

# Pretreated Agro-Industrial Effluents as a Source of Nutrients for Tomato Grow in Dual Function Hydroponic System: Tomato Quality Assessment

Alexandra Afonso <sup>1,2,\*</sup>, Carlos Ribeiro <sup>1</sup>, Maria João Carvalho <sup>1,3</sup>, Tânia Correia <sup>1</sup>, Pedro Correia <sup>1</sup>, Mariana Regato <sup>4</sup>, Idália Costa <sup>4</sup>, Annabel Fernandes <sup>2,5</sup>, Adelaide Almeida <sup>1,2</sup>, Ana Lopes <sup>2,5</sup> and Fátima Carvalho <sup>1,2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Department of Applied Sciences and Technologies, Escola Superior Agrária de Beja, Instituto Politécnico de Beja, 7800-309 Beja, Portugal; carlos.ribeiro@ipbeja.pt (C.R.); joaobcarvalho@ipbeja.pt (M.J.C.); taires.correia@gmail.com (T.C.); pedro\_correia92@hotmail.com (P.C.); maalmeida@ipbeja.pt (A.A.); mfcarvalho@ipbeja.pt (F.C.)

<sup>2</sup> Fiber Materials and Environmental Technologies (FibEnTech-UBI), Universidade da Beira Interior, R. Marquês D'Ávila e Bolama, 6201-001 Covilhã, Portugal; annabelf@ubi.pt (A.F.); analopes@ubi.pt (A.L.)

<sup>3</sup> MARE-Marine and Environmental Sciences Centre, CETEMARES–Marine Sciences R&D, Education and Knowledge Dissemination Centre, Polytechnic Institute of Leiria, Av. Porto de Pesca, 2520-630 Peniche, Portugal

<sup>4</sup> Department of Bioscience, Escola Superior Agrária de Beja, Instituto Politécnico de Beja, 7800-295 Beja, Portugal; mare@ipbeja.pt (M.R.); idalia.costa@ipbeja.pt (I.C.)

<sup>5</sup> Department of Chemistry, Universidade da Beira Interior, R. Marquês D'Ávila e Bolama, 6201-001 Covilhã, Portugal

\* Correspondence: alexandra.afonso@ipbeja.pt

## Supplementary Material

**Table S1.** Nutritive solution supplementation throughout the development phase of the tomato plants.

Reagent	Stage of growth		
	Transplant to 2 <sup>nd</sup> cluster	2 <sup>nd</sup> cluster to 5 <sup>th</sup> cluster	5 <sup>th</sup> cluster to termination
TRADECORP AZ / mg L <sup>-1</sup>	23	23	23
KNO <sub>3</sub> / mg L <sup>-1</sup>	157	235	364
MgSO <sub>4</sub> / mg L <sup>-1</sup>	456	504	504
KH <sub>2</sub> PO <sub>4</sub> / mg L <sup>-1</sup>	220	220	220
Ca(NO <sub>3</sub> ) <sub>2</sub> / mg L <sup>-1</sup>	415	415	415

**Table S2.** Characterization of the plants and fruit grown in the different hydroponic systems fed with nutritive solution from pretreated CWW (mean value ± standard deviation, n≥10).

