



Article

Relationship between Teachers' Awareness of Disaster Prevention and Concerns about Disaster Preparedness

Hiromi Kawasaki * , Satoko Yamasaki , Mina Kurokawa, Hiroshi Tamura and Kei Sonai

Division of Nursing Science, Graduate School of Biomedical and Health Sciences, Hiroshima University, Hiroshima 734-8551, Japan; morisato@hiroshima-u.ac.jp (S.Y.); m201613@hiroshima-u.ac.jp (M.K.); d182466@hiroshima-u.ac.jp (H.T.); ks11155@jrchcn.ac.jp (K.S.)

* Correspondence: khiromi@hiroshima-u.ac.jp; Tel.: +81-82-257-5395

Abstract: Disaster preparedness in schools is critical for the health and well-being of students and staff. To enhance disaster preparedness, awareness of disaster prevention should be raised among teachers. The aim of the current study was to assess disaster prevention awareness among teachers and to clarify the relationship between disaster prevention awareness and concern regarding disaster preparedness. Teachers from special needs schools, for which disaster preparedness is particularly important, were selected. Study questionnaires were sent to 1310 schools for children with special health care needs across 47 Japanese prefectures. Five-hundred-and-thirty-one complete responses were obtained. The disaster preparedness in the schools was insufficient. Teachers were concerned about child management, life saving, life maintenance, evacuation shelter management, and school management. There was a positive correlation between disaster prevention awareness and concern about disaster (r = 0.217, p-value = 0.000). The disaster awareness scale items "A sense of crisis about disaster" and "Anxiety" were positively correlated with concern about disaster, whereas "Imagining the disaster situation" was negatively correlated with concern about disaster. The current study analyzed the relationship between disaster prevention awareness and concern regarding disaster preparedness, which is relevant for the practice and indicates the importance of imagination strengthening.

Keywords: disaster preparedness; disaster awareness; schools for children with special health care needs

1. Introduction

Preparedness for natural disasters is the key to ensure an efficient and timely response of individuals and organizations to them and to minimize the damage they cause. The preparedness of schools for natural disasters is especially important to ensure the safety and well-being of students and staff [1,2]. Recommendations for schools' disaster preparation include ensuring that the learning facilities are safe, preparing for disasters, resilience training, and reducing the disaster risk [3]. The measures for risk reduction in schools should be comprehensive and systematic. In Japan, the disaster preparedness of schools has been prioritized by the National Authorities and is included in the legislative framework [4]. The vast majority of public schools in Japan have been designated as potential evacuation sites and shelters in case of a natural disaster, further increasing the complexity of the measures required to ensure schools' disaster preparedness [5]. Moreover, public schools have also been used as evacuation sites in other Asian countries [6,7]. Reportedly, the involvement in both children's education and shelter operations after a disaster is stressful for teachers [8]. Further, teachers have not been educated in how to operate shelters [9]. Such lack of training, coupled with the attendant stressors of their job, may lead to teachers' exhaustion and burnout [10]. The development of an educational program aiming to improve the gap and alleviate teachers' exhaustion has been considered [11]; however,



Citation: Kawasaki, H.; Yamasaki, S.; Kurokawa, M.; Tamura, H.; Sonai, K. Relationship between Teachers' Awareness of Disaster Prevention and Concerns about Disaster Preparedness. Sustainability 2022, 14, 8211. https://doi.org/10.3390/su14138211

Academic Editors: Michalis Diakakis and Lucian-Ionel Cioca

Received: 10 May 2022 Accepted: 4 July 2022 Published: 5 July 2022

Publisher's Note: MDPI stays neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.



Copyright: © 2022 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

Sustainability **2022**, 14, 8211 2 of 11

it was conducted only in the context of a trial and not during normal teaching activities. Teachers are very busy and often have an overwhelming workload [12]. Digitalization of teachers' education may facilitate the training process of busy teachers [13]. Notably, teachers' education on disasters has not been highly prioritized. In order for teachers to recognize the need for disaster training, the awareness of disaster prevention should be raised. Increasing individual awareness of a problem is essential for the implementation of countermeasures [14]. There is a possibility that disaster prevention awareness can be raised by clarifying specific associated activities and situations and engaging teachers in specific events.

Disasters and emergencies have a large impact on individuals with disabilities, especially children [15–17]. Moreover, ensuring the disaster preparedness of schools for children with special health care needs is particularly important, because in such schools there is a high percentage of people who need support and equipment in the event of a disaster. The creation of an efficient plan for disaster management, including children with special health care needs, would help to achieve better outcomes [18].

