

Review

Management of Extranodal Marginal Zone Lymphoma: Present and Upcoming Perspectives

Dominic Kaddu-Mulindwa ^{*}, Lorenz Thurner, Konstantinos Christofyllakis, Moritz Bewarder and Igor Age Kos

Department of Internal Medicine I, Hematology and Oncology, Rheumatology and Clinical Immunology, Saarland University Medical Center, 66424 Homburg, Germany; lorenz.thurner@uks.eu (L.T.); konstantinos.christofyllakis@uks.eu (K.C.); moritz.bewarder@uks.eu (M.B.); igor.kos@uks.eu (I.A.K.)

* Correspondence: dominic.kaddu@uks.eu; Tel.: +49-6841-16-15000

Simple Summary: Extranodal marginal zone lymphoma distinguishes itself from other indolent lymphomas due to its unique pathophysiology and natural history. This is reflected in its management, where next to traditional treatment strategies such as observation, radiotherapy or chemotherapy, eradication of the causal agent and even surgery represent important aspects of therapy. This review focuses on the particular aspects of this indolent lymphoma that affect management and summarizes the current evidence and different guidelines.

Abstract: Extranodal marginal zone lymphoma (EMZL) encompasses a subgroup of non-Hodgkin lymphomas that often present with localized involvement and may manifest in a diversity of organs and tissues. EMZL pathogenesis is in some cases linked to chronic inflammation/infection, which may impose additional diagnostic and clinical challenges. The most studied and established connection is the presence of *Helicobacter pylori* in gastric EMZL. Due to its heterogeneity of presentation and intricate pathological features, treatment can be complex, and staging systems are decisive for the choice of therapy. Nevertheless, there is no consensus regarding the most suitable staging system, and recommendations vary among different countries. As a rule of thumb, in limited stages, a local therapy with surgery or radiation is the preferred option, and it is potentially curative. Of note, eradicating the causal agent may be an important step of treatment, especially in gastric EMZL, in which *Helicobacter pylori* eradication remains the first-line therapy for the majority of patients. In patients with more advanced stages, watch-and-wait is a valuable option, especially amongst those without clear indications for systemic therapy, and it may be carried on for several years. If watch-and-wait is not an option, systemic therapy may be needed. Even though several agents have been tested as monotherapy or in combination in recent years, there is no consensus regarding the first-line therapy, and decisions can vary depending on individual factors, such as age, clinical performance and stage. This review aims to discuss the several aspects of EMZL, including genetic milieu, pathogenesis and staging systems, that may influence the choice of therapy. In addition, we present a summary of evidence of several systemic therapies, compare different recommendations worldwide and discuss future perspectives and novelties in its therapy.

Keywords: marginal zone cell lymphoma; MALT; management; indolent lymphoma



Citation: Kaddu-Mulindwa, D.; Thurner, L.; Christofyllakis, K.; Bewarder, M.; Kos, I.A. Management of Extranodal Marginal Zone Lymphoma: Present and Upcoming Perspectives. *Cancers* **2022**, *14*, 3019. <https://doi.org/10.3390/cancers14123019>

Academic Editor: Jun Itami

Received: 14 April 2022

Accepted: 15 June 2022

Published: 19 June 2022

Publisher's Note: MDPI stays neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.



Copyright: © 2022 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).

1. Introduction

Marginal zone lymphoma (MZL) is a subgroup of indolent B-cell non-Hodgkin lymphomas (NHL), which share common histologic and immunophenotypic features [1]. MZL originate and/or have a stage of differentiation of B-lymphocytes in the marginal zone of secondary lymphoid follicles and commonly expresses typical markers such as CD19, CD20 and CD22, usually lacking the expression of CD5, CD10, CD23 and Cyclin D1, differentiating it from chronic lymphatic leukemia (CLL) and most germinal-center and mantle-zone derived lymphomas [2,3]. In addition, MZL may present with monoclonal gammopathy [4].

Regarding the immunophenotype, hairy cell leukemia can be a differential diagnosis as it usually shows a similar expression pattern [5]. Even though common phenotypic and genotypic characteristics are seen across all subtypes of MZL, its clinical presentation is heterogeneous, and it is therefore further classified into the following subtypes: extranodal marginal zone lymphoma (EMZL), nodal marginal zone lymphoma (NMZL), primary cutaneous marginal zone lymphoma (PCMZL) and splenic marginal zone lymphoma (SMZL) [6,7]. These entities differ significantly regarding their clinical and prognostic characteristics. As an example, lymph node enlargement is the most common feature of NMZL; however, it occurs less frequently in SMZL and EMZL [8,9]. SMZL is usually restrained to the spleen, but peripheral blood involvement is common, and bone marrow involvement is also observed, whereas EMZL can involve several organs, especially the stomach, which is the most frequently involved site. [10]. Therefore, treatment can vary largely amongst subtypes [11]. MZL normally presents with an indolent course and generally has a good prognosis compared to aggressive lymphomas. Its five-year overall survival at diagnosis is approximately 80% but can reach up to 95% depending on age group [12]. In contrast, aggressive B-cell NHLs such as DLBCL have a worse prognosis with a 5-year survival rate of around 60% [13]. However, secondary transformation to DLBCL is associated with a poor outcome and inferior overall survival (OS) even though it only occurs with an annual incidence of approximately 1% per year [14].

MZL is the second most common type of indolent B-cell NHL, accounting for 5–15% of all NHLs [9,15]. EMZL is the most common subtype of MZL, corresponding to up to two-thirds of all cases of MZL and around 7% of all new diagnosed lymphomas, with an incidence of 18.3 cases per one million person-years in the United States [15,16]. In addition, EMZL is responsible for 5% of all primary gastric neoplasms [17], explaining why, in addition to hematologists, gastroenterologists are also often confronted with this diagnosis. The focus of this review is to discuss the principles of EMZL management and other distinct clinical and pathological features that might be relevant to the decision-making process.

1.1. Pathogenesis of EMZL and Its Diagnostic and Therapeutic Implications

EMZL is also described as low-grade B-cell lymphoma of mucosa-associated lymphoid tissue (MALT lymphoma) [1]. Even though the stomach is the most common site of presentation, it can be found in several organs and tissues such as ocular adnexa, salivary glands and skin [18]. This higher incidence of gastric involvement does not seem to be incidental. There is a strong correlation between MALT lymphoma and *Helicobacter pylori* (*H. pylori*) infection, highlighting an important role of chronic inflammation and antigen triggering of the B-cell receptor (BCR) in the pathogenesis of EMZL [19]. After understanding this striking correlation, it was speculated that the B-cell receptor may recognize a specific *H. pylori* antigen, thus triggering a chronic immune reaction, which over time gives rise to malignant transformation. However, specific antigens have not been identified and some evidence shows that the immunoglobulins expressed by gastric MALT lymphoma B-cells are polyreactive [20]. Craig and colleagues showed that a majority of MALT lymphoma in patients are monoclonal, but according to them, the tumor immunoglobulin heavy chain genes have undergone somatic hypermutation, and approximately half of all of their analyzed tumors showed evidence of intraclonal variation and positive and/or negative selective pressure. They found that recombinantly expressed MALT lymphoma antibodies bind with intermediate affinity to various unrelated self- and foreign antigens, including *Helicobacter sonicate*, immunoglobulin G (IgG), DNA, and stomach extract [20]. At an early disease stage, MALT lymphomas are considered indolent tumors with low proliferation rates and minimal risk of progression. Hence, after an undetermined period of indolent growth, MALT lymphomas can acquire genetic alterations (e.g., chromosomal translocations) and progress into more aggressive lymphomas. Of note, until now, it is still not fully understood if these genetic alterations occur over time or if they are already present at lymphomagenesis [19].

Considering the inflammatory background of gastric MALT lymphomas, the eradication of *H. pylori* has been established as a fundamental part of therapy in gastric EMZL [21]. Therefore, the diagnosis of a coexisting *H. pylori* infection plays an important role in the management of MALT lymphoma. Current guidelines recommend performing serology, a urea breath test and/or a stool antigen test for ruling out an infection, even if there is no proof of infection in the immunohistology examination of mucosa biopsies. Some MALT lymphoma patients are still responsive to eradication therapy, even in the case of *H. pylori*-negative disease [22]. One possible explanation for this phenomenon may come from the presence of *Helicobacter* species other than *H. pylori* (*non-Helicobacter pylori Helicobacters*, NHPHs). For example, *Helicobacter heilmannii* s.s has been found in patients with *H. pylori*-negative MALT lymphoma, and in animal models, it has also been shown to cause MALT lymphoma [23,24]. Other species with the potential to trigger MALT lymphoma are *Helicobacter suis*, *Helicobacter felis*, *Helicobacter suis*, *Helicobacter bizzozeronii* and *Helicobacter salomonis* [25–29]. Interestingly, *H. pylori* infection has been shown to activate the p38 MAPK pathway, upregulating PD-1 expression by gastric epithelial cells and reducing immune response [30]. In addition, CagA, a cytotoxin-associated gene A expressed by *H. pylori*, can activate extracellular signal-regulated kinase (ERK) and p38 mitogen-activated protein kinase (MAPK) and upregulate BCL-2 and BCL-xL, leading to the promotion of proliferation and the inhibition of apoptosis of B-lymphocytes [31].

In addition to *H. pylori* infection, several other diseases that are accompanied by chronic inflammation have also been correlated with the occurrence of MALT lymphoma [32]. Patients with autoimmune diseases such as Sjögren's syndrome [33], systemic lupus erythematosus (SLE), rheumatoid arthritis (RA) [34], and Hashimoto's thyroiditis [35]. have been reported to be at higher risk of developing EMZL with or without coexisting infections. In detail, Sjögren syndrome is associated with a 6.6-fold increased risk of NHL overall, a 30-fold increase in the risk of MZL, and a 1000-fold increased risk of parotid gland EMZL [36]. Moreover, SLE is associated with a 2.7-fold increase in the risk of NHL overall and a 7.5-fold increased risk of MZL [37,38]. It is assumed that immunogenic endogenous antigens (auto-antigens) could provide a permanent growth signal for B-cells expressing appropriate BCRs, ultimately leading to increased proliferation with the accumulation of genetic alterations [39]. Moreover, there are other microorganisms associated with the occurrence of EMZL, e.g., *Chlamydia psittaci* [40], for ocular adnexa EMZL or *Campylobacter jejuni* [41] (*C. jejuni*) for small-intestine MZLs (e.g., immunoproliferative small intestinal disease, IPSID, a form of MALT lymphoma). *Achromobacter xylosoxidans* is a Gram-negative bacterium with low virulence whose influence in EMZL-lymphomagenesis remains investigational [42]. Considering its inflammatory background, diagnosing EMZL can be challenging, both from a clinical and pathological point of view, as it can be masked by these concomitant diseases. In the histological workup, surrounding inflammation may be misleading and reactive lesions are a common differential diagnosis [43]. Nevertheless, the evidence of immunoglobulin light chain restriction or clonal IgH rearrangements is usually not found in reactive processes and may be an important diagnostic tool to differentiate these entities [44].

As described above, MALT lymphoma is considered to be an antigen-dependent disease, even though no specific antigens have been yet identified. On a molecular level, prolonged antigen presentation results in binding of B-cell leukemia/lymphoma-10 protein (BCL10) to MALT lymphoma-associated translocation-1(MALT1) protein, resulting in activation of the nuclear factor kappa B (NF- κ B) pathway promoting B-cell survival [45,46]. In *H. pylori*-negative patients, mutations in NF- κ B signaling pathways may be seen in up to 40% of cases, exemplarily TNFAIP3 = 23%, CARD11 = 9%, MAP3K14 = 9% [30]. Even though this is an antigen-dependent process, genetic alterations leading to increased pathway activity may overcome the necessity for antigen stimulation, playing a pivotal role in later MALT lymphomagenesis [47]. Of note, it is not clear at which time point of lymphomagenesis the translocations are acquired. Important chromosomal aberrations

involved in the pathogenesis of EMZL are translocations involving MALT1 t(11;18)(q21;q21), t(14;18)(q32;q21) or t(1;14)(p22;q32) and t(3;14)(p13;q32) and trisomy 3 [48].

Of special clinical interest is the t(11;18)(q21;q21), which has been correlated with poor response to *H. pylori* eradication, even in patients with early-stage disease [49]. In addition, patients with this translocation are mostly *H. pylori*-negative, show a more advanced stage at presentation but at the same time have a lower risk of secondary transformation into diffuse large B cell lymphoma (DLBCL) [50]. Regarding treatment response in this subgroup of patients, a retrospective study with 17 patients showed no influence of these translocations on treatment response to cladribine [51]. Similarly, a phase II study that investigated rituximab and bendamustine as combination therapy, which also included patients with t(11;18), showed no significant differences in treatment response with respect to the presence of this translocation [52]. However, t(11;18) has been correlated with resistance to oral alkylating agents in patients with gastric MALT [53]. Nevertheless, the influence of this mutation on therapy still needs to be prospectively evaluated in larger cohorts.

Forkhead box protein P1 (FOXP1) is a protein located at 3p13 and is responsible for the regulation of the expression of proteins of the FOX family, such as Rag1 and Rag2, which play an important role in the development of B lymphocytes [54]. In detail, t(3;14)(p13;q32) rearranges the FOXP1 gene closer to the IgH gene resulting in an increased expression of the protein. Overexpression of FOXP1 has been correlated with poor clinical outcome in MALT lymphomas and higher risk of secondary transformation into DLBCL [48].

1.2. Clinical Presentation

EMZL may be found as malignant transformed lymphatic tissue in a variety of epithelial tissues, and therefore, clinical presentation is heterogeneous and depends on involved sites. The stomach is the most often affected organ, accounting for approximately 35% of all cases [15]. As mentioned above, this anatomic site is closely related to *H. pylori* infection, and therefore, causal therapy of *H. pylori* plays an important role in the management of patients with gastric MALT lymphoma. In addition, detection of t(11;18) may provide additional information regarding the possible response to antibiotic therapy [49].

Even though it is less common, MALT lymphoma may be found in other parts of the gastrointestinal tract, such as the small intestine, which has been correlated with *C. jejuni* infection [41,55]. In addition, *C. jejuni* has also been correlated with IPSID (also known as alpha chain disease or Mediterranean lymphoma), which is a variant of EMZL that primarily occurs in young adults in the Middle East, North and South Africa, and the Far East. Most patients ultimately relapse with an aggressive B-cell lymphoma. For such patients, treatment is similar to the management of histological transformation of follicular lymphoma into DLBCL, meaning the patient should be treated according to the more aggressive histology as it determines the outcome [56].

Another commonly involved site is the ocular adnexa accounting for approximately 13% of cases. Involvement of this site is often correlated with *C. psittaci* infection [40]. Similar to other MALT lymphomas, it is recommended to look for *C. psittaci* infection in biopsy samples, even though there might be great geographical variation since a correlation between MALT lymphomas of the ocular adnexa and *C. psittaci* infection could not be found in some countries [11,40,49,57,58]. Further anatomical sites that may be affected by MALT lymphoma are the salivary glands (around 8% of cases), which is commonly associated with Sjogren syndrome [59], and the skin (around 9% of cases), which can be associated with *Borrelia burgdorferi* (*B. burgdorferi*) infection. Histopathologic work-up of skin biopsies showing MALT lymphoma should therefore include a search for *B. burgdorferi* infection as recommended by current guidelines [11,60,61]. Of note, there is a case report raising the question as to whether cutaneous MALT might be associated with *Borrelia afzelii* [62]. Lungs (around 9% of cases), the thyroid gland (around 2% of cases), and the mammae (3% of cases) may also be primary sites of MALT lymphoma involvement [1].

Even though the general concepts of therapy are similar for different anatomical sites, therapy might differ slightly due to the heterogeneity of presentation and variety of possible causal agents. Site-specific therapeutic details will be further discussed below.

1.3. Diagnosis, Staging and Prognostic Scores

A biopsy remains the mainstay for the diagnosis of EMZL, which follows the WHO classification [1]. Current guidelines recommend an immunohistochemistry panel including at least CD20, CD10, CD5, CD23, cyclin D1 and IgD with diagnostic evaluation by a specially trained hematopathologist [11]. As mentioned above, if there is diagnostic uncertainty, re-biopsy is indicated to rule out reactive lesions. In the case of gastric EMZL, biopsy material needs to be investigated for the presence of *H. pylori* infection. In analogy to this, infection with *C. jejuni*, *B. burgdorferi* and *C. psittaci* should be excluded in MALT lymphoma biopsies of the small intestine, the skin and the ocular adnexa, respectively. Hepatitis B virus is not commonly involved in the pathogenesis of EMZL; however, due to the risk of viral reactivation during treatment, assessment of HBV serology is also indicated [11]. Even though the hepatitis C virus is most commonly associated with SMZL, performing serological testing for it at the diagnosis of EMZL may also be helpful [63,64]. Important differential diagnoses of EMZL include reactive lesions, nodal and splenic marginal zone and other B-cell lymphomas.

