

**Supplementary Information for**

**Improving the simulation accuracy of net ecosystem productivity of subtropical forests in  
China: Sensitivity analysis and parameter calibration based on the BIOME-BGC model**

Jiaqian Sun<sup>a,b,c</sup>, Fangjie Mao<sup>a,b,c</sup>, Huaqiang Du<sup>a,b,c</sup>, Xuejian Li<sup>a,b,c</sup>, Cenheng Xu<sup>a,b,c</sup>, Zhaodong Zheng<sup>a,b,c</sup>,  
Xianfeng Teng<sup>a,b,c</sup>, Fengfeng Ye<sup>a,b,c</sup>, Ningxin Yang<sup>a,b,c</sup> and Zihao Huang<sup>a,b,c</sup>

Corresponding author: Fangjie Mao ([maofj@zafu.edu.cn](mailto:maofj@zafu.edu.cn))

**This file includes:**

Supplementary Table S1-S2, and References

**Table S1** Photosynthesis-related parameters involved in sensitive analyses of the BIOME-BGC model.

Symbol	EBF	ENF	BAMBOO
Photosynthesis biophysics parameters			
FLNR	0.06 Biome-BGC V4.2	0.075 Iteration	0.06 Iteration
K <sub>o25</sub>	248.0 [1]	248.0 [1]	248.0 [1]
R <sub>act25</sub>	3.6 [1]	3.6 [1]	3.6 [1]
K <sub>c25</sub>	404.0 [1]	404.0 [1]	404.0 [1]
Q <sub>10kc</sub>	2.1 [1]	2.1 [1]	2.1 [1]
Q <sub>10ko</sub>	1.2 [1]	1.2 [1]	1.2 [1]
Q <sub>10Ract</sub>	2.4 [1]	2.4 [1]	2.4 [1]
Allocation of carbon parameters			
FRC:LC	1 Biome-BGC V4.2	1 [2]	1 [2]
LWC:TWC	0.1 Biome-BGC V4.2	0.1 [2]	0.1 [2]
SC:LC	2.2 Biome-BGC V4.2	2.2 Biome-BGC V4.2	1.0 [2]
CRS:SC	0.23 Biome-BGC V4.2	0.3 [2]	0.3 [2]
CGP	0.5 Biome-BGC V4.2	0.5 Biome-BGC V4.2	0.5 Biome-BGC V4.2
Canopy structure biophysics parameters			
W <sub>int</sub>	0.045 Biome-BGC V4.2	0.033 [3]	0.033 [3]
SLA <sub>shd:sun</sub>	2.0 Biome-BGC V4.2	2.0 [2]	2.0[2]
k	0.5 Biome-BGC V4.2	0.58 [4]	0.9 Measured
SLA	15 [2]	15 [2]	15 Measured
LAI <sub>all:proj</sub>	2.6 [2]	2.6 [2]	2.6 [2]
Stomatal conductance biophysics parameters			
G <sub>smax</sub>	0.003 Biome-BGC V4.2	0.0065 [5]	0.003 [2]
G <sub>bl</sub>	0.08 [2]	0.08 [2]	0.08 [2]
LWP <sub>f</sub>	-2.3 [2]	-2.3[2]	-2.3 [2]
LWP <sub>i</sub>	-0.6 [2]	-0.6 [2]	-0.6 [2]
VPD <sub>f</sub>	4100 [2]	4100 [2]	4100 [2]
VPD <sub>i</sub>	930 [2]	930 [2]	930 [2]
G <sub>cut</sub>	0.00001 [2]	0.00001[2]	0.00001[2]

**Table S2** Respiratory-related and other physio-ecological parameters involved in sensitivity analysis of BIOME-BGC model.

