



Article **Tunable Gain SnS₂/InSe Van der Waals Heterostructure Photodetector**

Seyedali Hosseini¹, Azam Iraji zad ^{1,2,*}, Seyed Mohammad Mahdavi^{1,2,*} and Ali Esfandiar²

- ¹ Center for Nanoscience and Nanotechnology, Institute for Convergent Science and Technology, Sharif University of Technology, Tehran 11155-9161, Iran
- ² Department of Physics, Sharif University of Technology, Tehran 11155-9161, Iran
- * Correspondence: iraji@sharif.edu (A.I.z.); mahdavi@sharif.edu (S.M.M.)

Abstract: Due to the favorable properties of two-dimensional materials such as SnS₂, with an energy gap in the visible light spectrum, and InSe, with high electron mobility, the combination of them can create a novel platform for electronic and optical devices. Herein, we study a tunable gain SnS₂/InSe Van der Waals heterostructure photodetector. SnS₂ crystals were synthesized by chemical vapor transport method and characterized using X-ray diffraction and Raman spectroscopy. The exfoliated SnS₂ and InSe layers were transferred on the substrate. This photodetector presents photoresponsivity from 14 mA/W up to 740 mA/W and detectivity from 2.2 × 10⁸ Jones up to 3.35 × 10⁹ Jones by gate modulation from 0 V to +70 V. Light absorption and the charge carrier generation mechanism were studied by the Silvaco TCAD software and the results were confirmed by our experimental observations. The rather high responsivity and visible spectrum response makes the SnS₂/InSe heterojunction a potential candidate for commercial visible image sensors.

Keywords: photodetector; two-dimensional material; Van der Waals heterostructure



Citation: Hosseini, S.; Iraji zad, A.; Mahdavi, S.M.; Esfandiar, A. Tunable Gain SnS₂/InSe Van der Waals Heterostructure Photodetector. *Micromachines* **2022**, *13*, 2068. https://doi.org/10.3390/mi13122068

Academic Editor: Aaron Hawkins

Received: 20 October 2022 Accepted: 19 November 2022 Published: 25 November 2022

Publisher's Note: MDPI stays neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.



Copyright: © 2022 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by/ 4.0/).

1. Introduction

Image sensors are widely used in smartphones, webcams, security cameras, etc. [1,2]. Each pixel consists of a photodiode and an electronic circuit to amplify and transmit the signal. The fill factor, which is defined as the ratio of the light absorption to the total pixel area, is an important parameter in image sensor fabrication [3]. Improving the fill factor and controlling the photodiode gain for operation in the unsaturated region are important considerations [4]. In current technology, the gain is tuned according to the received light intensity by electronic components like transistors, capacitors, etc. However, these components occupy part of the pixel area, which in turn reduces the fill factor. To solve this challenge, various solutions have been proposed, including utilizing smaller transistors, which greatly increases the fabrication cost [4]. Another solution is replacing the photodiode with a photogate where carrier concentration (photocurrent) can be tuned by gate voltage modulation [5,6]. For efficient tuning, two-dimensional (2D) materials are introduced as an interesting candidate because their charge carriers are more affected by external electrostatic fields due to their atomic thickness [7]. For example, in most 2D materials-based transistors, a high switching ratio ($\sim 10^8$) and a suitable threshold slope (60 mV/dec) have been reported [8,9]. On the other hand, their high exciton binding energy and diverse energy gap provide the possibility of fabricating efficient photodetectors at different wavelengths [10]. Therefore, for the fabrication of tunable gain photodetectors, 2D materials homo or heterostructures configuration are suitable candidates [11].

There are some reports on tunable gain photodetectors based on Graphene, MoS_2 , ReS_2 , etc. For example, for graphene phototransistors, the observed gain decreases from 95 to 65 by applying a positive gate voltage, whereas it increases to 115 by applying a negative gate voltage. This behavior was attributed to the hole impact ionization effect by the external electrical field (gate voltage) [12]. In a graphene phototransistor fabricated

on SiC substrate, photoresponsivity increases to a large value (7 A/W by negative gate voltage) due to high effective electric field caused by the substrate [13]. In another study, change in the effective barrier height in the graphene/InN heterostructure photodetector enhances the photoresponsivity five times by applying a positive gate voltage [14]. Due to having a variable energy gap, 2D semiconductor materials compared to graphene have more suitable optical and electronic properties. In the back gated n-type MoS₂ (ReS₂) based phototransistors, by applying positive gate voltages (accumulation area), the photoresponsivity increases two (three) orders of magnitude, whereas in negative gate voltage (depletion area) it is decreased by one order of magnitude [15].

