the Seedling Stage Through Genome-Wide Association Mapping. *Front. Plant Sci.* **2022**, *13*, 1320. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Mandozai, A.; Moussa, A.A.; Zhang, Q.; Qu, J.; Du, Y.; Anwari, G.; Al Amin, N.; Wang, P. Genome-Wide Association Study of Root and Shoot Related Traits in Spring Soybean (*Glycine max* L.) at Seedling Stages Using SLAF-Seq. *Front. Plant Sci.* 2021, 12, 1598. [CrossRef]
- 16. Wang, J.; Xian, X.; Xu, X.; Qu, C.; Lu, K.; Li, J.; Liu, L. Genome-Wide Association Mapping of Seed Coat Color in *Brassica napus*. *J. Agric. Food Chem.* **2017**, *65*, 5229–5237. [CrossRef]
- 17. Yong, H.-Y.; Wang, C.; Bancroft, I.; Li, F.; Wu, X.; Kitashiba, H.; Nishio, T. Identification of a gene controlling variation in the salt tolerance of rapeseed (*Brassica napus* L.). *Planta* **2015**, *242*, 313–326. [CrossRef]
- 18. He, Y.; Wu, D.; You, J.; Qian, W. Genome-Wide Association Analysis of Salt Tolerance Related Traits in Brassica Napus and Candidate Gene Prediction. *Sci. Agric. Sin.* **2017**, *50*, 1189–1201.
- 19. Wan, H.; Chen, L.; Guo, J.; Li, Q.; Wen, J.; Yi, B.; Ma, C.; Tu, J.; Fu, T.; Shen, J. Genome-Wide Association Study Reveals the Genetic Architecture Underlying Salt Tolerance-Related Traits in Rapeseed (*Brassica napus* L.). *Front. Plant Sci.* **2017**, *8*, 593. [CrossRef]
- 20. Zhang, R.; Deng, W.; Yang, L.; Wang, Y.; Xiao, F.; He, J.; Lu, K. Genome-Wide Association Study of Root Length and Hypocotyl Length at Germination Stage under Saline Conditions in Brassica Napus. *Sci. Agric. Sin.* **2017**, *50*, 15–27.
- Wassan, G.M.; Khanzada, H.; Zhou, Q.; Mason, A.S.; Keerio, A.A.; Khanzada, S.; Solangi, A.M.; Faheem, M.; Fu, D.; He, H. Identification of genetic variation for salt tolerance in Brassica napus using genome-wide association mapping. *Mol. Genet. Genom.* 2021, 296, 391–408. [CrossRef]
- 22. Zhang, G.; Zhou, J.; Peng, Y.; Tan, Z.; Li, L.; Yu, L.; Jin, C.; Fang, S.; Lu, S.; Guo, L.; et al. Genome-Wide Association Studies of Salt Tolerance at Seed Germination and Seedling Stages in Brassica napus. *Front. Plant Sci.* **2022**, *12*, 3003. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Zhang, G.; Peng, Y.; Zhou, J.; Tan, Z.; Jin, C.; Fang, S.; Zhong, S.; Jin, C.; Wang, R.; Wen, X.; et al. Genome-Wide Association Studies of Salt-Alkali Tolerance at Seedling and Mature Stages in Brassica napus. *Front. Plant Sci.* 2022, 13, 857149. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Li, F.; Chen, B.; Xu, K.; Wu, J.; Song, W.; Bancroft, I.; Harper, A.L.; Trick, M.; Liu, S.; Gao, G.; et al. Genome-Wide Association Study Dissects the Genetic Architecture of Seed Weight and Seed Quality in Rapeseed (*Brassica napus* L.). DNA Res. 2014, 21, 355–367. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 25. Körber, N.; Bus, A.; Li, J.; Parkin, I.A.P.; Wittkop, B.; Snowdon, R.J.; Stich, B. Agronomic and Seed Quality Traits Dissected by Genome-Wide Association Mapping in Brassica napus. *Front. Plant Sci.* **2016**, *7*, 386. [CrossRef]
- 26. Menendez, Y.C.; Sanchez, D.H.; Snowdon, R.J.; Rondanini, D.P.; Botto, J.F. Unraveling the impact on agronomic traits of the genetic architecture underlying plant-density responses in canola. *J. Exp. Bot.* **2021**, *72*, 5426–5441. [CrossRef]

- Song, J.; Li, J.; Sun, J.; Hu, T.; Wu, A.; Liu, S.; Wang, W.; Ma, D.; Zhao, M. Genome-Wide Association Mapping for Cold Tolerance in a Core Collection of Rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) Landraces by Using High-Density Single Nucleotide Polymorphism Markers from Specific-Locus Amplified Fragment Sequencing. *Front. Plant Sci.* 2018, *9*, 875. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Huang, J.; Ma, Q.; Cai, Z.; Xia, Q.; Li, S.; Jia, J.; Chu, L.; Lian, T.; Nian, H.; Cheng, Y. Identification and Mapping of Stable QTLs for Seed Oil and Protein Content in Soybean [*Glycine max* (L.) Merr.]. *J. Agric. Food Chem.* 2020, 68, 6448–6460. [CrossRef]
