



Article Comparison of the Field Trapping Ability of MgB₂ and Hybrid Disc-Shaped Layouts

Michela Fracasso ^{1,2,*}, Roberto Gerbaldo ^{1,2}, Gianluca Ghigo ^{1,2}, Daniele Torsello ^{1,2}, Yiteng Xing ³, Pierre Bernstein ³, Jacques Noudem ³ and Laura Gozzelino ^{1,2}

- ¹ Department of Applied Science and Technology, Politecnico di Torino, 10129 Torino, Italy; roberto.gerbaldo@polito.it (R.G.); gianluca.ghigo@polito.it (G.G.); daniele.torsello@polito.it (D.T.); laura.gozzelino@polito.it (L.G.)
- ² Istituto Nazionale di Fisica Nucleare, Sezione di Torino, 10125 Torino, Italy
- ³ UMR 6508, CRISMAT, ENSICAEN, CNRS, UNICAEN, 14050 Caen, France; yiteng.xing@ensicaen.fr (Y.X.); pierre.bernstein@ensicaen.fr (P.B.); jacques.noudem@ensicaen.fr (J.N.)
- * Correspondence: michela.fracasso@polito.it

Abstract: Superconductors have revolutionized magnet technology, surpassing the limitations of traditional coils and permanent magnets. This work experimentally investigates the field-trapping ability of a MgB₂ disc at various temperatures and proposes new hybrid (MgB₂-soft iron) configurations using a numerical approach based on the vector potential (\vec{A}) formulation. The experimental characterization consists in measurements of trapped magnetic flux density carried out using cryogenic Hall probes located at different radial positions over the MgB₂ sample, after a field cooling (FC) process and the subsequent removal of the applied field. Measurements were performed also as a function of the distance from the disc surface. The numerical modelling of the superconductor required the evaluation of the critical current density dependence on the magnetic flux density ($I_c(B)$) obtained through an iterative procedure whose output were successfully validated by the comparison between experimental and computed data. The numerical model, upgraded to also describe the in-field behavior of ARMCO soft iron, was then employed to predict the field-trapping ability of hybrid layouts of different shapes. The most promising results were achieved by assuming a hollow superconducting disc filled with a ferromagnetic (FM) cylinder. With such a geometry, optimizing the radius of the FM cylinder while the external dimensions of the superconducting disc are kept unchanged, an improvement of more than 30% is predicted with respect to the full superconducting disc, assuming a working temperature of 20 K.

Keywords: MgB₂ bulk; trapped field; hybrid solutions

1. Introduction

Superconductors, with their remarkable ability to trap magnetic fields at levels significantly surpassing those achievable with traditional permanent magnets [1–4], play a pivotal role in advancing magnet technology. This unique property has indeed gathered considerable interest for various engineering applications, such as energy storage systems [5–7] high current machines [8–11], magnetic resonance imaging (MRI), and portable magnets [12], to name just a few. Furthermore, an additional improvement in trapping field ability has been obtained, creating hybrid arrangements wherein ferromagnetic (FM) components are superimposed or integrated into the superconducting (SC) ones [13–16]. Among superconductors, cost-effectiveness, absence of toxic elements, lack of reliance on rare earth elements, and the ability to transport high critical current across randomly oriented grain boundaries make MgB₂ a good candidate for trapped field applications [17–19]. In addition, recent progresses in bulk fabrication techniques has allowed for the manufacturing of MgB₂ bulks with high trapped field values even in small-sized samples [20,21]. It was reported that the critical current densities and



Citation: Fracasso, M.; Gerbaldo, R.; Ghigo, G.; Torsello, D.; Xing, Y.; Bernstein, P.; Noudem, J.; Gozzelino, L. Comparison of the Field Trapping Ability of MgB₂ and Hybrid Disc-Shaped Layouts. *Materials* **2024**, *17*, 1201. https://doi.org/10.3390/ ma17051201

Academic Editor: Xingjiang Zhou

Received: 9 February 2024 Revised: 28 February 2024 Accepted: 1 March 2024 Published: 5 March 2024



Copyright: © 2024 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by/ 4.0/). trapped field depend on the density and homogeneity of the MgB₂ superconducting material and pinning centers [19,22]. Studies indicated that the homogeneity and density of the superconducting MgB₂ material and the density of pinning centers can be improved by thermal treatment under high isostatic pressure or by an increase of the density of the MgB₂ material before the synthesis reaction [23–25]. Spark plasma sintering (SPS) is another technique that allows for the preparation of dense and large MgB₂ samples with a short process time [26,27]. By way of example, trapped field values of 2.12 T were achieved at 5 K (measured above a disc-shaped sample with a radius R = 9 mm and a height h = 6 mm) [28], 2.4 T at 15.9 K (measured above a disc-shaped sample with R = 15 mm and h = 6.6 mm) [29], and 3.2 T at 15 K (measured above a disc-shaped sample with R = 10 mm and h = 1.6 mm) [30]. On the other hand, soft ferromagnetic materials and alloys with high saturation field and magnetic permeability are good candidates for assembling hybrid superconducting/ferromagnetic magnets [31,32].

The development of more and more efficient permanent magnets has also taken advantage of the use of numerical analysis techniques as guidance in their design. The finite element method (FEM) is the most utilized numerical approach to modelling both the superconducting and the ferromagnetic behaviour [33–38]. Concerning superconductors, different approaches were developed depending on the specific application. For instance, the time-dependent Ginzburg–Landau (TDGL) equations theory [39] addresses the magnetic flux dynamics at the scale of a vortex and are mostly applied to investigate the mesoscopic regime [40–43]. Conversely, the behaviour of homogeneous macroscopic samples can be modelled by solving Maxwell's equations and averaging the electromagnetic fields over a much larger spatial scale [44]. In the latter scenario, a non-linear $\vec{E} - \vec{J}$ characteristic, which accounts for the transition from superconducting to normal state, is typically used [45,46]. Similarly, the ferromagnetic materials are usually described introducing the characteristic B(H) curve or the magnetic permeability, μ_r [47–49].

In this work, the field-trapping ability of a MgB_2 disc grown using the spark plasma sintering (SPS) technique [26,27,50] was experimentally investigated at different working temperatures T = 20, 25, and 30 K. After the field cooling (FC) process, the magnetic flux density was measured by means of cryogenic Hall probes located along the sample diameter, and these were taken for different values of the external applied field and as a function of the distance from the disc surface. The possibility of enhancing the trapped field values via a ferromagnetic bulk addition was then studied by employing a numerical approach in order to avoid untargeted and time-consuming experimental trials. To this aim, the vector potential (\vec{A}) formulation—presented in [51]—was applied to model the MgB_2 sample. For this purpose, to properly account for the magnetic field dependence of the critical current density in the MgB₂ disc, we used an iterative process starting from the equation proposed in [52]. The $J_c(B)$ values obtained at the iteration step (i-1) were entered into the model at the step i, until convergence to the measured trapped field curve was obtained. The comparison with the measured data allowed us to validate this numerical procedure. Then, the numerical model was exploited to study some hybrid superconducting-ferromagnetic configurations.

