

Comment

# MDPI

## Vaquita Face Extinction from Bycatch. Comment on Manjarrez-Bringas, N. et al., Lessons for Sustainable Development: Marine Mammal Conservation Policies and Its Social and Economic Effects. *Sustainability* 2018, 10, 2185

Karl W. Flessa <sup>1,\*</sup>, Luis Calderon-Aguilera <sup>2</sup>, Carlos E. Cintra-Buenrostro <sup>3</sup>, David L. Dettman <sup>1</sup>, Gregory P. Dietl <sup>4,5</sup>, David H. Goodwin <sup>6</sup>, David K. Jacobs <sup>7</sup>, Michal Kowalewski <sup>8</sup>, Steven M. Nelson <sup>9</sup>, Kirsten Rowell <sup>10</sup>, Bernd R. Schöne <sup>11</sup>, Jansen A. Smith <sup>4</sup> and Francisco Zamora-Arroyo <sup>12</sup>

- <sup>1</sup> Department of Geosciences, University of Arizona, Tucson, AZ 85721, USA; dettman@email.arizona.edu
- <sup>2</sup> Departamento de Ecología Marina, Centro de Investigación Científica y de Educación Superior de Ensenada, Ensenada, Baja California 22860, Mexico; leca@cicese.mx
- <sup>3</sup> School of Earth, Environmental, and Marine Sciences, University of Texas Rio Grande Valley, Brownsville, TX 78520, USA; carlos.cintra@utrgv.edu
- <sup>4</sup> Paleontological Research Institution, Ithaca, New York, NY 14850, USA; gpd3@cornell.edu (G.P.D.); jas933@cornell.edu (J.A.S.)
- <sup>5</sup> Department of Earth and Atmospheric Sciences, Cornell University, Ithaca, New York, NY 14853, USA
- <sup>6</sup> Department of Geosciences, Denison University, Granville, OH 43023, USA; goodwind@denison.edu
- <sup>7</sup> Department of Ecology and Evolutionary Biology, University of California Los Angeles, Los Angeles, CA 90095, USA; djacobs@g.ucla.edu
- <sup>8</sup> Florida Museum of Natural History, University of Florida, Gainesville, FL 32611, USA; kowalewski@ufl.edu
- <sup>9</sup> 6101 NW 102nd Ave Apt 5, Vancouver, WA 98662, USA; snelson@worldaccessnet.com
- <sup>10</sup> Environmental Studies, University of Colorado, Boulder, CO 80309, USA; kirsten.rowell@colorado.edu
- <sup>11</sup> Institute für Geowissenschaften, Johannes Gutenberg-Universität Mainz, D-55128 Mainz, Germany; schoeneb@uni-mainz.de
- <sup>12</sup> Sonoran Institute, 100 N. Stone Ave., Suite 400, Tucson, AZ 85701, USA; fzamora@sonoraninstitute.com
- \* Correspondence: kflessa@email.arizona.edu

Received: 20 March 2019; Accepted: 4 April 2019; Published: 11 April 2019



**Abstract:** We are among the scientists who have documented the environmental and ecological changes to the Upper Gulf of California following the reduction in the Colorado River's flow. We object to any suggestion that our research supports Manjarrez-Bringas et al.'s conclusion that the decline in the Colorado River's flow is the reason for the decline in the population of the endangered vaquita porpoise (*Phocoena sinus*). Manjarrez-Bringas et al.'s conclusions are incongruent with their own data, their logic is untenable, their analyses fail to consider current illegal fishing practices, and their recommendations are unjustified and misdirected. Vaquita face extinction because of bycatch, not because of the lack of river flow.

Keywords: Gulf of California; marine mammal; vaquita; Phocoena sinus; bycatch; fisheries policy

### 1. Introduction

Manjarrez-Bringas et al. [1] performed a valuable service in characterizing the Mexican fishing community of El Golfo de Santa Clara's (GSC) demographics, economic activities, and attitudes and perceptions regarding conservation efforts. Indeed, they are correct to identify GSC as caught between

the externally forced policies designed to reverse the decline in the population of the vaquita (*Phocoena sinus*) and the needs of its residents for a viable and sustainable economy. No easy solutions exist.