Due to the weak Van der Waals bonding between 2D layers and the free dangling bonds, it is possible to fabricate ideal interfaces without lattice mismatch. The diversity of 2D materials creates distinct electronic and optical properties when putting these layers together, which promise the fabrication of efficient devices such as photodetectors with high photoresponsivity and photodetectivity at different wavelengths.

In this work, an $SnS_2/InSe$ heterostructure photodetector has been fabricated. The 2D InSe layers indicate a 1.5 eV energy gap and high electron mobility (~10⁴ cm²/Vs) [16,17], whereas SnS_2 presents a 2.44 eV energy gap suitable for visible light spectrum absorption [18]. In this study, an attempt has been made to combine the high mobility of InSe and the proper energy gap of these two materials to fabricate a gain tunable efficient photodetector. SnS_2 crystals are synthesized by the Chemical Vapor Transport (CVT) technique [19] and they were mechanically exfoliated on a SiO₂/Si substrate. To fabricate the photodetector, a mechanically exfoliated InSe layer was transferred on the SnS_2 layer. Our results indicate that the photoresponsivity and photo-detectivity are increased up to 50 times by positive gate voltage. This work demonstrates that $SnS_2/InSe$ heterojunctions can serve as qualified candidates for the next generation of tunable gain visible spectrum image sensors.

2. Materials and Methods

SnS₂ crystals were grown by the CVT technique as follows. Tin and Sulfur powder with stoichiometric ratio were placed in a clean vacuum sealed quartz ampoule in a furnace at 850 °C for 2 weeks. After removing the grown crystal, its quality was investigated using the X-ray diffraction (Spectro Xepos, Kleve, Germany) and Raman (Horiba XploRA, Kyoto, Japan) techniques. To prepare the 2D layer, the crystal was mechanically exfoliated and transferred on SiO₂/Si substrates [20]. The InSe layer, which was already exfoliated from a commercial high-quality crystal (HQ Graphene Co., Groningen, Netherland) on PMMA/PVA film, was transferred on the mentioned SnS₂ layer using a home-made transferring system [21]. Finally, Cr/Au electrodes were deposited on both sides of the SnS₂/InSe heterostructure by standard photolithography process and an electron gun evaporator.

Electrical characterization was measured using a Keithley 6487 picometer instrument. To investigate the optical properties of devices in the visible spectrum, we used three blue (460 nm), green (525 nm), and red (625 nm) LEDs with an optical power of 1 μ W.

3. Results and Discussion

Figure 1a,b show the schematic and the optical microscope image of the $SnS_2/InSe$ photodetector, respectively, which has a channel length (L) of about 20 μ m. Figure 1c shows the X-ray diffraction spectrum of the SnS_2 crystals grown by the CVT technique. Comparing this pattern with standard tables [22] indicates suitable crystal quality. Figure 1d demonstrates the Raman spectrum including the A_{1g} characteristic peak of SnS_2 at 314 cm⁻¹ [23].





Figure 1. (**a**) Schematic of SnS₂/InSe photodetector. (**b**) Optical microscope image of the fabricated device. (**c**) XRD spectrum of the SnS₂ Crystal. (**d**) Raman Spectrum of the SnS₂ layer.

The energy band diagram of the device is shown in Figure 2a. Due to small differences in their work functions, electron transfer from InSe to SnS₂ results in a small potential barrier (around 40 meV) and linear current versus voltage behavior (Figure 2b). Figure 2c shows the device drain current versus gate voltage. It behaves like an n-type transistor, as we expect based on type of carriers in both layers. The on/off current ratio is about 40, which indicates proper gate modulation in the field effect transistor. The equation $\mu = [dI_{sd}/dV_g] \times [L/(W \times C \times V_{sd})]$ [24] has been used to calculate the field-effect mobility of the charge carriers. The maximum mobility was about 6 cm²/Vs for our device with length, L = 20 μ m and width, W = 30 μ m for device channel, and C as the capacitance/m² of the gate oxide about C = 1.15×10^{-4} F/m² for the silicon substrate with 300 nm SiO₂ dielectric layer. Here, V_g, V_{sd}, and I_{sd} represent the applied back gate voltage, sourcedrain voltage, and current respectively. According to Figure 2c (inset), by applying the positive gate voltage (V_g > V_{th}) the energy band of the layers shift downward, leading to accumulation of electrons within the conduction band of SnS₂. Thus, applying a drain voltage results in a high device current, as is shown in the right part of Figure 2c.