This paper is structured as follows: In Section 2, we present the technique employed for MgB₂ bulk fabrication (Section 2.1), the experimental setup used to carry out the trapped field measurements (Section 2.2), and the numerical procedure employed to model both the superconducting and hybrid layouts (Section 2.3). The experimental results are provided in Section 3, where we also delved into the procedure for J_c (B) calculation and the comparison between experimental and computational data (Section 3.2). The analysis of the trapped field in the hybrid configurations is then presented in Section 3.3. Finally, the main conclusions and results are summarized in Section 4.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Superconducting Sample

The MgB₂ sample was fabricated utilizing the spark plasma sintering system (FCT Systeme GmbH, HD25, Rauenstein, Germany), which is recognized as one of the most efficient technologies for dense MgB_2 bulk fabrication [27]. The sample was obtained by ex situ procedure using commercial MgB₂ powder (purity >97%, 100 meshes) purchased from PAVEZYUM Advanced Chemicals, Turkey. The sample was sintered at 1200 °C for 10 min, allowing the production of a highly dense sample [27], while applying an axial pressure of 50 MPa under a dynamic vacuum of 10^{-3} bar. The relative density of the sample was 2.6 g/cm³, corresponding to 99% of the theoretical value. Figure 1 displays the microstructure of MgB₂ bulk analyzed using a Carl Zeiss (Supra 55, Oberkochen, Germany) scanning electron microscope (SEM). It confirms the high density of the sample, with four distinct colored zones visible, as mentioned in the previous study [27]. The primary gray zone represents the MgB_2 phase, while the white regions correspond to MgO phases. The dark gray zones indicate MgB₄, formed by the evaporation of magnesium during the high sintering temperature process. Additionally, the black regions denote voids present within the sample. The impurities and voids serve as part of the pinning centers landscape in the sample, enhancing the critical current density. The MgB₂ disc has a height h = 9.75 mm and a radius R = 10.08 mm (Figure 2a). Its critical temperature is $T_c = 38.5$ K.



Figure 1. Scanning electron microscopy image of the microstructure of the MgB₂ disc.



Figure 2. Schematic view of the MgB₂ disc (**a**) and of the Hall probes' positions (**b**). The radial positions with respect to the sample center are the following: Hall probe #1: 8.0 mm, #2: 5.75 mm, #3: 3.5 mm, #4: 0.0 mm (sample center), #5: 2.0 mm, #6: 4.0 mm, #7: 6.0 mm, and #8: 8.5 mm.

2.2. Experimental Details

The magnetic flux density trapped in the MgB₂ disc was measured by eight Hall probes, whose disc-shaped active area has a diameter of 300 μ m. The Hall probes were distributed along the MgB₂ disc's diameter (Figure 2b). The external field \vec{H}_{appl} was always applied parallel to the sample axis (henceforth referred to as the *z*-axis) at a temperature, T, of 50 K, then the sample was cooled down to the working temperature and the field

2.3. Modelling

of a cryocooler.

A numerical model based on the \vec{A} -formulation was implemented by the commercial finite-element software COMSOL Multiphysics[®] 5.6 through the Magnetic Field interface (*mf*). The superconducting sample and the superconducting components in the hybrid layouts investigated by the numerical modelling were always assumed to have a disc shape with the same size as the disc experimentally characterized. The superimposed or embedded ferromagnetic components always preserved the cylindrical symmetry. Therefore, we employed a two-dimensional axisymmetric approach by establishing a cylindrical coordinate system (r, ϕ , z) with the origin placed in the center of the sample's top surface. At a considerable distance from the MgB₂ disc, the magnetic flux density, B, was expected to be parallel to the disc axis and have a magnitude equal to $\mu_0 H_{appl}$ decreasing with a ramp rate of 0.35 T/s.

holder moving with micrometric resolution along the *z*-axis [53]. All measurements were performed in a vacuum, with the disc placed in tight thermal contact with the second stage

The electromagnetic characteristics of the superconducting, the ferromagnetic, and the surrounding domains are described through Maxwell's equations, which are formulated using the magnetic vector potential \vec{A} :

$$\vec{E} = -\frac{\partial \vec{A}}{\partial t} \tag{1}$$

$$\vec{B} = \nabla \times \vec{A} \tag{2}$$

Due to the chosen axisymmetric approach, the vector potential has a single component, A_{ϕ} , allowing us to rewrite Equations (1) and (2) as:

$$E_{\phi} = -\frac{\partial A_{\phi}}{\partial t} \tag{3}$$

$$\vec{B} = -\frac{\partial A_{\phi}}{\partial z}\hat{u}_r + \frac{\partial A_{\phi}}{\partial r}\hat{u}_z \tag{4}$$

with \hat{u}_r and \hat{u}_z being the unit vectors along the *r*- and *z*-directions, respectively.

The superconducting domain is distinguished from the others by the presence of a local current density, *J*, which is dependent on the local electric field, *E*, through a hyperbolic tangent function, as suggested by M. Solovyov et al. [51]. This dependence can be considered a smooth approximation of the step-wise *E*-*J* relation predicted in the critical state model [45]. Consequently, the 2D *E*-*J* relation takes the form of:

$$J_{\phi} = J_c(B) \tanh\left(\frac{E_{\phi}}{E_0}\right) \tag{5}$$

with $E_{\phi} = -\frac{\partial A_{\phi}}{\partial t}$, E_0 being the threshold electric field used to define the critical current density (here assumed to be equal to 10^{-4} V/m) and $J_c(B)$ being the local critical current density, calculated with the procedure described in Section 3.2.

Thanks to a relative permeability exceeding 7500 at low fields, a saturation magnetic flux density ≈ 2.3 T, and its negligible hysteresis losses, we chose ARMCO pure iron as

ferromagnetic material and employed its B–H characteristic curve to model the material properties [54].