EMZL mostly presents with an indolent clinical course, and taking into account its heterogeneity regarding clinical course, sufficient initial staging is crucial to guide treatment, as local therapy or a watch-and-wait strategy are feasible approaches in many cases. Retrospective data from patients with phase IE primary pulmonary MALT lymphoma showed no differences in OS between patients managed with watch-and-wait and timely immunotherapy or immunochemotherapy [65]. Moreover, watch-and-wait seems to be a safe strategy in patients with minimal histological residuals or even persisting endoscopic abnormalities of gastric MALT lymphoma after successful eradication of *Helicobacter pylori* [66,67]. One large cohort with 108 patients with minimal histological residuals after eradication showed a favorable disease course in 94% of patients with low rates of progression (5%) and transformation (1 patient) during a follow-up time of 42.2 months [67].

Computed tomography (CT) of the chest and abdomen is the current method of choice to determine the dissemination of disease. Radiographic investigation of the salivary glands and orbitae is also recommended since multi organ involvement can be observed. For this, magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) is a sensitive approach; nevertheless, it is not definitively recommended by guidelines [6].

In contrast to DLBCL and Hodgkin lymphoma (HL), in which Fluorine-18 (18F) fluorodeoxyglucose (FDG) positron emission tomography (PET)/computed tomography (CT) is the imaging tool of choice for staging, restaging, and evaluation of treatment response [68], its role in EMZL without histologic transformation is not yet clear. One excessively debated point is the 18F-FDG avidity of EMZL, which can depend on several factors, including the resolution power of the used device and intrinsic characteristics of the tumor [69]. Nevertheless, technical improvements in equipment and growing research efforts have provided promising results. As an example, 18F-FDG-PET/CT can be very sensitive in detecting EMZL lesions in tissues with low homogeneous physiologic [18F] FDG-uptake such as subcutaneous tissue and lungs. In addition, it shows good detection rates for salivary glands and thyroid manifestations [70]. However, its use in gastric EMZL remains investigational since physiological and/or inflammatory 18F-FDG-activity in the stomach can mask malignant lesions [69,71]. Current guidelines suggest its use in cases where only local therapy is intended [11,58].

As EMZL of the lung or intestinal tract can disseminate into the stomach, gastroscopy is recommended [11] in both patient groups as it seems to be exclusive for these [72].

Bone marrow involvement varies from 2 to 20%, and it is especially rare in gastric EMZL (2% of cases). Considering that, routine bone marrow biopsy is not currently

recommended in guidelines; nevertheless, it may be of use in non-gastric EMZL when only local therapy is under consideration [11,72,73].

Similar to follicular lymphoma and HL, the choice of therapy for EMZL varies significantly according to the disease's stage. As EMZL may persist as localized and asymptomatic for longer periods, precise staging is fundamental for follow-up, especially in cases where infectious agents have been eradicated—after local therapy and in cases in which a watch-and-wait strategy is proposed. Currently, there is no consensus regarding a preferable staging system for EMZL [11]. For gastrointestinal EMZL, there are currently three approaches: the Lugano and Paris staging systems and the Ann Arbor classification modified by Musshoff [74,75]. The Lugano system differs from the modified Ann Arbor classification through the inclusion of a stage describing penetration of serosa without lymph node involvement as the IIE stage. However, similarly to the modified Ann Arbor classification, stage II (both II1 and II2) in the Lugano staging system indicates lymph node infiltration [76]. Both classifications are mostly based on radiological findings [77]. The Paris staging system adapts the consolidated TNM classification and describes the lymphoma involvement in more detail with respect to depth of infiltration, nodal involvement and spread [74]. However, this staging system has not yet been confirmed in prospective trials. In the case of skin involvement, the preferred system is the TNM classification of cutaneous lymphoma other than mycosis fungoides and Sézary syndrome [78]. Table 1 summarizes the different staging systems used for gastric EMZL. The Ann Arbor classification continues to be the most used staging system in extra gastrointestinal sites [79].

Table 1. Different staging systems for gastric EMZL.

Anatomic Involvement	Ann Arbor	Lugano Staging	Paris Staging System
Mucosa	I1E	I	T1m N0 M0
Submucosa	I1E	I	T1sm N0 M0
Muscularis propria	I2E	I	T2 N0 M0
Serosa	I2E	I	T3 N0 M0
Penetration of serosa involving adjacent tissues	I2E	IIIE	T4 N0-2 M0
Abdominal local lymph nodes	II1E	II1	T1-3 N1 M0
Abdominal distant lymph nodes	II2E	II2	T1-3 N2 M0
Extra abdominal lymph nodes	IIIE	IV	T1-4 N3 M0
Disseminated extranodal involvement or infra- and supradiaphragmatic lymph nodes	IV	IV	T1-4 N0-3 M1 T1-4 N0-3 M2 T1-4 N0-3 M0-2 BX T1-4 N0-3 M0-2 B0 T1-4 N0-3 M2 B1

Different shades of grey highlight the differences in the stage classification (I, II, III and IV) between Ann Arbor and Lugano staging systems.

The so-called MALT-international prognostic index (MALT-IPI) is a prognostic system developed by the International Extranodal Lymphoma Study Group (IELSG) for patients with EMZL [80]. Similarly to the International Prognostic Index, which is used in DL-BCL [72], this system includes age (70 years or older), Ann Arbor stage (I and II vs. III or IV) and elevated lactate dehydrogenase (LDH) and stratifies patients into three groups: low, intermediate and high. Even though it correlates with event-free survival, its influence on treatment choice is still to be determined. In addition, patients with higher MALT-IPI are more likely to present with the early progression of disease within two years of diagnosis, which was correlated with poorer overall survival in the IELSG19 study [81].

2. Therapy

2.1. General Therapeutic Approaches

The therapeutic options for EMZL are based on three different general principles: watch-and-wait, local therapy and systemic therapy. However, as mentioned above, due to

the important role of chronic inflammation and infection in the pathogenesis of EMZL, a fourth mainstay of management also deserves attention: eradication of causal agent [11,82].

In contrast to aggressive lymphomas, such as DLBCL, where immunochemotherapy is the mainstay of therapy [83], therapeutic options for EMZL may vary and depend on two important factors: stage of disease and primary site of involvement, which confers additional complexity to its management. For example, in gastric EMZL, *H. pylori* eradication is a fundamental aspect of the management and evidence-based recommendation in guidelines; nevertheless, its eradication is not recommended in extra gastric EMZL. In addition, surgery for this anatomic site is not recommended due to high associated morbidity; however, radiotherapy (RT) is an important option for patients with localized and/or residual disease after *H. pylori* eradication. Individual therapeutic options for different sites of involvement and stages will be discussed below.

Due to its indolent behavior, EMZL may remain localized for several years [6]. That explains why local therapy remains an important cornerstone of management, and it is the preferred treatment approach in earlier stages of disease in almost all involved sites.

2.2. Local Therapy

Radiotherapy is the preferred option for local therapy in almost all sites of involvement from EMZL. In gastric EMZL, involved-site radiation therapy (ISRT) has shown very good results with tolerable toxicity, and it is currently the preferred therapy for patients that do not respond to *H. pylori* eradication in several guidelines [11,84].

For other indications, reducing the radiotherapy dose to 24Gy has been shown to be non-inferior to standard doses [85]. Attempts of further reduction to ultra-low doses did not provide the same outcomes, [86]. One small study with 22 patients with ocular adnexal B-cell lymphoma (14 with EMZL) showed an ORR of 100% and a CR of 86% with an ultra-low dose [87]. Nevertheless, the long-term results of the FoRT trial showed higher relapse rates in patients treated with 4Gy. Therefore, 24Gy remains the internationally preferred dose [88]. The role of ultra-low-dose radiotherapy remains reserved for palliative cases [50].

As lymphomas are usually considered systemic diseases, the proposition of surgery as primary therapy seems paradoxical. Nevertheless, localized surgery offers curative potential, and in some anatomical sites, it may reduce disease-associated complications and comorbidity for patients with limited disease. Thyroid, lungs, skin, salivary glands, and intestines are sites where surgery alone might be suitable. In gastric EMZL, however, it is currently not recommended due to the high treatment-associated morbidity.

2.3. Systemic Therapy

Even though systemic therapies are more often used in disseminated stages of diseases, current guidelines also discuss systemic therapy for patients with localized involvement [11,84]. In asymptomatic patients, a watch-and-wait regimen might be feasible until therapy is needed. The decision is, however, patient-oriented, and the exact moment when to initiate systemic therapy depends on several factors. In addition, since advanced stages cannot be cured, enrollment in clinical trials is strongly supported.

In general, more toxic regimens such as those containing anthracycline are not indicated in the first line since sufficient results may be reached with less toxic therapies. Nevertheless, not many agents have been systematically tested in EMZL. Therefore, many recommendations are based on results from small trials or extrapolation of data from other subgroups of the marginal zone or indolent B-cell lymphomas.

Even though several regimens have been tested, mostly in phase II trials, and have proven efficacy in EMZL, there is still no consensus regarding the optimal first-line therapy for these patients.

2.4. Monotherapy Regimens

Continuous single-agent oral chemotherapy is known to be an option for gastric EMZL. Alkylating agents such as cyclophosphamide and chlorambucil have been proven to be efficient as monotherapy in several anatomical sites, with complete responses in around 75% of patients with gastric involvement after 12 months of treatment [89–91]. Of note, some studies have shown that the presence of t(11;18)(q21;q21) may predict poor response to oral alkylating therapies but not to rituximab or cladribine [49,51–53]. One study compared *H. pylori* eradication alone vs. *H. pylori* eradication plus chlorambucil in patients with gastric EMZL. After randomizing 110 patients, they found no differences in recurrence/progression rates after 5 years (11% for chlorambucil, and 21% for observation $p = 0.15$), underlining the importance of *H. pylori* eradication as the treatment of choice in gastric EMZL [92].

Rituximab has also been proven to be efficient in the treatment of EMZL [93,94]. One study with 35 patients showed an overall response rate (ORR) of 73%, which was significantly higher in patients who were chemotherapy naïve (87% vs. 45% $p = 0.03$) [93]. Purine analogs such as cladribine have also shown efficacy in EMZL, with response rates up to 100% and complete remission (CR) of 84% [95].

Lenalidomide has also been investigated in a phase II trial in patients with EMZL. Eighteen patients were enrolled, showing an ORR of 61% with a 6-month treatment schedule [96]. Moreover, late response onset was observed in one retrospective study with a median time to the best response for all responding patients (13 of 25; 53%) of 7.3 months [97]. Long-term follow-up studies showed sustained responses also in combination with rituximab [98]. Both studies have included a relatively large proportion of patients with localized disease. Since this later onset of response has been observed, it seems reasonable to investigate the effect of this drug in patients with lower stages—and without clinical urgency—especially those with contraindications for radiation.

Lenalidomide is an immunomodulatory drug (IMiD) with multiple mechanisms-of-action, meaning that it not only affects cancer cells but also stromal and immune effector cells [99]. Moreover, it has been shown that it inhibits angiogenesis, activates immune-effector cells and shifts cytokine production, all leading to an influence on the tumor microenvironment [99–101]. Its immunomodulatory effect, especially over immune-effector cells, is an important anti-cancer mechanism; nevertheless, as many patients with EMZL may present with concomitant autoimmune diseases, its use may lead to immune-mediated side effects or even disease flare. Of note, in one study of lenalidomide monotherapy, one patient with underlying Sjögren's syndrome developed symptoms consistent with a tumor flare in a parotid MALT lymphoma during later stages of therapy [96]. Nevertheless, four patients had documented autoimmune diseases, which remained clinically unchanged during treatment with lenalidomide.

Clarithromycin has also been shown to be active in patients with MALT lymphoma [102–104]. One phase II study with 23 patients with EMZL without *H. pylori* and *C. psittaci* infections investigated the efficacy and safety profile of clarithromycin. Patients received oral clarithromycin 2 g/day, once daily, days 1–14, every 21 days. Most patients (17/23) had stage I disease, with six patients reaching a CR, and ORR was 53% with good tolerability. Nausea was the commonest side-effect, but it was manageable and did not require dose reduction and QT prolongation was not recorded [103].

2.5. Radioimmunotherapy

In cases where patients are not eligible to receive immunochemotherapy due to age or comorbidities, radioimmunotherapy might be an option as a chemotherapy-free approach. As an example, ^{90}Y radioimmunoconjugate ibritumomab tiuxetan (^{90}YIT) showed efficacy in patients with indolent B-cell lymphomas [105]. A phase II study showed an ORR of 87.5% 12 weeks post-therapy in 16 patients with previously untreated MZL with a 5-year OS of 71.8% [106]. YIT, which is a radio-conjugated murine monoclonal antibody, is FDA-approved for r/r FL or as consolidation in FL after first-line chemotherapy [107].

Moreover, iodine-131 (¹³¹I)-rituximab chimeric anti-CD20 antibody radioimmunotherapy achieved CR rates and a high ORR in patients with relapsed or refractory indolent NHL. In a multicenter phase II study by Leahy et al., an ORR of 76% was shown in 91 patients with r/r indolent NHL [108]. These studies show the efficacy of radioimmunotherapy in MZL as a treatment option in selected cases. Radioimmunotherapy, with its mild toxicity, might be an attractive single-course therapy in MZL patients of older age. However, an initial bone marrow biopsy is mandatory because of the potential of radioimmunotherapy to cause hematologic toxicity due to bone marrow irradiation.

2.6. Combination Therapies

Even though monotherapy is a feasible approach, combination therapy, especially as immunochemotherapy, is of particular interest due to the promise of improved outcomes with small additional toxicity. The IELSG-19 was a large randomized phase III trial in patients with EZML that investigated the combination of rituximab and chlorambucil compared to both compounds as monotherapy [91,109]. In total, 454 patients were included in the study, with a 1:1:1 randomization. Combination therapy led to a significant better event-free survival (EFS) after five years with 51% (95% CI, 42 to 60) for chlorambucil monotherapy, 50% (95% CI, 42 to 59) for rituximab monotherapy, and 68% (95% CI, 60 to 76) for the combination ($p = 0.0009$). Progression-free survival (PFS) was also significantly better with the combination ($p = 0.0119$). Both therapies were well tolerated. As expected, the combination arm had slightly higher hematologic toxicity events. In addition, one phase II study evaluated the efficacy of the combination chlorambucil and rituximab followed by rituximab maintenance every two months for two years with a CR rate of 80%, partial remission (PR) of 13% and 7% progressive disease by the end of treatment [72].

The therapy with rituximab and bendamustine (R-B) has shown efficacy in several indolent lymphomas [110], with one large phase III non-inferiority trial showing a preferable toxicity profile over R-CHOP (rituximab, cyclophosphamide, doxorubicin, vincristine and prednisone) and longer PFS [111]. However, patients with EMZL were not included in this study. To investigate the safety and efficacy of R-B in this entity, a single-arm phase II trial was performed, which included 60 patients with EMZL with gastric, non-gastric and multifocal involvement using a response-adapted strategy [112]. EFS at 2 years was 93% and at 4 years 88%, with no differences between involvement sites. Patients in CR after three cycles received another cycle, for a total of four cycles, and those with PR received three additional cycles, for a total of six cycles. Only 25% received six cycles [112]. Lymphopenia was the most frequently observed adverse event [112]. Further studies have confirmed these findings [113–115], also in pretreated patients [116]. Of note, one large study of an international consortium with 237 EMZL patients showed an ORR of 93.2% and a CR rate of 80%. Patients received a median of six cycles (range 1–8). Importantly, treatment with bendamustine is related to prolonged lymphopenia and risk of infections; *Herpes zoster* was frequently observed in patients treated with this regimen, and therefore, an adequate prophylaxis might be needed until immune reconstitution [114].

Of note, maintenance therapy with rituximab for two years following therapy with R-B demonstrated a statistically significant PFS improvement in comparison with observation in patients with MZL; nevertheless, there were no statistically significant differences in OS between both groups. However, this study did not include patients with EMZL [117].

