

## Supplementary material

# Economic and ecological impacts on the integration of biomass-based SNG and FT diesel in the Austrian energy system

Martin Hammerschmid<sup>1</sup>, Alexander Bartik, Florian Benedikt, Marton Veress, Simon Pratschner, Stefan Müller and Hermann Hofbauer

TU WIEN, Institute of Chemical, Environmental and Bioscience Engineering, Getreidemarkt 9/166, 1060 Vienna, Austria

## Assumptions and references for process simulation to calculate mass and energy balances for biomass-based SNG and FT route

Table S1. Assumptions for the units of the gasification, gas cooling & cleaning section

Parameter	Unit	Value/Assumption	Reference
<b>Biomass dryer</b>			[65, 66]
Water content incoming biomass	wt.-%	40	
Water content exiting biomass	wt.-%	20	
Temperature drying air inlet	°C	90	
Relative humidity drying air inlet	%	80	
Relative humidity drying air outlet	%	80	
<b>DFB gasification reactor</b>			[66-68]
Operating temperature	°C	820	
Steam to fuel ratio	kg <sub>H2O</sub> /kg <sub>fuel,daf</sub>	0.6	
Heat loss (related to thermal fuel power)	%	1	
Temperature steam	°C	400	
<b>DFB combustion reactor</b>			[66-68]
Lambda	kg/kg	1.25	
CO slip	mol CO/mol CO <sub>2</sub>	0.05	
Heat loss (related to thermal fuel power)	%	1	
<b>PG filter</b>			[69]
Separation efficiency dust	%	99.9	
Separation efficiency char	%	99.9	
Separation efficiency tar	%	30	
<b>RME scrubber</b>			[19, 70, 71]
Circulating solvent to gas ratio	kg <sub>RME</sub> /kg <sub>gas</sub>	20	
Tar concentration outlet	g/Nm <sup>3</sup>	1.5	
Temperature exiting gas	°C	40	
Temperature incoming RME	°C	37	
Separation efficiency ammonia (inclusive downstream units)	%	99.9	
Massflow fresh RME make-up	kg/h	110	[19]
<b>AC adsorber</b>			[38, 45]
Separation efficiency tar	%	100	
Separation efficiency sulfur	%	100	
Adsorption capacity AC	wt.-%	20	
Steam regeneration temperature	°C	250	[38]
Steam amount for regeneration	kg/kg <sub>BTX</sub>	9.2	[38]

<sup>1</sup> Corresponding author. Tel.: +43 1 58801 166856; E-mail address: martin.hammerschmid@tuwien.ac.at

**Auxiliary and pressure drop**

Steam pre-evaporation (related to amount of water phase from RME scrubber)	wt.-%	5	assumed
Total pressure drop product gas route	mbar	345	assumed
Total pressure drop flue gas route	mbar	345	assumed

**Blowers**

Efficiency of drive system (all units)	%	95	[66, 72]
Mechanical efficiency of blowers (all units)	%	98	
<b>Isentropic efficiencies</b>			
Drying air blower	%	80	
PG blower	%	50	
PG recycle blower	%	50	
FG blower	%	75	
FG recycle blower	%	75	
Combustion air blower 1	%	65	
Combustion air blower 2	%	45	