However, Manjarrez-Bringas et al. [1] (p. 11) assert—but do not cite—"Countless scientific studies have demonstrated the ecological damage that Mexico has faced due to the damming of freshwater." They conclude that the lack of Colorado River flow is the principal cause of the vaquita's decline. The 13 authors of this comment are among the scientists who have documented the environmental and ecological changes to the Upper Gulf of California (UGC) following the reduction in the river's flow. We object to any suggestion that our research supports Manjarrez-Bringas et al.'s [1] conclusion linking the decline in the Colorado River's flow to the dramatic decline in the population of vaquita. Given what is known about the biology of vaquita [2–7] and the documented environmental changes resulting from the lack of river flow [8–23], we conclude that vaquita face extinction because of bycatch, not the lack of river flow.

We are convinced by the research of the past 20 years, e.g., [2–7] that vaquita face extinction because they drown in gillnets. Manjarrez-Bringas et al. [1] fail to discuss the extensive evidence for the effects and extent of bycatch, their own data on the effects of fishing restrictions on GSC fishers are not adequate, their logic is faulty, and they present no direct evidence to support a causal link between Colorado River flow and the size of the vaquita population.

#### 2. Fishing Restrictions and Productivity

Manjarrez-Bringas et al.'s [1] conclusions regarding the lack of desired effects of the increasingly restrictive fishing practices on the population of the vaquita are not supported by their own data. They note three increasingly restrictive limitations on fishing in the UGC: (1) The formation of a Biosphere Reserve in 1993; (2) The creation of a vaquita refuge in 2005; and (3) The buyout of fishing permits that began in 2007. The authors imply that because these restrictions did not reverse the decline in vaquita numbers, the species' decline must be the result of the reduction in the Colorado River's flow to the Gulf of California.

Fishing productivity in GSC does not appear to be affected by these fishing policies. Manjarrez-Bringas et al. [1] document that fishing production increased from 750 tons in 1987 to more than 4000 tons in 2002 and that production totaled 21,823 tons in 2007. Either the imposition of geographic limits on fishing were not enforced or they had no effect on production. Indeed, accounting for the increase in GSC's population from 1987 (as interpolated from their Table 1) to 2002, and from 2002 to 2007, production per capita increased from 0.57 to 6.47 tons per person. However, the production figures they use are inconsistent. Their Table 5 lists a total nine-month production of the top four species for 2007 of 2,182,300 tons—one hundred times greater than the figure reported in the text regardless of this error, it appears that fishing productivity in GSC increased greatly from the formation of the Biosphere Reserve to the advent of the PACE (Programas de Acción para la Conservación de Especies)-vaquita buyout program. No hardship to the community is evident in these numbers.

Johnson et al.'s [24] (p. 1) analysis of fishing effort in the Gulf of California indicated "... the current number of small-scale fishing boats in the Gulf is approximately double what is required to land theoretical maximum fish biomass." and that the communities of San Felipe and GSC are characterized by anomalously high fishing efforts, given their populations. Any real decrease in the fishery production at GSC was not evident in Manjarrez-Bringas et al.'s [1] published numbers and may be a consequence of over-fishing rather than any effective restrictions on fishing.

Manjarrez-Bringas et al. [1] fail to discuss the two-year ban (starting in 2015) on gillnet fishing in the gillnet exclusion zone [25] and the ban's indefinite extension in 2017 [26]. Even if enforcement was total, it would not be reasonable to expect the vaquita population to show a dramatic increase in such a limited time. As Taylor et al. [5] (p. 591) point out "If the vaquita population could grow at its maximum intrinsic rate, it would not reach 2008 levels (>250 vaquita) until 2050." Recovery will be slow and protracted. Manjarrez-Bringas et al. [1] report that a total of 235 vessels (assuming that a permit applied to only a single vessel) were withdrawn from fishing activity through the PACE-vaquita buyout programs. They do not report, however, how many vessels retained their permits or how many un-permitted vessels continued to fish; nor do they cite any figures on changes in the number or duration of trips. An increase in the average number or duration of trips could result in an unchanged—or even increased—catch. The perceptions of the fishers notwithstanding, Manjarrez-Bringas et al. [1] provide no data to support the idea that the buyout program decreased fishing activity.