The combination of rituximab and fludarabine (R-F) has also been investigated in patients with EMZL. One phase II study using a response-adapted strategy (4 × 6 cycles) showed ORR and CR at the end of treatment of 100% and 90%, respectively, with only mild toxicity [118]. On the other hand, a second phase II trial showed important toxicity, with only 58% of patients completing six cycles of therapy and four late toxic deaths due to infection, two related to bone marrow aplasia and two related to myelodysplastic syndrome [119]. ORR was 85% in this study. R-F with the addition of mitoxantrone has also been investigated, showing good ORR and prolonged responses (96.5% and 70.5%

after 9 years, respectively) [120]. Nevertheless, the treatment-related toxicity seems to be higher than in other regimens [121–123].

In addition, one study compared R-B treatment with R-F in patients with relapsed indolent and mantle cell lymphoma, showing better results in the R-B arm. Of note, this study included only 18 patients with MZL, whose subgroups are not specified in the publication [124].

In order to reduce the toxicity of the standard CHOP protocol, the anthracycline-free R-CVP (rituximab, cyclophosphamide, vincristine and prednisone) regimen was investigated in retrospective and phase II studies both in gastric EMZL and advanced MZL (including EMZL) showing good ORR and tolerability [125,126]. Treatment with R-CVP followed by rituximab maintenance has also been tested in a phase II trial with patients with MZL, including EMZL, showing acceptable toxicity profiles with possible improvement of PFS (not a comparative study) of 81% at three years compared to 59% without maintenance [127].

Lenalidomide in combination with rituximab (R2) has also been investigated in patients with MZL. One phase II study including patients with follicular lymphoma ($n = 50$), marginal zone lymphoma ($n = 30$), and small lymphocytic lymphoma ($n = 30$) showed an ORR of 89% and 3-year PFS of 87% for patients with MZL [128]. Of special interest, patients with MZL showed, except for cough, unusual non-hematological AEs compared to patients with FL: cough/dyspnea/pulmonary (63%) and eye irritation (60%) as well as thyroid abnormalities 23%. The AGMT MALT-2 study evaluated the efficacy of R2 in 46 EMZL patients and found an ORR of 80% [129]. Herein, Table 2 shows an overview of immune- and chemotherapy regimens studied in EMZL.

Table 2. Summary of evidence in EMZL.

Author and Year	Substance	Study Type	<i>n</i>	Population	ORR or CR
Hammel 1995 [90]	Chlorambucil or Cyclophosphamide p.o.	Not defined	24	Symptomatic gastric EMZL multiple stages	CR 75%
Simon 2006 [89]	Chlorambucil p.o.	Retrospective	33	Ocular EMZL stage IE	CR 79%
Zucca 2017 [91]	Chlorambucil monotherapy	Open label randomized phase III	131	Multiple stages, gastric and extra gastric EMZL	ORR 85.5%
	Chlorambucil + rituximab		132		ORR 94.7%
	Rituximab monotherapy		138		ORR 78.3%
Conconi 2003 [93]	Rituximab monotherapy	Phase II	34	Multiple stages, gastric and extra gastric EMZL, previously treated and naive	ORR 73%
Jäger 2002 [95]	Cladribine	Phase II	25	Multiple stages, gastric and extra gastric EMZL	ORR 100% CR 84%
Kiesewetter 2013 [96]	Lenalidomide	Phase II	18	Histologically advanced stages gastric and extra gastric EMZL	ORR 61%
Kiesewetter 2019 [98]	Lenalidomide alone or in combination	Real world data	50	Multiple stages, gastric and extra gastric EMZL	ORR 72% CR 48%
Rummel 2005 [110]	Rituximab plus Bendamustine	Phase II	63 (6 EMZL)	Low grade NHL	ORR 83% for MZL
Morigi 2020 [113]	Rituximab plus bendamustine	Retrospective	65 (28 EMZL)	Untreated MZL	ORR 89.3% for EMZL
Alderuccio 2022 [130]	Rituximab plus bendamustine	Mostly retrospective	237	Mostly advanced stage EMZL. Frontline therapy	ORR 93.2% CR 81%
Kiesewetter 2014 [116]	Rituximab plus bendamustine	Retrospective	14	Previously treated EMZL	ORR 92.8% CR 71%
Salar, 2009 [118]	Rituximab plus fludarabine	Phase II	22	Untreated EMZL, multiple stages, gastric and extra gastric	ORR 100% CR 90%

Table 2. Cont.

Author and Year	Substance	Study Type	n	Population	ORR or CR
Brown, 2009 [119]	Rituximab plus fludarabine	Phase II	26 (8 EMZL)	Mostly previously untreated MZL	ORR 85% (only EMZL not available)
Zinzani 2012 [120]	Fludarabine, mitoxantrone, rituximab	Phase II	143 (49 EMZL)	Untreated all stages	ORR 96.5% MZL: ORR 95.5% and CR: 87.4%
Cencini 2018 [123]	Fludarabine, mitoxantrone, rituximab	Retrospective	13	Eradication refractory gastric EMZL	CR 100%
Rummel 2016 [124]	Rituximab plus bendamustine	Phase III non inferiority	114 (10 MZL)	Relapse indolent and mantle-cell lymphomas	General 1Y PFS: 0.76
	Rituximab plus fludarabine		105 (8 MZL)		General 1Y PFS: 0.48
Kang 2012 [125]	Rituximab, Cyclophosphamide, Vincristine and prednisolone	Phase II	40 (28 EMZL; 5 gastric)	First line therapy MZL, stage III and IV	General ORR 88% (Gastric EMZL 100% Non-gastric 87%)
Aguiar-Bujanda 2014 [126]	Rituximab, Cyclophosphamide, Vincristine and prednisolone	Retrospective	20	Gastric EMZL with or without previous eradication; multiple stages	ORR 100% CR 95%
Becnel, 2019 [131]	Lenalidomid plus rituximab	Phase II	30 (11 EMZL)	Untreated MZL stage III/IV	General: 93% EMZL: 88%
Kiesewetter 2017 [129]	Lenalidomid plus rituximab	Phase II	46	Treated and untreated, all stages EMZL	ORR 80%

ORR: overall response rate; CR: complete response; 1Y PFS: one-year progression-free survival.

3. Specific Algorithms per Affected Site

3.1. Gastric EMZL

Due to the high association of gastric EMZL with *H. pylori* (up to 90% of cases in previous years, but currently less frequent), its eradication is a fundamental step in the treatment of gastric EMZL and is therefore still the first choice of therapy [11,58]. Eradication is effective in inducing long-term remission and may induce CR in up to two-thirds of treated patients [132,133]. In addition, there are also reports of successful antibiotic treatment in patients with *H. pylori*-negative gastric EMZL. One possible explanation could be false-negative results in the search for *H. pylori* screening; nevertheless, as mentioned above, infection through *non-H. pylori Helicobacter* species could also be of importance [6,134]. The response rates in these patients to antibiotic eradication vary between cohorts [22,135,136]. One systemic review with a pooled analysis involving 110 patients showed a complete lymphoma regression in 15.5% of patients undergoing eradication therapy [136]. Considering the low-risk profile of eradication therapy compared to other therapy modalities and the growing understanding of *non-H.pylori Helicobacters*, eradication therapy in patients negative for *H. pylori* seems to be a reasonable approach.

Even though eradication is widely accepted, NCCN and ESMO guidelines differ slightly regarding the selection of which patient group should receive antibiotic treatment. In detail, NCCN considers *H. pylori* eradication as the first-line therapy of choice, mostly for patients with early-stage (I1, I2 or II1 Lugano) and *H. pylori*-positive disease and concomitant negativity or unknown status of t(11;18). In patients with *H. pylori*-positive disease and concomitant t(11;18), eradication should be accompanied by ISRT or rituximab treatment if ISRT is contraindicated. In the context of the ongoing COVID-19 pandemic, it is important to take into consideration that B-cell depleting therapies can cause poor antibody production after vaccination against SARS-CoV2 [137]. Moreover, it seems that patients with lymphoma and persistent COVID-19 infection who were treated with B-cell-depleting therapies within the previous 12 months have a nearly double risk of prolonged hospitalization and more than double the risk of death [138]. Patients with early-stage and *H. pylori*-negative disease should receive ISRT. According to the NCCN guidelines, patients with stage II2, IIE and IV do not necessarily have an indication for *H. pylori* eradication. An important rationale for this is the fact that patients with t(11;18), involvement of submucosa or lymph node are less likely to respond to eradication therapy [49,139].

In contrast, ESMO guidelines recommend the eradication a priori for all patients independently of stage, translocation status or *H. pylori* positivity [11]. Of note, a specific therapy should be considered earlier for those patients with higher risk of non-responsiveness if eradication does not show lymphoma regression or if patients present symptoms. Moreover, the ESMO guideline prefers ISRT as local treatment since surgery is accompanied by higher morbidity.

There are several possibilities for antibiotic eradication of *H. pylori*, and the choice should be made according to local resistance profiles [140]. Common regimens for first-line treatments are the triple combination of proton pump inhibitors (PPI) with clarithromycin and amoxicillin or metronidazole [74]. The success of the eradication should be tested 6–8 weeks after starting therapy and at least two weeks after stopping PPI using a urea breath test or testing for antigen in stool [11]. If there is still proof of infection, a second-line eradication therapy should be considered.

Complete lymphoma responses may be observed already after three months after eradication; nevertheless, slower responses are not uncommon. Therefore, reevaluation before two months after treatment is only justifiable if the patients present with clinical worsening or symptoms. Recommended criteria and intervals for follow-up are discussed below. If patients show relevant responses, a systemic therapy does not improve outcome and is therefore not indicated [140]. If patients fail to respond but persist as asymptomatic, watch-and-wait is even a suitable approach. If symptoms are present, a local therapy is normally indicated. After local therapy, therapeutic success should be evaluated. If there is still lymphoma persistence, a systemic therapy is usually indicated.

Another difference between both guidelines is the staging-guided therapy. While ESMO indicates therapy separating stage IV from the others, NCCN includes both II2 and IIE in the Lugano classification as higher stages grouped with stage IV, leading these patients to receive specific interventions more upfront in comparison with the ESMO guidelines. Table 3 summarizes the essential differences between ESMO and NCCN.

Table 3. Differences between European and American guidelines for gastric EMZL.

Differences According to	NCCN	ESMO
<u>Eradication</u>	<p><i>H. Pylori</i> eradication frontline alone only for early-stage, negative t(11;18) and <i>H. pylori</i> positivity</p> <p>Patients with early-stage and t(11;18) should receive eradication followed by ISRT or if contraindicated or not possible rituximab instead</p> <p><i>H. pylori</i>-negative patients should receive ISRT.</p>	<p>Upfront <i>H. Pylori</i> eradication alone for all stages, irrespective of stage and <i>H. pylori</i> status</p> <p>Patients with t(11;18) should receive upfront <i>H. pylori</i> eradication. Earlier local/systemic treatment if lack of improvement or symptoms</p> <p>Upfront <i>H. pylori</i> eradication indicated. Primary local therapy possible. Earlier local/systemic treatment if lack of improvement or symptoms</p>
<u>Staging</u>	Stages II2 and IIE follow the algorithm of stage IV	Stages II2 and IIE follow the algorithm of more localized stages
<u>Follow-up:</u> after antibiotics	<p><i>H. pylori</i> evaluation after 3 months</p> <p>Endoscopic restaging 3 months after antibiotics</p>	<p><i>H. pylori</i> test after 6 weeks starting eradication and 2 weeks after PPI</p> <p>First endoscopic restaging 2–3 after months documentation of <i>H. pylori</i> eradication</p>
If negative for lymphoma	Endoscopy after three months, if persistent negative, follow-up every 3–6 months for 5 years, then yearly	Endoscopy and biopsy every 6 months for 2 years, then every 12–18 months
If residual lymphoma is asymptomatic	Observe for 3 months or ISRT	Observe for 3–6 months

Watch-and-wait is a suitable alternative for asymptomatic patients with advanced-stage disease. Systemic therapy is to be considered if the patient meets any of the indications listed in Table 4.

Table 4. Treatment indications for residual gastric EMZL.

NCCN	ESMO
Candidate for clinical trial	Symptoms
Symptoms	Overt progression
GI bleeding	Deep invasion
Threatened end-organ function	Bulky disease
Bulky disease	Impending organ damage
Steady or rapid progression	Patient preference
Patient preference	

3.2. Non-Gastric EMZL

Intestinal: local therapy in early stages (I and II) with surgery or radiotherapy has curative potential [141]. After surgery, locoregional ISRT may still be useful if there are positive margins, when the risk of collateral damage is acceptable; nevertheless, its use is limited by the ability to target the area of question [58]. Even though *C. jejuni* has been associated with this site of involvement, there is no current indication for antibiotic therapy [11]. Stages III and IV might be only observed, and if patients present with symptoms or other treatment indications, therapy is recommended [140]. Regarding colorectal MALT, there are many reports that show that, even in this case, a cure with antibiotic therapy, including *H. pylori* eradication is possible, even in *H. pylori*-negative cases [142]. However, as the treatment for colorectal MALT lymphoma is not well established, in some cases, endoscopic submucosal dissection (ESD) [143] or endoscopic mucosal resection (EMR) might be a feasible approach to cure small localized rectal MALT lymphomas [144].

Lungs: Since primary lymphomas of the lungs are rare, diagnostic approaches are similar to those of lung carcinomas [145]. Usually, extranodal involvement according to Ann Arbor staging is classified as stage IV; hence, EMZL of the lung (bronchus-associated lymphoid tissue lymphoma, BALT) is usually staged as stage I. As it is an extraordinary slow-growing lymphoma, watchful waiting until pulmonary function is impaired is a feasible approach in this special site [65,146]. Radiotherapy is also possible if the diagnosis is already confirmed. One large retrospective analysis showed that patients receiving local therapy have improved PFS compared to those with systemic therapies [147]. Extended rituximab schedules as a single agent have shown positive results in patients with lung EMZL in case series and case reports [148,149].

Ocular adnexa: Radiotherapy is the therapy of choice for patients with endangered vision and the localized stage [11]. As mentioned above, the preferred dose for radiation is 24 Gy, following the results of the recently published FoRT trial [88]. One retrospective study analyzed efficacy outcomes of patients with primary ocular adnexa EMZ [57]. Of the 70 patients with Ann Arbor stage I disease receiving radiotherapy alone, 68 achieved a CR. Four patients showed a local relapse, eight showed an extra orbital relapse and two patients showed both simultaneously [57]. For patients with the advanced stage, watch-and-wait is suitable if they are asymptomatic [150]. Systemic therapy should only be initiated if symptoms are present [11]. In contrast to primary vitreoretinal B-cell lymphoma, which typically harbors mutations in *MYD88* and *CD79b* [151], fine needle aspiration in EMZL of the ocular adnexa is usually insufficient, and therefore, surgery is the chosen method for diagnosis if clinical suspicion is present [152]. Nevertheless, complete surgical excision may be difficult to perform if the lesion is not restrained to the conjunctiva or lacrimal gland due to the risk of complications and morbidity [152]. In addition, complete excision does not lead to improved survival [150]. One option for patients that are not at risk of acute lymphoma complication is the *C. psittaci* eradication with antibiotics. Upfront doxycycline at 200 mg per day for at least three weeks is a commonly used regimen [153]. Results from

phase II trials have shown ORR of 65% and 5-year PFS of 68% [154,155]. Responses can also be obtained in patients with no evidence of *C. psittaci* in biopsy; nevertheless, response rates are higher amongst those with proof of infection [156]. In addition, intralesional rituximab has shown efficacy in a phase II study [157].

Skin: EMZL of the skin are staged according to the TNM classification system for primary cutaneous lymphomas other than mycosis fungoides and Sézary syndrome. The T classification for cutaneous lymphoma reflects the extent/distribution of primary cutaneous involvement consistent with the definition of “T classification” by the AJCC/UICC (ranging from T1–T3b) [158]. For stage T1a lesions, both radiotherapy or surgery are curative treatment options. Topical therapy has shown efficacy only in small series, and its use may be advantageous for symptom control [159]. ESMO guidelines suggest watch-and-wait for all patients with stages other than T1a if asymptomatic. Patients with T1b and T2a with symptoms can receive local therapy with surgery or radiotherapy. One retrospective analysis showed no significant differences between patients undergoing surgery or radiotherapy, even though surgery was associated with more local relapses [160]. NCCN guidelines consider radiotherapy as the preferred treatment option [161]. Moreover, local therapy with intralesional rituximab application has been investigated and may be an option in particular cases [162]. In the ESMO guidelines, patients with lesions T2b are encompassed together with T3, and therefore, systemic therapy may be offered earlier if patients are symptomatic [73]. Even though *B. burgdoferi* has been associated with skin EMZL, there is no indication for antibiotic treatment in these patients. Even though there are anecdotal reports of lymphoma regression after antibiotic treatment [60], results are still conflicting [163].

