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#### Abstract

Accurately locating faults is quite important, especially when the geographical environment is complicated. If the exact location of the fault is not given, wrong route would be chosen, which will greatly slow down repair. This paper proposes an improved traveling wave method by compensating the electrical distance of transmission lines. The catenary model is constructed that considers parameters of the tower and the actual temperature. The actual line length is also derived by the catenary model. A 500 kV transmission line model is established by PSCAD/EMTDC. Various fault simulations are conducted and the results demonstrate that the presented method effectively reduces the error ratio of faulty segment positioning and locates faults with high accuracy.
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## 1. Introduction

With the rapid development of the power industry and the continuous expansion of power systems, the voltage level and transmission capacity of transmission lines are gradually growing, and the number of high-voltage transmission lines is also increasing [1-3]. However, high-voltage transmission lines often travel across complex terrain and are exposed to the wild all year round. They are prone to faults due to bad weather conditions and other factors, resulting in huge losses to industrial production and the economy [4,5]. Therefore, it is of great significance to quickly and accurately locate faults in transmission lines [6,7]. Accurate fault location can improve the efficiency of troubleshooting, reduce outage times, and increase system stability [8].

The main fault location methods are the impedance and traveling wave methods [9,10]. Most methods directly take the sum of the horizontal distance between towers as the total length of the transmission line [11-13]. However, the actual length will be affected by sag, temperature, and the level of the load current [14,15]. According to the principles of fault location methods [16-18], the length of the transmission line is an important factor that affects the accuracy of fault location. Taking a 500 kV transmission line as an example, according to the Code for the design of $110 \mathrm{kV}-750 \mathrm{kV}$ overhead transmission lines [19], the total height of the transmission line tower is generally $30-50 \mathrm{~m}$, and the minimum distance from the wire to the ground is at most 14 meters. It is assumed that the height of the tower is 40 m , and the length of the transmission line is 40 km . According to the simplified computational model shown in Figure 1, the actual length of the line is 40.447 km . Therefore, the correction of the length of the transmission line is of importance to improve the positioning accuracy.


Figure 1. Simplified computational model of a transmission line.
In addition, accurate positioning results can improve the efficiency of excluding the fault. As most faults happen when the weather is terrible or the geographic environment is complicated, incorrect results will cause trouble to the maintainer. Figure 2 shows two towers, B and C, that are built over a river. If the fault happens at the end of line $A B$, when the wind span is used to locate the fault, it is possible that the fault will be positioned at $\mathrm{F}^{\prime}$ between B and C . Not only are F and $\mathrm{F}^{\prime}$ located at the two sides of tower B, but also on both sides of the river. An incorrect section location will mislead the maintainer about the path that should be chosen and reduce the efficiency with which the fault is tripped out.


Figure 2. Schematic diagram of a tower across a river.
Aiming at the problem mentioned above, we first establish a catenary model of the transmission line, and propose a method for calculating sag. Secondly, we consider the influence of temperature on the length of the transmission line in order to compensate for the electrical distance of the transmission line. Finally, a 500 kV transmission line is built in PSCAD/EMTDC to verify the practicability and accuracy of the proposed method.

## 2. Compensation for the Electrical Distance of Transmission Lines

In practical engineering application, geometric models of transmission line conductors are complicated due to such factors as the distribution of load current and the conductor's rigidity. To simplify the construction of geometric model, we make the following two assumptions. First, the transmission line is assumed to be a flexible chain without any rigidity, which indicates that the conductor's rigidity barely affects the space curve's shape. Second, the load current is assumed to be uniformly distributed along the conductor [20-22]. To ensure the accuracy of the model's construction, the geometric model of a transmission line conductor was established based on a catenary model [23].

Taking the lowest point of the conductor as the origin, a two-dimensional cartesian coordinate system was constructed as shown in Figure 3. In Figure 3, A and B represent the two suspension points of the conductor terminals. Force analysis of an arbitrary point $P$ in the conductor was performed and is shown in Figure 4. The dead-weight of the conductor segment OP is denoted G, the horizontal stress of OP is denoted $\sigma$, and oblique stress is shown as $\mathrm{F}_{2}$.


Figure 3. Model of an unequal-altitude catenary.