Disaster prevention awareness may be influenced by demographic and cultural factors as well as by personal experiences and attitudes [19,20]. Many teachers have not previously experienced a disaster [21]. Therefore, teachers need education to raise awareness of disaster prevention. However, the definition of disaster prevention awareness is ambiguous. Further, the education for disaster prevention awareness includes various measures, such as disaster education awareness and even disaster recovery awareness [22–24]. Education to raise disaster awareness is a valuable tool for risk reduction and disaster preparedness [25]. Due to the vagueness of the definition of disaster prevention awareness, the impact of education was not systematically assessed [26]. It is important to identify factors that may improve disaster prevention awareness to specifically promote it. Effective information delivery on disaster preparedness and response may have positive implications for the psychological well-being of individuals [27]. Concerns about disaster preparedness point to a gap between given knowledge (information provision) and actions that should be closed [25]. Education to detect this gap can raise awareness of disaster prevention. However, the relationship between disaster prevention awareness and concern regarding disaster readiness is unclear. The aim of the current study was to clarify the relationship between items of disaster prevention awareness and concern regarding disaster preparedness.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Study Subjects and Survey Distribution

All teachers should be prepared for disaster mitigation, but teachers in schools for children with special health care needs should be even more sensitive to preparedness than regular teachers, because, in these schools, there is a high percentage of people who need support and equipment in the event of a disaster. Therefore, teachers working in schools for children with special health care needs, mainly managers, were included in the current study and were targeted before general teachers. In Japan, 47 prefectures have listed public schools for children with special health care needs, excluding branch schools.

The study was conducted between 20 November 2020 and 18 December 2020. Overall, 1310 schools were contacted, and one mail survey set was sent per school. The number of collected responses was 604 (46.1%), whereas the number of valid responses was 531 (87.9% of the collected responses). The surveys, in which all questions were answered, were considered valid.

2.2. Ethical Approval/Informed Consent

The study was approved by the Ethical Committee for Epidemiology of Hiroshima University (approval number E-2224). Responding to the survey was considered an indication of informed consent.

Sustainability **2022**, 14, 8211 3 of 11

2.3. Disaster Prevention Awareness Scale and Concern Regarding Readiness

The disaster prevention awareness scale developed by Ozeki et al. was used [28–30]. This scale is specific in terms of its subscales. Further, the scale can be easily utilized to plan an interventional approach based on each item, and it contributes to future educational support plans and evaluations. For the disaster prevention awareness scale, scores for each of the disaster prevention awareness items A ("Imagining the disaster situation"), B ("A sense of crisis about disaster"), C ("Other-oriented"), D ("Interest in disasters"), and E ("Anxiety"), as well as the total score were calculated.

To assess their concern regarding readiness, participants were asked whether they are worried about certain aspects related to disaster situations and preparation (about their degree of concern regarding disaster response), and we used a previously published questionnaire [21]. The questions focused on the aspects "Child management", "Life saving", "Life maintenance", "Evacuation shelter management", and "School management". The replies were treated as categorical data. The four options were defined as follows: "1—Not worried" and "2—Not worried too much" were defined as "Not worried", whereas "3—A little worried" and "4—Very worried" were defined as "Worried".

Based on two studies, prevention and preparedness were defined as "actions to minimize damage" and "readiness to minimize damage", respectively.

2.4. Statistical Analysis

The responses of the teachers were categorized and presented under the following categories: demographic and social characteristics, questions related to the school's disaster prevention status, and questions related to the host community. The differences in the degree of concern about disaster were assessed with the Chi-squared goodness-of-fit test to determine whether the distribution of cases (level of worries about disaster) differed significantly between the groups. To determine the degree of concern, the choices were added as scores for each category. The average scores for disaster prevention awareness and degree of concern about disaster were calculated. Frequencies and percentages were generated for qualitative variables, while means and standard deviations (SD) were generated for quantitative variables. The Pearson correlation coefficient was generated to assess the relationship between disaster prevention awareness and degree of concern about disaster. All statistical analyses were performed with the Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS), version 20.

3. Results

3.1. Demographic and Socioeconomic Characteristics of the Participants

The demographic and social characteristics of the respondents are presented in Table 1. The average experience of the teachers was 29.12 years, and they had been working in various special support schools for an average of 21.02 years. Moreover, 71.2% of the respondents were already at the managerial level (principals and vice-principals). Most participants (78.7%) were male. Furthermore, 63.8% had never experienced natural disasters. The percentage of teachers in high, elementary, and junior high schools was 87.2%, 85.1%, and 84.2%, respectively. Among the participating teachers, 69.1% taught students with intellectual impairments. Regarding facilities available for disaster management in schools, 65.3%, 26.4%, and 49.7% of the teachers claimed that they had secured power, communication, and a toilet (a manhole or simple toilet), respectively. However, 46.5% did not have facilities, such as LP gas, that could be secured and used in the event of a disaster.

Sustainability **2022**, 14, 8211 4 of 11

Table 1. Demographic and social characteristics of the study participants.