#### 3. Illegal Fishing and Vaquita Bycatch

Manjarrez-Bringas et al. [1] do not mention the increase in the illegal gillnet fishing of totoaba (*Totoaba macdonaldi*) in the UGC. The gillnets trap and drown vaquita. Dried totoaba swim bladders are prized in the Chinese market and, according to media reports [27,28], fetch prices that are, gram-for-gram, similar to those of cocaine. Prices for totoaba swim bladders are a powerful economic incentive for illegal gillnet fishing in the UGC. Illegal gillnet fishing in the UGC is a major cause of vaquita mortality [4,29,30]. Tragically, both totoaba and vaquita are endangered species.

All the available evidence suggests that both legal and illegal fishing activity have increased, despite the increasing restrictions. An increase in fishing activity since 1987 likely increased the inadvertent capture and mortality of vaquita.

The failure of fishing policies to reverse the decline of vaquita numbers is not evidence that the policies are misdirected. Well-designed policies have no effect if local communities are not willing to adopt them or the enforcement is ineffective [31].

#### 4. Effects of Decreasing River Flow

Manjarrez-Bringas et al. [1] blame the decline of vaquita numbers on the lack of freshwater flow from the Colorado River. Indeed, since the completion of Glen Canyon Dam in 1963, little river water has reached the UGC, except during high-flow periods in the 1980s and 1990s. However, correlation is not evidence of causation and Manjarrez-Bringas et al. [1] provide no evidence linking the decline in river flow to the decline in vaquita.

The UGC has been affected by the lack of Colorado River flow. Studies based on biogeography, genetics, stable isotopes, fisheries biology, sclerochronology, and analyses of the shelly faunas show that the Colorado River was a significant influence on the UGC. These studies document the river's effects on salinity [8–10], ecosystem services [11], benthic productivity and relative abundance [12–14], growth rates in mollusks [15] and fish [16], distribution of species [17–20], and trophic relationships [21,22].

We note again that our research does not support Manjarrez-Bringas et al.'s [1] conclusion linking the decline in the Colorado River's flow to the decline in the vaquita population. There is no evidence to indicate that restoring the flow of the river to the UGC would restore the vaquita population. There is ample evidence [2–7] to identify bycatch as the imminent threat to the vaquita's survival.

Manjarrez-Bringas et al. [1] (p. 12) claim that vaquita has "always been an estuary species…", but do not provide any evidence for this statement. Manjarrez-Bringas et al. [1] (p. 12) also state that "Between 20 to 25 PSU (Practical Salinity Unit) are suitable for life adapted to estuary environments."

First, we note that estuaries are typically defined as "... bodies of water usually found where rivers meet the sea." [32]—no precise range of salinities defines an estuary. Estuaries are highly variable environments—salinity varies from season to season and from year to year.

Second, Manjarrez-Bringas et al. [1] do not offer any evidence for their supposed range of vaquita-preferred salinity values for when the Colorado River still flowed to the UGC. The lowest salinity observed during a 1993 release of approximately 700 m<sup>3</sup>/s of river water was 32.0 PSU southwest of Isla Montague, close to the river's mouth [33]. Modeling, based on estimated pre-dam flows of 2000 m<sup>3</sup>/s [34], yielded values lower than Manjarrez-Bringas et al.'s [1] arbitrary upper limit of 25 PSU only up to 30 km from the river's mouth. Proxy estimates of salinity in the era before upstream dams [10] document salinities lower than 25 PSU only in the vicinity of Isla Montague, at the

river's mouth. The estimated zones of significantly reduced salinity under pre-dam conditions do not overlap the area of highest observed sightings of vaquitas—the refuge zone, see Figure 1 in [1].

#### 5. Hypothesis Testing

There is no inconsistency in maintaining that the vaquita is suffering from bycatch and that the Upper Gulf's environment has been affected by the decline in the flow of the river [35]. Nature does not present itself as a carefully controlled experiment where only one variable is changing.

Nor is it scientifically valid to treat the alleged failure of one hypothesis (bycatch) as evidence in favor of an alternative hypothesis (reduced river flow) for the decline of the vaquita. Scientific hypotheses must stand or fall on the evidence accrued to test their own individual merits. Manjarrez-Bringas et al.'s [1] own evidence does not disprove the bycatch hypothesis, nor do they provide any evidence in favor of the reduced river flow hypothesis. By any measure, they fail to support their own conclusions and recommendations.