- Wang, R.J.; Gao, X.F.; Yang, J.; Kong, X.R. Genome-Wide Association Study to Identify Favorable SNP Allelic Variations and Candidate Genes That Control the Timing of Spring Bud Flush of Tea (*Camellia sinensis*) Using SLAF-seq. *J. Agric. Food Chem.* 2019, 67, 10380–10391. [CrossRef]
- Zheng, X.; Tang, Y.; Ye, J.; Pan, Z.; Tan, M.; Xie, Z.; Chai, L.; Xu, Q.; Fraser, P.D.; Deng, X. SLAF-Based Construction of a High-Density Genetic Map and Its Application in QTL Mapping of Carotenoids Content in Citrus Fruit. *J. Agric. Food Chem.* 2018, 67, 994–1002. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Khanzada, H.; Wassan, G.M.; He, H.; Mason, A.S.; Keerio, A.A.; Khanzada, S.; Faheem, M.; Solangi, A.M.; Zhou, Q.; Fu, D.; et al. Differentially evolved drought stress indices determine the genetic variation of Brassica napus at seedling traits by genome-wide association mapping. *J. Adv. Res.* 2020, 24, 447–461. [CrossRef]
- Finch-Savage, W.E.; Clay, H.A.; Lynn, J.R.; Morris, K. Towards a genetic understanding of seed vigour in small-seeded crops using natural variation in Brassica oleracea. *Plant Sci.* 2010, 179, 582–589. [CrossRef]
- Hatzig, S.V.; Frisch, M.; Breuer, F.; Nesi, N.; Ducournau, S.; Wagner, M.H.; Leckband, G.; Abbadi, A.; Snowdon, R.J. Ge-nome-Wide Association Mapping Unravels the Genetic Control of Seed Germination and Vigor in Brassica Napus. *Front. Plant Sci.* 2015, 6, 1–13. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Foolad, M.R.; Lin, G.Y.; Chen, F.Q. Comparison of QTLs for Seed Germination under Non-Stress, Cold Stress and Salt Stress in Tomato. *Plant Breed.* 1999, 118, 167–173. [CrossRef]
- 35. Wu, H.; Guo, J.; Wang, C.; Li, K.; Zhang, X.; Yang, Z.; Li, M.; Wang, B. An Effective Screening Method and a Reliable Screening Trait for Salt Tolerance of Brassica napus at the Germination Stage. *Front. Plant Sci.* **2019**, *10*, 530. [CrossRef]
- Wang, X.; Chen, L.; Wang, A.; Wang, H.; Tian, J.; Zhao, X.; Chao, H.; Zhao, Y.; Zhao, W.; Xiang, J.; et al. Quantitative trait loci analysis and genome-wide comparison for silique related traits in Brassica napus. *BMC Plant Biol.* 2016, 16, 1–15. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Delourme, R.; Falentin, C.; Fomeju, B.F.; Boillot, M.; Lassalle, G.; André, I.; Duarte, J.; Gauthier, V.; Lucante, N.; Marty, A.; et al. High-Density SNP-Based Genetic Map Development and Linkage Disequilibrium Assessment in *Brassica Napus* L. *BMC Genom.* 2013, 14, 1–18. [CrossRef]
- Qian, W.; Meng, J.; Li, M.; Frauen, M.; Sass, O.; Noack, J.; Jung, C. Introgression of genomic components from Chinese Brassica rapa contributes to widening the genetic diversity in rapeseed (*B. napus* L.), with emphasis on the evolution of Chinese rapeseed. *Theor. Appl. Genet.* 2006, 113, 49–54. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 39. Qian, L.; Qian, W.; Snowdon, R.J. Sub-genomic selection patterns as a signature of breeding in the allopolyploid Brassica napus genome. *BMC Genom.* **2014**, *15*, 1170. [CrossRef]
- 40. Flint-Garcia, S.A.; Thornsberry, J.M.; Buckler, E.S. Structure of Linkage Disequilibrium in Plants. *Annu. Rev. Plant Biol.* 2003, 54, 357–374. [CrossRef]
- 41. Zhou, Q.; Zhou, C.; Zheng, W.; Mason, A.S.; Fan, S.; Wu, C.; Fu, D.; Huang, Y. Genome-Wide SNP Markers Based on SLAF-Seq Uncover Breeding Traces in Rapeseed (*Brassica napus* L.). *Front. Plant Sci.* **2017**, *8*, 648. [CrossRef]
- Shiga, T. Rape Breeding by Interspecific Crossing between Brassica Napus and Brassica Campestris in Japan. *Japan Agric. Res. Q.* 1970, 5, 5–10.