Question	Response	Mean	SD
How many years have you been teaching?		29.12	8.356
How many years have you been working at a special support school?		21.02	11.092
		Frequency	Percent
	Principal	209	39.4
	Sub-principal Vice-principal	27 169	5.1 31.8
	Chief of Education	1	0.2
	Chief teacher, Chief in Disaster prevention	1	0.2
	Chief teacher, Other than those above	1	0.2
Job title	Chief teacher	33	6.2
•	Chief Health officer	3	0.6
	Chief in Disaster prevention/Teacher Chief in Disaster prevention/Other than those above	1 2	$0.2 \\ 0.4$
	Chief in Disaster prevention	52	9.8
	Teacher	25	4.7
	School health teacher	3	0.6
	Other than those above	4	0.8
G 1	Male	418	78.7
Gender	Female	113	21.3
	I have not	339	63.8
Have you ever been affected by a	Although there was a disaster, I have never evacuated	123	23.2
natural disaster?	I have temporarily evacuated to a shelter	27	5.1
naturar disaster:	I have lived at an evacuation center	6	1.1
	I have been involved in shelter management at my school	36	6.8
Status of infants and students	Kindergarten	92 *	17.3
enrolled in the school you are	Elementary school Junior high school	452 * 447 *	85.1 84.2
currently working at	High school	468 *	87.2
	Visual impairment	59 *	11.1
T (1) 1 11: (6 .)	Hearing impairment	72 *	13.6
Type of disability affecting	Intellectual disability	367 *	69.1
students enrolled in the school	Physical handicap	177 *	33.3
you are currently working at	Valetudinarian/physical weakness	84 *	15.8
	Other	2 *	0.4
	No	163	30.7
Is power secured in the event of a	We own private power generation equipment Priority can be used for power generation equipment due to	347	65.3
power outage?	agreements, etc.	6	1.1
	I do not know	15	2.8
	No	307	57.8
Is communication secured in the	We own equipment capable of mutual communication	140	26.4
event of a communication failure?	We own equipment that allows only unidirectional communication	34	6.4
	I do not know	50	9.4
	No	232	43.7
	We own a simple toilet	240	45.2
Is a toilet secured in the event	We own a manhole toilet	24	4.5
of a disaster?	We have a toilet that can use pool water and rainwater as washing water when the water is cut off	29	5.5
	I do not know	6	1.1
	No	247	46.5
Are facilities that can be used in	Priority can be used for LP gas equipment, etc.	78	14.7
the event of a disaster, such as LP	We have secure equipment that uses cassette stoves,	188	35.4
gas, secured?	firewood, pellets, etc. as fuel		
	I do not know	18	3.4
A power outage, water outage, or telephone internet communication failure has occurred. Can	No Yes	163 362	30.7 68.2
someone stay overnight (from after school until breakfast the			
	Unknown	6	1.1

^{*} N = 531.

3.2. Questions Related to the Schools' Disaster Prevention Status and Host Community

The responses to questions related to the schools' disaster prevention status and host community are presented in Table 2. The majority of respondents (68.9%) claimed the school they worked at is not designated as a welfare shelter. Moreover, 62.3% of the study

Sustainability **2022**, 14, 8211 5 of 11

participants did not think that their school is ready to accept residents in the event of a disaster. Among the respondents, 87.8% agreed that their school interacts with local residents. Further, 84.6% of the respondents had not carried out any training under the assumption that their school would be an evacuation center, and 75.0% claimed their community is not involved in their schools' management. Even though 54.9% of the study participants stated their schools do not have a stockpile warehouse, 89.5% claimed that their schools have plastic bottles as stockpiles for drinking water.

Table 2. Questions related to the schools' disaster prevention status and host community.

Question	Response	Frequency	Percent
Is the school you are currently working at	Yes	165	31.1
designated as a welfare shelter?	No	366	68.9
	Yes	197	37.1
Is your school ready to accept residents	No	331	62.3
in the event of a disaster?	Unknown	3	0.6
	Once a year	85	16.0
	Twice a year	112	21.1
Daga yayun ashaal intomast yyith lagal masidanta?	Three times a year	70	13.2
Does your school interact with local residents?	Four times a year	23	4.3
	Five times or more a year	176	33.1
	It does not	65	12.2
Do you carry out training assuming that you	Yes	82	15.4
will be an evacuation center?	No	449	84.6
Have your decided on the division of releasy ith least	Yes	173	32.6
Have you decided on the division of roles with local	No	343	64.6
government employees in the event of a disaster?	Unknown	15	2.8
	Yes	122	23.0
Do residents participate in school management?	No	398	75.0
	Unknown	11	2.1
lave you ever imagined an issue when your enrolled children	Yes	241	45.4
are mixed with temporary evacuees?	No	250	47.1
are mixed with temporary evacuees?	Unknown	40	7.5
	They understand fully	18	3.4
Do the made dente and england the children in years cabe all	They understand	283	53.3
Do the residents understand the children in your school?	They don't understand much	203	38.2
	They understand a little	27	5.1
Door the caheal have a stackwile wavel?	Yes	245	46.1
Does the school have a stockpile warehouse?	No	286	54.9
	None	48 *	9.0
Do you have a stocknile of drinking water?	We have plastic bottles	475 *	89.5
Do you have a stockpile of drinking water?	We have pool water purifiers	41 *	7.7
Please circle all that apply.	We have a well	8 *	1.5
	Drinking water is secured through agreements, etc.	18 *	3.4

^{*} N = 531.

3.3. Degree of Concern about Disaster

Table 3 shows the Chi-squared test results for the degree of concern about disaster. The *p*-values for all questions raised in this study regarding the degree of concern about disasters were <0.001. The Chi-squared values ranged from 77.606 to 407.203, indicating a significant difference between the groups of teachers worried about disaster and not worried about disaster. The values were clearly higher in the group worried about disasters (367–498 individuals) than in the group not worried about disasters (33–164 individuals).

Sustainability **2022**, 14, 8211 6 of 11

Table 3. Characteristics of the degree of concern about disaster.