The photocurrent ($I_{ph} = I_{light} - I_{dark}$) of the device under illumination by three light sources with wavelengths of 460, 525, and 625 nm at the optical power of 1 µW is shown in Figure 3a. As is shown, shorter wavelengths, or more energetic photons for a given bias voltage, result in higher photocurrent. The depletion charge density can be calculated by $Q_D = C \times \Delta V_{th}/e$, where C and ΔV_{th} are capacitance and threshold voltage shift, respectively. By using the carrier density, the photogain can be calculated through the $G = I_{ph}/(e \times Q_D \times S)$ [25]. According to Figure 3b, the threshold voltage shift is equal to 10 V for 460 and 525 nm wavelength and 5 V for 625 nm wavelength. By applying +70 V gate voltage, the calculated Q_D are $\approx 7.31 \times 10^{11}$ cm⁻² for both 460 and 525 nm and 3.65×10^{11} cm⁻² for 625 nm and photogain are 1.05×10^{10} , 8×10^9 , and 7.45×10^9 for 460, 525, and 625 nm, respectively.



Figure 2. (a) Band diagram of $SnS_2/InSe$ heterostructure before and after contact, after contact, electron transfer from InSe to SnS_2 and hole transfer from SnS_2 to InSe (E_f , E_c , and E_v are Fermi level, conduction band edge, and vallance band edge, respectively, The energy values are shown in figure). (b) Typical current-voltage curve of the device. (c) Drain current versus gate voltage of the device. Inset presents band diagram in positive gate voltage.

The photoresponsivity ($R \equiv I_{ph}/P_{optical}$) and detectivity of the devices is presented in Figure 4. As seen in Figure 4a, photoresponsivity at +1 V reaches to 14, 10, and 2.5 mA/W using the three light sources. Detectivity is defined as $D^* \equiv RS^{1/2}/(2eI_{dark})^{1/2}$ where R is responsivity, S is effective area (here: ~ 600 µm²), and e is elementary charge. Detectivity reaches to 2.2 × 10⁸, 1.6 × 10⁸, and 5 × 10⁷ Junes at 460, 525, and 625 nm at under +1 V respectively. Increasing the charge density and photogain result in the photocurrent enhancement and thus improves the photoresponsivity up to 740 mA/W, 560 mA/W, and 260 mA/W and detectivity up to 3.35×10^9 Junes, 2.55×10^9 Junes, and 1.18×10^9 Junes at V_g = +70 V for 460, 525, and 625 nm, respectively (Figure 4a). As shown in Figure 4b, by applying the gate voltage, the photoresponsivity rises.

photodetector performance using the gate voltage. By applying a pulsed gate voltage (Figure 4c) without external electronic circuits, the unsaturated state image sensor with real-time tunable gain can be fabricated.



Figure 3. (a) Photocurrent of the device under light irradiation. (b) The transfer curves in light irradiations.



Figure 4. (a) photoresponsivity and photodetectivity of the device under light irradiation by applying and without applying gate voltage; (b) photoresponsivity versus gate voltage under red, green and blue light irradiation (c) photocurrent of the device under pulsed applying gate voltages at different wavelength irradiation (green is applying +70 V gate voltage and red is without gate voltage).

As can be seen, by applying a gate voltage, the photoresponsivity increases up to 50 times, which shows good sensitivity to the gate voltage and shows an improvement compared to similar structures. Table 1 shows a comparison between our devise and the previous reports [26–29].