Salivary glands: radiotherapy has shown consistent results for patients with limited disease. One retrospective study found no differences in patients treated with radiotherapy, surgery or both [164]. Nevertheless, another retrospective study from Stanford with 30 patients with different head and neck indolent lymphomas showed statistically significant longer freedom from local progression in those patients who received RT compared to those who did not [165]. They recommend a dose of 30–30.6 Gray (Gy) in 1.5–1.8 Gy fractions delivered to the primary tumor site and regional nodes for early-stage to prevent tumor progression. In addition, one randomized trial with 39 patients with early-stage EMZL of the parotid glands showed no statistically significant differences between patients receiving RT alone or combined with adjuvant chemotherapy (CVP), with CR rates of 100% [166]. In patients with Sjögren syndrome and salivary gland EMZL, rituximab might be a valuable choice since it is efficient in both diseases [167].

Thyroid: patients with localized disease benefit from both surgery and radiotherapy. Moderate-dose RT achieved CR in 84 of 85 patients in one retrospective trial with patients with different EMZL [82]. Thyroidectomy has also shown good outcomes in patients with stage IE and together with radiation led to CR in 90% of patients [168,169]. Current guidelines recommend both surgery and radiotherapy for stage I. In patients with stage II, chemotherapy and radiation have been shown to be effective [168].

4. Follow-Up and Assessment of Therapeutical Success

Considering that watch-and-wait is a feasible and common strategy in the management of EMZL, adequate follow-up is necessary. Current guidelines recommend clinical, laboratory and radiological monitoring every 6 months [11]. Those patients with non-gastric EMZL who have completed their therapies can be followed according to the recommendations for other lymphomas.

In patients with gastric EMZL, the response should be accessed using the groupe d’ Etude des Lymphomes de l’ Adulte (GELA) scoring system because of its reproducibility and high degree of inter-observer agreement [170]. The recommended interval for reassessment after antibiotic treatment and/or local therapy/upfront rituximab is 3–6 months [11,84]. If there is no residual disease, patients should be followed up with endoscopy and histology. NCCN guidelines recommend follow-up endoscopy every 3–6 months for 5 years and then yearly

if the patients reach a CR, whereas European guidelines recommend follow-up endoscopy every 6 months for 2 years followed by every 12–18 months. Of note, prolonged follow-up is especially important considering that chronic inflammation may increase the risk of secondary stomach cancer [171].

5. Novel Agents and Future Perspectives

Considering the indolent nature of EMZL, the possibility of chemotherapy-free regimens raises special attention due to its reduced toxicity and lower risk of secondary malignancies. Especially in the era of targeted therapies, a number of novel agents have been investigated for the treatment of lymphomas.

5.1. Bruton Kinase Inhibitors (BTK)

Ibrutinib has been investigated in a variety of indolent NHL, demonstrating positive results [172–174]. In MZL, one open-label phase II study that included 63 previously treated patients (32 with EMZL) investigated the efficacy of ibrutinib 560 mg as monotherapy [175]. The ORR in this cohort was 48% with a median PFS of 14.2 months and a discontinuation rate of 17%. Regarding safety, grade 3 or more infections occurred in 19% of patients (the most common treatment-emergent serious AEs were pneumonia (8%) and bleeding). AEs occurred in 59%, including one major bleeding leading to death. Recently, the long-term follow-up of this study showed an ORR of 58% after a median follow-up of 33.1 months. The median duration of response was 27.6 months, and the median OS had not been reached, underlining the potential of BTKi in the treatment of MZL. Of note, ORR for nodal, extranodal and splenic MZL was 47%, 63% and 62%, respectively [176]. Of note, ORR for chemotherapy-naïve patients treated with prior single-agent rituximab is better than for patients with prior chemoimmunotherapy (81% vs. 51%), including a CR rate of 19% vs. 8%. Response deepened over time; ORR 48% at 1 year to 58% at 3 years with CR rates 5% at 1 year to 10% at 3 years. These results led to the FDA approval for the treatment of relapsed and refractory (R/R) MZL [177]. The irreversible BTK inhibitor zanubrutinib has also been tested in patients with MZL. The phase II trial MAGNOLIA enrolled 68 patients with relapsed/refractory MZL, of which 26 had EMZL [178]. The ORR was 74.2% with a CR rate of 25.8%; median PFS at 15 months was 82.5%, and four patients discontinued treatment due to AEs, none of which was treatment related [178]. This trial graded the FDA approval for R/R MZL in 2021 [179]. Acalabrutinib is currently being investigated in patients with MZL in a series of ongoing clinical trials: NCT04646395 is a phase II study of the IELSG that aims to assess the efficacy of the combination of acalabrutinib and tafasitamab, an anti-CD19 monoclonal antibody, in patients with MZL. In addition, NCT02180711 aims to investigate acalabrutinib alone or as a combination therapy (with rituximab and/or lenalidomide) in indolent NHL (iNHL) (R/R FL and MZL).

5.2. Phosphatidylinositol 3-Kinase (PI3K) Inhibitors

As the PI3K pathway is involved in the activation of NF- κ B, which seems to play an important role in the lymphomagenesis of MZL, its inhibition has also been investigated in MZL [64]. Idelalisib, the first PI3K inhibitor, which targets PI3K δ and PI3K ϵ , was tested in a phase II study that enrolled 142 patients with R/R indolent B-cell lymphomas. In total, 15 patients with MZL were included [180]. The individual response rates for these patient group was not available; nevertheless, a later-published analysis revealed an ORR of 47%. Nine patients had EMZL, of which four had a PR and one had a CR [181]. More recently, other PI3K inhibitors have been tested in the context of iNHL but are not yet approved for the treatment of MZL: duvelisib and copanlisib. The first inhibits PI3K γ , along with PI3K δ and other isoforms, whereas the latter inhibits PI3K δ and PI3K α preferentially [64]. Duvelisib showed an ORR of 47% in a phase II clinical trial with 129 patients with R/R indolent lymphomas. It included 18 patients with MZL that showed an ORR of 38.9% [182]. Copanlisib was also evaluated in 142 patients with relapsed/refractory indolent B-cell lymphomas, of which 23 (16%) had MZL. ORR was 59% in the general population and 70%

in MZL patients.% [183]. Non-infectious pneumonitis was the most common drug-related adverse event leading to discontinuation (11 in total with 5 discontinuations), followed by lung infection and hyperglycemia [183]. One ongoing phase II clinical trial (NCT03474744) is currently investigating the combination of copanlisib and rituximab in the treatment of patients with MZL. Moreover, umbralisib, which inhibits PI3K δ and CK1 ϵ was investigated in a phase II trial in MZL. Sixty-nine patients with R/R MZL were included, of which 38 had EMZL. ORR was 49.3% with a CR rate of 15.9%, and the median DOR and PFS was not reached. ORR for EMZL was 45%. The most common grade ≥ 3 TEAEs leading to discontinuation were diarrhea (6, 2.9%) and ALT/AST increase (5, 2.4%) [184]. Based on the results of this trial, the FDA granted its accelerated approval for this indication [185].

5.3. B-Cell Lymphoma-2 (BCL2) Inhibitors

Venetoclax, a BCL2 inhibitor, as monotherapy, has only been investigated in MZL in one phase I trial in patients with NHL, which only included three patients with MZL [186]. The combination therapy with ibrutinib was more extensively investigated for this indication in a phase II study that included 12 patients, 7 of which had EMZL. Seven patients had R/R EMZL and five were treatment naïve. At week 16, ORR was 58% (7/12) evaluated with CT and 84% (10/12) evaluated with PET/CT. One patient discontinued venetoclax due to drug-induced hepatitis [187].

5.4. CAR-T Cell Therapy

Chimeric antigen receptor (CAR) T-cell therapy has shown promising results in aggressive lymphoma and acute lymphoblastic leukemia. Currently, several ongoing studies are investigating the efficacy of CAR-T products in indolent lymphomas too. Exemplary, ZUMA-5 [188], a phase II study of axicabtagene ciloleucel in patients with R/R iNHL recently published the results of 148 patients who received the product, including 24 patients with MZL. ORR was 92% among efficacy-evaluable patients with iNHL ($n = 104$), with a CR rate of 76%. In those with MZL ($n = 20$), the ORR was 85% (60% CR rate) and median PFS was 11.8 months, and the estimated 12-month OS rate was 92.9% after a median follow-up of 12.1 months. Serious adverse events were observed in 74 (50%) patients. Death occurred in four patients, with one treatment-related death [188].

The ongoing TRANSCEND FL study (NCT04245839) with lisocabtagene maraleucel, which includes patients with R/R MZL and follicular lymphoma treated, might help to define the role of CAR T-cells in MZL as the results of ZUMA-5 regarding MZL might have been a little bit disappointing, the ORR was 85% (60% CR rate) compared to the higher ORR in patients with FL with an ORR of 94% (80% CR rate), and there was a higher rate of grade ≥ 3 neurological toxicity in MZL compared to the FL cohort of the same study (15%). Moreover, the median axicabtagene ciloleucel-associated toxicity was higher in patients with MZL than in those with FL.

5.5. Checkpoint Inhibitors

Even though therapy with checkpoint inhibitors might be promising for NHL, there is still no current evidence supporting its use. Several ongoing clinical trials are evaluating the efficacy of checkpoint inhibition alone (NCT03498612) or in combination for patients with NHL, including MZL (NCT02332980).

6. Conclusions

With a mostly indolent behavior, EMZL allows for different therapeutic modalities with a good 5-year OS across multiple locations. Local therapy remains the standard of care in the early stages showing good results. In gastric lymphoma *H. pylori*, eradication is possible in all stages, and it is the treatment of choice, especially in those cases with proof of infection. Hence, eradication (antibiotics) is still a feasible first approach even in *H.p.*-negative gastric MALT lymphoma. Watch-and-wait is suitable in many cases, especially in compliant patients, in whom restaging can be performed in regular intervals as there is a—

even though small—risk of histological transformation into a more aggressive lymphoma and/or of loss of follow-up [14]. Even though there is no consensus regarding first-line therapy in more advanced stages, several agents in monotherapy or in combination have proven efficacy and a tolerable safety profile. Due to the usually good prognosis of the disease, choosing adequate therapy is still challenging since a wide variety of individual patient and disease aspects have to be taken into consideration. Nevertheless, even though we are in the era of personalized oncology, the role of molecular markers in EMZL is still small and needs to be further addressed. In addition, as most studies regarding systemic therapies are non-comparative and included inhomogeneous populations, more specific conclusions regarding the efficacy of these systemic therapies are limited. Therefore, there is still a need for comparative studies that might bring more light to the decision-making process. In addition, the role of newer imaging modalities such as FDG-PET/CT, which is well established in aggressive B-cell lymphoma, is yet to be better defined, especially as there might be differences regarding overall detection rates in involved sites.

As small molecules, immunotherapy and targeted therapy changed the treatment landscape for not only B-cell lymphoma but for oncology/hematology-oncology in general, and future studies should include these agents as single-agent or combined approaches especially in patients not eligible for local therapy. Moreover, as phase III trials provide the highest level of evidence, efforts should be undertaken to include more patients in these kinds of trials.

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, D.K.-M. and I.A.K.; writing—original draft preparation, I.A.K. and D.K.-M.; writing—review and editing, L.T., M.B. and K.C.; visualization, I.A.K.; supervision, L.T. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This research received no external funding.

Conflicts of Interest: Disclosure: D.K.-M. received honoraria for advisory board member and speaker honoraria from Janssen, Sanofi, Abbvie, Gilead, Novartis and travel support from Janssen, Gilead, Novartis, Takeda. L.T. reports grants from the non-profit Wilhelm Sander Foundation, personal fees from EUSA Pharma and AstraZeneca, and non-financial support from AbbVie, EUSA Pharma, and Janssen. K.C. declares no conflict of interest. M.B. received travel support from Abbvie and grants from the non-profit Deutsche José-Carreras Leukaemie Stiftung. I.A.K. declares no conflict of interest.

References

1. Swerdlow, S.H.; Campo, E.; Pileri, S.A.; Harris, N.L.; Stein, H.; Siebert, R.; Advani, R.; Ghielmini, M.; Salles, G.A.; Zelenetz, A.D.; et al. The 2016 revision of the World Health Organization classification of lymphoid neoplasms. *Blood* **2016**, *127*, 2375–2390. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
2. Kost, C.B.; Holden, J.T.; Mann, K.P. Marginal zone B-cell lymphoma: A retrospective immunophenotypic analysis. *Cytometry B Clin. Cytom.* **2008**, *74*, 282–286. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
3. van den Brand, M.; Han, J.; van Krieken, J.M. Recognizing nodal marginal zone lymphoma: Recent advances and pitfalls. A systematic review. *Haematologica* **2013**, *98*, 1003–1013. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
4. Asatiani, E.; Cohen, P.; Ozdemirli, M.; Kessler, C.M.; Mavromatis, B.; Cheson, B.D. Monoclonal gammopathy in extranodal marginal zone lymphoma (ENMZL) correlates with advanced disease and bone marrow involvement. *Am. J. Hematol.* **2004**, *77*, 144–146. [[CrossRef](#)]
5. Cross, M.; Dearden, C. Hairy Cell Leukaemia. *Curr. Oncol. Rep.* **2020**, *22*. [[CrossRef](#)]
6. Zucca, E.; Bertoni, F. The spectrum of MALT lymphoma at different sites: Biological and therapeutic relevance. *Blood* **2016**, *127*, 2082–2092. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
7. Dierlamm, J.; Pittaluga, S.; Wlodarska, I.; Stul, M.; Thomas, J.; Boogaerts, M.; Michaux, L.; Driessen, A.; Mecucci, C.; Cassiman, J. Marginal Zone B-Cell Lymphomas of Different Sites Share Similar Cytogenetic and Morphologic Features. *Blood* **1996**, *87*, 299–307. [[CrossRef](#)]
8. Olszewski, A.J.; Castillo, J.J. Survival of patients with marginal zone lymphoma. *Cancer* **2013**, *119*, 629–638. [[CrossRef](#)]
9. Armitage, J.O. A clinical evaluation of the International Lymphoma Study Group classification of non-Hodgkin's lymphoma. *Blood* **1997**, *89*, 3909–3918. [[CrossRef](#)]
10. Nakamura, S.; Ponzoni, M. Marginal zone B-cell lymphoma: Lessons from Western and Eastern diagnostic approaches. *Pathology* **2020**, *52*, 15–29. [[CrossRef](#)]