- Zhou, H.; Xiao, X.; Asjad, A.; Han, D.; Zheng, W.; Xiao, G.; Huang, Y.; Zhou, Q. Integration of GWAS and transcriptome analyses to identify SNPs and candidate genes for aluminum tolerance in rapeseed (*Brassica napus* L.). *BMC Plant Biol.* 2022, 22, 1–17. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Zhao, C.; Xie, M.; Liang, L.; Yang, L.; Han, H.; Qin, X.; Zhao, J.; Hou, Y.; Dai, W.; Du, C.; et al. Ge-nome-Wide Association Analysis Combined With Quantitative Trait Loci Mapping and Dynamic Transcriptome Unveil the Genetic Control of Seed Oil Content in Brassica Napus L. Front. Plant Sci. 2022, 13, 929197. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 45. Hou, L.-T.; Wang, T.-Y.; Jian, H.-J.; Wang, J.; Li, J.-N.; Liu, L.-Z. QTL Mapping for Seedling Dry Weight and Fresh Weight under Salt Stress and Candidate Genes Analysis in *Brassica napus* L. *Acta Agron. Sin.* **2017**, *43*, 179–189. [CrossRef]
- 46. Zorić, M.; Dodig, D.; Kobiljski, B.; Quarrie, S.; Barnes, J. Population structure in a wheat core collection and genomic loci associated with yield under contrasting environments. *Genetica* **2012**, *140*, 259–275. [CrossRef]
- Ungerer, M.C.; Halldorsdottir, S.S.; Purugganan, M.D.; Mackay, T.F.C. Genotype-Environment Interactions at Quantitative Trait Loci Affecting Inflorescence Development in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. *Genetics* 2003, 165, 353–365. [CrossRef]
- 48. Hu, J.; Chen, B.; Zhao, J.; Zhang, F.; Xie, T.; Xu, K.; Gao, G.; Yan, G.; Li, H.; Li, L. Genomic Selection and Genetic Architecture of Ag-ronomic Traits during Modern Rapeseed Breeding. *Nat. Genet.* **2022**, *54*, 694–704. [CrossRef]
- Inoue, S.; Hayashi, M.; Huang, S.; Yokosho, K.; Gotoh, E.; Ikematsu, S.; Okumura, M.; Suzuki, T.; Kamura, T.; Kinoshita, T.; et al. A tonoplast-localized magnesium transporter is crucial for stomatal opening in Arabidopsis under high Mg<sup>2+</sup> conditions. *New Phytol.* 2022, 236, 864–877. [CrossRef]
- 50. Wang, Y.; Zhang, W.-Z.; Song, L.-F.; Zou, J.-J.; Su, Z.; Wu, W.-H. Transcriptome Analyses Show Changes in Gene Expression to Accompany Pollen Germination and Tube Growth in Arabidopsis. *Plant Physiol.* **2008**, *148*, 1201–1211. [CrossRef]

- Jin, G.; Liu, F.; Ma, H.; Hao, S.; Zhao, Q.; Bian, Z.; Jia, Z.; Song, S. Two G-protein-coupled-receptor candidates, Cand2 and Cand7, are involved in Arabidopsis root growth mediated by the bacterial quorum-sensing signals N-acyl-homoserine lactones. *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* 2012, 417, 991–995. [CrossRef]
- 52. Malamy, J.E. Intrinsic and environmental response pathways that regulate root system architecture. *Plant Cell Environ.* 2005, *28*, 67–77. [CrossRef]
- 53. Merlot, S.; Leonhardt, N.; Fenzi, F.; Valon, C.; Costa, J.; Piette, L.; Vavasseur, A.; Genty, B.; Boivin, K.; Müller, A.; et al. Constitutive activation of a plasma membrane H+-ATPase prevents abscisic acid-mediated stomatal closure. *EMBO J.* **2007**, *26*, 3216–3226. [CrossRef]
