



Optimization of Alkaline Extraction of Xylan-Based Hemicelluloses from Wheat Straws: Effects of Microwave, Ultrasound, and Freeze–Thaw Cycles

Adrian Cătălin Puițel ¹, Gabriel Dan Suditu ¹, Elena Niculina Drăgoi ¹, Maricel Danu ^{1,2}, Gabriela-Liliana Ailiesei ², Cătălin Dumitrel Balan ¹, Daniela-Lucia Chicet ³ and Mircea Teodor Nechita ^{1,*}

- ¹ "Cristofor Simionescu" Faculty of Chemical Engineering and Environmental Protection, "Chaptershe Acadei" Technical University Rd. Prof. Dimitric Manageron, No. 72, 700050 Just
- "Gheorghe Asachi" Technical University, Bd. Prof. Dimitrie Mangeron, No. 73, 700050 Iaşi, Romania
- ² "Petru Poni" Institute of Macromolecular Chemistry, 41A Grigore Ghica Voda Alley, 700487 Iaşi, Romania
 ³ Faculty of Materials Science and Engineering, "Gheorghe Asachi" Technical University, Bd. Prof. Dimitrie Mangeron, No. 41, 700050 Iaşi, Romania
- Correspondence: mircea-teodor.nechita@academic.tuiasi.ro

Abstract: The alkaline extraction of hemicelluloses from a mixture of three varieties of wheat straw (containing 40.1% cellulose, 20.23% xylan, and 26.2% hemicellulose) was analyzed considering the following complementary pre-treatments: freeze–thaw cycles, microwaves, and ultrasounds. The two cycles freeze–thaw approach was selected based on simplicity and energy savings for further analysis and optimization. Experiments planned with Design Expert were performed. The regression model determined through the response surface methodology based on the severity factor (defined as a function of time and temperature) and alkali concentration as variables was then used to optimize the process in a multi-objective case considering the possibility of further use for pulping. To show the properties and chemical structure of the separated hemicelluloses, several analytical methods were used: high-performance chromatography (HPLC), Fourier-transformed infrared spectroscopy (FTIR), proton nuclear magnetic resonance spectroscopy (¹H-NMR), thermogravimetry and derivative thermogravimetry analysis (TG, DTG), and scanning electron microscopy (SEM). The verified experimental optimization result indicated the possibility of obtaining hemicelluloses material containing 3.40% glucan, 85.51% xylan, and 7.89% arabinan. The association of hot alkaline extraction with two freeze–thaw cycles allows the partial preservation of the hemicellulose polymeric structure.

Keywords: wheat straw; severity factor; response surface methodology; xylan; hemicellulose; extraction yields

1. Introduction

Biomass is recognized as a sustainable, renewable, and virtually limitless resource. Therefore, biomass waste-to-energy valorization technologies are required [1–4]. Agricultural biomass waste is equally appealing [2,3] as a source of energy [5] and/or basic chemicals [6]. As a result, the biomass utilization pathway is critical for maximizing profits, while covering the energy sector and chemical demand [7].

Wheat straws (WS) are a significant component of agricultural waste biomass [8], available virtually worldwide in massive amounts and with multiple ways of valorization, [8–13] such as bioethanol [9,14], biofuels [13,15,16], packaging materials [17], fertilizers [15], sugars [18,19], and others. Each WS utilization pathway, as with biomass in general, corresponds to a specific technology, and each technology is based on a particular type of pretreatment [20,21]. Some sequences may be similar for many technologies (e.g., mechanical stages, such as chopping and sieving; washing; drying; mixing); however, others are particular and correspond to the final, desired product (e.g., sol-gel and carbonization to produce wheat straw-derived magnetic carbon foams [22]). The standard mechanical and



Citation: Puițel, A.C.; Suditu, G.D.; Drăgoi, E.N.; Danu, M.; Ailiesei, G.-L.; Balan, C.D.; Chicet, D.-L.; Nechita, M.T. Optimization of Alkaline Extraction of Xylan-Based Hemicelluloses from Wheat Straws: Effects of Microwave, Ultrasound, and Freeze–Thaw Cycles. *Polymers* **2023**, *15*, 1038. https://doi.org/ 10.3390/polym15041038

Academic Editors: Sylvain Caillol and Luis Alves

Received: 30 January 2023 Revised: 17 February 2023 Accepted: 17 February 2023 Published: 19 February 2023



Copyright: © 2023 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by/ 4.0/). physical steps are usually followed by more aggressive physical, chemical, and biochemical pretreatments that are designed to (i) break down the covalent cross-linkages among lignin, cellulose, and hemicellulose; (ii) reduce the crystallinity of the cellulose in the cell walls of wheat straw [23,24]; and finally, (iii) separate the main components [21,25,26].