	Parameter	Setup A			Setup B		
		A1	A2	A3	B1	B2	B3
Plant	Height / cm	121±19 <sup>b</sup>	126±18 <sup>b</sup>	145±14 <sup>a</sup>	102±20 <sup>b</sup>	95±20 <sup>b</sup>	151±35 <sup>a</sup>
	Stem diameter / mm	11±2 <sup>b</sup>	13±2 <sup>a</sup>	10.0±0.6 <sup>b</sup>	10±2 <sup>a</sup>	10±1 <sup>a</sup>	10.5±0.8 <sup>a</sup>
	Number of leaves	9±2 <sup>b</sup>	8±2 <sup>b</sup>	16±3 <sup>a</sup>	21±3 <sup>b</sup>	21±3 <sup>b</sup>	38±4 <sup>a</sup>
	Number of bunches	4±1 <sup>b</sup>	5±1 <sup>b</sup>	6±1 <sup>a</sup>	5±2 <sup>b</sup>	5±1 <sup>b</sup>	14±8 <sup>a</sup>
	Total fruit	26±13 <sup>a,b</sup>	34±11 <sup>a</sup>	15±9 <sup>b</sup>	12±7 <sup>b</sup>	13±6 <sup>b</sup>	128±69 <sup>a</sup>
	Marketable fruit	19±10 <sup>a</sup>	23±9 <sup>a</sup>	5±4 <sup>b</sup>	6±3 <sup>b</sup>	8±4 <sup>b</sup>	74±56 <sup>a</sup>
	Diameter / mm	25±3 <sup>a</sup>	27±2 <sup>a</sup>	26±2 <sup>a</sup>	16±2 <sup>a</sup>	18±3 <sup>a</sup>	18±1 <sup>a</sup>
Fruit	Marketable diameter / mm	27±4 <sup>a</sup>	28±2 <sup>a</sup>	27±3 <sup>a</sup>	21±4 <sup>a</sup>	22±2 <sup>a</sup>	19±1 <sup>a</sup>
	Weight / g	11±3 <sup>a</sup>	13±3 <sup>a</sup>	11±2 <sup>a</sup>	4±2 <sup>a</sup>	5±1 <sup>a</sup>	4.1±0.4 <sup>a</sup>
	Marketable weight / g	12±4 <sup>a</sup>	14±3 <sup>a</sup>	12±3 <sup>a</sup>	7±3 <sup>a</sup>	6±2 <sup>a</sup>	4±1 <sup>a</sup>
	Total marketable weight (g)/plant	228±163 <sup>b</sup>	337±141 <sup>a</sup>	62±51 <sup>c</sup>	37±24 <sup>b</sup>	62±38 <sup>b</sup>	315±221 <sup>a</sup>

a,b,c Different letters in different lines means statistically significant differences (P<0.05).

**Table S3.** Sensory characteristics of the cherry tomatoes (*Solanum lycopersicum* var. *cerasiforme*) produced in the different hydroponic systems, evaluated in two different sessions. 5=extremely pleasant; 4=pleasant; 3=indifferent; 2=unpleasant; 1=extremely unpleasant (mean value ± standard deviation, n≥30).

Parameter	Session 1		Session 2			
	A1	A2	A3	B1	B2	B3
Red color	3.7±0.8 <sup>b</sup>	4.3± 0.8 <sup>a</sup>	4.5 ± 0.7 <sup>a</sup>	4.0 ± 0.8 <sup>a,c</sup>	3.9 ± 0.8 <sup>b,c</sup>	3.8±0.9 <sup>c</sup>
Smell	3.7± 0.8 <sup>b</sup>	4.1± 0.8 <sup>a</sup>	4.1 ± 0.7 <sup>a</sup>	4.2 ± 0.5 <sup>a</sup>	3.8 ± 0.8 <sup>a</sup>	3.5±0.9 <sup>b,c</sup>
Flavor	4.0± 0.7 <sup>b</sup>	4.5± 0.8 <sup>a</sup>	3.2 ± 0.9 <sup>b,c</sup>	4.2 ± 0.9 <sup>a</sup>	4.0 ± 0.8 <sup>a</sup>	3.3±0.9 <sup>b,c</sup>
Juiciness	3.9± 0.8 <sup>a</sup>	4.1± 0.8 <sup>a</sup>	3.7 ± 0.8 <sup>a</sup>	3.9 ± 0.9 <sup>a</sup>	4.1 ± 0.7 <sup>a</sup>	3.6±0.9 <sup>a</sup>
Texture	4.1±0.5 <sup>a</sup>	4.1± 0.9 <sup>a</sup>	3.4 ± 0.9 <sup>b,c</sup>	3.9 ± 0.8 <sup>a,c</sup>	4.0 ± 0.7 <sup>a</sup>	3.5±0.9 <sup>a</sup>
Overall appreciation	4.3± 0.7 <sup>a</sup>	4.2±0.8 <sup>a</sup>	3.8 ± 0.8 <sup>a</sup>	4.0 ± 0.6 <sup>a</sup>	3.9 ± 0.7 <sup>a,c</sup>	3.4±0.9 <sup>b,c</sup>

a,b,c Different letters in different lines means statistically significant differences (P<0.05).