11. Zucca, E.; Arcaini, L.; Buske, C.; Johnson, P.W.; Ponzoni, M.; Raderer, M.; Ricardi, U.; Salar, A.; Stamatopoulos, K.; Thieblemont, C.; et al. Marginal zone lymphomas: ESMO Clinical Practice Guidelines for diagnosis, treatment and follow-up. *Ann. Oncol. Off. J. Eur. Soc. Med. Oncol.* **2020**, *31*, 17–29. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
12. van de Schans, S.A.M.; van Steenberghe, L.N.; Coebergh, J.W.W.; Janssen-Heijnen, M.L.G.; van Spronsen, D.J. Actual prognosis during follow-up of survivors of B-cell non-Hodgkin lymphoma in the Netherlands. *Haematologica* **2014**, *99*, 339. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
13. Sant, M.; Minicozzi, P.; Mounier, M.; Anderson, L.A.; Brenner, H.; Holleczeck, B.; Marcos-Gragera, R.; Maynadié, M.; Monnereau, A.; Osca-Gelis, G.; et al. Survival for haematological malignancies in Europe between 1997 and 2008 by region and age: Results of EURO CARE-5, a population-based study. *Lancet Oncol.* **2014**, *15*, 931–942. [[CrossRef](#)]
14. Alderuccio, J.P.; Zhao, W.; Desai, A.; Gallastegui, N.; Ramdial, J.; Kimble, E.; de la Fuente, M.I.; Rosenblatt, J.D.; Chapman, J.R.; Vega, F.; et al. Risk Factors for Transformation to Higher-Grade Lymphoma and Its Impact on Survival in a Large Cohort of Patients with Marginal Zone Lymphoma From a Single Institution. *J. Clin. Oncol.* **2018**, *36*, 3370–3380. [[CrossRef](#)]
15. Cerhan, J.R.; Habermann, T.M. Epidemiology of Marginal Zone Lymphoma. *Ann. Lymphoma* **2021**, *5*, 1. [[CrossRef](#)]
16. Khalil, M.O.; Morton, L.M.; Devesa, S.S.; Check, D.P.; Curtis, R.E.; Weisenburger, D.D.; Dores, G.M. Incidence of marginal zone lymphoma in the United States, 2001–2009 with a focus on primary anatomic site. *Br. J. Haematol.* **2014**, *165*, 67–77. [[CrossRef](#)]
17. Miguel Juárez-Salcedo, L.; Sokol, L.; Chavez, J.C.; Dalia, S. Primary Gastric Lymphoma, Epidemiology, Clinical Diagnosis, and Treatment. *Cancer Control* **2018**, *25*, 1073274818778256. [[CrossRef](#)]
18. Thieblemont, C.; Bastion, Y.; Berger, F.; Rieux, C.; Salles, G.; Dumontet, C.; Felman, P.; Coiffier, B. Mucosa-associated lymphoid tissue gastrointestinal and nongastrointestinal lymphoma behavior: Analysis of 108 patients. *J. Clin. Oncol.* **2016**, *15*, 1624–1630. [[CrossRef](#)]
19. Marcelis, L.; Tousseyn, T.; Sagaert, X. MALT Lymphoma as a Model of Chronic Inflammation-Induced Gastric Tumor Development. *Curr. Top. Microbiol. Immunol.* **2019**, *421*, 77–106. [[CrossRef](#)]
20. Craig, V.J.; Arnold, I.; Gerke, C.; Huynh, M.Q.; Wündisch, T.; Neubauer, A.; Renner, C.; Falkow, S.; Müller, A. Gastric MALT lymphoma B cells express polyreactive, somatically mutated immunoglobulins. *Blood* **2010**, *115*, 581–591. [[CrossRef](#)]
21. Jung, K.; Kim, D.H.; Seo, H., II; Gong, E.J.; Bang, C.S. Efficacy of eradication therapy in *Helicobacter pylori*-negative gastric mucosa-associated lymphoid tissue lymphoma: A meta-analysis. *Helicobacter* **2021**, *26*. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
22. Shi, Y.; Qin, Y.; Zhao, S.; Hu, P.; Zeng, X.; Zhang, X.; Jiang, W.; Liu, S.; Liu, E.; Chai, K.; et al. Treatment outcome for gastric mucosa-associated lymphoid tissue lymphoma with *Helicobacter Pylori* negative. *Ann. Oncol.* **2019**, *30*, ix91. [[CrossRef](#)]
23. O'Rourke, J.L.; Dixon, M.F.; Jack, A.; Enno, A.; Lee, A. Gastric B-cell mucosa-associated lymphoid tissue (MALT) lymphoma in an animal model of “*Helicobacter heilmannii*” infection. *J. Pathol.* **2004**, *203*, 896–903. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
24. Morgner, A.; Lehn, N.; Andersen, L.P.; Thiede, C.; Bennedsen, M.; Trebesius, K.; Neubauer, B.; Neubauer, A.; Stolte, M.; Bayerdörffer, E. *Helicobacter heilmannii*-associated primary gastric low-grade MALT lymphoma: Complete remission after curing the infection. *Gastroenterology* **2000**, *118*, 821–828. [[CrossRef](#)]
25. Matos, R.; Taillieu, E.; De Bruyckere, S.; De Witte, C.; Réma, A.; Santos-Sousa, H.; Nogueiro, J.; Reis, C.A.; Carneiro, F.; Haesebrouck, F.; et al. Presence of *Helicobacter* Species in Gastric Mucosa of Human Patients and Outcome of *Helicobacter* Eradication Treatment. *J. Pers. Med.* **2022**, *12*, 181. [[CrossRef](#)]
26. Yasuda, T.; Lee, H.S.; Nam, S.Y.; Katoh, H.; Ishibashi, Y.; Yamagata Murayama, S.; Matsui, H.; Masuda, H.; Rimbara, E.; Sakurazawa, N.; et al. Non-*Helicobacter pylori* *Helicobacter* (NHPH) positive gastric cancer. *Sci. Rep.* **2022**, *12*. [[CrossRef](#)]
27. Ørverby, A.; Murayama, S.Y.; Michimae, H.; Suzuki, H.; Suzuki, M.; Serizawa, H.; Tamura, R.; Nakamura, S.; Takahashi, S.; Nakamura, M. Prevalence of Gastric Non-*Helicobacter pylori*-*Helicobacters* in Japanese Patients with Gastric Disease. *Digestion* **2017**, *95*, 61–66. [[CrossRef](#)]
28. Takigawa, H.; Yuge, R.; Masaki, S.; Otani, R.; Kadota, H.; Naito, T.; Hayashi, R.; Urabe, Y.; Oka, S.; Tanaka, S.; et al. Involvement of non-*Helicobacter pylori* *Helicobacter* infections in *Helicobacter pylori*-negative gastric MALT lymphoma pathogenesis and efficacy of eradication therapy. *Gastric Cancer* **2021**, *24*, 937–945. [[CrossRef](#)]
29. Nakamura, M.; Ørverby, A.; Michimae, H.; Matsui, H.; Takahashi, S.; Mabe, K.; Shimoyama, T.; Sasaki, M.; Terao, S.; Kamada, T.; et al. PCR analysis and specific immunohistochemistry revealing a high prevalence of non-*Helicobacter pylori* *Helicobacters* in *Helicobacter pylori*-negative gastric disease patients in Japan: High susceptibility to an Hp eradication regimen. *Helicobacter* **2020**, *25*, e12700. [[CrossRef](#)]
30. Lina, T.T.; Alzahrani, S.; House, J.; Yamaoka, Y.; Sharpe, A.H.; Rampy, B.A.; Pinchuk, I.V.; Reyes, V.E. *Helicobacter pylori* cag Pathogenicity Island’s Role in B7-H1 Induction and Immune Evasion. *PLoS ONE* **2015**, *10*, e0121841. [[CrossRef](#)]
31. Lin, W.C.; Tsai, H.F.; Kuo, S.H.; Wu, M.S.; Lin, C.W.; Hsu, P.I.; Cheng, A.L.; Hsu, P.N. Translocation of *Helicobacter pylori* CagA into Human B lymphocytes, the origin of mucosa-associated lymphoid tissue lymphoma. *Cancer Res.* **2010**, *70*, 5740–5748. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
32. Sagaert, X.; De Wolf-Peeters, C.; Noels, H.; Baens, M. The pathogenesis of MALT lymphomas: Where do we stand? *Leukemia* **2007**, *21*, 389–396. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
33. Ihrler, S.; Baretton, G.B.; Menauer, F.; Blasenbren-Vogt, S.; Löhrens, U. Sjögren’s Syndrome and MALT Lymphomas of Salivary Glands: A DNA-Cytometric and Interphase-Cytogenetic Study. *Mod. Pathol.* **2000**, *13*, 4–12. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
34. Hansen, A.; Lipsky, P.E.; Dörner, T. B-cell lymphoproliferation in chronic inflammatory rheumatic diseases. *Nat. Clin. Pract. Rheumatol.* **2007**, *3*, 561–569. [[CrossRef](#)]

35. Troch, M.; Woehrer, S.; Streubel, B.; Weissel, M.; Hoffmann, M.; Müllauer, L.; Chott, A.; Raderer, M. Chronic autoimmune thyroiditis (Hashimoto's thyroiditis) in patients with MALT lymphoma. *Ann. Oncol. Off. J. Eur. Soc. Med. Oncol.* **2008**, *19*, 1336–1339. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
36. Retamozo, S.; Brito-Zerón, P.; Ramos-Casals, M. Prognostic markers of lymphoma development in primary Sjögren syndrome. *Lupus* **2019**, *28*, 923–936. [[CrossRef](#)]
37. Zintzaras, E.; Voulgarelis, M.; Moutsopoulos, H.M. The risk of lymphoma development in autoimmune diseases: A meta-analysis. *Arch. Intern. Med.* **2005**, *165*, 2337–2344. [[CrossRef](#)]
38. Abu-Shakra, M.; Gladman, D.D.; Urowitz, M.B. Malignancy in systemic lupus erythematosus. *Arthritis Rheum.* **1996**, *39*, 1050–1054. [[CrossRef](#)]
39. Thurner, L.; Hartmann, S.; Neumann, F.; Hoth, M.; Stilgenbauer, S.; Küppers, R.; Preuss, K.-D.; Bewarder, M. Role of Specific B-Cell Receptor Antigens in Lymphomagenesis. *Front. Oncol.* **2020**, *10*, 604685. [[CrossRef](#)]
40. Ferreri, A.J.M.; Guidoboni, M.; Ponzoni, M.; De Conciliis, C.; Dell'Oro, S.; Fleischhauer, K.; Caggiari, L.; Lettini, A.A.; Dal Cin, E.; Ieri, R.; et al. Evidence for an Association between Chlamydia psittaci and Ocular Adnexal Lymphomas. *JNCI J. Natl. Cancer Inst.* **2004**, *96*, 586–594. [[CrossRef](#)]
41. Lecuit, M.; Abachin, E.; Martin, A.; Poyart, C.; Pochart, P.; Suarez, F.; Bengoufa, D.; Feuillard, J.; Lavergne, A.; Gordon, J.I.; et al. Immunoproliferative Small Intestinal Disease Associated with *Campylobacter jejuni*. *N. Engl. J. Med.* **2009**, *350*, 239–248. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
42. Adam, P.; Czapiewski, P.; Colak, S.; Kosmidis, P.; Tousseyn, T.; Sagaert, X.; Boudova, L.; Okoń, K.; Morresi-Hauf, A.; Agostinelli, C.; et al. Prevalence of *Achromobacter xylosoxidans* in pulmonary mucosa-associated lymphoid tissue lymphoma in different regions of Europe. *Br. J. Haematol.* **2014**, *164*, 804–810. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
43. Wotherspoon, A. Pathology of extranodal marginal zone lymphoma at different anatomic sites. *Ann. Lymphoma* **2020**, *4*, 15. [[CrossRef](#)]
44. Hummel, M.; Oeschger, S.; Barth, T.F.E.; Loddenkemper, C.; Cogliatti, S.B.; Marx, A.; Wacker, H.H.; Feller, A.C.; Bernd, H.W.; Hansmann, M.L.; et al. Wotherspoon criteria combined with B cell clonality analysis by advanced polymerase chain reaction technology discriminates covert gastric marginal zone lymphoma from chronic gastritis. *Gut* **2006**, *55*, 782–787. [[CrossRef](#)]
45. Du, M.Q. MALT lymphoma: A paradigm of NF-κB dysregulation. *Semin. Cancer Biol.* **2016**, *39*, 49–60. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
46. Sagaert, X.; Laurent, M.; Baens, M.; Wlodarska, I.; De Wolf-Peeters, C. MALT1 and BCL10 aberrations in MALT lymphomas and their effect on the expression of BCL10 in the tumour cells. *Mod. Pathol.* **2006**, *19*, 225–232. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
47. Bertoni, F.; Zucca, E. Delving deeper into MALT lymphoma biology. *J. Clin. Investig.* **2006**, *116*, 22–26. [[CrossRef](#)]
48. Sagaert, X.; De Paepe, P.; Libbrecht, L.; Vanhentenrijk, V.; Verhoef, G.; Thomas, J.; Wlodarska, I.; De Wolf-Peeters, C. Forkhead box protein P1 expression in mucosa-associated lymphoid tissue lymphomas predicts poor prognosis and transformation to diffuse large B-cell lymphoma. *J. Clin. Oncol.* **2006**, *24*, 2490–2497. [[CrossRef](#)]
49. Liu, H.; Ye, H.; RuskoneFourmestraux, A.; De Jong, D.; Pileri, S.; Thiede, C.; Lavergne, A.; Boot, H.; Caletti, G.; Wündisch, T.; et al. T(11;18) is a marker for all stage gastric MALT lymphomas that will not respond to *H. pylori* eradication. *Gastroenterology* **2002**, *122*, 1286–1294. [[CrossRef](#)]
50. Conconi, A.; Franceschetti, S.; Aprile von Hohenstaufen, K.; Margiotta-Casaluci, G.; Stathis, A.; Moccia, A.A.; Bertoni, F.; Ramponi, A.; Mazzucchelli, L.; Cavalli, F.; et al. Histologic transformation in marginal zone lymphomas†. *Ann. Oncol. Off. J. Eur. Soc. Med. Oncol.* **2015**, *26*, 2329–2335. [[CrossRef](#)]
51. Streubel, B.; Ye, H.; Du, M.Q.; Isaacson, P.G.; Chott, A.; Raderer, M. Translocation t(11;18)(q21;q21) Is Not Predictive of Response to Chemotherapy with 2CdA in Patients with Gastric MALT Lymphoma. *Oncology* **2004**, *66*, 476–480. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
52. Salar, A.; Domingo-Domech, E.; Panizo, C.; Nicolás, C.; Bargay, J.; Muntañola, A.; Canales, M.; Bello, J.L.; Sancho, J.M.; Tomás, J.F.; et al. Long-term results of a phase 2 study of rituximab and bendamustine for mucosa-associated lymphoid tissue lymphoma. *Blood* **2017**, *130*, 1772–1774. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
53. Lévy, M.; Copie-Bergman, C.; Gameiro, C.; Chaumette, M.T.; Delfau-Larue, M.H.; Haioun, C.; Charachon, A.; Hemery, F.; Gaulard, P.; Leroy, K.; et al. Prognostic value of translocation t(11;18) in tumoral response of low-grade gastric lymphoma of mucosa-associated lymphoid tissue type to oral chemotherapy. *J. Clin. Oncol.* **2005**, *23*, 5061–5066. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
54. Goatly, A.; Bacon, C.M.; Nakamura, S.; Ye, H.; Kim, I.; Brown, P.J.; Ruskoné-Fourmestraux, A.; Cervera, P.; Streubel, B.; Banham, A.H.; et al. FOXP1 abnormalities in lymphoma: Translocation breakpoint mapping reveals insights into deregulated transcriptional control. *Mod. Pathol.* **2008**, *21*, 902–911. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
55. Parsonnet, J.; Isaacson, P.G. Bacterial Infection and MALT Lymphoma. *N. Engl. J. Med.* **2004**, *350*, 213–225. [[CrossRef](#)]
56. Al-Saleem, T.; Al-Mondhiry, H. Immunoproliferative small intestinal disease (IPSID): A model for mature B-cell neoplasms. *Blood* **2005**, *105*, 2274–2280. [[CrossRef](#)]
57. Bayraktar, S.; Bayraktar, U.D.; Stefanovic, A.; Lossos, I.S. Primary Ocular Adnexal MALT Lymphoma: Single institution experience in a large cohort of patients. *Br. J. Haematol.* **2011**, *152*, 72. [[CrossRef](#)]
58. National Comprehensive Cancer Network. NCC Clinical Practice Guidelines in Oncology—B-Cell Lymphomas. Available online: https://www.nccn.org/professionals/physician_gls/pdf/b-cell.pdf (accessed on 11 February 2022).
59. Alunno, A.; Leone, M.C.; Giacomelli, R.; Gerli, R.; Carubbi, F. Lymphoma and lymphomagenesis in primary Sjögren's syndrome. *Front. Med.* **2018**, *5*, 102. [[CrossRef](#)]

