## Solution NMR Determination of the CDHR3 Rhinovirus-C Binding Domain, EC1

Woonghee Lee<sup>1</sup>, Ronnie O. Frederick<sup>2</sup>, Marco Tonelli<sup>3</sup>,

and Ann C. Palmenberg <sup>4\*</sup>

## **Supplemental Material**

**Table S1**. Experimental details for 3D spectra collected for backbone, side-chains assignments and structure calculation.<sup>a</sup>

Experiment	# Scans	Spectral Window (ppm) <sup>1</sup> H× <sup>13</sup> C( <sup>1</sup> H <sup>#</sup> ) × <sup>15</sup> N( <sup>13</sup> C <sup>&amp;</sup> )	Complex Points <sup>1</sup> H× <sup>13</sup> C( <sup>1</sup> H <sup>#</sup> ) × <sup>15</sup> N( <sup>13</sup> C <sup>&amp;</sup> )	Offset (ppm) <sup>1</sup> H× <sup>13</sup> C( <sup>1</sup> H <sup>#</sup> ) × <sup>15</sup> N( <sup>13</sup> C <sup>&amp;</sup> )	NUS sampling rate (%)
HNCACB	128	16×66.3×27	1024×64×32	4.82, 45.3, 119.1	32
CBCA(CO)NH	128	16×66.3×27	1024×60×30	4.82, 45.3, 119.1	32
HNCA	48	16×26.5×27	1024×64×39	4.82, 54.0, 119.1	36
HN(CO)CA	48	16×26.5×27	1024×64×39	4.82, 54.0, 119.1	36
HN(CA)CO	128	20×13.9×28	1024×40×32	4.82, 175.6, 119.3	49
HNCO	32	20×13.9×28	1024×40×32	4.82, 175.6, 119.3	49
HBHA(CO)NH	128	20×6.7 <sup>#</sup> ×28	1024×40#×32	4.82, 4.82 <sup>#</sup> , 119.3	49
H(C)CH-TOCSY	32	20×8#×69.6&	1024×64 <sup>#</sup> ×64 <sup>&amp;</sup>	4.82, 4.82#, 41.6&	36
(H)CCH-TOCSY*	32	16.3×72.1×72.1 <sup>&amp;</sup>	1024×64×64 <sup>&amp;</sup>	4.82, 42.2, 42.2 <sup>&amp;</sup>	36
H(C)CH-COSY*	64	16.3×7.5 <sup>#</sup> ×72.1 <sup>&amp;</sup>	1024×48 <sup>#</sup> ×48 <sup>&amp;</sup>	4.82, 4.82#, 42.2&	36
NOESY 15N-HSQC	32	20×13.3 <sup>#</sup> ×28	1024×72 <sup>#</sup> ×38	4.82, 4.82#, 119.3	49
NOESY <sup>13</sup> C-HSQC	32	20×12.1 <sup>#</sup> ×58.3 <sup>&amp;</sup>	1024×64 <sup>#</sup> ×64 <sup>&amp;</sup>	4.82, 4.82 <sup>#</sup> , 41.6 <sup>&amp;</sup>	49

<sup>a</sup>All spectra were collected with a cryogenic probe at 600 or 800\* MHz (<sup>1</sup>H) and at 293 K.

**Table S2.** NMR structure statistics. Structural quality was evaluated by PSVS 1.5 for the selected residues <sup>+</sup> by STRIDE.

Parameter	Value
NOE derived distance constraints	
Short range $( i-j  \le 1)$	1085
Medium range $(1 <  i-j  < 5)$	133
Long range $( i-j  \ge 5)$	800
Total	2018
Hydrogen bond constraints	25
Dihedral angle constraints	
$\Phi$	101
$\Psi$	110
Total	211
Average root mean square deviations against lowest energy model coordinates (Å) <sup>+</sup>	
Backbone atoms (N, C $\alpha$ , C, O)	0.68±0.16
All heavy atoms	1.36±0.22
PROCHECK Z scores ( $\varphi$ and $\psi$ /all)	-0.90/-1.24
Ramachandran plot summary from MolProbity <sup>+</sup>	
Most favored	99.2
Allowed	0.8
Disallowed	0
MolProbity Clashscore (raw score / Z-score)	16.05 / -1.23
Root mean square deviations from ideal geometry	
For bond lengths (Å)	0.015
For angles (°)	1.3
XPLOR-NIH pseudopotential <i>E</i> (kJ/mol)	4743.01±32.65
Consistent violations (>30%)	
Distance constraints (>0.5 Å)	0
Dihedral angle constraints (>5°)	0
van der Waals constraints (>0.2 Å)	0

Selected residues by STRIDE: 22, 23, 30, 31, 39-46, 62-65, 72-78, 81-86, 94-96, 100-108, 114-123.



**Figure S1:** Conformational variability. The 7 residues showing doublets or elongated signals cluster in loop and @-regions near the Ca<sup>++</sup> end of EC1, and particularly near cisPro67.