## **Supporting Information**

## Radiative and non-radiative recombination pathways in mixed phase TiO<sub>2</sub> nanotubes for PEC water splitting

Rambabu Yalavarthi<sup>1</sup>, Alberto Naldoni<sup>1,\*</sup>, Štěpán Kment<sup>1,\*</sup>, Luca Mascaretti<sup>1</sup>, Hana Kmentová<sup>1</sup>,

Ondřej Tomanec<sup>1</sup>, Patrik Schmuki<sup>1,2</sup> and Radek Zbořil<sup>1,\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Regional Centre of Advanced Technologies and Materials, Faculty of Science, Palacký

University Olomouc, 17. listopadu 1192/12, 771 46 Olomouc, Czech Republic

<sup>2</sup>Department of Materials Science and Engineering, University of Erlangen-Nuremberg,

Martensstrasse 7, D-91058 Erlangen, Germany

\*Correspondence: stepan.kment@upol.cz (Š.K.); alberto.naldoni@upol.cz (A.N.); radek.zboril@upol.cz (R.Z.)





Ti metal and TiO<sub>2</sub> nanotubes.



**Figure S2.** (a) Schematics of typical water oxidation kinetics involved in n-type semiconductor/solution interface during PEC water splitting. Here,  $k_1$  represents the hole transfer rate from valence band to solution;  $k_2$  is the rate of hole trapping in surface states;  $k_3$  represents the hole transfer from surface states to solution;  $k_4$  is the recombination rate of holes in surface states with electrons in conduction band. (b) Complete physical model, which describes water oxidation processes at semiconductor/solution interface (adopted from *ref.* [36]) where  $R_{ct,trap}$  is analogous to hole transfer rate ( $k_3$ ) from surface states to solution. (c) Simplified model used for photoelectrochemical impedance spectroscopy (PEIS) data fitting, in which surface trap charge transfer resistance is neglected ( $R_{ct,trap}$ ). Since  $k_1$  dominates the  $k_3$  ( $k_1$ >>> $k_3$ ) in semiconductors like TiO<sub>2</sub>, the hole transfer ability across the solution interface is represented by a single element  $R_{ct,VB}$ , as represented by  $k_1$  in schematic (a).



**Figure S3.** Intensity-modulated photocurrent spectroscopy (IMPS) spectra measured at different potentials (V) against Ag/AgCl for TiO<sub>2</sub> nanotubes annealed at different temperatures.

## Few lines about IMPS and IMVS

IMPS and IMVS are electrochemical techniques similar to EIS (Electrochemical Impedance Spectroscopy). However, in IMPS/IMVS techniques rather than modulation of voltage or current (in case of EIS), the light intensity which falls on photoanode is modulated. In the process of experiments, fixed light intensity (Io) is illuminated on the photoanode, along with this, a sinusoidal light wave will be superimposed to perturb the surface of photoanode. The angular frequency of the sinusoidal light wave is  $2\pi f$ . The output signal frequency is same but phase is shifted. During the measurement frequency dependent current/voltage will be measured, which is real part (re) as well and imaginary part (im) of photocurrent. By analyzing the recorded spectra, it is possible to extract charge transfer/transport parameters related to surface oxidation kinetics of the photoanode.



Figure S4. PEIS spectra measured at different potentials (V) against Ag/AgCl for  $TiO_2$  nanotubes annealed at different temperatures.



Figure S5. Multi-Gaussian fitting of PL spectra of TiO<sub>2</sub> nanotubes.



**Figure S6.** Mott-Schottky plots obtained from the bulk capacitance measurements in the dark. Figure 8 represents the Mott-Schottky meaurements conducted for the samples at a frequency 500 Hz in the dark with scan rate 100 mV/s. The intersection of tangents with potential axis gives the flatband potential of respective photoanode. The obtained  $E_{fb}$  is consistent with the photocurrent onset observed in I-V curves. The  $E_{fb}$  for the TNT 600 ( $E_{fb}$ = -0.64±0.02 V *vs*. Ag/AgCl) shift towards positive side in comparision to the samples TNT700 ( $E_{fb}$ = -0.72±0.03 V *vs*. Ag/AgCl), which indicates shift in Fermi level towards the conduction band thus improves the hole transfer effeciency and is consistent with the observed results form IMPS and EIS measurements. The charge carrier density corresponding to TNT600 ( $N_d$ =9.71±0.03×10<sup>20</sup> cm<sup>-3</sup>), is higher compared to TNT500 ( $N_d$ =7.16±0.03×10<sup>20</sup> cm<sup>-3</sup>) and TNT500 ( $N_d$ =3.45±0.02×10<sup>20</sup> cm<sup>-3</sup>) also indicates the effectient charge transport/transfer rate across the photoanode/electrolyte interface