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Experimental Results

We investigated the field-trapping ability of the disc in the temperature range from 20 to 30 K, with $\vec{B}_{trapped} = \vec{B} - \mu_0 \vec{H}_{appl}$. Figure 3 shows the z-component of the trapped field measured in the remnant state (i.e., after the complete removal of the applied field—note that in this case $B_{trapped,z} = B_z - \mu_0 H_{appl} = B_z$) as a function of the distance *z* from the sample top surface and for different radial positions. The data were collected after field cooling in an external field $\mu_0 H_{appl} = 4$ T, $\mu_0 H_{appl} = 3$ T, and $\mu_0 H_{appl} = 2$ T at 20 K (Figure 3a), 25 K (Figure 3b), and 30 K (Figure 3c), respectively. Focusing on the values measured at the center of the disc (r = 0) and at a distance from the disc surface z = 1.5 mm, at 20 K, we obtained trapped field values of $B_{trapped,z} = 1.37$ T, while $B_{trapped,z} = 1.05$ T and $B_{travved,z} = 0.64$ T were obtained at temperatures T = 25 K and T = 30 K, respectively. The achieved results are comparable with those obtained in literature, considering samples with an aspect ratio (r/h) and experimental conditions similar to ours and reported in [19,55]. Notably, the B_z curves measured at positions #1 (r = 8 mm, left side with respect to the disc's center, see Figure 2b) and #8 (r = 8.5 mm, right side) superimpose each other. This could be due to a local inhomogeneity in the sample composition or to a slight lateral shift of the Hall probes due to a small uncertainty of the Hall probes position inside their packages. Indeed, the B_z curves measured at positions #2 (r = 5.75 mm, left side with respect to the disc's center) and #7 (r = 6 mm, right side) are well separated, thus supporting the first supposition.



Distance from the upper disk surface, z (mm)

Figure 3. Cont.



Figure 3. Trapped field values measured by the Hall probes at the radial positions reported in the figure legends as a function of the distance from the top surface of the MgB₂ disc. The data were obtained at T = 20 K (a), T = 25 K (b), and T = 30 K (c).

3.2. Critical Current Density Evaluation and Validation of the Numerical Model

To properly describe the superconductor behaviour, a suitable $J_c(B)$ equation is needed. Taking advantage of the sample shape, the first step was an approximate estimation of the critical current density from the trapped magnetic flux density, $B_{trapped,z}$, measured along the disc axis (position #4) as a function of the applied field, using the equation provided by Chen et al. [52]:

$$J_{c}^{0} = \frac{2B_{trapped,z}}{\mu_{0}} \frac{1}{(z+h)\ln\left(\frac{R}{z+h} + \sqrt{1 + \frac{R^{2}}{(z+h)^{2}}}\right) - z\ln\left(\frac{R}{z} + \sqrt{1 + \frac{R^{2}}{z^{2}}}\right)}$$
(6)

where *R* and *h* are the radius and the height of the disc, respectively, and *z* is the distance of the Hall probe #4 from the top of the sample. It is worth mentioning that since Equation (6) refers to trapped flux density values measured on the sample axis, the flux density magnitude here coincides with its *z* component. First, we applied Equation (6) to the measured $B_{trapped,z}$ at 20 K, obtaining the J_c^0 values plotted in Figure 4.

The J_c curve was then fitted using a polynomial law, and the resulting J_c dependence on magnetic field was entered into Equation (5) and used to recalculate the magnetic flux density. As can be seen in Figure 5, the agreement between the experimental and as-calculated magnetic flux density curves (red solid line) only occurs at high magnetic fields, i.e., above the full penetration field, that for this sample, at 20 K is \approx 2.5 T. This could be related to the fact that Chen's method, based on the Bean model hypothesis, assumes a constant critical current density. Indeed, as shown by Chen and Goldfarb [56], the Bean model could give deceptive results when used to estimate the critical current density below the full penetration field, i.e., when J_c is strongly dependent on H_{appl} .

To find a $J_c(B)$ dependence that could reasonably reproduce the experimental trapped field values also at low applied fields, following the procedure employed in [57] we fitted the high-field range (2.5–4 T) of the curve reported in Figure 4—i.e., the field range above the full penetration field, where a good agreement between experiment (black symbols) and simulation (red solid lines) was clearly visible in Figure 5—by the exponential relation reported below:

$$J_c(\mu_0 H_{appl}) = J_k \exp\left[-\left(\frac{\mu_0 H_{appl}}{B_0}\right)^{\gamma}\right]$$
(7)

where J_k , B_0 and γ are fitting parameters. However, at low field, the agreement between the experimental and the computed data was still poor, as one can see in Figure 5. This could be due to the small portion of the experimental curve considered for the fit.



Figure 4. J_c dependence on the applied magnetic field at T = 20 K obtained from the B_{trapped,z} vs. $\mu_0 H_{appl}$ curve measured at r = 0 (Hall probe #4) applying Equation (6) (black symbols) and calculated with a polynomial fit (see text—red solid line).



Figure 5. Comparison between the experimental (symbols) and computed (lines) magnetic flux density (**a**) and trapped field (**b**) values, both plotted as a function of the applied magnetic field. The computed curves were obtained using the $J_c(B)$ polynomial law plotted in Figure 4 (red curve) and the exponential $J_c(B)$ relationship reported in Equation (7) (cyan curve). The measurement was carried out by Hall probe #4 located on the disc's axis at 20 K and 1.5 mm above the disc surface, decreasing the applied field after field cooling in an external field $\mu_0 H_{appl} = 4$ T.

To overcome this problem, we modified the procedure by introducing intermediate steps based on the following iterative procedure:

- Starting from the curve $B_{trapped,z}$ vs. $\mu_0 H_{appl}$ reported in Figure 5, we evaluated the difference between the experimental and computed trapped fields, i.e., $\Delta B^i_{trapped,z}$ (= $B_{trapped,z,exp} B^i_{trapped,z,comp}$), where $B_{trapped,z,exp}$ is the measured magnetic flux density presented in Section 3.1 and $B_{trapped,z,comp}$ is the computed values obtained fitting the $J_c(B)$ curve with a polynomial fit. In the first iteration, the index *i* was set to 0;
- We applied Chen's formula (i.e., Equation (6)) to evaluate

$$\Delta J_{c}^{i} = \frac{2\Delta B_{trapped,z}^{i}}{\mu_{0}} \frac{1}{(z+h)\ln\left(\frac{R}{z+h} + \sqrt{1 + \frac{R^{2}}{(z+h)^{2}}}\right) - z\ln\left(\frac{R}{z} + \sqrt{1 + \frac{R^{2}}{z^{2}}}\right)}$$
(8)

- We built a new J_c^{i+1} vs. $\mu_0 H_{appl}$ curve adding ΔJ_c^i to the J_c^i values calculated in the previous step;
- We fitted the J_c^{i+1} vs. $\mu_0 H_{appl}$ by a polynomial law;
- We inserted the new Jⁱ⁺¹_c vs. μ₀H_{appl} dependence in the numerical procedure and achieved new Bⁱ⁺¹_{z,comp} and Bⁱ⁺¹_{trapped,z,comp} vs. μ₀H_{appl} curves. We repeated the whole procedure several times (i = 0, 1, ..., 8).

Figure 6 shows the J_c^0 and J_c^{i+1} vs $\mu_0 H_{avvl}$ curves obtained after each iteration.



Figure 6. Comparison among J_c^0 and J_c^{i+1} vs. $\mu_0 H_{appl}$ curves obtained from the values of the trapped field assessed by measurement applying Equation (6) (black curve) and through computations (coloured curves). The latter represent the results obtained after each iteration of the iterative process presented in the main text. The final aim was to define a $J_c(B)$ suitable to reproduce the experimental data, which was identified in the J_c^0 curve (light-blue curve).

