

Supplementary Table S2. Quality assessment.

| Study | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | TOTAL |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|-------|
| Akinkugbe <i>et al.</i> (2021) | Y | N | N | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | 7 |
| Cayo-Rojas <i>et al.</i> (2021) | Y | N | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | U | 7 |
| Chi <i>et al.</i> (2021) | Y | N | N | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | N | 6 |
| dos Santos-Fernandez <i>et al.</i> (2021) | Y | U | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | U | 7 |
| El Homossany <i>et al.</i> (2021) | N | N | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | 7 |
| Gaş <i>et al.</i> (2021) | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | 9 |
| Generali <i>et al.</i> (2020) | Y | N | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | 8 |
| Hakami <i>et al.</i> (2021) | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | U | 8 |
| Keskin (2021) | N | N | N | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | U | 5 |
| Kwaik <i>et al.</i> (2021) | Y | U | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | 8 |
| Lingawi & Afifi (2020) | Y | U | N | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | U | 6 |
| Mekhemar <i>et al.</i> (2021) | Y | N | N | N | Y | Y | Y | Y | U | 5 |
| Saddik <i>et al.</i> (2020) | U | N | N | N | Y | Y | Y | Y | U | 4 |
| Samsudin <i>et al.</i> (2021) | Y | N | N | N | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | 6 |
| Siddiqui & Qian (2021) | Y | N | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | N | 7 |

Abbreviations: N: No, Y: Yes, U: Unclear; 1: Was the sample frame appropriate to address the target population?; 2: Were study participants recruited in an appropriate way?; 3: Was the sample size adequate?; 4: Were the study subjects and setting described in detail?; 5: Was data analysis conducted with sufficient coverage of the identified sample?; 6: Were valid methods used for the identification of the condition?; 7: Was the condition measured in a standard, reliable way for all participants?; 8: Was there appropriate statistical analysis?; 9: Was the response rate adequate, and if not, was the low response rate managed appropriately?