The separation of the three main constituents of lignocellulosic materials is generally recognized as the most challenging stage of the lignocellulosic biomass valorization process [27], regardless of the product sought or the technological sequence used [21,28,29]. Chemical pretreatments, such as acidic, alkaline, organic solvent, ionic liquid, ammonia, and ozone, can be used. Each extraction procedure affects the structure of the obtained material in a specific manner [21,25,29,30]. Recently, deep eutectic solvent-based methodologies attracted a lot of attention [31] for effective lignin extraction from various types of biomass, including wheat straw [32], bamboo [33], and *Triarrhena lutarioriparia* [34].

For example, if the lignocellulosic biomass is used as a feedstock for biofuel production lines, then the overall aim of such pretreatments would be a high removal rate of lignin, known for its inhibitory effect [35]. Consequently, the use of relatively harsh conditions for removing lignin is accompanied by removing hemicelluloses [36]. Alternatively, suppose the objective of the biomass processing technology includes the potential recovery of hemicelluloses and of the remaining cellulosic fibers material for the production of papermaking pulp. In that case, the pretreatment conditions must remain within a milder range to prevent excessive hemicellulose degradation and preserve a portion of them in the remaining pulp. This is due to the significance of hemicelluloses as paper-strength promoters [37–39].

Several benefits (efficient hemicellulose separation, effective removal of acetyl groups, mild reaction conditions, less sugar degradation, furan derivatives formation, and relatively low operation costs) [40,41] suggest that alkaline pretreatments are very effective for improving extraction yields in the case of biofuels' production processes [11,42–45]. However, to make the process economically viable, the selectivity must be increased, the pretreatment time reduced, and the chemicals recycled [41,45]. Furthermore, by separate usage or combining the alkaline treatment with physical techniques, such as microwave [46–49], ultrasound irradiation [18,19,41,49–51], or freeze–thaw (FT) cycles [44,47,52], the alkaline extraction can be improved even further taking into account the final objectives.

The current study aims to optimize the extraction and separation of hemicellulose from a highly available lignocellulosic crop residue biomass category—wheat straws (*Triticum aestivum* L.). Chemical characterization of the raw materials was performed on straws from three wheat varieties, which were mixed to simulate an authentic warehouse situation. Three types of hemicellulose alkaline extraction were performed in the screening phase of the study: (i) preceded by freeze–thaw cycles; (ii) ultrasound-assisted; and (iii) microwaveassisted. The best results were obtained for two freeze–thaw cycles, followed by alkaline extraction; thus, this strategy was chosen for further modeling and optimization. The selection of the wheat straw treatment sequence is justified by the possibility of industrializing the process and integrating it into an agri-waste fractionation facility that produces both xylan-based hemicelluloses and papermaking fibers.

The wheat-straw-separated hemicellulose's chemical structure was analyzed using high-performance chromatography (HPLC), Fourier-transformed infrared spectroscopy (FTIR), proton nuclear magnetic resonance spectroscopy (¹H-NMR), thermogravimetry, and derivative thermogravimetry analysis (TG, DTG). Based on the results, the purity and the content in terms of components such as xylan, arabinan, and glucan were established.

Response surface methodology (RSM) was used to model and optimize the selected extraction procedure. In addition, to reduce the total number of experiments, the temperature and extraction time have been combined in a single parameter, which will be further referred to as the severity factor [53].