Structure	Gain	Photoresponsivity Ratio (Applying Gate/without Applying Gate)	Response Wavelength (nm)	References
Graphene	115	1.16	632	[12]
Graphene/InN	-	4	550	[14]
Graphene/MoS ₂	10^{8}	5	650	[26]
InSe	-	2.5	254~850	[27]
SnS ₂	-	3	300~750	[28]
SnS ₂ /InSe	-	1.4	405	[29]
SnS ₂ /InSe	10^{10}	52	460~625	This Work

Table 1. Comparison of	gate effect on 2D	photodetectors.
------------------------	-------------------	-----------------

To understand the process of light absorption and the mechanism of electron-hole pair generation, this structure was simulated by the Silvaco TCAD software. Figure 5a,b show the simulated structure and the carrier concentration at $V_g = -5$ and 5 V, respectively. It is observed that at $V_g = +5$ V the carrier concentration is higher than at $V_g = -5$ V, which can increase the photocurrent at positive gate voltage. The carrier concentration is calculated through $n = \sigma/e\mu$ where σ is conductivity at V_g [30] and the photocurrent can be calculated by $I_{pc} = e\mu nEWD$, where E and D are the electric field in the channel and absorption depth, respectively [31]. At positive gate voltage, with increasing conduction (in large slope region in Figure 2c), carrier concentration is also increased. This results in a photocurrent enhancement, which had already been observed in experimental results.



Figure 5. (a) Schematic of the simulated structure (500 nm \times 12 μ m). (b) Carrier concentration at positive and negative gate voltage in the channel.

The simulated photogeneration at the three wavelengths that were used is shown in Figure 6a. As can be seen, most of the photogeneration is in the SnS_2 layer, due to more carriers of n-type SnS_2 than InSe. The SnS_2 layer has little absorption in the red light range because of rather high energy gap. As a result, in our device, the photocurrent will generate only by absorption of red light in the InSe layer, which causes a photoresponsivity of about 2.5 mA/W. However, in the blue and green light regions, both layers are active, which causes a significant increase in photocurrent and photoresponsivity (14 and 10 A/W for blue and green light). In this way, the $SnS_2/InSe$ heterostructure causes the broadband photodetector and works properly in the entire range of the visible light spectrum (Figure 6b).





4. Conclusions

In conclusion, an SnS₂/InSe heterostructure photodetector for the visible light spectrum has been introduced. This photodetector presents photoresponsivity from 14 mA/W up to 740 mA/W and detectivity from 2.2×10^8 Jones up to 3.35×10^9 Jones by applying various gate voltages. According to experimental and simulation results, at 460 and 532 nm wavelengths, due to the proximity of the energy of the photon to the SnS₂ energy gap, light is absorbed in both layers and the photocurrent increases. Our results showed that the gate voltage increases the carriers in the SnS₂ layer. Due to the weak absorption of red light in the SnS₂ layer, applying gate voltage does not affect the photocurrent at red light compared to blue and green light. The results show that this structure has potential for use in visible light tunable gain image sensors.

Author Contributions: The authors confirm their contributions as follow; Conceptualization, A.I.z. and S.H.; methodology, S.H.; validation, A.I.z. and S.M.M.; device fabrication, A.E.; investigation, S.H.; resources, A.I.z.; data curation, A.I.z.; writing—original draft preparation, S.H.; writing—review and editing, A.I.z.; visualization, S.H.; project administration, A.I.z. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This research was funded by Iran National Science Foundation (INSF), grant number 99029911.

Data Availability Statement: Not applicable.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

- 1. El Gamal, A. Trends in CMOS image sensor technology and design. In Proceedings of the Technical Digest—International Electron Devices Meeting, San Francisco, CA, USA, 8–11 December 2002; pp. 805–808. [CrossRef]
- Sakai, T.; Takagi, T.; Imamura, K.; Mineo, K.; Yakushiji, H.; Hashimoto, Y.; Aotake, T.; Sadamitsu, Y.; Sato, H.; Aihara, S. Color-Filter-Free Three-Layer-Stacked Image Sensor Using Blue/Green-Selective Organic Photoconductive Films with Thin-Film Transistor Circuits on CMOS Image Sensors. ACS Appl. Electron. Mater. 2021, 3, 3085–3095. [CrossRef]
- 3. Cho, K.; Lee, S.J.; Kavehei, O.; Eshraghian, K. High fill factor low-voltage CMOS image sensor based on time-to-threshold PWM VLSI architecture. *IEEE Trans. Very Large Scale Integr. (VLSI) Syst.* **2014**, *22*, 1548–1556. [CrossRef]
- 4. Chou, W.F.; Yeh, S.F.; Chiu, C.F.; Hsieh, C.C. A linear-logarithmic CMOS image sensor with pixel-FPN reduction and tunable response curve. *IEEE Sens. J.* 2014, 14, 1625–1632. [CrossRef]
- Blanksby, A.J.; Loinaz, M.J. Performance analysis of a color CMOS photogate image sensor. *IEEE Trans. Electron Devices* 2000, 47, 55–64. [CrossRef]
- Tsai, T.H.; Sahoo, A.K.; Syu, H.K.; Wu, Y.C.; Tsai, M.Y.; Siao, M.D.; Yang, Y.C.; Lin, Y.F.; Liu, R.S.; Chiu, P.W. WS2/WSe2Nanodot Composite Photodetectors for Fast and Sensitive Light Detection. ACS Appl. Electron. Mater. 2021, 3, 4291–4299. [CrossRef]