- 54. Hasegawa, P.M.; Bressan, R.A.; Zhu, J.-K.; Bohnert, H.J. Plant cellular and molecular responses to high salinity. *Annu. Rev. Plant Physiol. Plant Mol. Biol.* 2000, 51, 463–499. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Müller, M.; Kunz, H.-H.; Schroeder, J.I.; Kemp, G.; Young, H.S.; Neuhaus, H.E. Decreased capacity for sodium export out of Arabidopsis chloroplasts impairs salt tolerance, photosynthesis and plant performance. *Plant J.* 2014, 78, 646–658. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 56. Galon, Y.; Finkler, A.; Fromm, H. Calcium-Regulated Transcription in Plants. Mol. Plant 2010, 3, 653–669. [CrossRef]
- Pandey, N.; Ranjan, A.; Pant, P.; Tripathi, R.K.; Ateek, F.; Pandey, H.P.; Patre, U.V.; Sawant, S.V. CAMTA 1 regulates drought responses in Arabidopsis thaliana. *BMC Genom.* 2013, 14, 216. [CrossRef]
- 58. Kawaura, K.; Mochida, K.; Ogihara, Y. Genome-wide analysis for identification of salt-responsive genes in common wheat. *Funct. Integr. Genom.* **2008**, *8*, 277–286. [CrossRef]
- 59. Dubos, C.; Stracke, R.; Grotewold, E.; Weisshaar, B.; Martin, C.; Lepiniec, L. MYB transcription factors in Arabidopsis. *Trends Plant Sci.* **2010**, *15*, 573–581. [CrossRef]
- Jung, C.; Jun, S.S.; Sang, W.H.; Yeon, J.K.; Chung, H.K.; Sang, I.S.; Baek, H.N.; Yang, D.C.; Cheong, J.J. Overexpression of AtMYB44 Enhances Stomatal Closure to Confer Abiotic Stress Tolerance in Transgenic Arabidopsis. *Plant Physiol.* 2008, 146, 623–635. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Tran, L.-S.P.; Nakashima, K.; Sakuma, Y.; Simpson, S.D.; Fujita, Y.; Maruyama, K.; Fujita, M.; Seki, M.; Shinozaki, K.; Yamaguchi-Shinozaki, K. Isolation and Functional Analysis of Arabidopsis Stress-Inducible NAC Transcription Factors That Bind to a Drought-Responsive *cis*-Element in the *early responsive to dehydration stress 1* Promoter[W]. *Plant Cell* 2004, *16*, 2481–2498. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Alshareef, N.O.; Otterbach, S.L.; Allu, A.D.; Woo, Y.H.; de Werk, T.; Kamranfar, I.; Mueller-Roeber, B.; Tester, M.; Balazadeh, S.; Schmöckel, S.M. NAC transcription factors ATAF1 and ANAC055 affect the heat stress response in Arabidopsis. *Sci. Rep.* 2022, 12, 1–15. [CrossRef]
- Qin, F.; Sakuma, Y.; Tran, L.-S.P.; Maruyama, K.; Kidokoro, S.; Fujita, Y.; Fujita, M.; Umezawa, T.; Sawano, Y.; Miyazono, K.-I.; et al. *Arabidopsis* DREB2A-Interacting Proteins Function as RING E3 Ligases and Negatively Regulate Plant Drought Stress–Responsive Gene Expression. *Plant Cell* 2008, 20, 1693–1707. [CrossRef]
- 64. Chen, Z.; Hong, X.; Zhang, H.; Wang, Y.; Li, X.; Zhu, J.-K.; Gong, Z. Disruption of the cellulose synthase gene, AtCesA8/IRX1, enhances drought and osmotic stress tolerance in Arabidopsis. *Plant J.* **2005**, *43*, 273–283. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 65. Boudsocq, M.; Barbier-Brygoo, H.; Laurière, C. Identification of Nine Sucrose Nonfermenting 1-related Protein Kinases 2 Activated by Hyperosmotic and Saline Stresses in Arabidopsis thaliana. *J. Biol. Chem.* **2004**, *279*, 41758–41766. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Takahashi, Y.; Zhang, J.; Hsu, P.