The novelty of the current work is sustained by: (i) screening: trial analysis to determine the most effective complementary pretreatment for hemicellulose alkaline extraction (Section 3.2), taking into account the technical and economic advantages, and disadvantages of each tested combination (Section 3.6); (ii) experimental design (Section 3.3): the experimental analysis of the selected procedure to unravel the influence of parameters on the process efficiency; and (iii) process optimization (Section 3.4): the identification of the optimal conditions that lead to a maximization of extraction considering different multi-objective criteria (simultaneous maximization of some outputs and minimization of others). To the best of the author's knowledge, such an approach for wheat straw alkaline extraction has never been reported.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Analysis Methodology and Equipment

The raw materials used in this study consisted of Otilia, Sorial, and Izvor wheat straw varieties collected from Romanian farmers. For further experimental work, equal portions of the straw were mixed to avoid differences in results obtained by individual processing of straws from the various mentioned varieties. This also helps find a situation closer to the reality of the industry when different types are collected in the same warehouse. Preliminary processing of the wheat straw included grinding and sieving. Classical analytical procedures were involved in establishing the chemical composition in terms of both significant (polysaccharides and lignin) and minor constituents: ash—TAPPI T 211 om-02 [54]; hot water extractives (denoted with HWT), which were determined by the TAPPI T 207 om-88 method [55]; and organic solvent extractives T 204 cm-97 [56]. This chemical characterization was performed for each wheat straw variety and its mixture. The NREL method [57,58] for cellulose determination involves hydrolysis to glucose in two steps of sample treatment: hydrolysis with sulfuric acid at 72% and post-hydrolysis after dilution with sulfuric acid at 4%. The acetone extractives (AE) were determined according to the ISO 14453:2014 procedure.

Acid-insoluble lignin (AIL) and acid-soluble lignin (ASL) were measured using the sulfuric acid two-stages hydrolysis method specified by the NREL/TP-510-42618 method [58]. In addition, the major polysaccharide components (cellulose and hemicelluloses) were determined following an adapted procedure to that described in [49].

The employed chromatography system—Agilent Infinity 1260 II—was equipped with Phenomenex Rezex RPM-Monosaccharide Pb⁺² (8%) 300 × 7.8 mm, heated at 65 °C. The flow rate of the mobile phase (ultrapure water) had a value of 0.6 mL/min. The injection volume was set to 5 μ L. Before injection, each sample and the standard solution were filtered using 0.2 μ m syringe PTFE Roth filters. Calibration curves in the concentrations range of 0.05–0.3 g/L were plotted using solutions of 99% purity glucose, xylose, and arabinose (provided by Flucka). A Jasco V550 UV–Vis spectrometer was used to record the absorbance values at 205 nm [59].

2.2. Screening Phase for Extraction of Hemicellulose from Wheat Straw

An initial screening set of experiments was performed to establish the effect of individual pretreatments or alkaline extraction conditions on hemicelluloses' removal yields. This experimental stage included:

(i) trials on FT cycles. The freeze–thawing cycles were repeated up to four times to test their impact on the efficiency of the subsequent hot alkaline (HA) extraction stage. The freezing phase in the freeze–thaw cycle trials was performed by immersing 2 g of oven-dried straw in water in polypropylene sampling vessels. The samples were frozen in a conventional freezer ($-22 \,^{\circ}C$) for 60 min. Then, they were removed from the fridge and left to thaw at room temperature. After defrosting, the samples were reinserted into the freezer to start a new cycle. The extraction yields were measured after a standalone FT cycle and for FT cycles followed by HA. The HA procedure is described in our previous work [40]. For the screening trials, a 5% sodium hydroxide (NaOH) solution was used as an extractive agent at a temperature of 90 °C and 40 min at a solid-to-liquid ratio of 1:30.

(ii) ultrasound treatment. The ultrasonic extraction experiments were realized using a custom-made ultra-sonication system with a generator and horn capable of supporting 50 W at 40 kHz for 10 min. In this case, the treatment was performed in an alkaline environment without supplementary heating.

(iii) extraction under microwave heating conditions. The microwave-assisted heating was performed in an alkaline solution using a 700 W commercially available home oven (Zanussi ZMC 19 MG, microwave frequency—2.45 GHz). This procedure was applied as a potential replacement for conventional heating employed in classic HA.