- 7. Kallis, K.T.; Müller, M.R.; Knoch, J.; Gumprich, A.; Merten, D. Electrostatic Doping of 2D-Materials—From Single Devices Toward Circuitry Exploration. *Quantum Matter* **2017**, *6*, 45–49. [CrossRef]
- 8. Liu, J.; Zhong, M.; Liu, X.; Sun, G.; Chen, P.; Zhang, Z.; Li, J.; Ma, H.; Zhao, B.; Wu, R.; et al. Two-dimensional plumbum-doped tin diselenide monolayer transistor with high on/off ratio. *Nanotechnology* **2018**, *29*, 474002. [CrossRef]
- McGuire, F.A.; Lin, Y.-C.; Price, K.; Rayner, G.B.; Khandelwal, S.; Salahuddin, S.; Franklin, A.D. Sustained Sub-60 mV/decade switching via the negative capacitance effect in MoS2 Transistors. *Nano Lett.* 2017, *17*, 4801–4806. [CrossRef]
- 10. Wang, F.; Liu, Z.; Zhang, T.; Long, M.; Wang, X.; Xie, R.; Ge, H.; Wang, H.; Hou, J.; Gu, Y.; et al. Fully Depleted Self-Aligned Heterosandwiched Van Der Waals Photodetectors. *Adv. Mater.* **2022**, *34*, 2203283. [CrossRef]
- Lei, T.; Tu, H.; Lv, W.; Ma, H.; Wang, J.; Hu, R.; Wang, Q.; Zhang, L.; Fang, B.; Liu, Z.; et al. Ambipolar Photoresponsivity in an Ultrasensitive Photodetector Based on a WSe2/InSe Heterostructure by a Photogating Effect. ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces 2021, 13, 50213–50219. [CrossRef]
- 12. Liu, Y.; Xia, Q.; He, J.; Liu, Z. Direct Observation of High Photoresponsivity in Pure Graphene Photodetectors. *Nanoscale Res. Lett.* **2017**, *12*, 93. [CrossRef]
- 13. Sarker, B.K.; Childres, I.; Cazalas, E.; Jovanovic, I.; Chen, Y.P. Gate-tunable and high responsivity graphene phototransistors on undoped semiconductor substrates. *arXiv* **2014**, arXiv:1409.5725. [CrossRef]
- 14. Jahangir, I.; Uddin, M.A.; Franken, A.; Singh, A.K.; Koley, G. Electrically or chemically tunable photodetector with ultra high responsivity using graphene/InN nanowire based mixed dimensional barristors. *Nanotechnology* **2021**, *32*, 475203. [CrossRef]
- 15. Xu, J.; Chen, L.; Dai, Y.-W.; Cao, Q.; Sun, Q.-Q.; Ding, S.-J.; Zhu, H.; Zhang, D.W. A two-dimensional semiconductor transistor with boosted gate control and sensing ability. *Sci. Adv.* 2017, *3*, e1602246. [CrossRef]
- Bandurin, D.A.; Tyurnina, A.V.; Yu, G.L.; Mishchenko, A.; Zólyomi, V.; Morozov, S.; Kumar, R.K.; Gorbachev, R.; Kudrynskyi, Z.; Pezzini, S.; et al. High electron mobility, quantum Hall effect and anomalous optical response in atomically thin InSe. *Nat. Nanotechnol.* 2016, 12, 223–227. [CrossRef]
- Mudd, G.W.; Svatek, S.A.; Ren, T.; Patanè, A.; Makarovsky, O.; Eaves, L.; Beton, P.H.; Kovalyuk, Z.D.; Lashkarev, G.V.; Kudrynskyi, Z.R.; et al. Tuning the Bandgap of Exfoliated InSe Nanosheets by Quantum Confinement. *Adv. Mater.* 2013, 25, 5714–5718. [CrossRef]
- Gonzalez, J.M.; Oleynik, I.I. Layer-dependent properties of SnS2 and SnSe2 two-dimensional materials. *Phys. Rev. B* 2016, 94, 125443. [CrossRef]