60. Roggero, E.; Zucca, E.; Mainetti, C.; Bertoni, F.; Valsangiacomo, C.; Pedrinis, E.; Borisch, B.; Piffaretti, J.C.; Cavalli, F.; Isaacson, P.G. Eradication of *Borrelia burgdorferi* infection in primary marginal zone B-cell lymphoma of the skin. *Hum. Pathol.* **2000**, *31*, 263–268. [[CrossRef](#)]
61. Zucca, E.; Conconi, A.; Pedrinis, E.; Cortelazzo, S.; Motta, T.; Gospodarowicz, M.K.; Patterson, B.J.; Ferreri, A.J.M.; Ponzoni, M.; Devizzi, L.; et al. Nongastric marginal zone B-cell lymphoma of mucosa-associated lymphoid tissue. *Blood* **2003**, *101*, 2489–2495. [[CrossRef](#)]
62. Aberer, E.; Fingerle, V.; Wutte, N.; Fink-Puches, R.; Cerroni, L. Within European margins. *Lancet* **2011**, *377*, 178. [[CrossRef](#)]
63. Fetica, B.; Pop, B.; Blaga, M.L.; Fulop, A.; Dima, D.; Zdrengea, M.T.; Vlad, C.I.; Bojan, A.S.; Achimas-Cadariu, P.; Lisencu, C.I.; et al. High prevalence of viral hepatitis in a series of splenic marginal zone lymphomas from Romania. *Blood Cancer J.* **2016**, *6*, e498. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
64. Lue, J.K.; O'Connor, O.A.; Bertoni, F. Targeting pathogenic mechanisms in marginal zone lymphoma: From concepts and beyond. *Ann. Lymphoma* **2020**, *4*, 7. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
65. Yan, W.; Wu, B.; Liao, A.J.; Yang, W.; Wang, H.H. Watch-and-wait or immediate immunotherapy/immunochemotherapy in patients with phase IE primary pulmonary MALT lymphoma? A multicenter retrospective study. *Ann. Hematol.* **2021**, *100*, 709–714. [[CrossRef](#)]
66. Fischbach, W.; Dörlöchter, C. Patients with gastric MALT lymphoma revealing persisting endoscopic abnormalities after successful eradication of *Helicobacter pylori* can be safely managed by a watch-and-wait strategy. *Z. Gastroenterol.* **2019**, *57*, 593–599. [[CrossRef](#)]
67. Fischbach, W.; Goebeler, M.E.; Ruskone-Fourmestroux, A.; Wündisch, T.; Neubauer, A.; Raderer, M.; Savio, A. Most patients with minimal histological residuals of gastric MALT lymphoma after successful eradication of *Helicobacter pylori* can be managed safely by a watch and wait strategy: Experience from a large international series. *Gut* **2007**, *56*, 1685–1687. [[CrossRef](#)]
68. Cheson, B.D.; Fisher, R.I.; Barrington, S.F.; Cavalli, F.; Schwartz, L.H.; Zucca, E.; Lister, T.A. Recommendations for initial evaluation, staging, and response assessment of Hodgkin and non-Hodgkin lymphoma: The Lugano classification. *J. Clin. Oncol.* **2014**, *32*, 3059–3067. [[CrossRef](#)]
69. Albano, D.; Durmo, R.; Treglia, G.; Giubbini, R.; Bertagna, F. 18 F-FDG PET/CT or PET Role in MALT Lymphoma: An Open Issue not Yet Solved—A Critical Review. *Clin. Lymphoma. Myeloma Leuk.* **2020**, *20*, 137–146. [[CrossRef](#)]
70. Cohen, D.; Perry, C.; Hazut-Krauthammer, S.; Kesler, M.; Herishanu, Y.; Luttwak, E.; Even-Sapir, E.; Avivi, I. Is There a Role for [¹⁸F]FDG PET-CT in Staging MALT Lymphoma? *Cancers* **2022**, *14*, 750. [[CrossRef](#)]
71. Park, Y.J.; Hyun, S.H.; Moon, S.H.; Lee, K.H.; Min, B.H.; Lee, J.H.; Kim, W.S.; Kim, S.J.; Choi, J.Y. Role in staging and prognostic value of pretherapeutic F-18 FDG PET/CT in patients with gastric MALT lymphoma without high-grade transformation. *Sci. Rep.* **2021**, *11*. [[CrossRef](#)]
72. Raderer, M.; Wöhrer, S.; Streubel, B.; Troch, M.; Turetschek, K.; Jäger, U.; Skrabs, C.; Gaiger, A.; Drach, J.; Poespoek, A.; et al. Assessment of disease dissemination in gastric compared with extragastric mucosa-associated lymphoid tissue lymphoma using extensive staging: A single-center experience. *J. Clin. Oncol.* **2006**, *24*, 3136–3141. [[CrossRef](#)]
73. Extranodales Marginalzonen-Lymphom (MALT LYMPHOM)—Onkopedia. Available online: <https://www.onkopedia.com/de/onkopedia/guidelines/extranodales-marginalzonen-lymphom-malt-lymphom/@@guideline/html/index.html> (accessed on 19 February 2022).
74. Ruskone-Fourmestroux, A.; Fischbach, W.; Aleman, B.M.P.; Boot, H.; Du, M.Q.; Megraud, F.; Montalban, C.; Raderer, M.; Savio, A.; Wotherspoon, A. EGILS consensus report. Gastric extranodal marginal zone B-cell lymphoma of MALT. *Gut* **2011**, *60*, 747–758. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
75. Musshoff, K. Clinical staging classification of non-Hodgkin's lymphomas (author's transl). *Strahlentherapie* **1977**, *153*, 218–221. [[PubMed](#)]
76. Rohatiner, A.; D'Amore, F.; Coiffier, B.; Crowther, D.; Gospodarowicz, M.; Isaacson, P.; Lister, T.; Norton, A.; Salem, P.; Shipp, M.; et al. Report on a workshop convened to discuss the pathological and staging classifications of gastrointestinal tract lymphoma. *Ann. Oncol. Off. J. Eur. Soc. Med. Oncol.* **1994**, *5*, 397–400. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
77. Ruskone-Fourmestroux, A.; Drogosics, B.; Morgner, A.; Wotherspoon, A.; De Jong, D. Paris staging system for primary gastrointestinal lymphomas. *Gut* **2003**, *52*, 912–913. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
78. NCCN Guidelines Version 1.2022 Primary Cutaneous Lymphoma; NCCN: Plymouth Meeting, PA, USA, 2022; Available online: https://www.nccn.org/guidelines/category_1 (accessed on 14 June 2022).
79. Raderer, M.; Kiesewetter, B.; Ferreri, A.J.M. Clinicopathologic characteristics and treatment of marginal zone lymphoma of mucosa-associated lymphoid tissue (MALT lymphoma). *CA Cancer J. Clin.* **2016**, *66*, 152–171. [[CrossRef](#)]
80. Thieblemont, C.; Cascione, L.; Conconi, A.; Kiesewetter, B.; Raderer, M.; Gaidano, G.; Martelli, M.; Laszlo, D.; Coiffier, B.; Lopez Guillermo, A.; et al. A MALT lymphoma prognostic index. *Blood* **2017**, *130*, 1409–1417. [[CrossRef](#)]
81. Conconi, A.; Thieblemont, C.; Cascione, L.; Torri, V.; Kiesewetter, B.; Casaluci, G.M.; Gaidano, G.; Raderer, M.; Cavalli, F.; Guillermo, A.L.; et al. Early progression of disease predicts shorter survival in MALT lymphoma patients receiving systemic treatment. *Haematologica* **2020**, *105*, 2592–2597. [[CrossRef](#)]
82. Tsang, R.W.; Gospodarowicz, M.K.; Pintilie, M.; Wells, W.; Hodgson, D.C.; Sun, A.; Crump, M.; Patterson, B.J. Localized mucosa-associated lymphoid tissue lymphoma treated with radiation therapy has excellent clinical outcome. *J. Clin. Oncol.* **2003**, *21*, 4157–4164. [[CrossRef](#)]
83. Sehn, L.H.; Salles, G. Diffuse Large B-Cell Lymphoma. *N. Engl. J. Med.* **2021**, *384*, 842–858. [[CrossRef](#)]

84. National Comprehensive Cancer Network. NCCN Guidelines—B-Cell Lymphomas. Available online: https://www.nccn.org/professionals/physician_gls/pdf/b-cell.pdf (accessed on 24 January 2022).
85. Lowry, L.; Smith, P.; Qian, W.; Falk, S.; Benstead, K.; Illidge, T.; Linch, D.; Robinson, M.; Jack, A.; Hoskin, P. Reduced dose radiotherapy for local control in non-Hodgkin lymphoma: A randomised phase III trial. *Radiother. Oncol.* **2011**, *100*, 86–92. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
86. Hoskin, P.J.; Kirkwood, A.A.; Popova, B.; Smith, P.; Robinson, M.; Gallop-Evans, E.; Coltart, S.; Illidge, T.; Madhavan, K.; Brammer, C.; et al. 4 Gy versus 24 Gy radiotherapy for patients with indolent lymphoma (FORT): A randomised phase 3 non-inferiority trial. *Lancet. Oncol.* **2014**, *15*, 457–463. [[CrossRef](#)]
87. Pinnix, C.C.; Dabaja, B.S.; Milgrom, S.A.; Smith, G.L.; Abou, Z.; Nastoupil, L.; Romaguera, J.; Turturro, F.; Fowler, N.; Fayad, L.; et al. Ultra-low-dose radiotherapy for definitive management of ocular adnexal B-cell lymphoma. *Head Neck* **2017**, *39*, 1095–1100. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
88. Hoskin, P.; Popova, B.; Schofield, O.; Brammer, C.; Robinson, M.; Brunt, A.M.; Madhavan, K.; Illidge, T.; Gallop-Evans, E.; Syndikus, I.; et al. 4 Gy versus 24 Gy radiotherapy for follicular and marginal zone lymphoma (FoRT): Long-term follow-up of a multicentre, randomised, phase 3, non-inferiority trial. *Lancet. Oncol.* **2021**, *22*, 332–340. [[CrossRef](#)]
89. Ben Simon, G.J.; Cheung, N.; McKelvie, P.; Fox, R.; McNab, A.A. Oral chlorambucil for extranodal, marginal zone, B-cell lymphoma of mucosa-associated lymphoid tissue of the orbit. *Ophthalmology* **2006**, *113*, 1209–1213. [[CrossRef](#)]
90. Hammel, P.; Haioun, C.; Chaumette, M.T.; Gaulard, P.; Divine, M.; Reyes, F.; Delchier, J.C. Efficacy of single-agent chemotherapy in low-grade B-cell mucosa-associated lymphoid tissue lymphoma with prominent gastric expression. *J. Clin. Oncol.* **1995**, *13*, 2524–2529. [[CrossRef](#)]
91. Zucca, E.; Conconi, A.; Martinelli, G.; Bouabdallah, R.; Tucci, A.; Vitolo, U.; Martelli, M.; Pettengell, R.; Salles, G.; Sebban, C.; et al. Final Results of the IELSG-19 Randomized Trial of Mucosa-Associated Lymphoid Tissue Lymphoma: Improved Event-Free and Progression-Free Survival with Rituximab Plus Chlorambucil Versus Either Chlorambucil or Rituximab Monotherapy. *J. Clin. Oncol.* **2017**, *35*, 1905–1912. [[CrossRef](#)]
92. Hancock, B.W.; Qian, W.; Linch, D.; Delchier, J.C.; Smith, P.; Jakupovic, I.; Burton, C.; Souhami, R.; Wotherspoon, A.; Copie-Bergman, C.; et al. Chlorambucil versus observation after anti-Helicobacter therapy in gastric MALT lymphomas: Results of the international randomised LY03 trial. *Br. J. Haematol.* **2009**, *144*, 367. [[CrossRef](#)]
93. Conconi, A.; Martinelli, G.; Thiéblemont, C.; Ferreri, A.J.M.; Devizzi, L.; Peccatori, F.; Ponzoni, M.; Pedrinis, E.; Dell’Oro, S.; Pruneri, G.; et al. Clinical activity of rituximab in extranodal marginal zone B-cell lymphoma of MALT type. *Blood* **2003**, *102*, 2741–2745. [[CrossRef](#)]
94. Raderer, M.; Jäger, G.; Brugger, S.; Püspök, A.; Fiebigler, W.; Drach, J.; Wotherspoon, A.; Chott, A. Rituximab for treatment of advanced extranodal marginal zone B cell lymphoma of the mucosa-associated lymphoid tissue lymphoma. *Oncology* **2003**, *65*, 306–310. [[CrossRef](#)]
95. Jäger, G.; Neumeister, P.; Brezinschek, R.; Hinterleitner, T.; Fiebigler, W.; Penz, M.; Neumann, H.J.; Mlineritsch, B.; DeSantis, M.; Quehenberger, F.; et al. Treatment of extranodal marginal zone B-cell lymphoma of mucosa-associated lymphoid tissue type with cladribine: A phase II study. *J. Clin. Oncol.* **2002**, *20*, 3872–3877. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
96. Kiesewetter, B.; Troch, M.; Dolak, W.; Müllauer, L.; Lukas, J.; Zielinski, C.C.; Raderer, M. A phase II study of lenalidomide in patients with extranodal marginal zone B-cell lymphoma of the mucosa associated lymphoid tissue (MALT lymphoma). *Haematologica* **2013**, *98*, 353–356. [[CrossRef](#)]
97. Kiesewetter, B.; Troch, M.; Mayerhoefer, M.E.; Dolak, W.; Simonitsch-Klupp, I.; Raderer, M. Delayed Efficacy After Treatment with Lenalidomide or Thalidomide in Patients with Mucosa-Associated Lymphoid Tissue Lymphoma. *Oncologist* **2016**, *21*, 72–75. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
98. Kiesewetter, B.; Lamm, W.; Neuper, O.; Mayerhoefer, M.E.; Simonitsch-Klupp, I.; Raderer, M. Prolonged follow-up on lenalidomide-based treatment for mucosa-associated lymphoid tissue lymphoma (MALT lymphoma)—Real-world data from the Medical University of Vienna. *Hematol. Oncol.* **2019**, *37*, 345–351. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
99. Davies, F.E.; Raje, N.; Hideshima, T.; Lentzsch, S.; Young, G.; Tai, Y.T.; Lin, B.; Podar, K.; Gupta, D.; Chauhan, D.; et al. Thalidomide and immunomodulatory derivatives augment natural killer cell cytotoxicity in multiple myeloma. *Blood* **2001**, *98*, 210–216. [[CrossRef](#)]
100. Dredge, K.; Marriott, J.B.; Macdonald, C.D.; Man, H.W.; Chen, R.; Muller, G.W.; Stirling, D.; Dalglish, A.G. Novel thalidomide analogues display anti-angiogenic activity independently of immunomodulatory effects. *Br. J. Cancer* **2002**, *87*, 1166–1172. [[CrossRef](#)]
101. Dredge, K.; Horsfall, R.; Robinson, S.P.; Zhang, L.H.; Lu, L.; Tang, Y.; Shirley, M.A.; Muller, G.; Schafer, P.; Stirling, D.; et al. Orally administered lenalidomide (CC-5013) is anti-angiogenic in vivo and inhibits endothelial cell migration and Akt phosphorylation in vitro. *Microvasc. Res.* **2005**, *69*, 56–63. [[CrossRef](#)]
102. Ferreri, A.J.M.; Cecchetti, C.; Kiesewetter, B.; Sassone, M.; Calimeri, T.; Perrone, S.; Ponzoni, M.; Raderer, M. Clarithromycin as a “repurposing drug” against MALT lymphoma. *Br. J. Haematol.* **2018**, *182*, 913–915. [[CrossRef](#)]
103. Ferreri, A.J.M.; Sassone, M.; Kiesewetter, B.; Govi, S.; Scarfò, L.; Donadoni, G.; Raderer, M. High-dose clarithromycin is an active monotherapy for patients with relapsed/refractory extranodal marginal zone lymphoma of mucosa-associated lymphoid tissue (MALT): The HD-K phase II trial. *Ann. Oncol. Off. J. Eur. Soc. Med. Oncol.* **2015**, *26*, 1760–1765. [[CrossRef](#)]

