

1      **Supplementary Materials:**

2      **Quorum quenching properties and probiotic**  
3      **potentials of intestinal associated bacteria in Asian**  
4      **sea bass *Lates calcarifer***

5      **Reza Ghanei-Motlagh <sup>1,3</sup>, Takavar Mohammadian <sup>1,\*</sup>, Dariush Gharibi <sup>2</sup>, Simon Menanteau-**  
6      **Ledouble <sup>3,\*</sup>, Esmaeil Mahmoudi <sup>4</sup>, Mohammad Khosravi <sup>2</sup>, Mojtaba Zarea <sup>1</sup> and Mansour El-**  
7      **Matbouli <sup>3</sup>**

8      <sup>1</sup> Department of Clinical Sciences, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Shahid Chamran University of Ahvaz,  
9      Ahvaz, Iran

10     <sup>2</sup> Department of Pathobiology, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Shahid Chamran University of Ahvaz,  
11     Ahvaz, Iran

12     <sup>3</sup> Clinical Division of Fish Medicine, University of Veterinary Medicine, Vienna, Austria

13     <sup>4</sup> Department of Plant Protection, Faculty of Agriculture, Isfahan (Khorasgan) Branch, Islamic Azad  
14     University, Isfahan, Iran

15     \* Correspondence: t.mohammadian@scu.ac.ir (T.M.); Simon.Menanteau-Ledouble@vetmeduni.ac.at (S.M.-L.)

16

17

18

19

20

21 **Supplementary Table S1.** Resistance of quorum quenching bacteria to bile salts.

Isolates	Bile concentration			Total score
	2.5%	5%	7.5%	
QQ1	8.00 ± 0.01 <sup>a</sup>	7.97 ± 0.01 <sup>a</sup>	7.90 ± 0.01 <sup>a</sup>	12
QQ2	7.97 ± 0.04 <sup>a</sup>	7.96 ± 0.02 <sup>a</sup>	7.94 ± 0.03 <sup>a</sup>	12
QQ3	7.88 ± 0.02 <sup>b</sup>	7.85 ± 0.01 <sup>b</sup>	7.74 ± 0.01 <sup>b</sup>	9
QQ4	7.97 ± 0.01 <sup>a</sup>	7.94 ± 0.01 <sup>a</sup>	7.92 ± 0.02 <sup>a</sup>	12
QQ5	7.97 ± 0.02 <sup>a</sup>	7.94 ± 0.01 <sup>a</sup>	7.91 ± 0.02 <sup>a</sup>	12

22 Data are expressed as log cfu/ml (Mean ± SD) (n=3). Different superscript letters in each column  
23 indicate statically significant differences between values (P < 0.05). The values were rated as: a (4), b  
24 (3)

25  
26  
27

28 **Supplementary Table S2.** Survival of quorum quenching bacteria in various degrees of pH.

Isolates	pH					Total score
	1.5	3	4.5	7	9	
QQ1	7.66 ± 0.05 <sup>a</sup>	7.85 ± 0.01 <sup>a</sup>	7.96 ± 0.01 <sup>a</sup>	8.05 ± 0.02 <sup>a</sup>	8.01 ± 0.02 <sup>a</sup>	20
QQ2	7.64 ± 0.01 <sup>a</sup>	7.86 ± 0.01 <sup>a</sup>	7.96 ± 0.01 <sup>a</sup>	7.98 ± 0.03 <sup>b</sup>	7.98 ± 0.03 <sup>a</sup>	19
QQ3	7.31 ± 0.06 <sup>b</sup>	7.77 ± 0.04 <sup>b</sup>	7.87 ± 0.03 <sup>b</sup>	7.89 ± 0.01 <sup>c</sup>	7.89 ± 0.01 <sup>b</sup>	14
QQ4	7.67 ± 0.02 <sup>a</sup>	7.87 ± 0.02 <sup>a</sup>	7.97 ± 0.02 <sup>a</sup>	7.98 ± 0.03 <sup>b</sup>	7.96 ± 0.02 <sup>a</sup>	19
QQ5	ND	ND	7.68 ± 0.02 <sup>c</sup>	8.01 ± 0.03 <sup>ab</sup>	8.00 ± 0.02 <sup>a</sup>	9.5

29 Data represent the log cfu/ml (Mean ± SD) of three replicates. A different superscript within the  
30 same column indicates significant difference among values (P < 0.05). The values were rated as  
31 follow: a (4), ab (3.5), b (3), bc (2.5), c (2) Not detected (ND).

32  
33  
34

35 **Supplementary Table S3.** Growth of quorum quenching bacteria in different salinities.

Isolates	Salinity (OD)				Total score
	0%	2%	4%	8%	
QQ1	0.456 ± 0.01 <sup>b</sup>	0.517 ± 0.01 <sup>c</sup>	0.362 ± 0.01 <sup>b</sup>	0.181 ± 0.01 <sup>a</sup>	12
QQ2	0.428 ± 0.01 <sup>b</sup>	0.690 ± 0.01 <sup>a</sup>	0.333 ± 0.01 <sup>c</sup>	0.130 ± 0.01 <sup>b</sup>	12
QQ3	0.215 ± 0.01 <sup>d</sup>	0.346 ± 0.01 <sup>e</sup>	0.328 ± 0.01 <sup>cd</sup>	0.079 ± 0.01 <sup>c</sup>	4.5
QQ4	0.381 ± 0.01 <sup>c</sup>	0.458 ± 0.01 <sup>d</sup>	0.307 ± 0.01 <sup>d</sup>	0.120 ± 0.01 <sup>b</sup>	5
QQ5	0.620 ± 0.01 <sup>a</sup>	0.541 ± 0.01 <sup>b</sup>	0.487 ± 0.01 <sup>a</sup>	0.115 ± 0.01 <sup>b</sup>	14

36 Values (Mean ± SD, n=3) with various lowercase letters in a column indicate significant differences  
37 (P < 0.05). The data were scored as: a (4), ab (3.5), b (3), bc (2.5), c (2), cd (1.5), d (1), de (0.5), e (0).