- Hosseini, S.A.; Esfandiar, A.; Zad, A.I.; Hosseini-Shokouh, S.H.; Mahdavi, S.M. High-Photoresponsive Backward Diode by Two-Dimensional SnS2/Silicon Heterostructure. ACS Photonics 2019, 6, 728–734. [CrossRef]
- Gao, E.; Lin, S.Z.; Qin, Z.; Buehler, M.J.; Feng, X.Q.; Xu, Z. Mechanical exfoliation of two-dimensional materials. J. Mech. Phys. Solids 2018, 115, 248–262. [CrossRef]
- 21. Kim, S.; Shin, S.; Kim, T.; Du, H.; Song, M.; Lee, C.; Kim, K.; Cho, S.; Seo, D.H.; Seo, S. Robust graphene wet transfer process through low molecular weight polymethylmethacrylate. *Carbon N Y* **2016**, *98*, 352–357. [CrossRef]
- Persson, K. Materials Data on SnS2 (SG:164) by Materials Project. 2014. Available online: https://materialsproject.org/materials/ mp-1170/ (accessed on 26 July 2021).
- Sriv, T.; Kim, K.; Cheong, H. Low-Frequency Raman Spectroscopy of Few-Layer 2H-SnS 2. Sci. Rep. 2018, 8, 10194. [CrossRef]
 [PubMed]
- 24. Huang, J.; Yang, L.; Liu, D.; Chen, J.; Fu, Q.; Xiong, Y.; Lin, F.; Xiang, B. Large-area synthesis of monolayer WSe2 on a SiO2/Si substrate and its device applications. *Nanoscale* 2015, *7*, 4193–4198. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Lee, I.; Rathi, S.; Lim, D.; Li, L.; Park, J.; Lee, Y.; Yi, K.S.; Dhakal, K.P.; Kim, J.; Lee, C.; et al. Gate-Tunable Hole and Electron Carrier Transport in Atomically Thin Dual-Channel WSe2/MoS2 Heterostructure for Ambipolar Field-Effect Transistors. *Adv. Mater.* 2016, *28*, 9519–9525. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 26. Zhang, W.; Chuu, C.-P.; Huang, J.-K.; Chen, C.-H.; Tsai, M.-L.; Chang, Y.-H.; Liang, C.-T.; Chen, Y.-Z.; Chueh, Y.-L.; He, J.-H.; et al. Ultrahigh-gain photodetectors based on atomically thin graphene-MoS2 heterostructures. *Sci. Rep.* **2014**, *4*, 3826. [CrossRef]
- Feng, W.; Wu, J.-B.; Li, X.; Zheng, W.; Zhou, X.; Xiao, K.; Cao, W.; Yang, B.; Idrobo, J.-C.; Basile, L.; et al. Ultrahigh photoresponsivity and detectivity in multilayer InSe nanosheets phototransistors with broadband response. *J. Mater. Chem. C* 2015, *3*, 7022–7028. [CrossRef]
- Yu, J.; Suleiman, A.A.; Zheng, Z.; Zhou, X.; Zhai, T. Giant-Enhanced SnS₂ Photodetectors with Broadband Response through Oxygen Plasma Treatment. *Adv. Funct. Mater.* 2020, *30*, 2001650. [CrossRef]
- Zhao, Y.; Cho, J.; Choi, M.; Coileáin, C.; Arora, S.; Hung, K.-M.; Chang, C.-R.; Abid, M.; Wu, H.-C. Light-Tunable Polarity and Erasable Physisorption-Induced Memory Effect in Vertically Stacked InSe/SnS₂ Self-Powered Photodetector. ACS Nano 2022, 16, 17347–17355. [CrossRef]
- Mondal, P.; Bid, A.; Basu, J.K. Electrical and Chemical Tuning of Exciton Lifetime in Monolayer MoS2 for Field-Effect Transistors. ACS Appl. Nano Mater 2019, 3, 641–647. [CrossRef]
- Island, J.O.; Blanter, S.I.; Buscema, M.; van der Zant, H.S.J.; Castellanos-Gomez, A. Gate controlled photocurrent generation mechanisms in high-gain In2Se3 phototransistors. *Nano Lett.* 2015, 15, 7853–7858. [CrossRef]