104. Govi, S.; Dognini, G.P.; Licata, G.; Crocchiolo, R.; Resti, A.G.; Ponzoni, M.; Ferreri, A.J.M. Six-month oral clarithromycin regimen is safe and active in extranodal marginal zone B-cell lymphomas: Final results of a single-centre phase II trial. *Br. J. Haematol.* **2010**, *150*, 226–229. [[CrossRef](#)]
105. Vanazzi, A.; Grana, C.; Crosta, C.; Pruneri, G.; Rizzo, S.; Radice, D.; Pinto, A.; Calabrese, L.; Paganelli, G.; Martinelli, G. Efficacy of ⁹⁰Yttrium-ibritumomab tiuxetan in relapsed/refractory extranodal marginal-zone lymphoma. *Hematol. Oncol.* **2014**, *32*, 10–15. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
106. Lossos, I.S.; Fabregas, J.C.; Koru-Sengul, T.; Miao, F.; Goodman, D.; Serafini, A.N.; Hosein, P.J.; Stefanovic, A.; Rosenblatt, J.D.; Hoffman, J.E. Phase II study of (90)Y Ibritumomab tiuxetan (Zevalin) in patients with previously untreated marginal zone lymphoma. *Leuk. Lymphoma* **2015**, *56*, 1750–1755. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
107. Illidge, T.M.; Mckenzie, H.S.; Mayes, S.; Bates, A.; Davies, A.J.; Pettengell, R.; Stanton, L.; Cozens, K.; Hampson, G.; Dive, C.; et al. Short duration immunochemotherapy followed by radioimmunotherapy consolidation is effective and well tolerated in relapsed follicular lymphoma: 5-year results from a UK National Cancer Research Institute Lymphoma Group study. *Br. J. Haematol.* **2016**, *173*, 274–282. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
108. Leahy, M.F.; Seymour, J.F.; Hicks, R.J.; Turner, J.H. Multicenter phase II clinical study of iodine-131-rituximab radioimmunotherapy in relapsed or refractory indolent non-Hodgkin's lymphoma. *J. Clin. Oncol.* **2006**, *24*, 4418–4425. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
109. Zucca, E.; Conconi, A.; Laszlo, D.; López-Guillermo, A.; Bouabdallah, R.; Coiffier, B.; Sebban, C.; Jardin, F.; Vitolo, U.; Morschhauser, F.; et al. Addition of rituximab to chlorambucil produces superior event-free survival in the treatment of patients with extranodal marginal-zone B-cell lymphoma: 5-year analysis of the IELSG-19 Randomized Study. *J. Clin. Oncol.* **2013**, *31*, 565–572. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
110. Rummel, M.J.; Al-Batran, S.E.; Kim, S.Z.; Welslau, M.; Hecker, R.; Kofahl-Krause, D.; Josten, K.M.; Dürk, H.; Rost, A.; Neise, M.; et al. Bendamustine plus rituximab is effective and has a favorable toxicity profile in the treatment of mantle cell and low-grade non-Hodgkin's lymphoma. *J. Clin. Oncol.* **2005**, *23*, 3383–3389. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
111. Rummel, M.J.; Niederle, N.; Maschmeyer, G.; Banat, G.A.; Von Grünhagen, U.; Losem, C.; Kofahl-Krause, D.; Heil, G.; Welslau, M.; Balsler, C.; et al. Bendamustine plus rituximab versus CHOP plus rituximab as first-line treatment for patients with indolent and mantle-cell lymphomas: An open-label, multicentre, randomised, phase 3 non-inferiority trial. *Lancet* **2013**, *381*, 1203–1210. [[CrossRef](#)]
112. Salar, A.; Domingo-Domenech, E.; Panizo, C.; Nicolás, C.; Bargay, J.; Muntañola, A.; Canales, M.; Bello, J.L.; Sancho, J.M.; Tomás, J.F.; et al. First-line response-adapted treatment with the combination of bendamustine and rituximab in patients with mucosa-associated lymphoid tissue lymphoma (MALT2008-01): A multicentre, single-arm, phase 2 trial. *Lancet. Haematol.* **2014**, *1*, e104–e111. [[CrossRef](#)]
113. Morigi, A.; Argnani, L.; Lolli, G.; Broccoli, A.; Pellegrini, C.; Nanni, L.; Stefoni, V.; Coppola, P.E.; Carella, M.; Casadei, B.; et al. Bendamustine-rituximab regimen in untreated indolent marginal zone lymphoma: Experience on 65 patients. *Hematol. Oncol.* **2020**, *38*, 487–492. [[CrossRef](#)]
114. Alderuccio, J.P.; Arcaini, L.; Watkins, M.P.; Beaven, A.W.; Shouse, G.; Epperla, N.; Spina, M.; Stefanovic, A.; Sandoval-Sus, J.; Torka, P.; et al. An international analysis evaluating frontline bendamustine with rituximab in extranodal marginal zone lymphoma. *Blood Adv.* **2022**, *6*, 2035–2044. [[CrossRef](#)]
115. Knauf, W.; Abenhardt, W.; Koenigsmann, M.; Maintz, C.; Sandner, R.; Zahn, M.O.; Schnell, R.; Tech, S.; Kaiser-Osterhues, A.; Houet, L.; et al. Rare lymphomas in routine practice—Treatment and outcome in marginal zone lymphoma in the prospective German Tumour Registry Lymphatic Neoplasms. *Hematol. Oncol.* **2021**, *39*, 313–325. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
116. Kiesewetter, B.; Mayerhoefer, M.E.; Lukas, J.; Zielinski, C.C.; Müllauer, L.; Raderer, M. Rituximab plus bendamustine is active in pretreated patients with extragastric marginal zone B cell lymphoma of the mucosa-associated lymphoid tissue (MALT lymphoma). *Ann. Hematol.* **2014**, *93*, 249–253. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
117. Rummel, M.J.; Koenigsmann, M.; Chow, K.U.; Knauf, W.; Lerchenmuller, C.A.; Losem, C.; Goerner, M.; Hertenstein, B.; Decker, T.; Ganser, A.; et al. Two years rituximab maintenance vs. observation after first line treatment with bendamustine plus rituximab (B-R) in patients with marginal zone lymphoma (MZL): Results of a prospective, randomized, multicenter phase 2 study (the StIL NHL7-2008 MAINTAIN trial). *J. Clin. Oncol.* **2018**, *36*, 7515. [[CrossRef](#)]
118. Salar, A.; Domingo-Domenech, E.; Estany, C.; Canales, M.A.; Gallardo, F.; Servitje, O.; Fraile, G.; Montalbán, C. Combination therapy with rituximab and intravenous or oral fludarabine in the first-line, systemic treatment of patients with extranodal marginal zone B-cell lymphoma of the mucosa-associated lymphoid tissue type. *Cancer* **2009**, *115*, 5210–5217. [[CrossRef](#)]
119. Brown, J.R.; Friedberg, J.W.; Feng, Y.; Scofield, S.; Phillips, K.; Dal Cin, P.; Joyce, R.; Takvorian, R.W.; Fisher, D.C.; Fisher, R.I.; et al. A phase 2 study of concurrent fludarabine and rituximab for the treatment of marginal zone lymphomas. *Br. J. Haematol.* **2009**, *145*, 741–748. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
120. Zinzani, P.L.; Pellegrini, C.; Broccoli, A.; Gandolfi, L.; Stefoni, V.; Casadei, B.; Maglie, R.; Argnani, L.; Pileri, S. Fludarabine-Mitoxantrone-Rituximab regimen in untreated indolent non-follicular non-Hodgkin's lymphoma: Experience on 143 patients. *Hematol. Oncol.* **2015**, *33*, 141–146. [[CrossRef](#)]
121. Karmali, R.; Kassar, M.; Venugopal, P.; Shammo, J.M.; Fung, H.C.; Bayer, R.; O'Brien, T.; Gregory, S.A. Safety and efficacy of combination therapy with fludarabine, mitoxantrone, and rituximab followed by yttrium-90 ibritumomab tiuxetan and maintenance rituximab as front-line therapy for patients with follicular or marginal zone lymphoma. *Clin. Lymphoma Myeloma Leuk.* **2011**, *11*, 467–474. [[CrossRef](#)]

122. Economopoulos, T.; Psyrris, A.; Fountzilas, G.; Tsatalas, C.; Anagnostopoulos, A.; Papageorgiou, S.; Xiros, N.; Dimopoulos, M.A. Phase II study of low-grade non-Hodgkin lymphomas with fludarabine and mitoxantrone followed by rituximab consolidation: Promising results in marginal zone lymphoma. *Leuk. Lymphoma* **2008**, *49*, 68–74. [[CrossRef](#)]
123. Cencini, E.; Fabbri, A.; Lauria, F.; Bocchia, M. Long-term efficacy and toxicity of rituximab plus fludarabine and mitoxantrone (R-FM) for gastric marginal zone lymphoma: A single-center experience and literature review. *Ann. Hematol.* **2018**, *97*, 821–829. [[CrossRef](#)]
124. Rummel, M.; Kaiser, U.; Balsler, C.; Stauch, M.; Brugger, W.; Welslau, M.; Niederle, N.; Losem, C.; Boeck, H.P.; Weidmann, E.; et al. Bendamustine plus rituximab versus fludarabine plus rituximab for patients with relapsed indolent and mantle-cell lymphomas: A multicentre, randomised, open-label, non-inferiority phase 3 trial. *Lancet Oncol.* **2016**, *17*, 57–66. [[CrossRef](#)]
125. Kang, H.J.; Kim, W.S.; Kim, S.J.; Lee, J.J.; Yang, D.H.; Kim, J.S.; Lee, S.R.; Lee, G.W.; Kim, H.J.; Kim, H.Y.; et al. Phase II trial of rituximab plus CVP combination chemotherapy for advanced stage marginal zone lymphoma as a first-line therapy: Consortium for Improving Survival of Lymphoma (CISL) study. *Ann. Hematol.* **2012**, *91*, 543–551. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
126. Aguiar-Bujanda, D.; Llorca-Mártinez, I.; Rivero-Vera, J.C.; Blanco-Sánchez, M.J.; Jiménez-Gallego, P.; Mori-De Santiago, M.; Limeres-Gonzalez, M.A.; Cabrera-Marrero, J.C.; Hernández-Sosa, M.; Galván-Ruiz, S.; et al. Treatment of gastric marginal zone B-cell lymphoma of the mucosa-associated lymphoid tissue with rituximab, cyclophosphamide, vincristine and prednisone. *Hematol. Oncol.* **2014**, *32*, 139–144. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
127. Oh, S.Y.; Kim, W.S.; Kim, J.S.; Kim, S.J.; Yoon, D.H.; Yang, D.H.; Lee, W.S.; Kim, H.J.; Yhim, H.Y.; Jeong, S.H.; et al. Phase II study of R-CVP followed by rituximab maintenance therapy for patients with advanced marginal zone lymphoma: Consortium for improving survival of lymphoma (CISL) study. *Cancer Commun.* **2019**, *39*. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
128. Fowler, N.H.; Davis, R.E.; Rawal, S.; Nastoupil, L.; Hagemester, F.B.; McLaughlin, P.; Kwak, L.W.; Romaguera, J.E.; Fanale, M.A.; Fayad, L.E.; et al. Safety and activity of lenalidomide and rituximab in untreated indolent lymphoma: An open-label, phase 2 trial. *Lancet. Oncol.* **2014**, *15*, 1311–1318. [[CrossRef](#)]
129. Kiesewetter, B.; Willenbacher, E.; Willenbacher, W.; Egle, A.; Neumeister, P.; Voskova, D.; Mayerhoefer, M.E.; Simonitsch-Klupp, I.; Melchardt, T.; Greil, R.; et al. A phase 2 study of rituximab plus lenalidomide for mucosa-associated lymphoid tissue lymphoma. *Blood* **2017**, *129*, 383–385. [[CrossRef](#)]
130. Alderuccio, J.P.; Beaven, A.W.; Shouse, G.; Epperla, N.; Stefanovic, A.; Torcka, P.; Castillo, J.J.; Argnani, L.; Voorhees, T.J.; Alpert, A.B.; et al. Frontline Bendamustine and Rituximab in Extranodal Marginal Zone Lymphoma: An International Analysis. *Blood* **2020**, *136*, 2–3. [[CrossRef](#)]
131. Becnel, M.R.; Nastoupil, L.J.; Samaniego, F.; Davis, R.E.; You, M.J.; Green, M.; Hagemester, F.B.; Fanale, M.A.; Fayad, L.E.; Westin, J.R.; et al. Lenalidomide plus rituximab (R2) in previously untreated marginal zone lymphoma: Subgroup analysis and long-term follow-up of an open-label phase 2 trial. *Br. J. Haematol.* **2019**, *185*, 874–882. [[CrossRef](#)]
132. Stathis, A.; Chini, C.; Bertoni, F.; Proserpio, I.; Capella, C.; Mazzucchelli, L.; Pedrinis, E.; Cavalli, F.; Pinotti, G.; Zucca, E. Long-term outcome following *Helicobacter pylori* eradication in a retrospective study of 105 patients with localized gastric marginal zone B-cell lymphoma of MALT type. *Ann. Oncol.* **2009**, *20*, 1086–1093. [[CrossRef](#)]
133. Zullo, A.; Hassan, C.; Cristofari, F.; Andriani, A.; De Francesco, V.; Ierardi, E.; Tomao, S.; Stolte, M.; Morini, S.; Vaira, D. Effects of *Helicobacter pylori* Eradication on Early Stage Gastric Mucosa-Associated Lymphoid Tissue Lymphoma. *Clin. Gastroenterol. Hepatol.* **2010**, *8*, 105–110. [[CrossRef](#)]
134. Raderer, M.; Streubel, B.; Wöhrer, S.; Häfner, M.; Chott, A. Successful antibiotic treatment of *Helicobacter pylori* negative gastric mucosa associated lymphoid tissue lymphomas. *Gut* **2006**, *55*, 616–618. [[CrossRef](#)]
135. Raderer, M.; Wöhrer, S.; Kiesewetter, B.; Dolak, W.; Lagler, H.; Wotherspoon, A.; Muellauer, L.; Chott, A. Antibiotic treatment as sole management of *Helicobacter pylori*-negative gastric MALT lymphoma: A single center experience with prolonged follow-up. *Ann. Hematol.* **2015**, *94*, 969–973. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
136. Zullo, A.; Hassan, C.; Ridola, L.; De Francesco, V.; Rossi, L.; Tomao, S.; Vaira, D.; Genta, R.M. Eradication therapy in *Helicobacter pylori*-negative, gastric low-grade mucosa-associated lymphoid tissue lymphoma patients: A systematic review. *J. Clin. Gastroenterol.* **2013**, *47*, 824–827. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
137. Arnold, J.; Winthrop, K.; Emery, P. COVID-19 vaccination and antirheumatic therapy. *Rheumatology* **2021**, *60*, 3496–3502. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
138. Duléry, R.; Lamure, S.; Delord, M.; Di Blasi, R.; Chauchet, A.; Hueso, T.; Rossi, C.; Drenou, B.; Deau Fischer, B.; Soussain, C.; et al. Prolonged in-hospital stay and higher mortality after Covid-19 among patients with non-Hodgkin lymphoma treated with B-cell depleting immunotherapy. *Am. J. Hematol.* **2021**, *96*, 934–944. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
139. Ruskoné-Fourmestreaux, A.; Lavergne, A.; Aegerter, P.H.; Megraud, F.; Palazzo, L.; De Mascarel, A.; Molina, T.; Rambaud, J.C.L. Predictive factors for regression of gastric MALT lymphoma after anti-*Helicobacter pylori* treatment. *Gut* **2001**, *48*, 297–303. [[CrossRef](#)]
140. Malferttheiner, P.; Megraud, F.; O’Morain, C.; Bazzoli, F.; El-Omar, E.; Graham, D.; Hunt, R.; Rokkas, T.; Vakil, N.; Kuipers, E.J.; et al. Current concepts in the management of *Helicobacter pylori* infection: The Maastricht III Consensus Report. *Gut* **2007**, *56*, 772–781. [[CrossRef](#)]
141. Aleman, B.M.P.; Haas, R.L.M.; van der Maazen, R.W.M. Role of radiotherapy in the treatment of lymphomas of the gastrointestinal tract. *Best Pract. Res. Clin. Gastroenterol.* **2010**, *24*, 27–34. [[CrossRef](#)]