Table S2. Assumptions for the units of the SNG synthesis and upgrading section

Parameter	Unit	Value/Assumption	Reference
<b>Compressor</b>			[73]
Efficiency of drive system	%	95	
Mechanical efficiency of compressor	%	98	
Isentropic efficiency	%	85	
<b>Guard reactor (ZnO)</b>			
GHSV	h <sup>-1</sup>	3800	[74]
<b>Fluidized bed methanation reactor</b>			
Reaction temperature	°C	320	[75]
Reaction pressure	bar	10	assumed
WHSV	Nm <sup>3</sup> /kg <sub>cat</sub> h	1.5	[75]
Steam content inlet	vol.-%	15	assumed
Pressure drop	mbar	150	assumed
Catalyst type	-	Ni/Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	[75]
<b>CO<sub>2</sub> scrubber</b>			
Gas inlet temperature	°C	40	[76]
Gas outlet temperature	°C	60	assumed
Separation efficiency CO <sub>2</sub>	%	97.5	assumed
Reboiler duty	MJ/kgCO <sub>2</sub>	0.8	[38]
Absorption capacity	kg CO <sub>2</sub> /kg amine	0.36	[77]
Amine make-up stream	kg/tCO <sub>2</sub>	1.5	[76]
<b>Glycol dryer</b>			
Gas inlet temperature	°C	30	[78]
Gas outlet temperature	°C	31	[78]
Glycol regeneration temperature	°C	190	[78]
Glycol make-up stream	kg/h	0.1	[79]

Table S3. Assumptions for the units of the FT synthesis and upgrading section

Parameter	Unit	Value/Assumption	Reference
<b>Compressor</b>			<b>[73]</b>
Efficiency of drive system	%	95	
Mechanical efficiency of compressor	%	98	
Isentropic efficiency	%	85	
<b>CO<sub>2</sub> scrubber</b>			
Gas inlet temperature	°C	40	[76]
Gas outlet temperature	°C	60	assumed
Separation efficiency CO <sub>2</sub>	%	80	assumed <sup>2</sup>
Reboiler duty	MJ/kgCO <sub>2</sub>	0.8	[38]
Absorption capacity	kg CO <sub>2</sub> /kg amine	0.36	[77]
Amine make-up stream	kg/tCO <sub>2</sub>	1.5	[76]
<b>Guard reactor (ZnO)</b>			
GHSV	h <sup>-1</sup>	3800	[74]
<b>FT slurry reactor</b>			
Reaction temperature	°C	230	[40]
Reaction pressure	bar	21	[40]
CO-Conversion	mol.-%	50	[40]
CO <sub>2</sub> -Conversion (WGS activity)	mol.-%	0	[40]
α <sub>1</sub> (eASF distribution parameter)	-	0.78	[40]
α <sub>2</sub> (eASF distribution parameter)	-	0.9	[40]
β (eASF distribution parameter)	-	0.75	[40]
γ (eASF distribution parameter)	-	0.48	[40]
μ (eASF distribution parameter)	-	0.95	[40]
Naphtha compounds in liquid phase	mass.-%	0	assumed <sup>3</sup>
Middle distillate compounds in liquid phase	mass.-%	0.05	assumed <sup>3</sup>
Naphtha compounds in liquid phase	mass.-%	0.75	assumed <sup>3</sup>
Recirculation ratio tailgas	vol.-%	85	assumed <sup>4</sup>
WHSV	Nm <sup>3</sup> /kg <sub>cat</sub> h	2.0	[80]
Pressure drop	mbar	300	assumed
Catalyst type	-	Co/Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	[81]
<b>Quench column</b>			
Temperature (top)	°C	70	[81]
<b>Steam Reformer</b>			
Reaction temperature	°C	850	[82]
Reaction pressure	bar	10	[82]
CH <sub>4</sub> -Conversion	vol.-%	90	[82]
C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>4</sub> -Conversion	vol.-%	90	[82]
C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>6</sub> -Conversion	vol.-%	95	[82]
C <sub>3</sub> H <sub>8</sub> -Conversion	vol.-%	99	[82]
Steam/Carbon ratio	-	2.5	[83]
WHSV	Nm <sup>3</sup> /kg <sub>cat</sub> h	2.5	assumed
Pressure drop	mbar	300	assumed
Catalyst type	-	NiO/CaAl <sub>12</sub> O <sub>19</sub>	[84]

<sup>2</sup> Separation efficiency was set to adjust balance in Steam Reformer in favor of H<sub>2</sub>/CO ratio of tailgas

<sup>3</sup> Liquid and gas phase distribution of FT products assumed according to phase equilibrium at process conditions