Figure 4. Force diagram of the OP conductor segment.
According to the equilibrium equation, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tan \alpha=\frac{G}{\sigma}=\frac{d x \cdot \omega}{\sigma} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $d x$ is the length of OP, $\alpha$ is the angle between $F_{2}$ and the horizontal line, and $\omega$ represents a specific gravity load. According to (1), the following curve function expression can be obtained:

$$
\begin{equation*}
y(x)=\frac{\sigma}{\omega} \cosh \left(\frac{\omega}{\sigma} x\right)+C \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

At the origin, $y=0, x=0$, and $C=-\sigma / \omega$. Thus,

$$
\begin{equation*}
y(x)=\frac{\sigma}{\omega} \cosh \left(\frac{\omega}{\sigma} x\right)-\frac{\sigma}{\omega} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equation (3) is the mathematical expression of the catenary model of the transmission line. In Figure 3, it is assumed that the coordinates of A and B are $\left(-a, l_{1}\right)$ and $\left(L-a, l_{1}+H\right)$, respectively. $l_{1}$ is the vertical distance between A and the origin. H represents the difference in altitude between suspension points A and B. $L$ denotes the line span. By taking the derivative of (3), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{\prime}(x)=\sinh \left(\frac{\omega}{\sigma} x\right) \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

According to the basic principle for calculating arc length, the arc length $L^{\prime}$ is

$$
\begin{align*}
& L^{\prime}=\int_{x_{1}}^{x_{2}} \sqrt{1+\left(\sinh \left(\frac{\omega}{\sigma} x\right)\right)^{2}}=\int_{x_{1}}^{x_{2}} \cosh \frac{\omega}{\sigma} x=  \tag{5}\\
& \frac{2 \sigma}{\omega} \sinh \left(\frac{\omega l}{2 \sigma}\right) \cosh \left(\frac{\omega(l-2 a)}{2 \sigma}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

In addition, it can be observed that

$$
\begin{equation*}
y(L-a)-y(-a)=H \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining (5) and (6), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{a}=\frac{L}{2}-\frac{\sigma}{\omega} \sinh ^{-1} \frac{\omega H}{2 \sigma \sinh \frac{\omega L}{2 \sigma}} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Based on the above analysis, it is clear that the higher the altitude difference between $A$ and $B$, the larger the line span, and thus the longer the arc length of the line.

The maximum sag is one of the most important parameters for the safe operation of transmission lines. According to the catenary model, the function of the curve between $A$ and $B$ can be expressed by

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{A B}=\frac{H}{L}(x+a)+\frac{\sigma}{\omega}\left[\cosh \left(\frac{\omega}{\sigma} a\right)-1\right] \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

The sag height of any point in the conductor is

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(x)=y_{A B}-y=\frac{H}{L}(x+a)+\frac{\sigma}{\omega}\left[\cosh \left(\frac{\omega}{\sigma} a\right)-1\right]-\frac{\sigma}{\omega} \cosh \left(\frac{\omega}{\sigma} x\right)+\frac{\sigma}{\omega} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

To determine the maximum sag, the derivative of $f(x)$ is calculated by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d f(x)}{d x}=\frac{H}{l}-\sinh \left(\frac{\omega}{\sigma} x\right)=0 \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, the maximum sag $f_{h}$ and the corresponding abscissa $x_{h}$ are

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
f_{h}=\frac{H}{L}\left(\frac{\sigma}{\omega} \sinh ^{-1} \frac{H}{L}+a\right)+\frac{\sigma}{\omega}\left[\cosh \left(\frac{\omega}{\sigma} a\right)-1\right]-\frac{\sigma}{\omega} \sqrt{\left(\frac{H}{L}\right)^{2}+1}+\frac{\sigma}{\omega}  \tag{11}\\
x_{h}=\frac{\sigma}{\omega} \sinh ^{-1} \frac{H}{l}
\end{array}\right.
$$

Under actual field conditions, the external environment and load current affect the temperature of the transmission lines in operation. When the current flows through the line conductors, the current-generated heat results in a significant increase in the temperature of the line. Although a portion of the heat will dissipate into the air, the temperature of the conductors will remain in a stable state. The increase in temperature leads to the expansion and contraction of the conductor. The expanded (or contracted) length $L_{1}$ of the line conductor can be expressed as

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{1}=\beta\left(t-t_{0}\right) L^{\prime} \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\beta$ is the expansion and contraction coefficient, $t_{0}$ is the standard temperature, and $t$ represents the current temperature of the conductor. Thus, the total length of the conductor, considering the effect of temperature, can be calculated by

$$
\begin{align*}
& L=L^{\prime}+L_{1}=\left[1+\beta\left(t-t_{0}\right)\right] L^{\prime} \\
& =\left[1+\beta\left(t-t_{0}\right)\right]\left[\frac{2 \sigma}{\omega} \sinh \left(\frac{\omega L}{2 \sigma}\right) \cosh \left(\frac{\omega(L-a)}{2 \sigma}\right)\right] \tag{13}
\end{align*}
$$

From (13), the actual length of the transmission line is affected mainly by horizontal stress, gravity load, and temperature. These are the parameters of the transmission line itself and cannot be ignored.