2.3. Experimental Design of Alkaline Extraction of Hemicelluloses from Freeze–Thaw Pretreated Wheat Straw

The RSM methodology with central composite design was used to model and optimize yields after the best extraction method was determined during the screening phase. The main parameters (Table 1) for modeling the extraction of hemicelluloses from the two preliminary freeze–thaw-cycles-treated wheat straw were: (i) the severity factor is defined as the combination of extraction time and the temperature, Equation (1) [53,60]; and (ii) NaOH concentration:

$$SF = \log_{10} \left(\tau * e^{\frac{t - 100}{14.75}} \right) \tag{1}$$

where *SF* represents the severity factor; τ is the processing time at selected temperature *T*.

Table 1. Independent variables and variation range for hemicelluloses extraction from wheat straw.

Indonondont Variables	Unite	Labol	Rar	nge	Symbol
independent variables	Units	Label	From	То	- Symbol
Severity factor NaOH concentration	- % wt.	X1 X2	1 3	3 7	SF CNaOH
i vaci i concentration	70 W L.	712	0	,	CINIOTI

The analyzed dependent variables are: the total extraction yield (TY), the homoxylan extraction yield (XY), the total hemicellulose extracted yield (HCY), the acid-insoluble lignin removal yield (YAIL), and the acid-soluble lignin removal yield (YASL). Equations (2)–(6) indicate how the output was determined based on experimental data. Stat-Ease Design-Expert (version 7) was used for the experimental design and processing of the experiments;

$$\Gamma Y(\%) = \frac{m_1 - m_2}{m_1} \times 100$$
(2)

where TY (%) represents the total extraction yield; m_1 is the initial mass of the treated samples, oven dried; and m_2 is the mass of the solid residue remaining after the extractive treatment, oven dried;

XY (%) =
$$\frac{m_{\chi_1} - m_{\chi_2}}{m_{\chi_1}} \times 100$$
 (3)

where XY (%) stands for the xylan extraction yield; m_{X1} is the absolute mass of the xylan in the raw material sample; and m_{X2} is the absolute mass of the xylan, determined after two-stage acid hydrolysis;

HCY (%) =
$$\frac{m_{AX1} - m_{AX2}}{m_{AX1}} \times 100$$
 (4)

where HCY (%) stands for the hemicellulose extraction yield; m_{AX1} is the absolute mass of the hemicellulose—arabinoxylan in the raw material sample; and m_{AX2} is the absolute mass of the hemicellulose—arabinoxylan, determined after two-stage acid hydrolysis;

$$YAIL (\%) = \frac{\mathbf{m}_{\text{AIL1}} - \mathbf{m}_{\text{AIL2}}}{\mathbf{m}_{\text{AIL1}}} \times 100$$
(5)

where *YAIL* (%) stands for the acid-insoluble lignin extraction yield; m_{AIL1} and m_{AIL2} are the absolute mass of the acid-insoluble lignin in the raw material and treated sample;

$$YASL(\%) = \frac{m_{ASL1} - m_{ASL2}}{m_{ASL1}} \times 100$$
(6)

where Y_{ASL} (%) stands for the acid-soluble lignin extraction yield; m_{ASL1} and m_{ASL2} are the absolute mass of the acid-soluble lignin in the raw and treated sample, respectively. The NREL/TP-510-42618 method was used to determine m_{AIL1} , m_{AIL2} , m_{ASL1} , m_{ASL2} [58].

The plan consists of thirteen experiments, including five replications at the center point. The range of parameters included in the RSM analysis (Table 1) was determined based on a series of preliminary investigations and a previous study [40]. The experimental results were then utilized to generate regression models describing the interdependence between parameters and extraction yields.

After determining the regression models for each yield based on the experimental data, process optimization was performed, considering the maximization of the total extraction yield.

2.4. Separation and Characterization of Extracted Hemicelluloses

Separating hemicelluloses involves the preliminary lignin separation by acid precipitation at pH 5. The subsequent treatment of the supernatant with 96% ethanol leads to hemicellulose separation. The purity of the obtained hemicelluloses depends on the amount of lignin initially present in the alkaline extraction liquor, which depends on the extraction conditions. The increased extraction time, temperature, and sodium hydroxide concentration lead to higher amounts of lignin in the HA-produced liquor. At the same time, during the hemicellulose extraction process, lignin is degraded into soluble products at a pH below 5. This aspect leads to the co-precipitation of lignin with the hemicelluloses during the graded ethanol method used to separate hemicelluloses.