-K.; Ceciliato, P.H.O.; Zhang, L.; Dubeaux, G.; Munemasa, S.; Ge, C.; Zhao, Y.; Hauser, F.; et al. MAP3Kinase-dependent SnRK2-kinase activation is required for abscisic acid signal transduction and rapid osmotic stress response. *Nat. Commun.* 2020, 11, 1–12. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Kant, P.; Kant, S.; Gordon, M.; Shaked, R.; Barak, S. STRESS RESPONSE SUPPRESSOR1 and STRESS RESPONSE SUPPRESSOR2, Two DEAD-Box RNA Helicases That Attenuate Arabidopsis Responses to Multiple Abiotic Stresses. *Plant Physiol.* 2007, 145, 814–830. [CrossRef]
- Wang, W.; Zhang, Y.; Xu, C.; Ren, J.; Liu, X.; Black, K.; Gai, X.; Wang, Q.; Ren, H. Cucumber ECERIFERUM1 (CsCER1), which influences the cuticle properties and drought tolerance of cucumber, plays a key role in VLC alkanes biosynthesis. *Plant Mol. Biol.* 2014, *87*, 219–233. [CrossRef]
- 69. Liang, J.; Ma, Y.; Wu, J.; Cheng, F.; Liu, B.; Wang, X. Map-based cloning of the dominant genic male sterile Ms-cd1 gene in cabbage (Brassica oleracea). *Theor. Appl. Genet.* 2016, 130, 71–79. [CrossRef]
- 70. Lobell, D.B.; Roberts, M.J.; Schlenker, W.; Braun, N.; Little, B.B.; Rejesus, R.M.; Hammer, G.L. Greater Sensitivity to Drought Accompanies Maize Yield Increase in the U.S. Midwest. *Science* **2014**, *344*, 516–519. [CrossRef]
- 71. Chen, B.; Xu, K.; Li, J.; Li, F.; Qiao, J.; Li, H.; Gao, G.; Yan, G.; Wu, X. Evaluation of yield and agronomic traits and their genetic variation in 488 global collections of *Brassica napus* L. *Genet. Resour. Crop Evol.* **2014**, *61*, 979–999. [CrossRef]
- 72. Doyle, J.J. Isolation of Plant DNA from Fresh Tissue. Focus 1990, 12, 13–15.
- 73. Sun, X.; Liu, D.; Zhang, X.; Li, W.; Liu, H.; Hong, W.; Jiang, C.; Guan, N.; Ma, C.; Zeng, H.; et al. SLAF-seq: An Efficient Method of Large-Scale De Novo SNP Discovery and Genotyping Using High-Throughput Sequencing. PLoS ONE 2013, 8, e58700. [CrossRef]
- Kozich, J.J.; Westcott, S.L.; Baxter, N.T.; Highlander, S.K.; Schloss, P.D. Development of a dual-index sequencing strategy and curation pipeline for analyzing amplicon sequence data on the MiSeq Illumina sequencing platform. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 2013, 79, 5112–5120. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

- Li, H.; Durbin, R. Fast and accurate short read alignment with Burrows—Wheeler transform. *Bioinformatics* 2009, 25, 1754–1760. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- McKenna, A.; Hanna, M.; Banks, E.; Sivachenko, A.; Cibulskis, K.; Kernytsky, A.; Garimella, K.; Altshuler, D.; Gabriel, S.; Daly, M.; et al. The Genome Analysis Toolkit: A MapReduce framework for analyzing next-generation DNA sequencing data. *Genome Res.* 2010, 20, 1297–1303. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 77. Li, R.; Yu, C.; Li, Y.; Lam, T.-W.; Yiu, S.-M.; Kristiansen, K.; Wang, J. SOAP2: An improved ultrafast tool for short read alignment. *Bioinformatics* 2009, 25, 1966–1967. [CrossRef]
- 78. Purcell, S.; Neale, B.; Todd-Brown, K.; Thomas, L.; Ferreira, M.A.R.; Bender, D.; Maller, J.; Sklar, P.; de Bakker, P.I.W.; Daly, M.J.; et al. PLINK: A Tool Set for Whole-Genome Association and Population-Based Linkage Analyses. *Am. J. Hum. Genet.* 2007, *81*, 559–575. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 79. Alexander, D.H.; Novembre, J.; Lange, K. Fast model-based estimation of ancestry in unrelated individuals. *Genome Res.* 2009, 19, 1655–1664. [CrossRef]