At this point, the simulated $B_{z,comp}^9$ and $B_{trapped,z,comp}^9$ curves reproduces the experimental data in a larger range of applied fields (Figure 7), even though a small difference still occurs at low field.

To overcome this discrepancy, we fitted the J_c^9 vs. $\mu_0 H_{appl}$ curve by Equation (7), obtaining the following fitting parameters: $J_k = 1.86 \times 10^5$ A/cm², B₀ = 1.21 T, and $\gamma = 1.66$ (quite comparable to the values obtained by Fujishiro et al. [58] in MgB₂ bulk disc at T = 20 K). Finally, Equation (7), with the above-mentioned fitting parameters J_k , B₀, and γ , was entered into Equation (5) and employed to calculate the final magnetic flux density through the simulations. This procedure provided a very good agreement between the experimental and computed data for the innermost radial positions, as shown in Figure 8. The same excellent agreement was found by comparing the trapped field data measured and computed in remnant state as a function of the distance from the sample top surface (Figure 9). On the contrary, some differences occur for radial positions $r \ge 6$ mm. This

could support both the hypothesis of small inhomogeneities in the J_c bulk distributions or small uncertainty of the Hall probes' positions inside their packages.



Figure 7. Comparison between the experimental (symbols) and numerically computed (lines) magnetic flux density (**a**) and trapped field (**b**) values, both plotted as a function of the applied magnetic field. The computed curves were obtained using the $J_c(B)$ polynomial law plotted in Figure 4 (red curve), the exponential $J_c(B)$ in Equation (7) (cyan curve), and the $J_c^9(B)$ polynomial law extracted following the procedure described in the main text (orange curve). The measurement was carried out at 20 K, decreasing the applied field after field cooling in an external field $\mu_0 H_{appl} = 4T$. Data refer to Hall probe #4 position, placed on the sample's axis, 1.5 mm above the disc top surface.



Figure 8. Comparison between the magnetic flux density (**a**) and trapped field (**b**) measured by Hall probes #4 and #6 at 20 K when decreasing the external field after field cooling in $\mu_0 H_{appl} = 4$ T (symbols) and the corresponding computed values (lines).

Lastly, the whole procedure was repeated starting from trapped field data obtained at 25 and 30 K, after field cooling in an external field $\mu_0 H_{appl} = 3$ T and $\mu_0 H_{appl} = 2$ T, respectively. As can be seen in Figure 10, for both the temperatures, a good agreement between the experimental and computed data was achieved. Table 1 summarized the fitting parameters entered into Equation (7) to account for the $J_c(B)$ dependence.

Table 1. Fitting parameters of Equation (7) obtained for a working temperature of 20, 25, and 30 K.

| T [K] | J_k [A/cm ²] | B ₀ [T] | γ |
|-------|----------------------------|--------------------|------|
| 20 | $1.86	imes 10^5$ | 1.21 | 1.66 |
| 25 | $1.48	imes10^5$ | 0.93 | 1.80 |
| 30 | $8.74	imes10^4$ | 0.59 | 2.00 |



Figure 9. Comparison between the trapped magnetic flux density measured by all the Hall probes as a function of the distance from the top surface of the disc, at 20 K, in remnant state after field cooling in $\mu_0 H_{appl} = 4$ T (symbols), and the corresponding values computed by numerical simulations (lines).



Figure 10. Comparison between the magnetic flux density measured by all of the Hall probes as a function of the distance from the top surface of the disc, at T = 25 K (**a**), and T = 30 K (**b**) after field cooling in an applied field of $\mu_0 H_{appl} = 3$ T and 2 T, respectively (symbols), and the corresponding values computed by numerical simulations (lines).

This agreement between the experimental and calculated results allowed us to verify the feasibility of this numerical model to predict trapping field values and to exploit it to investigate the performance of new hybrid superconducting–ferromagnetic layouts of permanent magnets.

3.3. Trapped Fields in Hybrid Samples

Starting from the layouts and results reported in [31] by Philippe et al., and in [32] by Li et al., we applied the as-validated model to the study of new hybrid configurations of MgB₂-soft iron. In Figure 11, the cross-section of the investigated layouts is depicted. For the sake of consistency, the MgB₂ disc has the same dimensions as those experimentally characterized except layout, *geom4* which consists of a hollow superconducting disc filled with a ferromagnetic cylinder. The outer R_{out} and inner R_{in} radius of the hollow disc

satisfy the condition $R_{out} - R_{in} = R$, being R the radius of the disc characterized experimentally. Conversely, the ferromagnetic bulk is characterized by two recurring dimensions, d = 2.43 mm and d' = 5.04 mm.



Figure 11. Schematic view of the hybrid layouts numerically investigated. The superconducting components (grey) are discs with the same size as the disc characterized experimentally, except the layout *geom4*, as detailed in the main text. The dimensions of the ferromagnetic components (cyan) are characterized by two recurring values d = 2.43 mm and d' = 5.04 mm.

We focused on the trapped field capabilities of these hybrid configurations, assuming a working temperature of 20 K and a field cooling process in an applied magnetic field $\mu_0 H_{appl} = 4$ T. Data here compared were calculated in remnant state, i.e., $B_z = B_{trapped,z}$. Note that from this point forward, for the sake of brevity, B_z and $B_{trapped,z}$ always refer to the computational values. The dependence of the trapped flux density on the radial position was assessed at 1 mm above the top surface and 1 mm below the bottom surface of all the hybrid arrangements. This choice was made in order to enable a coherent comparison with data reported in the literature [31,32], and takes into consideration that the qualitative behaviour of the trapped field profiles remains unaffected and that the samples' performances vary only $\approx 10\%$ between 1 mm and 1.5 mm, which is the minimum distance reached in the experiment (see previous sections).

Figure 12 shows a comparison of the magnetic flux density calculated 1 mm above the top surface for all the hybrid arrangements as a function of the distance from the layout's symmetry axis (i.e., *z*-axis). For the sake of clarity, a magnification of the zone close to the axis is plotted in the right panel of the figure. Notably, the hybrid layouts referred to as *geom1* and *geom3* exhibit a trapped field degradation in comparison to the superconducting disc alone, while layouts *geom2* and *geom5* provide a slightly better field-trapping ability than the MgB₂ disc. Conversely, a B_z peak value of 1.90 T is achieved with configuration *geom4* in correspondence to the *z*-axis. It is worth mentioning that the positive effect of the FM addition in this layout persists all along the disc radius up to about r = R/2. The results are partially comparable to those obtained in the literature for similar hybrid configurations. Specifically, in references [32,48], slight improvements were reported for all the proposed hybrid configurations, including the configurations we defined as *geom1* and *geom3*. This different behaviour could likely be attributed to the superior initial performance of the MgB₂ magnet compared to that obtained with YBCO magnets, which could make the role of the FM layer not so effective for these configurations.