In the graded ethanol precipitation method, volumes of 100 mL samples of alkali extraction liquors were neutralized to pH 5.5 by using glacial acetic acid to precipitate and remove some of the lignin co-extracted. The resulting liquor was centrifugated at 3000 rpm for 15 min in a Sorvall GLC2 equipped with an HL-4 rotor (100-mL bucket). The remaining supernatant was mixed with 200 cm³ of analytic purity ethanol (96%) and left to stand at 4 °C for 24 h. The precipitated hemicelluloses (HC) were separated by centrifugation for 15 min and double-washed with ethanol. Furthermore, the resulting HC samples were dried at 50 °C and prepared for HPLC analysis to determine the constituent monosaccharides residues. The HPLC system and analysis conditions were described in Section 2.1. The injection volume was increased to 20 μ L to accommodate the concentration of sugars in the hemicelluloses derived hydrolysate. The exact procedure for wheat straw hemicelluloses processing before HPLC analysis was described in our previous work [40].

The FTIR spectra of the wheat straw extracted and separated hemicelluloses samples were recorded using potassium bromide disks containing finely ground samples at 1% content on an Agilent Cary 630 FTIR instrument (64 scans at 4 cm⁻¹ resolution and 4000–400 cm⁻¹).

Samples of 30 mg of hemicelluloses dissolved in deuterated water and pipetted into NMR tubes were used for ¹H-NMR spectroscopy analysis. The spectra were recorded on a Bruker Avance NEO 400 MHz spectrometer, operating at 400.1 MHz for 1H nuclei, with a 5 mm four nuclei direct detection z-gradient probe using standard pulse sequences, as delivered by Bruker with TopSpin 4.0.8 spectrometer control and processing software. Chemical shifts are reported in δ units (ppm) and were referenced to the sodium 3-(trimethylsilyl)-(2,2,3,3-d4)-1-propionate (TSP) internal standard. For spectra registration, 128 scans were used.

Thermogravimetric analysis of hemicelluloses samples was carried out using a Toledo TGA/SDTA 851 instrument at a heating rate of 10 $^{\circ}$ C·min⁻¹ and a nitrogen flow rate of 20 mL·min⁻¹, using a sample weight of 2–6 mg. Ceramic pans were used to heat the

samples from 25 °C to 800 °C. Mettler Stare SW 9.10 TGA/DTG software was used for data processing.

The surface morphology of the samples was studied with the help of a Vega-Tescan LMH II type scanning electron microscope, using a secondary electrons (SE) detector and an 8 kV filament voltage on a high-vacuum (HV) working module. First, the analyzed samples were taken in a dry state at the end of each extraction stage. Then, without further intervention, they were mounted directly on the holders using a double-copper adhesive strip.

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Wheat Straw Chemical Composition

The main chemical components are cellulose, hemicelluloses, and lignin. Several methods of analysis were used (Section 2.1.) to estimate the amount of various constituents of the raw materials (Table 2). The total amount of hemicelluloses (xylan and arabinan) is denoted with HC. Table 2 is designed to compare the different types of constituents for the three varieties of wheat straw and their mixture (1:1:1), and the results do not represent the total chemical composition.

Table 2. Chemical composition of wheat straw varieties as raw materials in the study.

Variety	Cellulose % (Std)	Xylan % (Std)	HC % (Std)	AIL % (Std)	ASL % (Std)	AE % (Std)	HWT % (Std)	Ash % (Std)
Otilia	39.64 (0.98)	21.62 (1.14)	24.97 (0.38)	18.67 (1.12)	1.95 (0.12)	2.17 (0.03)	15.47 (0.02)	5.57 (0.06)
Sorial	39.73 (0.45)	18.79 (1.88)	26.01 (0.24)	15.8 (0.48)	2.45 (0.11)	4.09 (0.04)	18.08 (0.41)	6.44 (0.17)
Izvor	40.9 (0.59)	20.56 (1.37)	27.27 (0.31)	20.6 (0.11)	1.83 (0.16)	2.49 (0.05)	13.6 (0.5)	4.88 (0.14)
Mixture of WS (1:1:1)	40.1 (1.12)	20.23 (1.1)	26.2 (1.78)	16.36 (1.1)	1.48 (0.22)	2.91 (0.15)	15.66 (0.18)	5.61 (0.35)

Results presented as mean of triplicates; std represents the standard deviation.