- Kumar, S.; Stecher, G.; Li, M.; Knyaz, C.; Tamura, K. MEGA X: Molecular Evolutionary Genetics Analysis across Computing Platforms. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* 2018, 35, 1547–1549. [CrossRef]
- 81. Price, A.L.; Patterson, N.J.; Plenge, R.M.; Weinblatt, M.E.; Shadick, N.A.; Reich, D. Principal components analysis corrects for stratification in genome-wide association studies. *Nat. Genet.* **2006**, *38*, 904–909. [CrossRef]
- 82. Note, P. Spagedi: A Versatile Computer Program to Analyse Spatial. Mol. Ecol. Notes 2002, 2, 618–620.
- Bradbury, P.J.; Zhang, Z.; Kroon, D.E.; Casstevens, T.M.; Ramdoss, Y.; Buckler, E.S. TASSEL: Software for association mapping of complex traits in diverse samples. *Bioinformatics* 2007, 23, 2633–2635. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Lippert, C.; Listgarten, J.; Liu, Y.; Kadie, C.M.; Davidson, R.I.; Heckerman, D. FaST linear mixed models for genome-wide association studies. *Nat. Methods* 2011, *8*, 833–835. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Kang, H.M.; Sul, J.H.; Service, S.K.; Zaitlen, N.A.; Kong, S.-Y.; Freimer, N.B.; Sabatti, C.; Eskin, E. Variance component model to account for sample structure in genome-wide association studies. *Nat. Genet.* 2010, 42, 348–354. [CrossRef]
- Govta, N.; Polda, I.; Sela, H.; Cohen, Y.; Beckles, D.M.; Korol, A.B.; Fahima, T.; Saranga, Y.; Krugman, T. Genome-Wide Association Study in Bread Wheat Identifies Genomic Regions Associated with Grain Yield and Quality under Contrasting Water Availability. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* 2022, 23, 10575. [CrossRef]
- 87. Turner, S.D. Qqman: An R Package for Visualizing GWAS Results Using Q-Q and Manhattan Plots. J. Open Source Softw. 2018, 3, 731. [CrossRef]
- Quijada, P.A.; Udall, J.A.; Lambert, B.; Osborn, T.C. Quantitative Trait Analysis of Seed Yield and Other Complex Traits in Hybrid Spring Rapeseed (*Brassica Napus* L.): 1. IdentiWcation of Genomic Regions from Winter Germplasm. *Theor. Appl. Genet.* 2006, 113, 549–561. [CrossRef]
- Basunanda, P.; Radoev, M.; Ecke, W.; Friedt, W.; Becker, H.C.; Snowdon, R.J. Comparative mapping of quantitative trait loci involved in heterosis for seedling and yield traits in oilseed rape (*Brassica napus* L.). *Theor. Appl. Genet.* 2009, 120, 271–281. [CrossRef]
- Shi, Y.; Zhang, X.; Xu, Z.-Y.; Li, L.; Zhang, C.; Schläppi, M. Influence of EARLI1-like genes on flowering time and lignin synthesis of Arabidopsis thaliana. *Plant Biol.* 2011, 13, 731–739. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 91. Yang, P.; Shu, C.; Chen, L.; Xu, J.; Wu, J.; Liu, K. Identification of a major QTL for silique length and seed weight in oilseed rape (*Brassica napus* L.). *Theor. Appl. Genet.* 2012, 125, 285–296. [CrossRef]
- 92. Qi, L.; Mao, L.; Sun, C.; Pu, Y.; Fu, T.; Ma, C.; Shen, J.; Tu, J.; Yi, B.; Wen, J. Interpreting the Genetic Basis of Silique Traits in Brassica Napus Using a Joint QTL Network. *Plant Breed.* **2014**, *133*, 52–60. [CrossRef]