Question	Response	Observed N	Expected N	Residual	Chi-Squared	<i>p</i> -Value
Are you worried about evacuation guidance for * children	Not worried	154	265.5	-111.5	93.652 a	0.000
in school in the event of a disaster?	Worried	377	265.5	111.5		
Are you worried about the safety of your children * at	Not worried	164	265.5	-101.5	77.606 ^a	0.000
school in the event of a disaster?	Worried	367	265.5	101.5		
Are you worried about the safety of your children * at home	Not worried	59	265.5	-206.5	321.222 a	0.000
in the event of a disaster?	Worried	472	265.5	206.5		
Are you worried about handing school children over to *	Not worried	134	265.5	-131.5	130.262 a	0.000
their parents in the event of a disaster?	Worried	397	265.5	131.5	100.202	0.000
Are you worried about the behavior of	Not worried	105	265.5	-160.5	194.051 a	0.000
residents during § an evacuation?	Worried	426	265.5	160.5	174.031	0.000
A 1 -1					152.0(()	0.000
Are you worried about keeping your school's § facilities safe as a shelter?	Not worried	123	265.5	-142.5	152.966 a	0.000
	Worried	408	265.5	142.5		2.222
Are you worried about accepting evacuees in your [§] school as a shelter?	Not worried	94	265.5	-191.5	221.561 a	0.000
evacuees in your scrioor as a sheller:	Worried	437	265.5	191.5		
Are you worried about getting	Not worried	104	265.5	-161.5	196.476 a	0.000
water and food in § your school as a shelter?	Worried	427	265.5	161.5		
Do you worry about shelter hygiene? ¶	Not worried	53	265.5	-212.5	340.160 a	0.000
,	Worried	478	265.5	212.5		
Are you worried about infectious	Not worried	33	265.5	-232.5	407.203 a	0.000
disease control [¶] at shelters?	Worried	498	265.5	232.5		
A	Not worried	93	265.5	-172.5	224.153 a	0.000
Are you worried about accepting pets in shelters? 1	Worried	438	265.5	172.5		
Are you worried about how to accept	Not worried	102	265.5	-163.5	201.373 a	0.000
and [¶] distribute relief supplies?	Worried	429	265.5	163.5		
A no year available about the establishment of an I	Not worried	106	265.5	-159.5	191.640 a	0.000
Are you worried about the establishment of an autonomous organization that operates evacuation centers?	Worried	425	265.5	159.5	171.010	0.000
		125	265.5	-140.5	148.702 a	0.000
Are you worried about accepting volunteers to ∥ help? –	Not worried				140.702 "	0.000
<u> </u>	Worried	406	265.5	140.5	201.252.3	0.000
Are you worried about the division of evacuation ‡ and educational activities and the development of rules?	Not worried	102	265.5	-163.5	201.373 a	0.000
*	Worried	429	265.5	163.5		
Are you worried about educating children during ‡ an evacuation?	Not worried	81	265.5	-184.5	256.424 a	0.000
children during i an evacuation:	Worried	450	265.5	184.5		
Are you worried about school events being ‡ evacuated?	Not worried	155	265.5	-110.5	91.979 ^a	0.000
,	Worried	376	265.5	110.5		
Are you worried about managing evacuees moving in and	Not worried	127	265.5	-138.5	144.499 a	0.000
out of evacuation centers?	Worried	404	265.5	138.5		
Are you worried about collecting information about ∥	Not worried	91	265.5	-174.5	229.380 a	0.000
shelters and supplies?	Worried	440	265.5	174.5		
	Not worried	81	265.5	-184.5	256.424 a	0.000
Are you worried about managing evacuees' pets ‡?	Worried	450	265.5	184.5		
Are you worried about trouble	Not worried	65	265.5	-200.5	302.827 a	0.000
between evacuees and solutions?	Worried	466	265.5	200.5		3.000
	Not worried	34	265.5	-231.5	403.708 a	0.000
Are you worried about your child's mental care? *					103.700	0.000
	Worried	497	265.5	231.5	224 044 2	0.005
Are you worried about managing your child's diet * and exercise (play)?	Not worried	54	265.5	-211.5	336.966 a	0.000
your crime o area and exercise (piny):	Worried	477	265.5	211.5		

^a 0 cells (0.0%) have expected frequencies less than 5. The minimum expected cell frequency is 301.0. The signs denote the degree of concern about the disaster category to which each question belongs. * Child management, \S Life saving, \P Life maintenance, \P Evacuation shelter management, and \P School management.

3.4. Questions Related to Disaster Prevention Awareness and Degree of Concern about Disaster

The average scores for disaster prevention awareness and degree of concern about disaster are presented in Table 4. The mean score for the imagination of a disaster situation was 14.01, whereas the scores for a sense of crisis, being other-oriented, interest in disasters,

Sustainability **2022**, 14, 8211 7 of 11

anxiety, and the total score for disaster prevention awareness were 19.94, 16.05, 18.47, 14.59, and 83.09, respectively. Further, the mean score for child management was 19.17, whereas the scores for life saving, life maintenance, evacuation shelter management, school management, and the total degree of concern about disaster were 12.41, 13.30, 15.49, 12.53, and 72.91, respectively.

