Supplementary Materials

The results of elemental analysis as attained by the ICP-OES technique are shown in Table S1 and the results as attained by SEM-EDX analysis are given in Table S2.

Table S1. Chemical composition of the two clinoptilolites (wt.%) obtained by ICP-OES analysis.

Sample	Al	Ca	Fe	K	Mg	Mn	Na	Pb	Si	As	Sn	Ti
CLI	12.73	4.81	1.72	1.06	1.27	0.027	0.37	0.009	35.27	0.004	0.001	0.12
Zeodigest	9.64	2.43	1.65	2.25	1.12	0.054	5.96	0.007	32.72	0.0001	0.0008	0.16

 Table S2. Average elemental composition (wt.%) of CLI and SnO₂-containing clinoptilolite obtained by the EDX analysis.

Sample	0	Mg	Al	Si	Na	К	Ca	Fe	Sn
CLI	54.87	0.87	6.34	33.21	-	0.88	2.67	1.16	-
SnO ₂ CLI05	56.02	_	4.73	35.62	0.13	0.08	0.08	0.30	3.04
SnO ₂ CLI15	54.53	_	4.03	31.23	0.09	0.04	0.11	0.10	9.89
SnO ₂ CLI25	53.65	_	3.61	27.54	0.05	0.04	0.12	0.07	14.93



Figure S1. EDX spectra of the as-synthesized SnO₂ and SnO₂-containing composites.

Table S3. Parameters of the nearest coordination shells around Sn atoms in Sn-modified CLI zeolites and reference SnO₂ compound with rutile crystal structure: N - the number of neighbour atoms, R - distance, $\sigma 2$ -

Sn neighbour	Ν	R (Å)	σ2 (Å2)	R-factor						
SnO ₂ (rutile)										
0	4	2.059(1)	0.0033(3)							
0	2	2.064(1)	0.0033(3)							
Sn	2	3.198(1)	0.0024(3)	0.0040						
0	4	3.604(2)	0.020(5)							
Sn	8	3.723(3)	0.0040(2)							
0	4	3.806(3)	0.020(5)							
SnO2CLI25										
0	4	2.04(1)	0.005(1)							
0	2	2.05(1)	0.005(1)							
Sn	1.0(2)	3.20(1)	0.003(1)	0.0049						
0	2.0(2)	3.60(1)	0.020(5)							
Sn	4(1)	3.72(1)	0.005(1)							
0	2.0(2)	3.81(1)	0.020(5)							
SnO2CLI15										
0	4	2.04(1)	0.005(1)							
0	2	2.05(1)	0.005(1)							
Sn	1.0(2)	3.19(1)	0.003(1)	0.010						
0	2.0(2)	3.59(1)	0.020(5)							
Sn	2.4(8)	3.71(1)	0.004(1)							
0	2.0(2)	3.79(1)	0.020(5)							
SnO2CLI05										
0	4	2.0431)	0.006(1)							
0	2	2.04(1)	0.006(1)							
Sn	1.4(6)	3.22(1)	0.007(2)	0.012						
0	2(1)	3.59(1)	0.020(5)							
Sn	1.2(6)	3.70(1)	0.007(2)							
0	0.6(4)	3.79(1)	0.020(5)							

Debye-Waller factors, and R-factor - the goodness of fit parameter. The amplitude reduction factor and a shift of energy origin of photoelectron were fit in all the samples, the average obtained values were $S02 = 0.96 \pm 0.09$ eV and $\Delta Eo = 2 \pm 3$ eV. Uncertainty of the last digit is given in parentheses.



Figure S2. A sketch of the photocatalytic set-up (a), a closer look at the internal part of the reactor (b) and a

photograph of the whole set-up (c). On the right; a 3D model of the reactor with one side open.