<sup>4</sup> Recirculation ratio assumed according to heat demand of steam reformer (15% of tailgas combusted = purge gas)

<b>Hydrocracker</b>			
Reaction temperature	°C	360	[81, 85, 86]
Reaction pressure	bar	40	[81, 85, 86]
Wax conversion	%	70	[86, 87]
Outlet concentration C <sub>1</sub> -C <sub>3</sub>	wt.-% (without wax)	5	[81]
Outlet concentration C <sub>4</sub> -C <sub>9</sub>	wt.-% (without wax)	20	[81]
Outlet concentration C <sub>10</sub> -C <sub>20</sub>	wt.-% (without wax)	75	[81]
LHSV	Nm <sup>3</sup> <sub>wax</sub> /Nm <sup>3</sup> <sub>cat</sub> h	1	[81, 85, 86]
Hydrogen make-up stream	wt.-% <sub>H2</sub> /wt.-% <sub>wax</sub>	1	[81]
Catalyst type	-	Pt/SiO <sub>2</sub> -Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	[87, 88]
<b>Hydrotreater</b>			
Reaction temperature	°C	350	[86, 89]
Reaction pressure	bar	40	[86, 89]
LHSV	Nm <sup>3</sup> <sub>MD</sub> /Nm <sup>3</sup> <sub>cat</sub> h	2	[86, 89]
Hydrogen make-up stream	wt.-% <sub>H2</sub> /wt.-% <sub>MD</sub>	0.3	[86]
Catalyst type	-	Ni-MoS <sub>2</sub> /Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	[85, 90]

Table S4. Assumptions as well as results for biomass and gas compositions

Parameter	Unit	Value/Assumption	Reference
<b>Biomass composition</b>			[5]
Water content	wt.-%	40	
Ash content	wt.-% <sub>db</sub>	1	
Carbon	wt.-% <sub>db</sub>	50.7	
Hydrogen	wt.-% <sub>db</sub>	5.9	
Nitrogen	wt.-% <sub>db</sub>	0.21	
Sulfur	wt.-% <sub>db</sub>	0.01	
<b>Product gas composition</b>			[91]
Hydrogen	vol.-% <sub>db</sub>	45	
Carbon dioxide	vol.-% <sub>db</sub>	21.15	
Carbon monoxide	vol.-% <sub>db</sub>	23	
Methane	vol.-% <sub>db</sub>	9.7	
Ethylene	vol.-% <sub>db</sub>	1	
Ethane	vol.-% <sub>db</sub>	0.1	
Water content	vol.-%	30	
Ammonia	ppm <sub>v,db</sub>	950	
Nitrogen	ppm <sub>v,db</sub>	350	
Dust	g/Nm <sup>3</sup>	10	
Char	g/Nm <sup>3</sup>	10	
Tar	g/Nm <sup>3</sup>	5	
<b>SNG composition</b>			result
Methane	vol.-%	94.62	
Hydrogen	vol.-%	2.82	
Carbon dioxide	vol.-%	2.34	
Nitrogen	vol.-%	0.12	
Carbon monoxide	vol.-%	0.093	

Relative density	-	0.564	
Wobbe index	MJ/Nm <sup>3</sup>	50.55	
Higher heating value	MJ/Nm <sup>3</sup>	37.95	
<b>FT product composition (excluding C<sub>1</sub>-C<sub>3</sub>)</b>			<b>result</b>
FT naphtha (C <sub>4</sub> -C <sub>9</sub> )	wt.-% <sub>db</sub>	23.67	
FT middle distillate (C <sub>10</sub> -C <sub>19</sub> )	wt.-% <sub>db</sub>	37.25	
FT wax (C <sub>20</sub> +)	wt.-% <sub>db</sub>	39.08	

## Assumptions and references for techno-economic assessment to calculate production costs for biomass-based SNG and FT route