Table 4. Mean scores for disaster p	revention awareness and	degree of concern	about disaster.
--	-------------------------	-------------------	-----------------

Major Categories	Sub-Categories (Scores)	$\mathbf{Mean} \pm \mathbf{SD}$	
	Imagination of the disaster situation (A score)	14.01 ± 2.334	
	A sense of crisis (B score)	19.94 ± 2.712	
	Being other-oriented (C score)	16.05 ± 3.202	
Disaster Prevention Awareness Scale	Interest in disasters (D score)	18.47 ± 2.547	
	Anxiety (E score)	14.59 ± 3.405	
	Total	83.09 ± 8.492	
	Child management	19.17 ± 3.341	
	Life saving	12.41 ± 2.340	
Dogwood Consom about Disaster	Life maintenance	13.30 ± 2.145	
Degree of Concern about Disaster	Evacuation shelter management	15.49 ± 3.815	
	School management	12.53 ± 2.402	
	Total	72.91 ± 10.608	

3.5. Relationship between Disaster Prevention Awareness and the Degree of Concern about Disaster

The relationship between disaster prevention awareness and the degree of concern about disaster is presented in Table 5. There was a weak but significant positive correlation between disaster prevention awareness and degree of concern about disaster (r = 0.217, p-value = 0.000). Further, among all components of disaster prevention awareness, the sense of crisis in times of disaster had the highest significant correlation with the components of the degree of concern about disasters (p-value < 0.001 for all items, and r ranging from 0.182 for school management to 0.283 for overall disaster prevention awareness). Therefore, the sense of crisis in times of disaster seemed to be affected by the items of concern about disaster more than the remaining components of disaster prevention awareness. Interest in disasters seemed not to be influenced by the degree of concern about disaster or its components, and there was no significant correlation between it and any of the components of the degree of concern about disaster (p-value > 0.05).

Table 5. Correlation between disaster prevention awareness and degree of concern about disaster.

Correlation between Disaster Prevention Awareness and Degree of Concern about Disaster	Child Management	Life Saving	Life Maintenance	Evacuation Shelter Management	School Management	Total
Concern about Disaster	r (p-Value)	r (p-Value)	r (p-Value)	r (p-Value)	r (p-Value)	r (p-Value)
Imagination of the Disaster Situation (A Score)	-0.031 (0.476)	-0.150 _{**} (0.001)	-0.115 _{**} (0.008)	-0.179 _{**} (0.000)	-0.139 _{**} (0.001)	-0.148 (0.001)
A Sense of Crisis (B Score)	0.262 (0.000) **	0.227 (0.000) **	0.261 (0.000) **	0.237 (0.000) **	0.182 (0.000) **	0.283 (0.000) **
Other-Oriented (C Score)	0.087 (0.044) *	0.087 (0.046) *	0.080 (0.066)	0.036 (0.407)	0.086 (0.049) *	0.083 (0.057)
Interest in Disasters (D Score)	0.081 (0.062)	0.058 (0.184)	0.028 (0.514)	0.048 (0.265)	0.033 (0.452)	0.056 (0.194)
Anxiety (E Score)	0.332 (0.000) **	0.276 (0.000) **	0.227 (0.000) **	0.220 (0.000) **	0.161 (0.000) **	0.297 (0.000) **
Total	0.261 (0.000) **	0.205 (0.000) **	0.187 (0.000) **	0.141 (0.001) **	0.116 (0.008) **	0.217 (0.000) **

^{**} Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed). * Correlation is significant at the 0.05 level (2-tailed).

4. Discussion

Teachers are involved not only in children's education but also in the management of evacuation centers at schools that become shelters after the disaster [31,32]. Therefore, teachers need to be prepared for disasters [33]. However, teacher education does not include disaster preparedness training [9]. The creation and evaluation of teacher education

Sustainability **2022**, 14, 8211 8 of 11

programs had been considered previously [34], but the training had been conducted only within the context of a trial. To promote teacher education on disaster prevention, it is necessary to raise awareness of disasters and to identify factors that may promote it. Disaster prevention awareness is defined as the degree to which an individual is aware on a daily basis that information, materials, and social preparation for disasters are necessary [30,35]. In this study, we used a scale with five scores: imagination of the disaster situation, sense of crisis, being other oriented, interest in disasters, and anxiety. We used this scale due to its previously demonstrated suitability for the Japanese population. First, our study assessed the actual situation of disaster prevention awareness among teachers. Second, it clarified the relationship between items of disaster prevention awareness and concern regarding disaster preparedness. This survey targets teachers, but first of all it targets teachers from high-priority schools for special needs, because they need to be especially sensitive to disaster preparedness. This is due to the particular importance of preparing children enrolled in special needs schools for disasters [25,36].

