

# Matrix Effects of Different Water Types on the Efficiency of Fumonisin B1 Removal by Photolysis and Photocatalysis Using Ternary and Binary Structured ZnO-Based Nanocrystallites

Ivana Jevtić<sup>1,†</sup>, Sandra Jakšić<sup>2,†</sup>, Daniela Šojić Merkulov<sup>3</sup>, Szabolcs Bognár<sup>3</sup>, Biljana Abramović<sup>3</sup> and Tamara Ivetić<sup>4,\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Academy of Professional Studies Šabac, Hajduk Veljkova 10, 15000 Šabac, Serbia; ivana.dabic@yahoo.com (I.J.)

<sup>2</sup> Scientific Veterinary Institute Novi Sad, Rumenački put 20, 21000 Novi Sad, Serbia; sandra@niv.ns.ac.rs (S.J.)

<sup>3</sup> Department of Chemistry, Biochemistry and Environmental Protection, University of Novi Sad Faculty of Sciences, Trg Dositeja Obradovića 3, 21000 Novi Sad, Serbia; daniela.sojic@dh.uns.ac.rs (D.Š.M.); sabolc.bognar@dh.uns.ac.rs (S.B.); biljana.abramovic@dh.uns.ac.rs (B.A.)

<sup>4</sup> Department of Physics, University of Novi Sad Faculty of Sciences, Trg Dositeja Obradovića 4, 21000 Novi Sad, Serbia; tamara.ivetic@df.uns.ac.rs (T.I.)

\* Correspondence: tamara.ivetic@df.uns.ac.rs

† These authors are equal major contributors to this work.

**Table S1.** Effect of photolysis and photocatalysis using UV irradiation at pH ~8 on degradation rate constant of FB<sub>1</sub> (1.39 µmol/dm<sup>3</sup>).

Treatment	$k'^a$ (min <sup>-1</sup> )	$r^b$
UV	0.0058	0.985
UV/H <sub>2</sub> O <sub>2</sub>	0.0772	0.999
UV/S <sub>2</sub> O <sub>8</sub> <sup>2-</sup>	0.0714	0.996
UV/ZS-2	0.0390	0.992

<sup>a</sup>Degradation rate constants determined for the first 60 min of irradiation. <sup>b</sup>Linear regression coefficient.

**Table S2.** Effect of different types of water on degradation rate constant of FB<sub>1</sub> (1.39 µmol/dm<sup>3</sup>) using UV irradiation at pH ~8.

Water type	$k'^a$ (min <sup>-1</sup> )	$r^b$
UPW	0.0058	0.992
Tap water	0.0093	0.981
Danube River	0.0095	0.990
Ground water	0.0079	0.986

<sup>a</sup>Degradation rate constants determined for the first 60 min of irradiation. <sup>b</sup>Linear regression coefficient.

**Table S3.** Effect of different types of water on degradation rate constant of FB<sub>1</sub> (1.39 µmol/dm<sup>3</sup>) using indirect photolysis at pH ~8.

Water type	UV/H <sub>2</sub> O <sub>2</sub>		UV/S <sub>2</sub> O <sub>8</sub> <sup>2-</sup>	
	<i>k'</i> <sup>a</sup> (min <sup>-1</sup> )	<i>r</i> <sup>b</sup>	<i>k'</i> <sup>a</sup> (min <sup>-1</sup> )	<i>r</i> <sup>b</sup>
UPW	0.0773	0.999	0.0714	0.997
Tap water	0.0277	0.991	0.0329	0.996
Danube River	0.0211	0.999	0.0108	0.975
Ground water	0.0222	0.997	0.0116	0.995

<sup>a</sup>Degradation rate constants determined for the first 60 min of irradiation. <sup>b</sup>Linear regression coefficient.

**Table S4.** Effect of different types of water on degradation rate constant of FB<sub>1</sub> (1.39 µmol/dm<sup>3</sup>) using ZS-2 photocatalyst at pH ~8.

Water type	<i>k'</i> <sup>a</sup> (min <sup>-1</sup> )	<i>r</i> <sup>b</sup>
UPW	0.0404	0.983
Tap water	0.0244	0.944
Danube River	0.0130	0.898
Ground water	0.0245	0.942

<sup>a</sup>Degradation rate constants determined for the first 20 min of irradiation. <sup>b</sup>Linear regression coefficient.

**Table S5.** Effect of different ions and HA on degradation rate constant of FB<sub>1</sub> (1.39 µmol/dm<sup>3</sup>) at pH 7.7–9.0 using UV irradiation.