The annual mean temperature in China is about $10^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The highest temperature in most parts of China is less than $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. According to the technical specification for the design of 500 kV overhead transmission lines, the highest temperature of the transmission lines should be less than $70{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and that in the eastern area should not exceed $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Taking an LGJ-300/40 $\mathrm{mm}^{2}$ steel-cored aluminum strand as an example. The load-to-weight ratio is $35.06 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{MPa} / \mathrm{m}$, and the horizontal stress is 53.955 MPa . Based on the length of the conductor at $15^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, the variations in the actual length with different temperatures and line spans are shown in Figure 5. From Figure 5, it can be seen that the variation in the line length grows as the temperature increases. At the same temperature, the larger the line span, the more significant the variation in length, which indicates that the length of the line is more susceptible to temperature in high-voltage and long-distance systems.


Figure 5. Length variations with different temperatures and conductor line lengths.

## 3. Simulation and Results

### 3.1. The influence of different fault locations

A 500 kV transmission line with 80 towers is built in PSCAD. The length of each span is 442.25 m , and the length of the whole line is 35.380 km . If the actual distance model is used, the actual length of each span is 443.6960 m and the length of the whole line is 35.459 km . In order to explore the effects of different fault locations on this method, the faults is set at the front end, in the middle, and at the tail of the line respectively.

In the electrical distance model, it is assumed that single-phase faults happen at 4.5224 km , 17.790 km , and 31.0575 km from the front end of the line. The model of the transmission line is shown in Figure 6. The voltage traveling wave signals were collected at Terminal A and Terminal B of the line. The sampling frequency was 10 MHz . The fault inception time was 0.08 s , and the simulation time was 0.09 s .


(a)


(b)

Figure 6. Cont.

(c)

Figure 6. The model of the transmission line when faults happen (a) in different parts of the line, (b) in the middle of the line, and (c) at the tail of the line.

Transmission line models with electrical distance were built in PSCAD. Figure 7 shows the line-mode traveling wave fronts arriving at both ends of the line in terms of electrical distance. $t_{1}, t_{2}$ are the times at which the line-mode wave head arrives at both ends of the line. According to the principle of two-terminal fault location, the location results are shown in Table 1.

(b)

Figure 7. Cont.

(c)

Figure 7. A line-mode traveling wave waveform in the electrical distance model when faults happen (a) in different parts of the line, (b) in the middle of the line, and (c) at the tail of the line.

Table 1. Comparison of fault location results from the electrical and actual distance models.

| Fault Location | Electrical Distance | Actual Distance |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| at the front of the line | between 010 and 011 | between 010 and 011 |
|  | 215.6 m from 010 | 201.14 m from 010 |
| in the middle of the line | between 040 and 041 | between 040 and 041 |
|  | 277.25 m from 039 | 220.856 m from 039 |
| at the tail of the line | between 070 and 071 | between 070 and 071 |
|  | 201.9 m from 070 | 100.68 m from 070 |

From the data presented above, it is clear that when a fault happens at the tail of the line, the influence of length on accuracy is more obvious. Therefore, the method proposed in this paper is more effective in correcting faults that happen near the end of the line.

### 3.2. The Influence of Different Temperatures

Taking $15^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ as the basis, transmission line models under $-10^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and $35^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ at the electrical distance were built. The simulation conditions and process were the same as those described in Section 3.1. It is assumed that a fault happens between tower 070 and tower 071 at a distance of 200 m from tower 070. The results are shown in Table 2.

According to Table 2, when the temperature varies, the length of the transmission line will also change, and this will have a certain impact on the positioning accuracy. The effect is more obvious at lower temperatures.

