

Table S2. Methodological quality according to study type.

Cohort—Newcastle-Ottawa Scale

Quality criteria	Selection		Comparability		Outcome			Total
	1. Representativeness of the exposed cohort	2. Selection of the non-exposed cohort	3. Ascertainment of exposure	4. Demonstration that outcome of interest was not present at start of study	1. Comparability of cohorts on the basis of the design or analysis	1. Assessment of outcome	2. Was follow-up long enough for outcomes to occur?	3. Adequacy of follow up of cohorts
[35]	*				*	*	*	4

Case - control—Newcastle-Ottawa Scale

Quality criteria	Selecion				Comparability		Exposure		
	1. Is the case definition adequate?	2. Representativeness of the cases	3. Selection of Controls	4. Definition of Controls	1. Comparability of cases and controls on the basis of the design or analysis	1. Ascertainment of exposure	2. Same method of ascertainment for cases and controls	3. Non-Response rate	
[16]			*				*	*	
[17]							*		
[18]			*		*		*		
[19]			*		*		*		
[20]	*					*	*		
[21]	*			*		*	*	*	
[3]					*		*	*	
[22]	*				*	*	*	*	
[23]	*					*	*		
[24]	*					*	*		
[25]	*			*	**	*	*	*	
[26]				*			*		
[27]	*				*	*	*		
[28]	*				*		*	*	
[29]	*	*	*			*	*		
[30]	*		*	*			*	*	
[31]	*			*		*			
[32]	*						*		
[33]			*	*			*		

[34]	*		*	*		*	*		5
[36]	*		*	*		*	*		5
[37]	*				*				2
[38]	*			*			*	*	4
[39]	*						*	*	3
[40]	*				*	*	*		4
[41]	*		*		*	*	*	*	6
[42]	*		*	*			*	*	5
[43]	*		*	*		*	*		5
[44]	*				*	*	*		4
[45]	*			*	*	*	*		5
[46]					*		*		2
[47]	*					*	*		3
[48]	*				*	*	*		4
[49]	*		*				*		3
[50]	*			*			*		3
[51].	*			*		*	*	*	4