Table S5. Assumptions for cost rates

Parameter	Unit	Value/Assumption	Reference
<b>General plant parameters</b>			[11, 92]
Plant lifetime	a	20	
Number of employees	-	7	
Personnel costs per employee 2022	€/a	65000	
Personnel costs per employee 2019	€/a	62500	
Operating hours plant	h/a	7500	
Operating hours district heat	h/a	5800	
Maintenance costs	% <sub>CAPEX</sub> /a	2.0	
Insurance, administration and other costs	% <sub>CAPEX</sub> /a	1.5	
Interest rate	%	6	
<b>Consumption-related parameters</b>			
Natural gas household price 2022	€/MWh	150	[93, 94]
Natural gas household price 2019	€/MWh	69.7	[93]
Natural gas industry price 2022	€/MWh	88.9	[93]
Natural gas industry price 2019	€/MWh	29.9	[93]
Fossil diesel price petrol station 2022	€/l	1.939 (incl. taxes)	[95]
Fossil diesel price petrol station 2019	€/l	1.236 (incl. taxes)	[95]
Fossil diesel price stock market 2022	€/l	1.595 (incl. taxes)	[96]
Fossil diesel price stock market 2019	€/l	0.934 (incl. taxes)	[96]
Wood chips price 2022	€/t <sub>atro</sub>	95	[11]
Wood chips price 2019	€/t <sub>atro</sub>	75	[11]
Fresh scrubber solvent (RME) <sup>5</sup>	€/kg <sub>2013</sub>	0.96	[66]
Fresh amine (MEA) <sup>5</sup>	€/kg <sub>2013</sub>	1.40	[98]
Fresh glycol (TEG) <sup>5</sup>	€/kg <sub>2016</sub>	2.0	[99]
Activated carbon <sup>5</sup> (lifetime 1 year)	€/kg <sub>2019</sub>	2.21	[11]
Zinc oxide <sup>5</sup> (lifetime 3 years)	€/kg <sub>2013</sub>	20	[100]
Olivine <sup>5</sup>	€/t <sub>2013</sub>	190	[66]
Limestone <sup>5</sup>	€/t <sub>2013</sub>	35	[92]
Nickel catalyst <sup>5</sup> (lifetime 3 years)	€/kg <sub>2011</sub>	50	[29]
Cobalt catalyst <sup>5</sup> (lifetime 5 years)	€/kg <sub>2013</sub>	24	[29]
Platin catalyst <sup>5</sup> (lifetime 3 years)	€/kg <sub>2014</sub>	1504	[29]
Disposal costs ash <sup>5</sup>	€/t <sub>2013</sub>	90	[66]
Disposal costs waste water <sup>5</sup>	€/m <sup>3</sup> <sub>2019</sub>	2.5	[11]

<sup>5</sup> Cost rates from literature inflation-adjusted with EPI [97] from literature base to reference years 2019 and 2022

CO <sub>2</sub> emission allowances 2022	€/t <sub>CO2</sub>	69.6	[101]
CO <sub>2</sub> emission allowances 2019	€/t <sub>CO2</sub>	24.9	[101]
Electricity costs (industry) 2022	€/kWh <sub>el</sub>	0.21	[102]
Electricity costs (industry) 2019	€/kWh <sub>el</sub>	0.095	[102]
Hydrogen costs 2022	€/kg	4.5	[103]
Hydrogen costs 2019	€/kg	4.0	[103]
Revenues FT naphtha <sup>5</sup>	€/l <sub>2017</sub>	0.78	[104]
Revenues district heat <sup>5</sup>	€/MWh <sub>th,2016</sub>	30.0	[92]

---

Table S6. Assumptions for determination of total capital investment costs for the biomass-based SNG route