However, in agreement with [31,32], the addition of an FM layer also produces a strong decrease in the trapped magnetic flux density near the surface covered with the FM layers of layouts *geom2*, *geom3*, and *geom5*. In these samples, deterioration of the field-trapping ability of up to 74, 81, and 58%, respectively, was observed, as shown in Figure 13, where the $B_{trapped,z}$ values are plotted as a function of the radial position (a) and the 3D maps of the magnitude of trapped field are depicted for *geom2* (b), *geom3* (c), and *geom5* (d). As



expected, this asymmetric behaviour does not occur in layouts *geom1* and *geom4* that show the same field-trapping ability both above and below the sample.

Figure 12. (Left) Magnetic flux density B_z calculated 1 mm above the top surfaces of the hybrid configurations. (**Right**) magnification of the B_z values in the zone close to the disc's axis.



Figure 13. (a) B_{trapped,z} dependence on the radial position calculated 1 mm above the surface with the FM layers. (b–d) 3D maps of the trapped field magnitude calculated 1 mm from the surface with the FM layers for *geom2*, *geom3*, and *geom5*, respectively.

Based on these results, it is evident that arrangement *geom4* exhibits the highest efficiency among those investigated. Consequently, we proceeded to examine how the trapped field profile varies as the diameter of the hole in the superconductor increases. In all cases, we assumed that the hole was completely filled with iron and kept constant the outer radius of the superconducting disc. Specifically, four other values were considered for the radius of the ferromagnetic cylinder in addition to d = 2.43 mm: d = 1.21 mm, d = 1.82 mm, d = 3.03 mm, and d = 3.64 mm. Figure 14 shows the comparison of the magnitude of the trapped field values calculated for the superconductor alone (Figure 14a) and the layout *geom4*, the latter as the radius of the FM component increases (Figure 14b–f).

The effect of the ferromagnetic component addition in this layout is twofold. First, the trapped field value at the center of the disc (r = 0) improves with the addition of the ferromagnetic cylinder, reaching a maximum value of $B_{trapped} = 1.89$ T with a ferromagnetic radius of 2.43 mm (Figure 15). Notably, a larger radius of the FM cylinder (d = 3.03 mm and d = 3.64 mm), leads to a decrease of the trapped flux density, the value of which nevertheless remains greater than that obtained with the superconducting disc alone. Concurrently, the addition of the FM layer extends the overall capability of the sample to trap higher values of magnetic flux, even at a radial distance from the disc axis greater than the FM cylinder radius. For instance, considering the maximum B_z value of the SC disc at r = 0, $B_{z,max}^{SC} = 1.43$ mm, the same value is reached at r = 2.19 mm, r = 2.70 mm, r = 3.20 mm, r = 3.65 mm, and r = 4.10 mm when employing FM cylinder with a radius of d = 1.21 mm, d = 1.82 mm, d = 2.43 mm, d = 3.03 mm, and d = 3.64 mm, respectively.

The improvement of the trapped field efficiency in all layouts *geom4* can be ascribed to a change of the magnetic flux line distribution induced by the FM addition. Figure 16 shows the magnitude of the trapped flux density values (colour maps) and the distribution of the magnetic flux lines for the SC disc (a) and the five layouts *geom4*. As can be seen, the ferromagnetic component attracts the magnetic flux lines [59], thus inducing their accumulation in the region closest to the *z*-axis, which in turn results in an increased trapped flux. Nevertheless, it is worth mentioning that the effect of the FM inclusion is not simply additive to the value of magnetic flux trapped in the SC disc. Indeed, using only an FM cylinder with a radius d = 2.43 mm, a trapped field B_{trapped} = 0.006 T is obtained in remnant state at r = 0.

The improvement and radial behaviour of the trapped flux density achieved with this layout, assuming a working temperature of 20 K, were also confirmed using the $J_c(\mu_0 H_{appl})$ dependence reported in Equation (7) with the fitting parameters found at 25 K and 30 K (see Table 1). Figure 17 illustrates the B_z values calculated 1 mm above the top surfaces of the hybrid geometries at operating temperatures of 25 K (left) and 30 K (right). In both the cases, one can again observe a maximum value of trapped flux density when the radius of the ferromagnetic cylinder is 2.43 mm. Nevertheless, the inclusion of the FM component strongly enhances the trapped flux density as at 20 K, expanding its positive effects also on a radial distance larger than the FM cylinder radius.

As expected, the influence of the FM cylinder becomes more pronounced as the temperature rises, mitigating the decline in superconductor performance. This trend is evident in Figure 18, where the percentage increase of the magnetic flux density trapped in the remnant state by the various layouts *geom4* with respect to the flux density trapped by the only superconducting disc is plotted as a function of the operating temperatures. At all of the investigated temperatures, d = 2.43 mm is the optimal FM radius above which the performance of the hybrid configurations begins to deteriorate. By way of example, at T = 30 K, a maximum increment of 60.4% is obtained using *geom4* with d = 2.43 mm (green curve), while increments of 58 and 46.4% are obtained employing *geom4* with d = 3.03 mm (cyan curve) and d = 3.64 mm (purple curve), respectively.



Figure 14. These are 3D maps of the trapped flux density magnitude calculated 1 mm above the top surfaces of superconducting disc (**a**) and layout *geom4*, the latter as the radius of the ferromagnetic cylinder increases: d = 1.21 mm (**b**), d = 1.82 mm (**c**), d = 2.43 mm (**d**), d = 3.03 mm (**e**), and d = 3.64 mm (**f**).



Figure 15. Magnetic flux density B_z calculated 1 mm above the top surfaces of layouts named *geom4* for different values of the FM cylinder radius. The outer radius of the hollow superconducting disc surrounding the FM was kept constant and equal to 10.08 mm.



Figure 16. Magnitude of the trapped flux density values (colour maps) and distribution of the magnetic flux lines for the SC disc (**a**) and the five layouts *geom4* with an FM cylinder of radius d = 1.21 mm (**b**), d = 1.82 mm (**c**), d = 2.43 mm (**d**), d = 3.03 mm (**e**), and d = 3.64 mm (**f**) calculated at T = 20 K.



Figure 17. Magnetic flux density B_z calculated 1 mm above the top surfaces of the layouts *geom4* for different values of the FM cylinder radius and assuming a working temperature of T = 25 K (**left**) and T = 30 K (**right**).



Figure 18. Percentage increase of the magnetic flux density trapped in remnant state by the five layouts *geom4* with respect to the magnetic flux density trapped by the superconducting disc alone.

4. Conclusions

In this study, we investigated the field-trapping ability of a MgB₂ disc fabricated using the spark plasma sintering (SPS) technique. After the field cooling process, the trapped flux density was measured at various temperatures (20 K, 25 K, and 30 K) during external field removal and in remnant state as a function of the distance from the disc surface. The analysis evidenced the sample's ability to trap magnetic flux density up to 1.37 T in the remnant state at 20 K and 1.5 mm above the disc surface.