- Fu, Y.; Wei, D.; Dong, H.; He, Y.; Cui, Y.; Mei, J.; Wan, H.; Li, J.; Snowdon, R.; Friedt, W.; et al. Comparative Quan-titative Trait Loci for Silique Length and Seed Weight in Brassica Napus. *Sci. Rep.* 2015, *5*, 1–9. [CrossRef]
- 94. Geng, X.; Jiang, C.; Yang, J.; Wang, L.; Wu, X.; Wei, W. Rapid Identification of Candidate Genes for Seed Weight Using the SLAF-Seq Method in Brassica napus. *PLoS ONE* **2016**, *11*, e0147580. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Zhao, W.; Wang, X.; Wang, H.; Tian, J.; Li, B.; Chen, L.; Chao, H.; Long, Y.; Xiang, J.; Gan, J.; et al. Genome-Wide Identification of QTL for Seed Yield and Yield-Related Traits and Construction of a High-Density Consensus Map for QTL Comparison in Brassica napus. *Front. Plant Sci.* 2016, 7, 17. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 96. Luo, Z.; Wang, M.; Long, Y.; Huang, Y.; Shi, L.; Zhang, C.; Liu, X.; Fitt, B.D.L.; Xiang, J.; Mason, A.S.; et al. Incorporating pleiotropic quantitative trait loci in dissection of complex traits: Seed yield in rapeseed as an example. *Theor. Appl. Genet.* **2017**, *130*, 1569–1585. [CrossRef]
- Yang, Y.; Shen, Y.; Li, S.; Ge, X.; Li, Z. High Density Linkage Map Construction and QTL Detection for Three Silique-Related Traits in Orychophragmus violaceus Derived Brassica napus Population. Front. Plant Sci. 2017, 8, 1512. [CrossRef]
- Ye, J.; Yang, Y.; Chen, B.; Shi, J.; Luo, M.; Zhan, J.; Wang, X.; Liu, G.; Wang, H. An integrated analysis of QTL mapping and RNA sequencing provides further insights and promising candidates for pod number variation in rapeseed (Brassica napus L.). BMC Genom. 2017, 18, 1–14. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Dong, H.; Tan, C.; Li, Y.; He, Y.; Wei, S.; Cui, Y.; Chen, Y.; Wei, D.; Fu, Y.; He, Y.; et al. Genome-Wide Association Study Reveals Both Overlapping and Independent Genetic Loci to Control Seed Weight and Silique Length in Brassica napus. *Front. Plant Sci.* 2018, 9, 921. [CrossRef]

- 100. Raboanatahiry, N.; Chao, H.; Dalin, H.; Pu, S.; Yan, W.; Yu, L.; Wang, B.; Li, M. QTL Alignment for Seed Yield and Yield Related Traits in Brassica napus. *Front. Plant Sci.* **2018**, *9*, 1127. [CrossRef]
- 101. Li, B.; Gao, J.; Chen, J.; Wang, Z.; Shen, W.; Yi, B.; Wen, J.; Ma, C.; Shen, J.; Fu, T.; et al. Identification and fine mapping of a major locus controlling branching in Brassica napus. *Theor. Appl. Genet.* **2020**, *133*, 771–783. [CrossRef]
- 102. Wang, H.; Zaman, Q.U.; Huang, W.; Mei, D.; Liu, J.; Wang, W.; Ding, B.; Hao, M.; Fu, L.; Cheng, H.; et al. QTL and Candidate Gene Identification for Silique Length Based on High-Dense Genetic Map in *Brassica napus* L. *Front. Plant Sci.* 2019, 10, 1579. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 103. Zhao, X.; Yu, K.; Pang, C.; Wu, X.; Shi, R.; Sun, C.; Zhang, W.; Chen, F.; Zhang, J.; Wang, X. QTL Analysis of Five Silique-Related Traits in Brassica napus L. Across Multiple Environments. *Front. Plant Sci.* **2021**, *12*, 766271. [CrossRef] [PubMed]