Ions/HA	<i>k'</i> <sup>a</sup> (min <sup>-1</sup> )	<i>r</i> <sup>b</sup>
–	0.0058	0.992
NaCl	0.0257	0.999
MgCl <sub>2</sub>	0.0198	0.992
CaCl <sub>2</sub>	0.0155	0.879
HA	0.0117	0.994
NaNO <sub>3</sub>	0.0136	0.997
NaHCO <sub>3</sub>	0.0023	0.987
Na <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>4</sub>	0.0115	0.994

<sup>a</sup>Degradation rate constants determined for the first 60 min of irradiation. <sup>b</sup>Linear regression coefficient.

**Table S6.** Effect of different ions and HA on degradation rate constant of FB<sub>1</sub> (1.39 µmol/dm<sup>3</sup>) at pH 7.7–9.0 using UV/H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> treatment.

Ions/HA	$k'^a$ (min <sup>-1</sup> )	$r^b$
–	0.0772	0.998
NaCl	0.0237	0.999
MgCl <sub>2</sub>	0.0122	0.929
CaCl <sub>2</sub>	0.0091	0.889
HA	0.0181	0.974
NaNO <sub>3</sub>	0.0192	0.995
NaHCO <sub>3</sub>	0.0005	0.997
Na <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>4</sub>	0.0248	0.992

<sup>a</sup>Degradation rate constants determined for the first 60 min of irradiation. <sup>b</sup>Linear regression coefficient.

**Table S7.** Effect of different ions and HA on degradation rate constant of FB<sub>1</sub> (1.39 µmol/dm<sup>3</sup>) at pH 7.7–9.0 using ZS-2 photocatayst.

Ions/HA	$k'^a$ (min <sup>-1</sup> )	$r^b$
–	0.0405	0.983
NaCl	0.0336	0.962
MgCl <sub>2</sub>	0.0240	0.955
CaCl <sub>2</sub>	0.0444	0.962
HA	0.0406	0.982
NaNO <sub>3</sub>	0.0317	0.972
NaHCO <sub>3</sub>	0.0377	0.988
Na <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>4</sub>	0.0252	0.987

<sup>a</sup>Degradation rate constants determined for the first 20 min of irradiation. <sup>b</sup>Linear regression coefficient.

**Table S8.** The physicochemical characteristics of the analyzed water types.

Parameter	Water type			
	Danube River	Ground water	Tap water	UPW
pH	7.70	7.62	7.30	6.56
Conductivity at 25 °C (µS/cm)	333	466	516	0.055
TOC (mg/dm <sup>3</sup> ) <sup>a</sup>	2.30	0.78	1.80	<DL <sup>b</sup>
Hydrogen carbonate (mg/dm <sup>3</sup> )	209	768	238	<DL <sup>b</sup>
Fluoride (mg/dm <sup>3</sup> )	<DL <sup>b</sup>	0.469	0.130	<DL <sup>b</sup>
Chloride (mg/dm <sup>3</sup> )	44.02	61.39	16.50	<DL <sup>b</sup>
Bromide (mg/dm <sup>3</sup> )	0.080	0.090	<0.005	<DL <sup>b</sup>
Sulphate (mg/dm <sup>3</sup> )	15.52	0.486	35.0	<DL <sup>b</sup>
Nitrate (mg/dm <sup>3</sup> )	3.86	0.099	1.87	<DL <sup>b</sup>
Nitrite (mg/dm <sup>3</sup> )	2.76	17.53	<0.01	<DL <sup>b</sup>
Phosphate (mg/dm <sup>3</sup> )	0.202	0.052	<DL <sup>b</sup>	<DL <sup>b</sup>
Calcium (mg/dm <sup>3</sup> )	0.136	<DL <sup>b</sup>	70.49	<DL <sup>b</sup>
Potassium (mg/dm <sup>3</sup> )	0.030	<DL <sup>b</sup>	3.75	<DL <sup>b</sup>
Lithium (mg/dm <sup>3</sup> )	<DL <sup>b</sup>	0.024	<0.005	<DL <sup>b</sup>
Magnesium (mg/dm <sup>3</sup> )	0.078	0.129	20.3	<DL <sup>b</sup>
Sodium (mg/dm <sup>3</sup> )	0.043	0.219	19.2	<DL <sup>b</sup>
Ammonium-ion (mg/dm <sup>3</sup> )	<DL <sup>b</sup>	15.76	<0.03	<DL <sup>b</sup>

<sup>a</sup>TOC: total organic carbon. <sup>b</sup>DL: detection limit.