#### 6. Misdirected Recommendations

Their recommendations, even if implemented, are not likely to result in the recovery of vaquita. Indeed, one of their recommendations—to "capture [vaquita] and place in exceptional shelter facilities of at least 10 specimens of this species ... " is misleading. Manjarrez-Bringas et al. [1] submitted their manuscript more than six months (19 May 2018) after the vaquita capture effort was halted on 3 November 2017. Capture efforts were called off because of the death of a female vaquita and the release of a juvenile stressed by its capture [36]. This species of porpoise does not tolerate captivity. Deliberately suggesting a captivity program after the failure of an extensive and well-supported one is irresponsible.

#### 7. Act Now

The hypothesis that is best supported by the data continues to be that the decline in the vaquita population is caused by their drowning in gillnets [2–7]. An enforced ban on gillnet fishing is essential to vaquita's survival. Alternative fishing gear and alternative economic opportunities are essential to the communities of the UGC.

Action to prevent vaquita extinction needs to happen quickly and must rely on the best scientific evidence. Bycatch is the problem. To direct efforts toward the unrealistic goal of captivity and the unsubstantiated cause of decreased river flow is irresponsible. Manjarrez-Bringas et al. [1] are "merchants of doubt" [37], creating the appearance of uncertainty where none exists. Uncertainty causes delay; delay will cause extinction.

**Author Contributions:** K.W.F. wrote the first draft of the manuscript. All the authors reviewed the draft and made comments and suggestions for revision. K.W.F. wrote the final manuscript. All the authors approved the final manuscript.

Funding: This research received no external funding.

Acknowledgments: We thank Brooke Bessesen and Martha Gomez-Sapiens for discussions.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

#### References

- Manjarrez-Bringas, N.; Aragón-Noriega, E.A.; Beltrán-Morales, L.F.; Cordoba-Matson, M.V.; Ortega-Rubio, A. Lessons for sustainable development: Marine mammal conservation policies and its social and economic effects. *Sustainability* 2018, 10, 2185. [CrossRef]
- Rojas-Bracho, L.; Taylor, B.L. Risk factors affecting the vaquita (*Phocoena sinus*). Mar. Mamm. Sci. 1999, 5, 974–989. [CrossRef]
- 3. D'Agrosa, C.; Lennart-Cody, C.E.; Vidal, O. Vaquita bycatch in Mexico's artisanal gillnet fisheries: Driving a small population to extinction. *Conserv. Biol.* **2000**, *14*, 1110–1119. [CrossRef]