Table 2. Comparison of fault location results from the electrical and actual distance models.

| Temperature | Electrical Distance |  |  | Actual Distance |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Line Length | Presupposed Fault <br> Location | Fault Location | Line Length | Presupposed Fault <br> Location | Fault Location |
| $-10^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 35.363 | between 070 and 071 <br> 199.9050 m from 070 | between 070 and 071 <br> 163.903 m from 070 | 35.4888 | between 070 and 071 <br> 90.019 m from 070 | between 070 and 071 <br> 54.017 m from 070 |
| $15^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 35.38 | between 070 and 071 <br> 200 m from 070 | between 070 and 071 <br> 201.9 m from 070 | 35.49 | between 070 and 071 <br> 98.78 m from 070 | between 070 and 071 <br> 100.68 m from 070 |
| $35^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 35.393 | between 070 and 071 <br> 200.067 m from 070 | between 070 and 071 <br> 187.33 m from 070 | 35.519 | between 070 and 071 <br> 92.196 m from 070 | between 070 and 071 <br> 70.129 m from 070 |

### 3.3. Engineering Practice

Taking a 500 kV transmission line in China as an example. The transmission line starts at the YL transformer substation and ends at the AT transformer substation. The total length of the line is 58.817 km . The distribution of the line is shown in Figure 8. The overhead conductor is an LGJ-630/45 $\mathrm{mm}^{2}$. Its specific gravity load is $57.0337 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{MPa} / \mathrm{m}$, and the horizontal stress is 86.445 MPa . The expression for the overhead line is

$$
y=1.515 \times 10^{3} \cosh \left(6.597 \times 10^{-3} x\right)-1.515 \times 10^{3}
$$

When the temperature effect is not taken into account, the actual length of the line is

$$
L=3.031 \times 10^{3} \sinh \left(3.23 \times 10^{-4} l\right)
$$



Figure 8. The distribution of the 500 kV transmission line.
The model is shown in Figure 9. It is assumed that a single-phase earth fault occurs at 28.224 km from the head end of the line, that is, according to the electrical length, the fault occurs between tower 047 and tower 048 at a distance of 580 m from tower 047. The voltage traveling wave signals were collected at Terminal A and Terminal B of the line. The sampling frequency is 10 MHz , the fault inception time is 0.08 s , and the simulation time is 0.09 s .


Figure 9. Schematic diagram of the 500 kV transmission line in terms of electrical distance.
According to the actual length of the line between the two towers, the fault happens between tower 047 and tower 048 at a distance of 406.222 m from tower 039. The actual fault location is shown in Figure 10.


Figure 10. Schematic diagram of the 500 kV transmission line in terms of actual distance.
A transmission line model with electrical distance was built in PSCAD. Wavelet analysis was used to capture the time when the line-mode traveling wave heads arrived at both terminals of the line. Figure 11 shows the line-mode traveling wave fronts arriving at both ends of the line when the distance between the two towers was the electrical distance. $t_{1}, t_{2}$ are the times at which the line-mode wave heads arrive at both ends of the line. According to the principle of two-terminal fault location, the location results are shown in Table 3.


Figure 11. The line-mode traveling wave waveform in the actual distance model.
Table 3. Comparison of fault location results from the electrical and actual distance models.

| The Way of Calculating <br> The Distance Line | Electrical Distance | Actual Distance |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fault location $(\mathrm{km})$ | between 047 and $048,580 \mathrm{~m}$ from 047 | between 047 and $048,406.22 \mathrm{~m}$ from 047 |
| Location results | between 048 and $049,14.14 \mathrm{~m}$ from 048 | between 047 and $048,428.5322 \mathrm{~m}$ from 047 |

According to Table 3, it can be seen that the fault actually occurs between tower 047 and tower 048. However, due to the inaccurate calculation of the line's length, the result incorrectly positions the fault between tower 048 and tower 049. In particular, because towers 048 and 049 are constructed over a river, the incorrect positioning results will cause maintenance to not be able to remove the fault quickly. Therefore, the method proposed in this paper is able to effectively reduce the error rate of segment location.

## 4. Conclusions

In this paper, the effects of sag and temperature were considered in order to correct the length of the line used to locate faults in transmission lines. A catenary model was used to correct the length of the line. Simulation results show that the correction that the proposed method provides is much
more effective for faults that happen at the tail of the line than for faults that happen at the front end of the line. Second, when the temperature is extremely high or low, the effect of this method is more obvious, which makes it much more efficient for areas with large changes in temperature or extreme temperatures. Finally, this method can increase the accuracy of a faulty line segment's identification and reduce the error rate of fault location. For faults that occur near a tower, it can effectively reduce the probability of a misjudgment in the line segment's identification.
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