We have previously identified factors that influence disaster preparation in Japanese schools, including the role of study participants (teachers versus parents) and whether they have previously experienced a disaster [21]. As in previous studies [21], many faculty members examined in the current study had never evacuated. The schools were equipped with in-house power generation, telecommunications, and drinking water, but many realized they were not well prepared. In addition, local residents were not involved in school management. In the context of school events, there were many schools where residents, teachers, and children interacted. Allowing evacuees to run shelters and allowing teachers to rely on children have been discussed [21]. Children in special needs schools in particular need a great degree of support. Understanding the condition of children by residents should be facilitated. This is in line with previous publications demonstrating the need for effective communication in disaster risk education [37]. In the current study, we focused on the role of another potentially important factor: concern regarding disaster preparedness. Teachers have been shown to be loyal and responsible [11]. Even students aspiring to become teachers were shown to be sensitive to the "duties of a teacher" [11,34]. Many teachers were significantly concerned about all aspects of disasters (child management, life saving, life maintenance, evacuation shelter management, and school management). Teachers' concerns showed the highest average score in "child management" in times of disasters. For example, it is unlikely that children who need special care would be able to cope, if traffic was cut off, and they were staying at school. The cooperation with residents and school disaster preparedness were not sufficient, indicating that teachers' concerns were reasonable [36].

The degree of disaster prevention awareness of teachers in schools for children with special healthcare needs was compared to that of administrative staff in a study by the National Research Institute [28]. Teachers had the same scores for "Imagination of the disaster situation" and "Interest in disasters", lower scores for "A sense of crisis" and being "Other-oriented", and a higher score for "Anxiety". In Japan, evacuation centers are generally operated by administrative staff. However, when a school becomes a shelter, it may be provisionally run by teachers. Teachers had a low sense of crisis and being other-oriented (of helping each other). However, they had a high level of anxiety, which could be attributed to the lack of a clear strategy how to manage their responsibilities during a time of an emergency or disaster. Under normal circumstances, teachers are not supposed to consult with residents, and no consultation training has been included in teachers' education [11], which impedes their preparation for a potential disaster.

In the current study, we found that "A sense of crisis about disaster" was positively correlated with concern regarding disaster preparedness. However, "Imagining the disaster situation" was negatively correlated with concern regarding disaster preparedness. Notably, the ability to imagine future events may help to predict and avoid dangerous situations [38]. The psychological aspects of disaster awareness were previously identified as a factor that may influence disaster preparedness [30]. It was shown that the ingenuity to promote

Sustainability **2022**, 14, 8211 9 of 11

imagination is important for education that raises teachers' awareness of disasters. Case studies developed for prospective teachers might also be effective for teachers. When a set situation is specifically imagined, it reminds an individual of an actionable response. Interestingly, in the current study, "Anxiety" was correlated with measures related to concern regarding disaster preparedness. It was previously demonstrated that the sense of crisis and anxiety should not be too high [39], indicating that pronounced worry about children might negatively affect disaster preparation. Since concern is consistent among many teachers, disaster preparedness is necessary to reduce concerns and to alleviate the sense of crisis.

The findings of the current study suggest the need for comprehensive educational efforts, aiming to address areas that are of particular concern to schools' staff members. The following areas presented in this study were of interest to teachers: child management, life saving, life maintenance, evacuation shelter management, and school management. In particular, the findings indicated that interventions that boost imagination in areas of child management in times of disasters may be effective.

The current study has several limitations, including its cross-sectional design and the use of a self-report measure. Activities that reduce concerns and alleviate the sense of crisis should be developed. The current study did not target teachers in general but rather teachers in special needs schools, who should be particularly sensitive to disaster preparedness. In comparison with general teachers, differences between teachers' personal characteristics, their stage of life, and their positions should be further examined and validated.

5. Conclusions

In this study, to raise teachers' awareness of disaster prevention, we clarified the relationship between disaster prevention awareness and concerns about disaster prevention measures. Teachers from special needs schools were selected. Many teachers were concerned about child management, life saving, life maintenance, evacuation shelter management, and school management. Most concerning among these areas was child management. In comparison to general staff, the teachers had a high level of anxiety, which could be attributed to the lack of a clear strategy on how to manage their responsibilities during a time of an emergency or disaster. We found that "A sense of crisis about disaster" was positively correlated with concern regarding disaster preparedness. However, "Imagining the disaster situation" was negatively correlated with concern regarding disaster preparedness. Boosting teachers' imagination about disaster may help teachers be more prepared and less concerned. Presumably, a decrease in concerns may reduce the sense of crisis and lead to teacher stability. This study identified a relationship between disaster prevention awareness and concern regarding disaster preparation readiness that is of high relevance for the practice. For the stability of teachers, disaster preparedness education is recommended.

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, H.K. and S.Y.; methodology, H.K.; software, H.T.; validation, S.Y. and K.S.; formal analysis, H.K. and S.Y.; investigation, H.K., M.K. and H.T.; resources, M.K.; data curation, H.K.; writing-original draft preparation, H.K.; writing-review and editing, S.Y. and K.S.; visualization, H.K.; supervision, H.T.; project administration, H.K.; funding acquisition, K.S. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This research was funded by the Grants-in-Aid for Scientific Research Program (KAKENHI), Japan, grant number 19K11290 (to K.S.). The funding source played no role in the study design; collection, analysis, and interpretation of data; writing of the report; and decision to submit the article for publication.

Institutional Review Board Statement: The study was conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki, and approved by the Ethical Committee for Epidemiology of Hiroshima University (protocol code E-2224 from 12 October 2020).

Informed Consent Statement: Responding to the survey was considered an indication of informed consent.