Equipment	Base scale	Base cost	Scale factor	Desired scale	Equipment costs 2022 <sup>6</sup>	Equipment costs 2019 <sup>6</sup>	Ref.
<b>Resource supply + Gasification + Raw gas cleaning + Flue gas cleaning</b>					<b>114 431 228 €</b>	<b>83 522 433 €</b>	
(biomass dryer, gasification reactor, combustion reactor, PG cyclone, PG cooler, PG filter, RME scrubber incl regeneration, PG blower, steam generation, pre-evaporator, FG cyclone, post combustion chamber, steam superheater, FG cooler, FG filter, FG blower, stack)							
DFB gasification system +							
gas cooling and cleaning	15 MW <sub>th</sub>	21 697 500 € <sub>2020</sub>	0.7	100 MW <sub>th</sub>	114 431 228 €	83 522 433 €	[11]
(total capital investment costs)							
<b>Fine gas cleaning + Syngas compression and cooling</b>					<b>6 206 640 €</b>	<b>4 505 289 €</b>	
Activated carbon adsorber (TSA)	32 MW <sub>th</sub>	945 180 € <sub>2018</sub>	0.7	100 MW <sub>th</sub>	3 043 368 €	2 221 330 €	[28]
Product gas compressor 1	224 kW <sub>el</sub>	120 498 € <sub>1994</sub>	0.84	1303 kW <sub>el</sub>	1 256 400 €	917 036 €	[105]
Intermediate cooler	448 MW <sub>th</sub>	4 258 200 € <sub>2007</sub>	0.7	1.66 MW <sub>th</sub>	140 785 €	102 758 €	[106]
Product gas compressor 2	224 kW <sub>el</sub>	120 498 € <sub>1994</sub>	0.84	1442 kW <sub>el</sub>	1 368 204 €	998 641 €	[105]
Syngas preheater	93 m <sup>2</sup>	19 660 € <sub>1994</sub>	0.59	65 m <sup>2</sup>	36 015 €	26 287 €	[105]
ZnO guard reactor	175 MW <sub>SNG,HHV</sub>	450 000 € <sub>2012</sub>	0.7	72 MW <sub>SNG,HHV</sub>	361 778 €	239 237 €	[107]
<b>Methanation</b>					<b>3 713 954 €</b>	<b>2 710 785 €</b>	
Fluidized bed methanation	25 560 Nm <sup>3</sup> /h	2 235 200 € <sub>2010</sub>	0.6	27 044 Nm <sup>3</sup> /h	3 670 316 €	2 678 934 €	[108]
Steam generator methanation	93 m <sup>2</sup>	19 660 € <sub>1994</sub>	0.59	90 m <sup>2</sup>	43 638 €	31 851 €	[105]
<b>SNG Upgrading</b>					<b>5 099 018 €</b>	<b>3 721 731 €</b>	
Raw-SNG cooler	93 m <sup>2</sup>	19 660 € <sub>1994</sub>	0.59	600 m <sup>2</sup>	133 651 €	97 551 €	[105]
Raw-SNG condenser	448 MW <sub>th</sub>	4 258 200 € <sub>2007</sub>	0.7	4.29 MW <sub>th</sub>	274 085 €	200 052 €	[106]
CO <sub>2</sub> scrubber (MEA) incl. regeneration	54 000 Nm <sup>3</sup> /h	6 700 000 € <sub>2012</sub>	0.6	13 185 Nm <sup>3</sup> /h	4 298 880 €	3 137 718 €	[109]
CO <sub>2</sub> recycle blower	16 992 Nm <sup>3</sup> /h	60 702 € <sub>1994</sub>	0.6	6 246 Nm <sup>3</sup> /h	75 353 €	54 999 €	[105]
CO <sub>2</sub> recycle motor	7.5 kW <sub>el</sub>	11 144 € <sub>1994</sub>	0.56	8.9 kW <sub>el</sub>	29 189 €	21 304 €	[105]
SNG cooler	93 m <sup>2</sup>	19 660 € <sub>1994</sub>	0.59	65 m <sup>2</sup>	36 015 €	26 287 €	[105]
Glycol dryer incl. regeneration	41664 Nm <sup>3</sup> /h	457 507 € <sub>2016</sub>	0.6	6938 Nm <sup>3</sup> /h	251 845 €	183 820 €	[78]
<b>Total equipment costs SNG route (without DFB)</b>					<b>15 019 612 €</b>	<b>10 937 805 €</b>	
<b>Total capital investment costs SNG route (Lang factor = 4.87 [54])</b>					<b>187 576 738 €</b>	<b>136 789 544 €</b>	