38  
39  
40  
41

42 **Supplementary Table S4.** Co-aggregation percentage of quorum quenching bacteria.

Isolates	Coaggregation (%)				Total score	
	<i>Vibrio harveyi</i>		<i>Vibrio alginolyticus</i>			
	2 h	24 h	2 h	24 h		
QQ1	11.74 ± 2.00 <sup>bc</sup>	33.66 ± 1.82 <sup>a</sup>	22.25 ± 3.25 <sup>b</sup>	41.34 ± 1.95 <sup>b</sup>	12.5	
QQ2	16.91 ± 0.72 <sup>a</sup>	23.59 ± 1.75 <sup>b</sup>	30.58 ± 2.98 <sup>a</sup>	52.71 ± 3.13 <sup>a</sup>	15	
QQ3	13.17 ± 0.33 <sup>ab</sup>	23.62 ± 1.18 <sup>b</sup>	16.59 ± 1.18 <sup>bc</sup>	37.26 ± 1.60 <sup>b</sup>	12	
QQ4	15.88 ± 2.10 <sup>a</sup>	24.87 ± 1.83 <sup>b</sup>	21.20 ± 0.40 <sup>b</sup>	36.58 ± 0.96 <sup>b</sup>	13	
QQ5	8.40 ± 1.26 <sup>c</sup>	16.77 ± 1.48 <sup>c</sup>	12.13 ± 2.76 <sup>c</sup>	22.96 ± 0.76 <sup>c</sup>	8	

43 The results indicate mean ± standard deviation of three replicates at two intervals. Values with the  
 44 same letter within columns are not statistically significant ( $P < 0.05$ ). Data were rated as: a (4), ab (3.5),  
 45 b (3), bc (2.5), c (2), cd (1.5), d (1).

46

47

48

49

50

51 **Supplementary Table S5.** Specific growth rate and doubling time of quorum quenching bacteria in  
 52 marine broth and mucus.

Isolates	Specific growth rate ( $\mu$ )	Doubling time ( $t_d$ )	$r^2$	Total score
<b>Marine broth</b>				
QQ1	0.291 ± 0.037 <sup>a</sup>	0.85 ± 0.06 <sup>a</sup>	0.94 ± 0.01	8
QQ2	0.177 ± 0.008 <sup>b</sup>	1.22 ± 0.08 <sup>b</sup>	0.93 ± 0.01	6
QQ3	0.184 ± 0.023 <sup>b</sup>	1.04 ± 0.06 <sup>ab</sup>	0.96 ± 0.01	6.5
QQ4	0.180 ± 0.014 <sup>b</sup>	1.24 ± 0.07 <sup>b</sup>	0.95 ± 0.02	6
QQ5	0.197 ± 0.011 <sup>b</sup>	1.00 ± 0.10 <sup>a</sup>	0.95 ± 0.03	7
<b>Mucus</b>				
QQ1	0.074 ± 0.006 <sup>b</sup>	3.08 ± 0.17 <sup>c</sup>	0.96 ± 0.01	5
QQ2	0.085 ± 0.005 <sup>b</sup>	2.40 ± 0.10 <sup>b</sup>	0.93 ± 0.02	6
QQ3	0.062 ± 0.003 <sup>b</sup>	3.63 ± 0.15 <sup>d</sup>	0.94 ± 0.03	4
QQ4	0.065 ± 0.009 <sup>b</sup>	2.67 ± 0.12 <sup>b</sup>	0.92 ± 0.02	6
QQ5	0.133 ± 0.015 <sup>a</sup>	0.93 ± 0.06 <sup>a</sup>	0.94 ± 0.02	8

53 Values (Mean ± SD, n=3) in the same column sharing identical superscripts are not significantly  
 54 different ( $P < 0.05$ ). Rating to the isolates (for SGR) was performed according to the respective  
 55 differences: a (4), ab (3.5), b (3), bc (2.5), c (2), cd (1.5), d (1).

56

57

58

59

60

61

62

63 **Supplementary Table S6.** Antibiotic resistance of quorum quenching bacteria.

<b>Antibiotics</b>	QQ1	QQ2	QQ3	QQ4	QQ5
Doxycycline (D, 30 µg)	S	S	S	S	S
Oxytetracycline (OT, 30 µg)	S	S	S	S	S
Erythromycin (E, 15 µg)	S	S	S	S	S
Gentamicin (CN, 10 µg)	S	S	S	S	S
Enrofloxacin (ENR, 5 µg)	S	S	S	S	S
Florfenicol (FF, 30 µg)	S	S	S	S	S
Flumequine (UB, 30 µg)	S	S	S	S	S
Oxolinic acid (OA, 2 µg)	S	S	S	S	S
Trimethoprim/Sulfamethoxazole (SXT, 25 µg)	R	R	R	S	S
Total score	8	8	8	9	9

64 Susceptible (S): 1, Resistant (R): 0. Susceptibility of the isolates to tested antibiotics was regarded as a  
65 positive score.

66

67