- Rojas-Bracho, L.; Gulland, F.M.D.; Smith, C.R.; Taylor, B.; Wells, R.S.; Thomas, P.O.; Bauer, B.; Heide-Jørgensen, M.P.; Teilmann, J.; Dietz, R.; et al. A field effort to capture critically endangered vaquitas *Phocoena sinus* for protection from entanglement in illegal gillnets. *Endanger. Spec. Res.* 2019, *38*, 11–27. [CrossRef]
- Taylor, B.L.; Rojas-Bracho, L.; Moore, J.; Jaramillo-Legorreta, A.; Ver Hoef, M.; Cardenas-Hinojosa, G.; Nieto-Garcia, E.; Barlow, J.; Gerrodette, T.; Tregenza, N.; et al. Extinction is imminent for Mexico's endemic porpoise unless fishery bycatch is eliminated. *Conserv. Lett.* 2017, *10*, 588–595. [CrossRef]
- 6. Jaramillo-Legorreta, A.; Rojas-Bracho, L.; Brownell, R.L., Jr.; Read, A.J.; Reeves, R.R.; Ralls, K.; Taylor, B.L. Saving the vaquita: Immediate action, not more data. *Conserv. Biol.* **2007**, *21*, 1653–1655. [CrossRef]
- Rojas-Bracho, L.; Reeves, R.R.; Jaramillo-Legorreta, A. Conservation of the vaquita *Phocoena sinus*. *Mamm. Rev.* 2006, 36, 179–216. [CrossRef]
- 8. Dettman, D.L.; Flessa, K.W.; Roopnarine, P.D.; Schöne, B.R.; Goodwin, D.H. The use of oxygen isotope variation in shells of estuarine mollusks as a quantitative record of seasonal and annual Colorado River discharge. *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta* **2004**, *68*, 1253–1263. [CrossRef]
- 9. Rodriguez, C.; Flessa, K.W.; Téllez-Duarte, M.A.; Dettman, D.L.; Avila-Serrano, G.A. Macrofaunal and isotopic estimates of the former extent of the Colorado River estuary, Upper Gulf of California, México. *J. Arid Environ.* **2001**, *49*, 183–193. [CrossRef]
- Cintra-Buenrostro, C.E.; Flessa, K.W.; Dettman, D.L. Restoration flows for the Colorado River estuary, México: Estimates from oxygen isotopes in the bivalve mollusk *Mulinia coloradoensis* (Mactridae: Bivalvia). *Wetl. Ecol. Manag.* 2012, 20, 313–327. [CrossRef]
- Calderon-Aguilera, L.E.; Flessa, K.W. Just add water? Transboundary Colorado River flow and ecosystem services in the upper Gulf of California. In *Conservation of Shared Environments: Learning from the United States and Mexico*; López-Hoffman, L., McGovern, E.D., Varady, R.G., Flessa, K.W., Eds.; University of Arizona Press: Tucson, AZ, USA, 2009; pp. 154–169.
- 12. Kowalewski, M.; Avila Serrano, G.E.; Flessa, K.W.; Goodfriend, G.A. Dead delta's former productivity: Two trillion shells at the mouth of the Colorado River. *Geology* **2000**, *28*, 1059–1062. [CrossRef]
- 13. Rodriguez, C.; Flessa, K.W.; Dettman, D.L. Effects of upstream diversion of Colorado River water on the estuarine bivalve mollusc *Mulinia coloradoensis*. *Conserv. Biol.* **2001**, *15*, 249–258. [CrossRef]
- 14. Dietl, G.P.; Smith, J.A. Live-dead analysis reveals long-term response of the estuarine bivalve community to water diversions along the Colorado River. *Ecol. Eng.* **2017**, *106*, 749–756. [CrossRef]
- 15. Schöne, B.R.; Flessa, K.W.; Dettman, D.L.; Goodwin, D.H. Upstream dams and downstream clams: Growth rates of bivalve mollusks unveil impact of river management on estuarine ecosystems (Colorado River Delta, Mexico). *Estuar. Coast. Shelf Sci.* 2003, *54*, 715–726. [CrossRef]
- 16. Rowell, K.; Flessa, K.W.; Dettman, D.L.; Román, M.J.; Gerber, L.R.; Findley, L.T. Diverting the Colorado River leads to a dramatic life history change in a marine fish. *Biol. Conserv.* **2008**, *141*, 1138–1148. [CrossRef]
- 17. Rowell, K.; Flessa, K.W.; Dettman, D.L.; Román, M.J. The importance of Colorado River flow to nursery habitats of the Gulf corvina (*Cynoscion othonopterus*). *Can. J. Fish. Aquat. Sci.* **2005**, *62*, 2874–2885. [CrossRef]
- 18. Smith, J.A.; Dietl, G.P. The value of geohistorical data in identifying a recent human-induced range expansion of a predatory gastropod in the Colorado River Delta, Mexico. *J. Biogeogr.* **2016**, *43*, 791–800. [CrossRef]
- 19. Lau, C.L.; Jacobs, D.K. Introgression between ecologically distinct species following increased salinity in the Colorado Delta-Worldwide implications for impacted estuary diversity. *Peer J.* **2017**, *5*, e4056. [CrossRef]
- 20. Smith, J.A.; Dietl, G.P. Molluscan metacommunity dynamics in the Colorado River estuary, Mexico before upstream water diversions. *Anthropocene* **2019**, *25*, 100194. [CrossRef]
- 21. Cintra-Buenrostro, C.E.; Flessa, K.W.; Avila-Serrano, G. Who cares about a vanishing clam? Trophic importance of *Mulinia coloradoensis* inferred from predatory damage. *Palaios* **2005**, *20*, 295–301.
- 22. Smith, J.A.; Handley, J.C.; Dietl, G.P. Effects of dams on downstream molluscan predator–prey interactions in the Colorado River estuary. *Proc. R. Soc.* **2018**, *285*, 20180724. [CrossRef]
- 23. Carriquiry, J.D.; Sänchez, A.; Camacho-Ibar, V.F. Sedimentation in the northern Gulf of California after cessation of the Colorado River discharge. *Sediment. Geol.* **2001**, *144*, 37–62. [CrossRef]
- 24. Johnson, A.F.; Moreno-Báez, M.; Giron-Nava, A.; Corominas, J.; Erisman, B.; Ezcurra, E.; Aburto-Oropeza, O. A spatial method to calculate small-scale fisheries effort in data poor scenarios. *PLoS ONE* **2017**, *12*, e0174064. [CrossRef]