New hybrid (MgB₂—soft iron) configurations of permanent magnets were then investigated employing a modelling approach based on the \vec{A} -formulation. The model feasibility of reproducing the magnetic flux density distribution was verified by comparing experimental and computational data achieved on the superconducting disc, with a good agreement between the two datasets. Various hybrid layouts were assessed, and the layout consisting of a hollow superconducting disc filled with an FM cylinder turned out to be the most efficient geometry. Focusing on this configuration, a maximum B_{trapped} of 1.89 T was achieved with an FM cylinder having a radius of 2.43 mm.

The analysis of the percentage increase of the trapped flux density values also highlighted the temperature-dependent effect of the FM component addition and the importance of its size in order to maximize the permanent magnet performance.

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, M.F. and L.G.; methodology, M.F. and L.G.; software, M.F.; validation, M.F. and L.G.; formal analysis, M.F.; investigation, M.F., R.G., G.G., Y.X., P.B., J.N. and L.G.; resources, Y.X., P.B. and J.N.; data curation, M.F.; writing—original draft preparation, M.F.; writing—review and editing, R.G., G.G., D.T., Y.X., P.B., J.N. and L.G.; visualization, M.F. and D.T.; supervision, L.G.; project administration, L.G. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This article is partially based upon work from COST Action CA19108 (Hi-SCALE), supported by COST (European Cooperation in Science and Technology).

Institutional Review Board Statement: Not applicable.

Informed Consent Statement: Not applicable.

Data Availability Statement: The data presented in this article will be shared on reasonable request from the corresponding author.

Acknowledgments: The authors would like to thank F. Gömöry and M. Solovyov for the fruitful discussion on the numerical modeling. D.T. acknowledges that his contribution was carried out within the Ministerial Decree no. 1062/2021 and received funding from the FSE REACT-EU - PON Ricerca e Innovazione 2014–2020 and that this manuscript reflects only his views and opinions, neither the European Union nor the European Commission can be considered responsible for them.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

References

- 1. Vakaliuk, O.; Werfel, F.; Jaroszynski, J.; Halbedel, B. Trapped field potential of commercial Y-Ba-Cu-O bulk superconductors designed for applications. *Supercond. Sci. Technol.* **2020**, *33*, 095005. [CrossRef]
- Motoki, T.; Miwa, M.; Semba, M.; Shimoyama, J.i. Greatly Improved Trapped Magnetic Fields of REBCO Melt-Grown Bulks Through Reductive High-Temperature Post-Annealing. *IEEE Trans. Appl. Supercond.* 2023, 33, 6800205. [CrossRef]
- Ainslie, M.; Huang, K.; Fujishiro, H.; Chaddock, J.; Takahashi, K.; Namba, S.; Cardwell, D.; Durrell, J. Numerical modelling of mechanical stresses in bulk superconductor magnets with and without mechanical reinforcement. *Supercond. Sci. Technol.* 2019, 32, 034002. [CrossRef]
- Huang, K.Y.; Shi, Y.; Srpčič, J.; Ainslie, M.D.; Namburi, D.K.; Dennis, A.R.; Zhou, D.; Boll, M.; Filipenko, M.; Jaroszynski, J.; et al. Composite stacks for reliable> 17 T trapped fields in bulk superconductor magnets. *Supercond. Sci. Technol.* 2019, 33, 02LT01. [CrossRef]
- 5. Faraji, F.; Majazi, A.; Al-Haddad, K. A comprehensive review of flywheel energy storage system technology. *Renew. Sustain. Energy Rev.* **2017**, *67*, 477–490. [CrossRef]
- 6. Koohi-Kamali, S.; Tyagi, V.; Rahim, N.; Panwar, N.; Mokhlis, H. Emergence of energy storage technologies as the solution for reliable operation of smart power systems: A review. *Renew. Sustain. Energy Rev.* **2013**, *25*, 135–165. [CrossRef]
- Miryala, M. (Ed.) High-Tc Superconducting Technology: Towards Sustainable Development Goals, 1st ed.; Jenny Stanford Publishing: Singapore, 2021.
- Luongo, C.A.; Masson, P.J.; Nam, T.; Mavris, D.; Kim, H.D.; Brown, G.V.; Waters, M.; Hall, D. Next generation more-electric aircraft: A potential application for HTS superconductors. *IEEE Trans. Appl. Supercond.* 2009, 19, 1055–1068. [CrossRef]
- Abrahamsen, A.B.; Magnusson, N.; Jensen, B.B.; Runde, M. Large superconducting wind turbine generators. *Energy Procedia* 2012, 24, 60–67. [CrossRef]
- 10. Yamaguchi, S.; Kanda, M. A proposal for a lightweight, large current superconducting cable for aviation. *Supercond. Sci. Technol.* **2020**, *34*, 014001. [CrossRef]
- Kalsi, S.S.; Storey, J.G.; Brooks, J.M.; Lumsden, G.; BadcockSenior, R.A. Superconducting synchronous motor development for airplane applications-mechanical and electrical design of a prototype 100 kW motor. *IEEE Trans. Appl. Supercond.* 2023, 33, 5201806. [CrossRef]
- 12. Durrell, J.H.; Ainslie, M.D.; Zhou, D.; Vanderbemden, P.; Bradshaw, T.; Speller, S.; Filipenko, M.; Cardwell, D.A. Bulk superconductors: A roadmap to applications. *Supercond. Sci. Technol.* **2018**, *31*, 103501. [CrossRef]
- Huang, K.Y.; Hlásek, T.; Namburi, D.K.; Dennis, A.R.; Shi, Y.; Ainslie, M.D.; Congreve, J.V.; Plecháček, V.; Plecháček, J.; Cardwell, D.A.; et al. Improved trapped field performance of single grain Y-Ba-Cu-O bulk superconductors containing artificial holes. J. Am. Ceram. Soc. 2021, 104, 6309–6318. [CrossRef]