There are minor differences (1% variation) in cellulose content between the different varieties, while for the hemicelluloses content, it ranged from 24.97% to 27.27%; and for acid-insoluble lignin values, from 15.8% to 20.6%.

AE were lower than 3% for Otilia and Izvor varieties, while for the Sorial variety, a value of 4% was recorded. This includes waxes, fats, resins, and sterols. The extraction was performed using acetone; thus, the current study-reported-data have smaller values than the standard ethanol–benzene mixture until recently [61,62]. The content of hot water extractives indicates the biomass's soluble organic materials (tannins, gums, soluble non-structural sugars, starch, and coloring substances) or inorganics, such as salts or nitrogenous material [63,64]; and the current obtained values are comparable to literature data [61].

The ash content is proportional to the amount of mineral substances present, and it is higher in non-wood biomass samples than in wood biomass samples. The experimental values obtained are consistent with those reported by other authors in similar studies [20].

3.2. Screening Phase for Extraction of Hemicellulose from Wheat Straw

The results displayed in Table 3 offer a comparative view of the proposed extractive treatment results. FT represents the freeze–thaw pretreatment stage; the number of cycles is given by the associated number (e.g., FT 2 indicates that the sample underwent two freeze–thaw cycles). HA 40-90 is the hot alkali extraction stage, where the former represents the time, while the latter represents the temperature, in Celsius degrees. US10-30 and US20-45 indicate ultra-sonication for 10 and 20 min at 30 °C and 45 °C, respectively. MW 10-100 represents microwave-assisted heating in an alkaline environment at 10 min and 100 °C.

Sample Treatment	TY (%)	XY (%)	HCY (%)	YAIL (%)	YASL (%)
HA 40-90	42.21	24.16	34.03	25.9	27.5
HA 40-100	44.2	36.1	35.8	32.08	35.1
FT2	7.50	3.72	3.08	2.68	0.89
US10-30	31.33	4.38	9.28	19.16	11.22
US20-45	34.40	21.67	21.96	24.85	18.9
MW 10-100	52.18	31.51	31.39	29.40	19.91
FT 1 HA 40-90	45.2	58.41	60.02	23.69	37.81
FT 2 HA 40-90	47.3	61.75	63.43	25.66	39.8
FT 3 HA 40-90	46.6	58.19	56.51	23.66	38.2
FT 4 HA 40-90	45.1	56.68	54.80	24.23	38.9

Table 3. The effect of freeze-thawing cycles on the subsequent yields obtained in alkaline extraction.

The data shows that the freeze–thawing cycles enhance the alkaline extraction process, while the microwave heating or ultrasound treatment acts as a standalone extraction process. Applying microwave heating or ultrasound irradiation in an alkaline solution (with the mechanisms detailed in Section 3.6.) has similar effects to classic HA. However, the yields strongly depend on the irradiation time and frequency. Both procedures reduce the treatment time; however, temperature control is difficult and specialized equipment is required, which limits its wide adaptation in various settings.

Freeze-thawing alone removes only a tiny amount of xylan and hemicelluloses, probably water-soluble fractions, together with some lignin. Regarding the number of freezethaw cycles, the most effective extraction occurred after two cycles. Considering the simplicity of the laboratory setup and its potential for up-scaling, the sequence consisting of two freeze-thaw cycles, followed by hot alkaline extraction (FT 2 HA) was chosen for modeling, optimization, and product characterization.

3.3. The Influence of Extraction Parameters

The central composite experimental design and results for FT 2 HA are displayed in Table 4. First- and second-order polynomial regression equations were used to fit the experimental data. The equations were simplified by removing some non-significant terms, while maintaining the model hierarchy. The proposed relationships between the extraction yields (total extraction yield, xylan extraction yield, total hemicelluloses removal and lignin removal, and parameters are shown in Equations (7)–(11) (all *p*-values were less than 0.05). The detailed ANOVA analysis and the statistical indicators for these models are presented in Tables S1–S5.