- 25. Taylor, B. Vaquita Gillnet Ban Begins April 29, 2015. Available online: https://www.marinemammalscience. org/smm-news/vaquita-gillnet-ban-begins-april-29-2015/ (accessed on 15 March 2019).
- 26. La Porte, J. Mexico Bans Gill Nets to Save Endangered Porpoise. Available online: https://www.cnn.com/ 2017/07/02/americas/mexico-bans-gill-nets-vaquita-porpoise/index.html (accessed on 15 March 2019).
- 27. Joyce, C. Chinese Taste for Fish Bladder Threatens Rare Porpoise in Mexico. Available online: https://www.npr.org/sections/goatsandsoda/2016/02/09/466185043/chinese-taste-for-fish-bladder-threatens-tiny-porpoise-in-mexico (accessed on 15 March 2019).
- Pasha-Robinson, L. China's Demand for Rare \$50,000 "Aquatic Cocaine" Fish Bladder Pushing Species to Extinction. Available online: https://www.independent.co.uk/news/world/americas/china-totoabafish-bladder-trade-aquatic-cocaine-money-maw-endangered-species-report-a7317256.html (accessed on 15 March 2019).
- 29. Malkin, E. Scientists Catch Rare Glimpses of the Endangered Vaquita. Available online: https://www.nytimes.com/2018/10/17/science/vaquitas-endangered-porpoise.html (accessed on 15 March 2019).
- 30. VaquitaCPR. The Vaquita Porpoise Is on the Verge of Extinction: Help Us Save Them. Available online: https://www.vaquitacpr.org/ (accessed on 15 March 2019).
- 31. O'Keefe, C.E.O.; Cadrin, S.X.; Stokesbury, K.D.E. Evaluating effectiveness of time/area closures, quotas/caps, and fleet communications to reduce fisheries bycatch. *ICES J. Mar. Sci.* **2013**, *71*, 1286–1297. [CrossRef]
- 32. NOAA. What Is an Estuary? National Ocean Service. National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration. Available online: https://oceanservice.noaa.gov/facts/estuary.html (accessed on 15 March 2019).
- 33. Lávin, M.F.; Sánchez, S. On how the Colorado River affected the hydrography of the upper Gulf of California. *Cont. Shelf Res.* **1999**, *19*, 1545–1560. [CrossRef]
- 34. Carbajal, N.; Souza, A.; Durazo, R. A numerical study of the ex-ROFI of the Colorado River. *J. Mar. Syst.* **1997**, *12*, 17–33. [CrossRef]
- 35. Flessa, K.W.; Calderon, L.E.; Cintra-Buenrostro, C.E.; Dettman, D.L.; Dietl, G.P.; Goodwin, D.H.; Jacobs, D.K.; Kowalewski, M.; Nelson, S.M.; Rowell, K.; et al. Comment on Rojas-Bracho et al., 2019: Unsubstantiated claims can lead to tragic conservation outcomes. *Bioscience* **2019**. [CrossRef]
- 36. Pennisi, E. Update: After death of captured vaquita, conservationists call off rescue effort. *Science* **2017**. [CrossRef]
- 37. Oreskes, N.; Conway, E.M. Merchants of Doubt: How a Handful of Scientists Obscured the Truth on Issues from Tobacco Smoke to Global Warming; Bloomsbury Press: New York, NY, USA, 2010; 355p.



© 2019 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).