142. Won, J.H.; Kim, S.M.; Kim, J.W.; Park, J.H.; Kim, J.Y. Clinical features, treatment and outcomes of colorectal mucosa-associated lymphoid tissue (MALT) lymphoma: Literature reviews published in English between 1993 and 2017. *Cancer Manag. Res.* **2019**, *11*, 8577. [[CrossRef](#)]
143. Han, J.; Zhu, Z.; Zhang, C.; Xie, H.P. Successful Endoscopic Resection of Primary Rectal Mucosa-Associated Lymphoid Tissue Lymphoma by Endoscopic Submucosal Dissection: A Case Report. *Front. Med.* **2021**, *8*, 1469. [[CrossRef](#)]
144. Shah, R.M.; Kuo, V.; Schwartz, A. Endoscopic mucosal resection and cure for rectal mucosa-associated lymphoid tissue lymphoma. *Bayl. Univ. Med. Cent. Proc.* **2021**, *34*, 305. [[CrossRef](#)]
145. Stefanovic, A.; Morgensztern, D.; Fong, T.; Lossos, I. Pulmonary marginal zone lymphoma: A single centre experience and review of the SEER database. *Leuk. Lymphoma* **2009**, *49*, 1311–1320. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
146. Troch, M.; Streubel, B.; Petkov, V.; Turetschek, K.; Chott, A.; Raderer, M. Does MALT Lymphoma of the Lung Require Immediate Treatment? An Analysis of 11 Untreated Cases with Long-term Follow-up. *Anticancer Res.* **2007**, *27*, 3633–3637. [[PubMed](#)]
147. Sammassimo, S.; Pruneri, G.; Andreola, G.; Montoro, J.; Steffanoni, S.; Nowakowski, G.S.; Gandini, S.; Negri, M.; Habermann, T.M.; Raderer, M.; et al. A retrospective international study on primary extranodal marginal zone lymphoma of the lung (BALT lymphoma) on behalf of International Extranodal Lymphoma Study Group (IELSG). *Hematol. Oncol.* **2016**, *34*, 177–183. [[CrossRef](#)]
148. Bilici, A.; Seker, M.; Ustaalioglu, B.B.O.; Canpolat, N.; Salepci, T.; Gumus, M. Pulmonary BALT Lymphoma Successfully Treated with Eight Cycles Weekly Rituximab: Report of First Case and F-18 FDG PET/CT Images. *J. Korean Med. Sci.* **2011**, *26*, 574. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
149. Seker, M.; Bilici, A.; Ustaalioglu, B.O.; Salman, T.; Sonmez, B.; Canpolat, N.A.; Salepci, T.; Gumus, M.; Yaylaci, M. Extended rituximab schedules may result in increased efficacy in pulmonary malt lymphoma. *Leuk. Res.* **2009**, *33*. [[CrossRef](#)]
150. Tanimoto, K.; Kaneko, A.; Suzuki, S.; Sekiguchi, N.; Maruyama, D.; Kim, S.W.; Watanabe, T.; Kobayashi, Y.; Kagami, Y.; Maeshima, A.; et al. Long-term follow-up results of no initial therapy for ocular adnexal MALT lymphoma. *Ann. Oncol.* **2006**, *17*, 135–140. [[CrossRef](#)]
151. Bonzheim, I.; Giese, S.; Deuter, C.; Süsskind, D.; Zierhut, M.; Waizel, M.; Szurman, P.; Federmann, B.; Schmidt, J.; Quintanilla-Martinez, L.; et al. High frequency of MYD88 mutations in vitreoretinal B-cell lymphoma: A valuable tool to improve diagnostic yield of vitreous aspirates. *Blood* **2015**, *126*, 76–79. [[CrossRef](#)]
152. Sassone, M.; Ponzoni, M.; Ferreri, A.J.M. Ocular adnexal marginal zone lymphoma: Clinical presentation, pathogenesis, diagnosis, prognosis, and treatment. *Best Pract. Res. Clin. Haematol.* **2017**, *30*, 118–130. [[CrossRef](#)]
153. Husain, A.; Roberts, D.; Pro, B.; McLaughlin, P.; Esmaeli, B. Meta-analyses of the association between Chlamydia psittaci and ocular adnexal lymphoma and the response of ocular adnexal lymphoma to antibiotics. *Cancer* **2007**, *110*, 809–815. [[CrossRef](#)]
154. Ferreri, A.J.M.; Govi, S.; Pasini, E.; Mappa, S.; Bertoni, F.; Zaja, F.; Montalbán, C.; Stelitano, C.; Cabrera, M.E.; Resti, A.G.; et al. Chlamydia psittaci eradication with doxycycline as first-line targeted therapy for ocular adnexae lymphoma: Final results of an international phase II trial. *J. Clin. Oncol.* **2012**, *30*, 2988–2994. [[CrossRef](#)]
155. Han, J.J.; Kim, T.M.; Jeon, Y.K.; Kim, M.K.; Khwarg, S.I.; Kim, C.W.; Kim, I.H.; Heo, D.S. Long-term outcomes of first-line treatment with doxycycline in patients with previously untreated ocular adnexal marginal zone B cell lymphoma. *Ann. Hematol.* **2015**, *94*, 575–581. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
156. Ferreri, A.J.M.; Ponzoni, M.; Guidoboni, M.; Resti, A.G.; Politi, L.S.; Cortelazzo, S.; Demeter, J.; Zallio, F.; Palmas, A.; Muti, G.; et al. Bacteria-eradicating therapy with doxycycline in ocular adnexal MALT lymphoma: A multicenter prospective trial. *J. Natl. Cancer Inst.* **2006**, *98*, 1375–1382. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
157. Ferreri, A.J.M.; Sassone, M.; Miserocchi, E.; Govi, S.; Cecchetti, C.; Corti, M.E.; Mappa, S.; Arcaini, L.; Zaja, F.; Todeschini, G.; et al. Treatment of MALT lymphoma of the conjunctiva with intralesional rituximab supplemented with autologous serum. *Blood Adv.* **2020**, *4*, 1013. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
158. Kim, Y.H.; Willemze, R.; Pimpinelli, N.; Whittaker, S.; Olsen, E.A.; Ranki, A.; Dummer, R.; Hoppe, R.T. TNM classification system for primary cutaneous lymphomas other than mycosis fungoides and Sézary syndrome: A proposal of the International Society for Cutaneous Lymphomas (ISCL) and the Cutaneous Lymphoma Task Force of the European Organization of Research and Treatment of Cancer (EORTC). *Blood* **2007**, *110*, 479–484. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
159. Hwang, S.; Johnson, A.; Fabbro, S.; Hastings, J.; Haverkos, B.; Chung, C.; Porcu, P.; William, B. Topical imiquimod monotherapy for indolent primary cutaneous B-cell lymphomas: A single-institution experience. *Br. J. Dermatol.* **2020**, *183*, 386–387. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
160. Servitje, O.; Muniesa, C.; Benavente, Y.; Monsálvez, V.; Garcia-Muret, M.P.; Gallardo, F.; Domingo-Domenech, E.; Lucas, A.; Climent, F.; Rodriguez-Peralto, J.L.; et al. Primary cutaneous marginal zone B-cell lymphoma: Response to treatment and disease-free survival in a series of 137 patients. *J. Am. Acad. Dermatol.* **2013**, *69*, 357–365. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
161. Mary Dwyer, N.; Hema Sundar, M.; Haverkos, B.M.; Hoppe, R.T.; Jacobsen, E.; Jagadeesh, D.; Kim, Y.H.; Lunning, M.A.; Mehta, A.; Mehta-Shah, N.; et al. *NCCN Guidelines Version 2.2018 Panel Members Primary Cutaneous B-Cell Lymphoma*; NCCN: Plymouth Meeting, PA, USA, 2022.
162. Senff, N.J.; Noordijk, E.M.; Kim, Y.H.; Bagot, M.; Berti, E.; Cerroni, L.; Dummer, R.; Duvic, M.; Hoppe, R.T.; Pimpinelli, N.; et al. European Organization for Research and Treatment of Cancer and International Society for Cutaneous Lymphoma consensus recommendations for the management of cutaneous B-cell lymphomas. *Blood* **2008**, *112*, 1600–1609. [[CrossRef](#)]
163. Dumont, M.; Battistella, M.; Ram-Wolff, C.; Bagot, M.; de Masson, A. Diagnosis and Treatment of Primary Cutaneous B-Cell Lymphomas: State of the Art and Perspectives. *Cancers* **2020**, *12*, 1497. [[CrossRef](#)]

164. Vazquez, A.; Khan, M.N.; Sanghvi, S.; Patel, N.R.; Caputo, J.L.; Baredes, S.; Eloy, J.A. Extranodal marginal zone lymphoma of mucosa-associated lymphoid tissue of the salivary glands: A population-based study from 1994 to 2009. *Head Neck* **2015**, *37*, 18–22. [CrossRef]
165. MacDermed, D.; Thurber, L.; George, T.I.; Hoppe, R.T.; Le, Q.T. Extranodal nonorbital indolent lymphomas of the head and neck: Relationship between tumor control and radiotherapy. *Int. J. Radiat. Oncol.* **2004**, *59*, 788–795. [CrossRef]
166. Avilés, A.; Delgado, S.; Huerta-Guzmán, J. Marginal Zone B cell lymphoma of the parotid glands: Results of a randomised trial comparing radiotherapy to combined therapy. *Eur. J. Cancer Part B Oral Oncol.* **1996**, *32*, 420–422. [CrossRef]
167. Verstappen, G.M.; van Nimwegen, J.F.; Vissink, A.; Kroese, F.G.M.; Bootsma, H. The value of rituximab treatment in primary Sjögren’s syndrome. *Clin. Immunol.* **2017**, *182*, 62–71. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
168. Oh, S.Y.; Kim, W.S.; Kim, J.S.; Kim, S.J.; Lee, S.; Lee, D.H.; Kang, H.J.; Song, M.K.; Kim, H.J.; Kwon, J.H.; et al. Primary thyroid marginal zone B-cell lymphoma of the mucosa-associated lymphoid tissue type: Clinical manifestation and outcome of a rare disease—Consortium for improving survival of lymphoma study. *Acta Haematol.* **2012**, *127*, 100–104. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
169. Thieblemont, C.; Mayer, A.; Dumontet, C.; Barbier, Y.; Callet-Bauchu, E.; Felman, P.; Berger, F.; Ducottet, X.; Martin, C.; Salles, G.; et al. Primary thyroid lymphoma is a heterogeneous disease. *J. Clin. Endocrinol. Metab.* **2002**, *87*, 105–111. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
170. Copie-Bergman, C.; Wotherspoon, A.C.; Capella, C.; Motta, T.; Pedrinis, E.; Pileri, S.A.; Bertoni, F.; Conconi, A.; Zucca, E.; Ponzoni, M.; et al. Gela histological scoring system for post-treatment biopsies of patients with gastric MALT lymphoma is feasible and reliable in routine practice. *Br. J. Haematol.* **2013**, *160*, 47–52. [CrossRef]
171. Zucca, E.; Pinotti, G.; Roggero, E.; Comi, M.A.; Pascarella, A.; Capella, C.; Pedrinis, E.; Cavalli, E. High incidence of other neoplasms in patients with low-grade gastric MALT lymphoma. *Ann. Oncol.* **1995**, *6*, 726–728. [CrossRef]
172. Treon, S.P.; Tripsas, C.K.; Meid, K.; Warren, D.; Varma, G.; Green, R.; Argyropoulos, K.V.; Yang, G.; Cao, Y.; Xu, L.; et al. Ibrutinib in Previously Treated Waldenström’s Macroglobulinemia. *N. Engl. J. Med.* **2015**, *372*, 1430–1440. [CrossRef]
173. O’Brien, S.; Jones, J.A.; Coutre, S.E.; Mato, A.R.; Hillmen, P.; Tam, C.; Österborg, A.; Siddiqi, T.; Thirman, M.J.; Furman, R.R.; et al. Ibrutinib for patients with relapsed or refractory chronic lymphocytic leukaemia with 17p deletion (RESONATE-17): A phase 2, open-label, multicentre study. *Lancet Oncol.* **2016**, *17*, 1409–1418. [CrossRef]
174. Dimopoulos, M.A.; Trotman, J.; Tedeschi, A.; Matous, J.V.; Macdonald, D.; Tam, C.; Tournilhac, O.; Ma, S.; Oriol, A.; Heffner, L.T.; et al. Ibrutinib for patients with rituximab-refractory Waldenström’s macroglobulinaemia (iNOVATE): An open-label substudy of an international, multicentre, phase 3 trial. *Lancet Oncol.* **2017**, *18*, 241–250. [CrossRef]
175. Noy, A.; De Vos, S.; Thieblemont, C.; Martin, P.; Flowers, C.R.; Morschhauser, F.; Collins, G.P.; Ma, S.; Coleman, M.; Peles, S.; et al. Targeting Bruton tyrosine kinase with ibrutinib in relapsed/refractory marginal zone lymphoma. *Blood* **2017**, *129*, 2224. [CrossRef]
176. Noy, A.; de Vos, S.; Coleman, M.; Martin, P.; Flowers, C.R.; Thieblemont, C.; Morschhauser, F.; Collins, G.P.; Ma, S.; Peles, S.; et al. Durable ibrutinib responses in relapsed/refractory marginal zone lymphoma: Long-term follow-up and biomarker analysis. *Blood Adv.* **2020**, *4*, 5773–5784. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
177. Ibrutinib, First FDA-Approved Therapy for Marginal Zone Lymphoma | ESMO. Available online: <https://www.esmo.org/oncology-news/archive/ibrutinib-first-fda-approved-therapy-for-marginal-zone-lymphoma> (accessed on 27 March 2022).
178. Opat, S.; Tedeschi, A.; Linton, K.; McKay, P.; Hu, B.; Chan, H.; Jin, J.; Sobieraj-Teague, M.; Zinzani, P.L.; Coleman, M.; et al. The MAGNOLIA Trial: Zanubrutinib, a Next-Generation Bruton Tyrosine Kinase Inhibitor, Demonstrates Safety and Efficacy in Relapsed/Refractory Marginal Zone Lymphoma. *Clin. Cancer Res.* **2021**, *27*, 6323–6332. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
179. FDA Grants Accelerated Approval to Zanubrutinib for Marginal Zone Lymphoma | FDA. Available online: <https://www.fda.gov/drugs/resources-information-approved-drugs/fda-grants-accelerated-approval-zanubrutinib-marginal-zone-lymphoma> (accessed on 27 March 2022).
180. Gopal, A.K.; Kahl, B.S.; de Vos, S.; Wagner-Johnston, N.D.; Schuster, S.J.; Jurczak, W.J.; Flinn, I.W.; Flowers, C.R.; Martin, P.; Viardot, A.; et al. PI3K δ inhibition by idelalisib in patients with relapsed indolent lymphoma. *N. Engl. J. Med.* **2014**, *370*, 1008–1018. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
181. Martin, P.; Armas, A.; Gopal, A.K.; Gyan, E.; Wagner-Johnston, N.D.; Walewski, J.; Abella, S.; Ye, W.; Philip, B.; Sorenson, B.; et al. Idelalisib Monotherapy and Durable Responses in Patients with Relapsed or Refractory Marginal Zone Lymphoma (MZL). *Blood* **2015**, *126*, 1543. [CrossRef]
182. Flinn, I.W.; Miller, C.B.; Ardeshtna, K.M.; Tetreault, S.; Assouline, S.E.; Mayer, J.; Merli, M.; Lunin, S.D.; Pettitt, A.R.; Nagy, Z.; et al. DYNAMO: A Phase II Study of Duvelisib (IPI-145) in Patients with Refractory Indolent Non-Hodgkin Lymphoma. *J. Clin. Oncol.* **2019**, *37*, 912–922. [CrossRef]
183. Dreyling, M.; Santoro, A.; Mollica, L.; Leppä, S.; Follows, G.A.; Lenz, G.; Kim, W.S.; Nagler, A.; Panayiotidis, P.; Demeter, J.; et al. Phosphatidylinositol 3-Kinase Inhibition by Copanlisib in Relapsed or Refractory Indolent Lymphoma. *J. Clin. Oncol.* **2017**, *35*, 3898–3905. [CrossRef]
184. Fowler, N.H.; Samaniego, F.; Jurczak, W.; Ghosh, N.; Derenzini, E.; Reeves, J.A.; Knopińska-Postłuszny, W.; Cheah, C.Y.; Phillips, T.; Lech-Maranda, E.; et al. Umbralisib, a Dual PI3K δ /CK1 ϵ Inhibitor in Patients With Relapsed or Refractory Indolent Lymphoma. *J. Clin. Oncol.* **2021**, *39*, 1609. [CrossRef]
185. FDA Grants Accelerated Approval to Umbralisib for Marginal Zone Lymphoma and Follicular Lymphoma | FDA. Available online: <https://www.fda.gov/drugs/resources-information-approved-drugs/fda-grants-accelerated-approval-umbralisib-marginal-zone-lymphoma-and-follicular-lymphoma> (accessed on 27 March 2022).

186. Davids, M.S.; Roberts, A.W.; Seymour, J.F.; Pagel, J.M.; Kahl, B.S.; Wierda, W.G.; Puvvada, S.; Kipps, T.J.; Anderson, M.A.; Salem, A.H.; et al. Phase I First-in-Human Study of Venetoclax in Patients With Relapsed or Refractory Non-Hodgkin Lymphoma. *J. Clin. Oncol.* **2017**, *35*, 826–833. [[CrossRef](#)]
187. Handunnetti, S.M.; Khot, A.; Anderson, M.A.; Blombery, P.; Burbury, K.; Ritchie, D.; Hicks, R.J.; Birbirs, B.; Bressel, M.; Di Iulio, J.; et al. Safety and Efficacy of Ibrutinib in Combination with Venetoclax in Patients with Marginal Zone Lymphoma: Preliminary Results from an Open Label, Phase II Study. *Blood* **2019**, *134*, 3999. [[CrossRef](#)]
188. Jacobson, C.A.; Chavez, J.C.; Sehgal, A.R.; William, B.M.; Munoz, J.; Salles, G.; Munshi, P.N.; Casulo, C.; Maloney, D.G.; de Vos, S.; et al. Axicabtagene ciloleucel in relapsed or refractory indolent non-Hodgkin lymphoma (ZUMA-5): A single-arm, multicentre, phase 2 trial. *Lancet Oncol.* **2022**, *23*, 91–103. [[CrossRef](#)]