$$TY(\%) = -6.01X_1^2 + 0.267X_2^2 + 31.8X_1 - 0.676X_2 - 0.264X_1X_2 + 10.4; R^2 = 0.97$$
(7)

XY (%) =
$$21.4X_1 + 11.4X_2 - 2.08X_1X_2 - 30.5$$
; $R^2 = 0.95$ (8)

HCY (%) =
$$15.9X_1 + 8.95X_2 - 1.1X_1X_2 - 17.5$$
; $R^2 = 0.94$ (9)

YAIL (%) = 70.4 - 84.1X₁ + 3.11X₂ + 1.44X₁X₂ + 24X₁² - 0.267X₂²;
$$R^2 = 0.97$$
 (10)

$$\text{YASL}(\%) = -4.99X_1 - 0274X_2 + 2.01X_1X_2 + 27.2; \ R^2 = 0.89 \tag{11}$$

Figure 1 shows the tridimensional surface obtained for the total yield variation due to SF and sodium hydroxide concentration. Increasing both SF and CNaOH resulted in an increase of TY (%). At a constant value of 3% NaOH, a variation of 1 unity for SF leads to a nearly 27% rise in TY (%). The maximum value for the total extraction yield is obtained for an SF of 2.5. Over this value, TY (%) slightly decreases regardless of the alkali concentration, which can be explained by the NaOH consumption in concurrent reactions. To highlight the effect of CNaOH, the SF was held constant. At SF = 1, the increase of alkali concentration from 3 to 7 leads to a rise in TY (%) of 19.3%. The same rising trend is observed at higher SF values; however, the % is lower (12.1% for SF = 2 and 9.6% for SF = 3). Overall, SF has a higher impact on total extraction yield than CNaOH.

Exp.	SF	CNaOH (%)	TY (%)	XY (%)	HCY (%)	YAIL (%)	YASL (%)
1	1	3	34.86	15.24	19.24	19.67	28.42
2	2	3	49.79	34.75	34.87	13.65	24.66
3	3	3	49.58	50.15	48.28	56.05	28.77
4	1	7	41.60	52.57	50.72	27.55	32.19
5	2	7	56.01	63.84	61.70	26.24	45.89
6	3	7	54.21	70.84	70.98	75.46	48.56
7	1	5	40.22	49.65	49.06	38.59	31.44
8	3	5	51.43	55.60	57.23	60.21	44.86
9	2	5	49.76	48.44	46.98	20.97	36.30
10	2	5	50.55	54.32	52.23	21.03	34.93
11	2	5	49.73	49.68	42.46	20.77	39.04
12	2	5	50.36	45.11	47.10	25.94	35.62
113	2	5	50.22	49.18	48.45	19.67	36.30

Table 4. Experimental results and conditions of hot alkaline extraction of wheat straw.



Figure 1. Variation of the total extraction yield as a function of severity factor and alkali concentration (the colours green-yellow-red indicate the shift from low to high values).

Figure 2 shows the response surface plot obtained for the homoxylan yield variation in relation to alkali concentration and severity factor. As can be observed, the maximum XY (%) value is obtained at SF = 3 and CNaOH = 7%. Distinctively from the TY (%) where the maximum was at SF = 2.5, indifferent of alkali concentration, in this case, both parameters have a significant influence on extraction yield. Due to the considerable impact of both parameters, the homoxylan yield does not reach a plateau as for TY (%). The total effect of the two parameters has a more substantial impact on XY (%) than either of them would have on their own.

Figure 3 shows the response surface of the model for the total hemicelluloses extraction yield. Similar behavior as in the case of XY (%) can be observed for HCY (%); the highest extraction yield being obtained at maximum values for SF and CNaOH. However, the individual influence of the considered variables is slightly different (indicated by the slopes of the surface in relation to each parameter).

Figure 4 displays the variation of YAIL (%) on the model parameters. At lower values of SF (interval 1–2), the variation has a non-linear trend; and the extraction efficiency is minimum, the increase of SF to 2 leading to a decrease in extraction yield (negative slope). On the other hand, the raise of SF to 3 leads to a rapid YAIL (%) increase (positive slope). Although the CNaOH has a low influence on the overall performance, the maximum YAIL (%) is reached at the highest alkali concentration (red-colored surface).