Sustainability **2022**, 14, 8211 10 of 11

Data Availability Statement: The data are not publicly available due to confidentiality reasons.

Acknowledgments: We thank the faculty members who cooperated with the survey.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

- 1. Council on School Health, Council on School Health. Disaster planning for schools. *Pediatrics* 2008, 122, 895–901. [CrossRef]
- 2. Bhebhe, S.; Runhare, T.; Monobe, R.J. Strategic approaches for developing a culture of safety management in schools: Indications from literature studies. *Jamba* **2019**, *11*, 694. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- United Nations Office for Disaster Risk Reduction (UNISDR) and Global Alliance for Disaster Risk Reduction in Education Sector (GADRRES), Comprehensive School Safety. 2017. Available online: https://www.preventionweb.net/publication/comprehensive-school-safety-0 (accessed on 27 February 2019).
- 4. Sakurai, A.; Sato, T. Promoting education for disaster resilience and the Sendai framework for disaster risk reduction. *J. Disaster Res.* **2016**, *11*, 175–187. [CrossRef]
- Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports, Science and Technology. The Results of the Survey on the Disaster Prevention Function of Public-School Facilities Serving as Evacuation Centers. Available online: http://www.mext.go.jp/a_menu/shisetu/bousai/1394 437.htm (accessed on 27 February 2019).
- 6. Cerrudo, A. Public Schools Should not be Used as Evacuation Centers—DepEd. UNTV News and Rescue. Available online: https://ph.news.yahoo.com/public-schools-not-used-evacuation-042440602.html (accessed on 7 May 2021).
- 7. Duss, B.P. Over a Year after Typhoon Haiyan, Survivors Rush to Evacuation Centers, Bracing for Impact of Typhoon Hagupit. Available online: https://www.worldvision.org/about-us/media-center/philippines-bracing-for-impact-of-typhoon-hagupit (accessed on 7 May 2021).
- 8. Kawasaki, H.; Takeuchi, M.; Rahman, M.M.; Yamashita, K. Residents' concerns regarding schools designated as evacuation shelters. *Disaster Med. Public Health Prep.* **2021**. *Online ahead of print*. [CrossRef]
- 9. Morote, Á.F.; Hernández, M.; Olcina, J. Are Future School Teachers Qualified to Teach Flood Risk? An Approach from the Geography Discipline in the Context of Climate Change. *Sustainability* **2021**, *13*, 8560. [CrossRef]
- 10. O'Toole, V.M. "Running on fumes": Emotional exhaustion and burnout of teachers following a natural disaster. *Soc. Psychol. Educ.* **2018**, *21*, 1081–1112. [CrossRef]
- 11. Kawasaki, H.; Kawasaki, M.; Rahman, M.M.; Yamasaki, S.; Murata, Y. Basic training of teachers and public health nurses for communication with residents for smooth shelter management: A Pilot study. *Sustainability* **2021**, *13*, 10253. [CrossRef]
- 12. Sato, T.; Mason, S.; Kataoka, C. Japanese elementary school teachers' professional experiences of long working hours. *Issues Educ. Res.* **2020**, *30*, 1522–1538.
- 13. Kihara, T. The Light and Shadow Brought to Teacher Education by Digitizing the Educational Environment: The Case of Japan. *Educ. Sci* **2021**, *11*, 399. [CrossRef]
- 14. Khatibi, F.S.; Dedekorkut-Howes, A.; Howes, M.; Torabi, E. Can public awareness, knowledge and engagement improve climate change adaptation policies? *Discov. Sustain.* **2021**, 2, 18. [CrossRef]
- 15. Reinhardt, J.D.; Li, J.; Gosney, J.; Rathore, F.A.; Haig, A.J.; Marx, M.; DeLisa, J.A. International Society of Physical and Rehabilitation Medicine's Sub-Committee on Rehabilitation Disaster Relief. Disability and health-related rehabilitation in international disaster relief. *Glob. Health Action* **2011**, *4*, 7191. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 16. Mann, M.; McMillan, J.E.; Silver, E.J.; Stein, R.E.K. Children and Adolescents with Disabilities and Exposure to Disasters, Terrorism, and the COVID-19 Pandemic: A Scoping Review. *Curr. Psychiatry Rep.* **2021**, 23, 80. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 17. Stough, L.M.; Ducy, E.M.; Kang, D. Addressing the Needs of Children With Disabilities Experiencing Disaster or Terrorism. *Curr. Psychiatry Rep.* **2017**, *19*, 24. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 18. Jang, J.H.; Ha, K.M. Inclusion of children with disabilities in disaster management. *Children* **2021**, *8*, 581. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 19. Paton, D.; Smith, L.; Johnston, D. When good intentions turn bad: Promoting natural hazard preparedness. *Aust. J. Emerg. Manag.* **2005**, *20*, 25–30.
- 20. Rivera, J.D. Factors influencing individual disaster preparedness information seeking behavior: Analysis of US households. *Nat. Hazards Rev.* **2021**, 22, 04021042. [CrossRef]
- 21. Kawasaki, H.; Yamasaki, S.; Rahman, M.M.; Murata, Y.; Iwasa, M.; Teramoto, C. Teachers-parents cooperation in disaster preparation when schools become as evacuation centers. *Int. J. Disaster Risk Reduc.* **2020**, *44*, 101445. [CrossRef]
- 22. Dikmenli, Y.; Yakar, H.; Konca, A. Development of disaster awareness scale: A validity and reliability study. *RIGEO* **2018**, *8*, 206–220.
- 23. Genc, F.Z.; Yildiz, S.; Kaya, E.; Bilgili, N. Disaster literacy levels of individuals aged 18–60 years and factors affecting these levels: A web-based cross-sectional study. *Int. J. Disaster Risk Reduc.* **2022**, *76*, 102991. [CrossRef]
- 24. Kimura, R.; Hayashi, H.; Kobayashi, K.; Nishino, T.; Urabe, K.; Inoue, S. Development of a "disaster management literacy hub" for collecting, creating, and transmitting disaster management content to increase disaster management literacy. *J. Disaster Res.* **2017**, *12*, 42–56. [CrossRef]
- 25. Torani, S.; Majd, P.M.; Maroufi, S.S.; Dowlati, M.; Sheikhi, R.A. The importance of education on disasters and emergencies: A review article. *J. Educ. Health Promot.* **2019**, *8*, 85. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