<sup>6</sup> Calculated according to equation 3 from main text in chapter 2.3

Table S7. Assumptions for determination of total capital investment costs for the biomass-based FT route

Equipment	Base scale	Base cost	Scale factor	Desired scale	Equipment costs 2022 <sup>7</sup>	Equipment costs 2019 <sup>7</sup>	Ref.
<b>Resource supply + Gasification + Raw gas cleaning + Flue gas cleaning</b>					<b>114 431 228 €</b>	<b>83 522 433 €</b>	
(biomass dryer, gasification reactor, combustion reactor, PG cyclone, PG cooler, PG filter, RME scrubber incl regeneration, PG blower, steam generation, pre-evaporator, FG cyclone, post combustion chamber, steam superheater, FG cooler, FG filter, FG blower, stack)							
DFB gasification system +							
gas cooling and cleaning	15 MW <sub>th</sub>	21 697 500 € <sub>2020</sub>	0.7	100 MW <sub>th</sub>	114 431 228 €	83 522 433 €	[11]
(total capital investment costs)							
<b>Fine gas cleaning + Syngas compression and cooling</b>					<b>14 213 796 €</b>	<b>10 331 845 €</b>	
Activated carbon adsorber (TSA)	32 MW <sub>th</sub>	945 180 € <sub>2018</sub>	0.7	100 MW <sub>th</sub>	3 043 368 €	2 221 330 €	[28]
Product gas compressor 1	224 kW <sub>el</sub>	120 498 € <sub>1994</sub>	0.84	1303 kW <sub>el</sub>	1 256 400 €	917 036 €	[105]
Product gas compressor 2	224 kW <sub>el</sub>	120 498 € <sub>1994</sub>	0.84	1450 kW <sub>el</sub>	1 374 800 €	1 003 455 €	[105]
CO <sub>2</sub> scrubber (MEA) incl. regeneration	54 000 Nm <sup>3</sup> /h	6 700 000 € <sub>2012</sub>	0.6	23 171 Nm <sup>3</sup> /h	6 029 535 €	4 400 909 €	[109]
CO <sub>2</sub> recycle blower	16 992 Nm <sup>3</sup> /h	60 702 € <sub>1994</sub>	0.6	3 890 Nm <sup>3</sup> /h	56 716 €	41 397 €	[105]
CO <sub>2</sub> recycle motor	7.5 kW <sub>el</sub>	11 144 € <sub>1994</sub>	0.56	5 kW <sub>el</sub>	21 100 €	15 401 €	[105]
Product gas compressor 3	224 kW <sub>el</sub>	120 498 € <sub>1994</sub>	0.84	1926 kW <sub>el</sub>	1 744 670 €	1 273 421 €	[105]
Syngas preheater	93 m <sup>2</sup>	19 660 € <sub>1994</sub>	0.59	177 m <sup>2</sup>	64 991 €	47 436 €	[105]
ZnO guard reactor	24 925 Nm <sup>3</sup> /h	361 778 € <sub>2022</sub>	0.7	50 442 Nm <sup>3</sup> /h	622 216 €	411 460 €	SNG route
<b>Fischer-Tropsch synthesis + Tailgas recycling</b>					<b>14 296 262 €</b>	<b>10 434 726 €</b>	
Fischer-Tropsch slurry reactor	2 420 000 kW	223 156 860 € <sub>2011</sub>	0.75	54 124 kW	4 664 232 €	3 404 386 €	[110]
Quench column incl. separation	12.1 t/h	497 000 € <sub>2011</sub>	0.7	29.1 t/h	1 369 811 €	999 814 €	[29]
Tail gas heater	93 m <sup>2</sup>	19 660 € <sub>1994</sub>	0.59	1011 m <sup>2</sup>	181 781 €	132 680 €	[105]
Steam reformer	1 390 kmol/h	9 400 000 € <sub>2007</sub>	0.65	1 617 kmol/h	4 182 820 €	3 053 007 €	[29]
Combustion chamber	Calculation tool (Reformer furnace)			14 815 kW	2 848 772 €	2 079 296 €	[46]
Steam generator (reformer)	36 000 kg/h	1 317 000 € <sub>2011</sub>	0.6	6 080 kg/h	644 012 €	470 059 €	[29]
Tail gas cooler	93 m <sup>2</sup>	19 660 € <sub>1994</sub>	0.59	1175 m <sup>2</sup>	198 652 €	144 994 €	[105]
Tail gas condenser	448 MW <sub>th</sub>	4 258 200 € <sub>2007</sub>	0.7	2.86 MW <sub>th</sub>	206 182 €	150 490 €	[106]