Symbol	EBF	ENF	BAMBOO
Heterotrophic respiration biophysics parameters			
C:N <sub>litter</sub>	93.0 [2]	93.0[2]	93.0 [2]
LWT	0.7 Biome-BGC V4.2	0.7 [6]	0.7 [2]
LFG	0.2 Biome-BGC V4.2	0.3 Biome-BGC V4.2	0.3 Biome-BGC V4.2
Maintenance respiration biophysics parameters			
MR <sub>perm</sub>	0.218 Biome-BGC V4.2	0.218 Biome-BGC V4.2	0.218 Biome-BGC V4.2
C:N <sub>leaf</sub>	42.0 Biome-BGC V4.2	42.0 [2]	41.73 [7]
C:N <sub>fr</sub>	42.0 Biome-BGC V4.2	42.0 [2]	42.0 [2]
C:N <sub>dw</sub>	729.0 [2]	729.0 [2]	729.0 [2]
C:N <sub>lw</sub>	50.0 [2]	50.0 [2]	50.0 [2]
Vegetation chemical parameters			
T <sub>t</sub>	0.2 Biome-BGC V4.2	0.3 Biome-BGC V4.2	0.3 Biome-BGC V4.2
L <sub>lab</sub>	0.32 [8]	0.49 [8]	0.32 [9]
L <sub>lig</sub>	0.3 Biome-BGC V4.2	0.3 Biome-BGC V4.2	0.24 [9]
FR <sub>lab</sub>	0.3 Biome-BGC V4.2	0.34 Biome-BGC V4.2	0.3 [9]
FR <sub>lig</sub>	0.25 Biome-BGC V4.2	0.22 [10]	0.25 [9]
DW <sub>lig</sub>	0.24 Biome-BGC V4.2	0.24 Biome-BGC V4.2	0.24 [9]
Management measure parameters			
P <sub>dsw</sub>	-	-	0.1 Measured
P <sub>obtr_total</sub>	-	-	7 Measured
SCR <sub>ages</sub>	-	-	0 Measured
Fer	-	-	0.023 [11]
P <sub>dss</sub>	-	-	0.5 Measured

## References

1. Woodrow, I. E.; Berry, J. A. Enzymatic Regulation of Photosynthetic CO<sub>2</sub> Fixation in C3 Plants. *Annu. Rev. Plant Physiol. Plant Molec. Biol.* 1988, 39, 533-94.
2. White, M. A.; Thornton, P. E.; Running, S. W.; Nemani, R. R. Parameterization and Sensitivity Analysis of the BIOME-BGC Terrestrial Ecosystem Model: Net Primary Production Controls. *Earth Interact.* 2000, 4, 1-85.
3. Wu, Y.; Wang, X.; Ouyang, S.; Xu, K.; Hawkins, B. A.; Sun, O. J. A test of BIOME-BGC with dendrochronology for forests along the altitudinal gradient of Mt. Changbai in northeast China. *J. Plant Ecol.* 2016, 123, 439-52.
4. Zhou, C.-H.; Hao, Z.-Q.; He, H.-S.; Zhou, D.-H. Sensitivity of parameters in net primary productivity model of broadleaf-Korean pine mixed forest. *Chin. J. Appl. Ecol.* 2008, 19, 929-35.
5. Gao, Q.; Peng, S.; Zhao, P.; Zeng, X.; Cai, X.; Yu, M.; Shen, W.; Liu, Y. Explanation of vegetation succession in subtropical southern China based on ecophysiological characteristics of plant species. *Tree Physiol.* 2003, 23, 641-8.
6. Lu, W.; Fan, W. Y.; Tian, T. Parameter optimization of BEPS model based on the flux data of the temperate deciduous broad-leaved forest in Northeast China. *Chin. J. Appl. Ecol.* 2016, 27, 1353-8.
7. Liu, G.; Fan, S.; Su, W.; Xiao, F.; Huang, Y. Distribution Characteristics and Coupling Relationship of Organic Carbon and Total Nitrogen in Phyllostachys pubescens Forests with Different Operations and Management Modes. *J. Soil Water Conserv.* 2010, 24, 218-22.
8. Zhang, J.; Zhang, D.; Jian, Z.; Zhou, H.; Zhao, Y.; Wei, D. Litter decomposition and the degradation of recalcitrant components in Pinus massoniana plantations with various canopy densities. *J. For. Res.* 2019, 30, 1395-405.
9. Liu, Z.; Fei, B. Characteristics of Moso Bamboo with Chemical Pretreatment. IntechOpen: London, United Kingdom, 2013.
10. Holling, C. S.; Schindler, D. W.; Walker, B. H.; Roughgarden, J. Biodiversity in the functioning of ecosystems: an ecological synthesis; Cambridge University Press: Cambridge, England, Kingdom of England, 1995.
11. Jin, A. High profit management and participatory development of phyllostachys pubescens plantation in Zhejiang and Fujian mountainous areas. Ph.D. Dissertation, Nanjing Forestry University, Nanjing, 2004.