Sustainability **2022**, 14, 8211 11 of 11

26. Jonidi Jafari, A.; Baba, M.; Dowlati, M. Disaster risk assessment in health centers of Iran University of medical sciences in functional, nonstructural and structural components in 2015-2016. *Iran. Occup. Health J.* **2018**, *15*, 76–85.

- 27. Lee, E.; Lee, H. Disaster awareness and coping: Impact on stress, anxiety, and depression. *Perspect. Psychiatr. Care* **2019**, 55, 311–318. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 28. National Research Institute for Earth Science and Disaster Prevention: Ibaraki, Japan. Available online: https://risk.ecom-plat.jp/index.php?gid=11139 (accessed on 6 April 2022). (In Japanese)
- 29. Shimazaki, K.; Ozeki, M. Development of a new Disaster-prevention consciousness scale. In Proceedings of the 81st Annual Convention of the Japanese Psychological Association, Kurume, Japan, 20–22 September 2017. (In Japanese) [CrossRef]
- 30. Ozeki, M.; Shimazaki, K.; Yi, T. Exploring elements of disaster prevention consciousness: Based on interviews with anti-disaster professionals. *J. Disaster Res.* **2017**, *12*, 631–638. [CrossRef]
- 31. Sato, M.; Isoda, M. The Role of Education for Natural Disasters. A Report of APEC HRD 04/2011A Project. Available on-line: http://www.criced.tsukuba.ac.jp/math/apec/ICME12/Lesson_Study_set/The_Role_of_Education_for_Natural_Disasters. pdf (accessed on 6 April 2022).
- 32. Sasaki, K.; Yajima, A.; Fukushima, M. Research on the Sheltering at school in the Great East Japan Earthquake and Tsunami Disaster—the case of Otsuchi High School in Iwate prefecture. *Bull. Tokyo Gakugei Univ. Educ. Sci.* **2012**, *63*, 55–70. (In Japanese). Available online: https://core.ac.uk/download/pdf/15924993.pdf?msclkid=e5425375b57711ecb8b39f141bf289cef (accessed on 6 April 2022). (In Japanese)
- 33. Şeyihoğlu, A.; Kartal, A.; Tekbiyik, A.; Sezen Vekli, G.; Birinci Konur, K. The design and implementation of a teacher training program for improving teachers' disaster literacy: Interdisciplinary disaster education program (IDEP). *Probl. Educ.* 21st Century 2021, 79, 781–803. [CrossRef]
- 34. Kawasaki, H.; Yamasaki, S.; Yamakido, M.; Murata, Y. Introductory disaster training for aspiring teachers: A pilot study. Sustainability 2022, 14, 3492. [CrossRef]
- 35. Tada, M.; Iwamoto, S.; Kubo, H.; Senou, A. Relationship between disaster prevention awareness and preparedness among residents living in mountainous areas of Japan. *Health Emerg. Disaster Nurs.* **2022**, *9*, 95–102. [CrossRef]
- 36. Tanaka, M. Crisis management and disaster prevention systems at special needs schools during the Great East Japan Earthquake. *J. Spec. Educ. Res.* **2018**, *6*, 103–105. [CrossRef]
- Codreanu, T.A.; Celenza, A.; Ngo, H. Disaster risk education of final year high school students requires a partnership with families and charity organizations: An international cross-sectional survey. Prehosp. Disaster Med. 2016, 31, 242–254. [CrossRef]
- 38. Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports, Science, and Technology, Japan. The Final Report of Meeting of Experts on Disaster Education Disaster Management Which Received the Great East Japan Earthquake. 2012. Available online: http://www.mext.go.jp/b_menu/shingi/chousa/sports/012/toushin/1324017.htm (accessed on 29 January 2017).
- 39. Eisenman, D.P.; Zhou, Q.; Ong, M.; Asch, S.; Glik, D.; Long, A. Variations in disaster preparedness by mental health, perceived general health, and disability status. *Disaster Med. Public Health Prep.* **2009**, *3*, 33–41. [CrossRef] [PubMed]