- 14. Fujishiro, H.; Mochizuki, H.; Ainslie, M.; Naito, T. Trapped field of 1.1 T without flux jumps in an MgB₂ bulk during pulsed field magnetization using a split coil with a soft iron yoke. *Supercond. Sci. Technol.* **2016**, *29*, 084001. [CrossRef]
- Fagnard, J.F.; Morita, M.; Nariki, S.; Teshima, H.; Caps, H.; Vanderheyden, B.; Vanderbemden, P. Magnetic moment and local magnetic induction of superconducting/ferromagnetic structures subjected to crossed fields: Experiments on GdBCO and modelling. *Supercond. Sci. Technol.* 2016, 29, 125004. [CrossRef]
- 16. Cientanni, V.; Ainslie, M.D.; Fujishiro, H.; Takahashi, K. Modelling higher trapped fields by pulsed field magnetisation of composite bulk *MgB*₂ superconducting rings. *Supercond. Sci. Technol.* **2021**, *34*, 114003. [CrossRef]
- 17. Yamamoto, A.; Ishihara, A.; Tomita, M.; Kishio, K. Permanent magnet with MgB₂ bulk superconductor. *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **2014**, 105, 032601. [CrossRef]
- Berger, K.; Koblischka, M.R.; Douine, B.; Noudem, J.; Bernstein, P.; Hauet, T.; Lévêque, J. High Magnetic Field Generated by Bulk MgB₂ Prepared by Spark Plasma Sintering. *IEEE Trans. Appl. Supercond.* 2016, 26, 6801005. [CrossRef]
- 19. Zhang, Z.; MacManus-Driscoll, J.; Suo, H.; Wang, Q. Review of synthesis of high volumetric density, low gravimetric density MgB₂ bulk for potential magnetic field applications. *Superconductivity* **2022**, *3*, 100015. [CrossRef]
- Zou, J.; Ainslie, M.D.; Fujishiro, H.; Bhagurkar, A.G.; Naito, T.; Babu, N.H.; Fagnard, J.F.; Vanderbemden, P.; Yamamoto, A. Numerical modelling and comparison of MgB₂ bulks fabricated by HIP and infiltration growth. *Supercond. Sci. Technol.* 2015, 28, 075009. [CrossRef]
- Miryala, M.; Arvapalli, S.S.; Sakai, N.; Murakami, M.; Mochizuki, H.; Naito, T.; Fujshiro, H.; Jirsa, M.; Murakami, A.; Noudem, J. Complex pulse magnetization process and mechanical properties of spark plasma sintered bulk MgB₂. *Mater. Sci. Eng. B* 2021, 273, 115390. [CrossRef]
- 22. Muralidhar, M.; Shadab, M.; Srikanth, A.S.; Jirsa, M.; Noudem, J. Review on high-performance bulk MgB₂ superconductors. *J. Phys. D Appl. Phys.* **2023**, *57*, 053001. [CrossRef]
- Prikhna, T.; Eisterer, M.; Gawalek, W.; Mamalis, A.G.; Kozyrev, A.; Kovylaev, V.; Hristoforou, E.; Weber, H.W.; Noudem, J.G.; Goldacker, W.; et al. Structure and functional properties of bulk MgB₂ superconductors synthesized and sintered under pressure. *Mater. Sci. Forum* 2014, 792, 21–26. [CrossRef]
- 24. Gajda, G.; Morawski, A.; Diduszko, R.; Cetner, T.; Hossain, M.; Gruszka, K.; Gajda, D.; Przyslupski, P. Role of double doping with C and RE₂O₃ oxides on the critical temperature and critical current of MgB₂ phase. *J. Alloys Compd.* **2017**, 709, 473–480. [CrossRef]
- 25. Gajda, G.; Filar, K.; Morawski, A.; Gajda, D.; Przyslupski, P. The effect of C and (Er, Sm, Eu)₂O₃ doping and high isostatic pressing on superconducting properties of MgB₂ phase and volume of cylindrical MgB₂ ceramic samples. *J. Alloys Compd.* **2023**, *938*, 168526. [CrossRef]
- Dadiel, J.L.; Naik, S.P.K.; Pęczkowski, P.; Sugiyama, J.; Ogino, H.; Sakai, N.; Kazuya, Y.; Warski, T.; Wojcik, A.; Oka, T.; et al. Synthesis of dense MgB₂ superconductor via in situ and Ex situ spark plasma sintering method. *Materials* 2021, 14, 7395. [CrossRef]
- 27. Xing, Y.; Bernstein, P.; Muralidhar, M.; Noudem, J. Overview of spark plasma synthesis and sintering of MgB₂ superconductor. *Supercond. Sci. Technol.* **2023**, *36*, 115005. [CrossRef]
- Bhagurkar, A.; Yamamoto, A.; Anguilano, L.; Dennis, A.; Durrell, J.; Babu, N.H.; Cardwell, D. A trapped magnetic field of 3 T in homogeneous, bulk MgB₂ superconductors fabricated by a modified precursor infiltration and growth process. *Supercond. Sci. Technol.* 2016, 29, 035008. [CrossRef]
- 29. Naito, T.; Ogino, A.; Fujishiro, H. Potential ability of 3 T-class trapped field on MgB₂ bulk surface synthesized by the infiltrationcapsule method. *Supercond. Sci. Technol.* **2016**, *29*, 115003. [CrossRef]
- 30. Fuchs, G.; Häßler, W.; Nenkov, K.; Scheiter, J.; Perner, O.; Handstein, A.; Kanai, T.; Schultz, L.; Holzapfel, B. High trapped fields in bulk MgB₂ prepared by hot-pressing of ball-milled precursor powder. *Supercond. Sci. Technol.* **2013**, *26*, 122002. [CrossRef]
- Philippe, M.P.; Ainslie, M.D.; Wéra, L.; Fagnard, J.F.; Dennis, A.R.; Shi, Y.H.; Cardwell, D.A.; Vanderheyden, B.; Vanderbemden, P. Influence of soft ferromagnetic sections on the magnetic flux density profile of a large grain, bulk Y–Ba–Cu–O superconductor. *Supercond. Sci. Technol.* 2015, 28, 095008. [CrossRef]
- Li, R.; Fang, J.; Liao, X.; Wang, Y.; Wu, Y.; Wang, Z.; Zan, Y.; Wang, X. Electromagnetic and Thermal Properties of HTS Bulk Combined With Different Ferromagnetic Structures Under Pulsed Field Magnetization. *IEEE Trans. Appl. Supercond.* 2023, 33, 6801206. [CrossRef]
- Lousberg, G.P.; Ausloos, M.; Geuzaine, C.; Dular, P.; Vanderbemden, P.; Vanderheyden, B. Numerical simulation of the magnetization of high-temperature superconductors: A 3D finite element method using a single time-step iteration. *Supercond. Sci. Technol.* 2009, 22, 055005. [CrossRef]
- Arsenault, A.; Sirois, F.; Grilli, F. Implementation of the H-φ formulation in COMSOL Multiphysics for simulating the magnetization of bulk superconductors and comparison with the H-formulation. *IEEE Trans. Appl. Supercond.* 2021, 31, 6800111. [CrossRef]
- Bortot, L.; Auchmann, B.; Garcia, I.C.; De Gersem, H.; Maciejewski, M.; Mentink, M.; Schöps, S.; Van Nugteren, J.; Verweij, A.P. A coupled A–H formulation for magneto-thermal transients in high-temperature superconducting magnets. *IEEE Trans. Appl. Supercond.* 2020, 30, 4900911. [CrossRef]
- 36. Berrospe-Juarez, E.; Zermeño, V.M.; Trillaud, F.; Grilli, F. Real-time simulation of large-scale HTS systems: Multi-scale and homogeneous models using the T–A formulation. *Supercond. Sci. Technol.* **2019**, *32*, 065003. [CrossRef]