Table S7. Assumptions for determination of total capital investment costs for the biomass-based FT route (continuous)

<sup>7</sup> Calculated according to equation 3 from main text in chapter 2.3

Equipment	Base scale	Base cost	Scale factor	Desired scale	Equipment costs 2022 <sup>8</sup>	Equipment costs 2019 <sup>8</sup>	Ref.
<b>Fischer-Tropsch products upgrading</b>					<b>13 500 621 €</b>	<b>9 853 995 €</b>	
Wax heater	93 m <sup>2</sup>	19 660 € <sub>1994</sub>	0.59	14 m <sup>2</sup>	14 348 €	10 473 €	[105]
Hydrocracker	4 100 kg/h	6 018 429 € <sub>2007</sub>	0.55	1 728 kg/h	6 233 141 €	4 549 519 €	[110]
Middle distillate (MD) heater	93 m <sup>2</sup>	19 660 € <sub>1994</sub>	0.59	22 m <sup>2</sup>	19 092 €	13 935 €	[105]
Distillation	3190 MW	67 179 900 € <sub>1993</sub>	0.65	54.1 MW	2 799 167 €	2 043 090 €	[110]
Hydrotreater	1 300 kg/h	1 611 386 € <sub>2007</sub>	0.6	3 001 kg/h	4 434 873 €	3 236 978 €	[110]
<b>Total equipment costs FT route (without DFB)</b>					<b>42 010 679 €</b>	<b>30 620 566 €</b>	
<b>Total capital investment costs FT route (Lang factor = 4.87 [54])</b>					<b>319 023 235 €</b>	<b>232 644 589 €</b>	

<sup>8</sup> Calculated according to equation 3 from main text in chapter 2.3

## Assumptions and references for ecological assessment to calculate CO<sub>2</sub> footprint for biomass-based SNG and FT route