- 37. Stenvall, A.; Tarhasaari, T. Programming finite element method based hysteresis loss computation software using non-linear superconductor resistivity and T- φ formulation. *Supercond. Sci. Technol.* **2010**, *23*, 075010. [CrossRef]
- Pratap, S.; Hearn, C.S. 3-D transient modeling of bulk high-temperature superconducting material in passive magnetic bearing applications. *IEEE Trans. Appl. Supercond.* 2015, 25, 5203910. [CrossRef]
- Tang, Q.; Wang, S. Time dependent Ginzburg-Landau equations of superconductivity. *Phys. D Nonlinear Phenom.* 1995, 88, 139–166. [CrossRef]
- Motta, M.; Burger, L.; Jiang, L.; Acosta, J.G.; Jelić, Ž.; Colauto, F.; Ortiz, W.; Johansen, T.; Milošević, M.; Cirillo, C.; et al. Metamorphosis of discontinuity lines and rectification of magnetic flux avalanches in the presence of noncentrosymmetric pinning forces. *Phys. Rev. B* 2021, 103, 224514. [CrossRef]
- 41. Zadorosny, R.; Sardella, E.; Malvezzi, A.L.; Lisboa-Filho, P.N.; Ortiz, W.A. Crossover between macroscopic and mesoscopic regimes of vortex interactions in type-II superconductors. *Phys. Rev. B* 2012, *85*, 214511. [CrossRef]
- Presotto, A.; Sardella, E.; Zadorosny, R. Study of the threshold line between macroscopic and bulk behaviors for homogeneous type II superconductors. *Phys. C Supercond.* 2013, 492, 75–79. [CrossRef]
- Barba-Ortega, J.; Sardella, E.; Aguiar, J.A.; Brandt, E. Vortex state in a mesoscopic flat disk with rough surface. *Phys. C Supercond.* 2012, 479, 49–52. [CrossRef]
- 44. Brambilla, R.; Grilli, F.; Martini, L. Development of an edge-element model for AC loss computation of high-temperature superconductors. *Supercond. Sci. Technol.* 2006, 20, 16–24. [CrossRef]
- 45. Sirois, F.; Grilli, F.; Morandi, A. Comparison of Constitutive Laws for Modeling High-Temperature Superconductors. *IEEE Trans. Appl. Supercond.* **2019**, *29*, 8000110. [CrossRef]
- 46. Ainslie, M.D.; Fujishiro, H. Modelling of bulk superconductor magnetization. Supercond. Sci. Technol. 2015, 28, 053002. [CrossRef]
- Lousberg, G.P.; Fagnard, J.F.; Chaud, X.; Ausloos, M.; Vanderbemden, P.; Vanderheyden, B. Magnetic properties of drilled bulk high-temperature superconductors filled with a ferromagnetic powder. *Supercond. Sci. Technol.* 2010, 24, 035008. [CrossRef]
- Philippe, M.; Fagnard, J.F.; Kirsch, S.; Xu, Z.; Dennis, A.; Shi, Y.H.; Cardwell, D.A.; Vanderheyden, B.; Vanderbemden, P. Magnetic characterisation of large grain, bulk Y–Ba–Cu–O superconductor–soft ferromagnetic alloy hybrid structures. *Phys. C Supercond.* 2014, 502, 20–30. [CrossRef]
- 49. Fracasso, M.; Gömöry, F.; Solovyov, M.; Gerbaldo, R.; Ghigo, G.; Laviano, F.; Napolitano, A.; Torsello, D.; Gozzelino, L. Modelling and Performance Analysis of MgB₂ and Hybrid Magnetic Shields. *Materials* **2022**, *15*, 667. [CrossRef]
- 50. Grigoroscuta, M.; Sandu, V.; Kuncser, A.; Pasuk, I.; Aldica, G.; Suzuki, T.; Vasylkiv, O.; Badica, P. Superconducting MgB₂ textured bulk obtained by ex situ spark plasma sintering from green compacts processed by slip casting under a 12 T magnetic field. *Supercond. Sci. Technol.* **2019**, *32*, 125001. [CrossRef]
- 51. Solovyov, M.; Gömöry, F. A–V formulation for numerical modelling of superconductor magnetization in true 3D geometry. *Supercond. Sci. Technol.* **2019**, 32, 115001. [CrossRef]
- 52. Chen, I.G.; Liu, J.; Weinstein, R.; Lau, K. Characterization of YBa₂Cu₃O₇, including critical current density J c, by trapped magnetic field. *J. Appl. Phys.* **1992**, *72*, 1013–1020. [CrossRef]
- Gozzelino, L.; Minetti, B.; Gerbaldo, R.; Ghigo, G.; Laviano, F.; Agostino, A.; Mezzetti, E. Local Magnetic Investigations of MgB₂ Bulk Samples for Magnetic Shielding Applications. *IEEE Trans. Appl. Supercond.* 2010, 21, 3146–3149. [CrossRef]
- Gozzelino, L.; Fracasso, M.; Solovyov, M.; Gömöry, F.; Napolitano, A.; Gerbaldo, R.; Ghigo, G.; Laviano, F.; Torsello, D.; Grigoroscuta, M.A.; et al. Screening of magnetic fields by superconducting and hybrid shields with a circular cross-section. *Supercond. Sci. Technol.* 2022, 35, 044002. [CrossRef]
- 55. Ainslie, M.D.; Yamamoto, A. Thickness dependence of trapped magnetic fields in machined bulk MgB₂ superconductors. *IEEE Trans. Appl. Supercond.* **2022**, *32*, 6800504. [CrossRef]
- 56. Chen, D.X.; Goldfarb, R.B. Kim model for magnetization of type-II superconductors. J. Appl. Phys. 1989, 66, 2489–2500. [CrossRef]
- Gozzelino, L.; Gerbaldo, R.; Ghigo, G.; Laviano, F.; Torsello, D.; Bonino, V.; Truccato, M.; Batalu, D.; Grigoroscuta, M.A.; Burdusel, M.; et al. Passive magnetic shielding by machinable MgB₂ bulks: Measurements and numerical simulations. *Supercond. Sci. Technol.* 2019, 32, 034004. [CrossRef]
- 58. Fujishiro, H.; Naito, T.; Yoshida, T. Numerical simulation of the trapped field in MgB₂ bulk disks magnetized by field cooling. *Supercond. Sci. Technol.* **2014**, 27, 065019. [CrossRef]
- Gömöry, F.; Solovyov, M.; Šouc, J.; Navau, C.; Prat-Camps, J.; Sanchez, A. Experimental Realization of a Magnetic Cloak. *Science* 2012, 335, 1466–1468. [CrossRef]

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.