Table S8. Assumptions for CO<sub>2</sub>e emission factors

Parameter	Unit	Value	Reference
<b>Consumption-related emission factors</b>			
Biomass (wood chips)	kg <sub>CO2e</sub> /kg <sub>wood chips</sub>	0.049	[49]
Bed material (olivine / limestone)	kg <sub>CO2e</sub> /kg <sub>quartz sand</sub>	0.024	[111]
Bed material transport	kg <sub>CO2e</sub> /km/t <sub>sand</sub>	0.057	[112]
RME scrubber solvent (rapeseed methyl ester)	kg <sub>CO2e</sub> /kg <sub>RME</sub>	1.912	[49, 113]
Activated carbon (AC)	kg <sub>CO2e</sub> /kg <sub>AC</sub>	14.133	[114]
Zinc oxide (only raw material)	kg <sub>CO2e</sub> /kg <sub>Zinc</sub>	3.260	[115]
Nickel catalyst (only raw materials) (20% Ni and 80% Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> ) → for methanation and hydrotreater assumed	kg <sub>CO2e</sub> /kg <sub>catalyst</sub>	1.902	[116, 117]
Nickel catalyst (only raw materials) (14% Ni and 86% Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> ) → for steam reformer assumed	kg <sub>CO2e</sub> /kg <sub>catalyst</sub>	1.679	[116, 117]
Cobalt catalyst (only raw materials) (20% Co and 80% Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> ) → for FT slurry reactor assumed	kg <sub>CO2e</sub> /kg <sub>catalyst</sub>	2.472	[117, 118]
Platin catalyst (only raw materials) (1% Pt and 99% SiO <sub>2</sub> ) → for hydrocracker assumed	kg <sub>CO2e</sub> /kg <sub>catalyst</sub>	266	[119, 120]
Amine (monoethanolamine)	kg <sub>CO2e</sub> /kg <sub>Amine</sub>	2.361	[121]
Glycol (Polyethylene glycol assumed)	kg <sub>CO2e</sub> /kg <sub>PEG</sub>	2.240	[122]
Electricity (green electricity)	kg <sub>CO2e</sub> /kWh <sub>el</sub>	0.014	[49]
Hydrogen (calculated via electricity consumption)	kWh <sub>el</sub> /kg <sub>H2</sub>	48	[123]
<b>Construction-related emission factors</b>			
Steel for construction and equipment	kg <sub>CO2e</sub> /t <sub>steel</sub>	1446	[124, 125]
Concrete for brickwork and fundament	kg <sub>CO2e</sub> /t <sub>concrete</sub>	107	[126]
<b>Emission factors of fossil counterparts</b>			
Fossil natural gas	kg <sub>CO2e</sub> /kWh <sub>NG</sub>	0.268	[49]
Fossil diesel	kg <sub>CO2e</sub> /l <sub>Diesel</sub>	3.134	[49]

## Assumptions and references for the investigation of the sectoral integration of biomass-based SNG and FT diesel in the Austrian energy system

Table S9. Assumptions for sectoral integration of DFB products

Parameter	Unit	Value	Reference
<b>Sectoral integration of biomass-based SNG</b>			
Gross value added for energy sector 2019	M€/a	9 840	[127]
Gross value added for energy sector 2022	M€/a	14 520	[127]
CO <sub>2</sub> footprint for energy sector	Mt <sub>CO<sub>2</sub>e</sub> /a	8 269	[2]
Gross value added for public and private sector 2019 (only households with gas heaters - 25% [75])	M€/a	16 825	[129]
Gross value added for public and private sector 2022 (only households with gas heaters - 25% [75])	M€/a	16 135	[129]
CO <sub>2</sub> footprint for public and private sector	Mt <sub>CO<sub>2</sub>e</sub> /a	8 046	[2]
Gross value added for industry sector 2019	M€/a	66 790	[127]
Gross value added for industry sector 2022	M€/a	71 290	[127]
CO <sub>2</sub> footprint for industry sector	Mt <sub>CO<sub>2</sub>e</sub> /a	26 540	[2]
<b>Sectoral integration of biomass-based FT diesel</b>			
Gross value added for public and private transport sector 2019 (only diesel vehicles – 73.6% of inland transport [75])	M€/a	49 533	[129]
Gross value added for public and private transport sector 2022 (only diesel vehicles – 73.8% of inland transport [75])	M€/a	47 822	[129]
CO <sub>2</sub> footprint for public and private transport sector	Mt <sub>CO<sub>2</sub>e</sub> /a	12 246	[2]
Gross value added for heavy-duty traffic sector 2019	M€/a	22 847	[130]
Gross value added for heavy-duty traffic sector 2022	M€/a	26 769	[130]
CO <sub>2</sub> footprint for heavy-duty traffic sector	Mt <sub>CO<sub>2</sub>e</sub> /a	9 243	[2]
Gross value added for heat and power sector 2019	M€/a	66 790	[127]
Gross value added for heat and power sector 2022	M€/a	71 290	[127]
CO <sub>2</sub> footprint for heat and power sector	Mt <sub>CO<sub>2</sub>e</sub> /a	26 540	[2]