Figure 2. Variation of homoxylan extraction yield as a function of severity factor and alkali concentration (the colours green-yellow-red indicate the shift from low to high values).



Figure 3. Variation of the total hemicelluloses extraction yield with severity factor and alkali concentration (the colours green-yellow-red indicate the shift from low to high values).



Figure 4. Variation of the acid-insoluble lignin removal yield on severity factor and alkali concentration (the colours green-yellow-red indicate the shift from low to high values).

The response surface plot for the variation of the acid-insoluble lignin removal yield is shown in Figure 5. In this case, it can be observed that the individual effects of SF and CNaOH are reduced in comparison with their combined effect. When SF = 2, YASL (%) ranges from 28.5% to 43.4% for the entire alkali concentration interval, while when CNaOH = 5, the acid-soluble lignin removal yield varies from 30.9% to 40.9% for the entire SF domain. The highest removal efficiency of the acid-soluble lignin is obtained for SF = 3 and CNaOH = 7.



Figure 5. Variation of the acid-soluble lignin removal yield as a function of severity factor and alkali concentration (the colours green-yellow-red indicate the shift from low to high values).

Although the maximum extraction yields are obtained at the maximum values of SF and CNaOH for all the analyzed outputs, the shape of the surface plots suggests a linear dependence for homoxylan, hemicellulose, and the soluble acid lignin (Figures 2, 3 and 5). In contrast, for total extraction and acid-insoluble lignin (Figures 1 and 4), there is a non-linear dependence. This aspect can also be observed by analyzing the determined mathematical models and the ANOVA reports (Tables S1–S5).

3.4. Process Optimization

After determining the models and the impact of each individual parameter was analyzed, the process was optimized considering two cases: (i) the experimental limits (this will be further referred to as O1); and (ii) extrapolation for CNaOH to 9 % (this will be further referred as O2). In both cases, multiple objectives were considered in such a manner to obtain a maximum TY (%), XY (%), HCY (%), and a minimum YAIL (%) of YASL (%). In addition, the minimization of these two outputs was considered because higher amounts of lignin in alkaline extraction liquors hinder the hemicellulose-graded ethanol separation stage. The results obtained are presented in Table 5. For O1, a severity factor of 1.63 was identified. The experimental parameters were set at 100 $^{\circ}$ C and 43 min to obtain this value. For O2, the severity factor was 1.44, corresponding to 100 $^{\circ}$ C and 25 min.

Table 5. The optimized conditions of wheat straw hemicellulose extraction and obtained experimental results.

		TY (%)	XY (%)	HCY (%)	YAIL (%)	YASL (%)
	Predicted model parameters		CN	NaOH = 7%; SF =	1.63	
O1	Predicted results	51.56	59.97	57.07	21.58	39.60
	Experimental validation	49.94	62.56	61.25	20.72	38.63
	Predicted model parameters		CN	VaOH = 9%; SF =	1.44	
O2	Predicted result	55.9	67.71	63.69	24.10	23.62
	Experimental validation	50.34	52.20	52.05	19.64	19.70

As can be observed from Table 5, in the case of extrapolation (O2), the errors between the predicted and the experimental values are higher than for O1. This is because extrapolation has a higher uncertainty and assumes the same dynamic occurs as in the experimentally verified interval, which is not necessarily true for real-world processes.

3.5. Product Characterization

3.5.1. Component Identification

Table 6 indicates the main components of the hemicelluloses obtained through the experimental verification of the solutions provided by the optimization procedure. HC stands for hemicelluloses obtained from the wheat straw by alkaline extraction using optimized conditions and without performing the freeze–thaw cycles. The notations HC_O1 and HC_O2 were assigned for the hemicelluloses separated from alkaline extractive treatment liquor preceded by two freeze–thaw cycles for O1 and O2, respectively. As can be observed from Table 6, the freeze–thaw cycle seems to favor the xylan extraction yield versus the arabinan extraction yield.

Table 6. Main chemical components of hemicelluloses preparations isolated from wheat straw.

Sample	Glucan (%)	Xylan (%)	Arabinan (%)	Purity (%)
HC_C (control)	3.61	77.50	13.14	94.25
HC_O1	3.40	85.51	7.89	96.80
HC_O2	2.61	83.92	10.02	96.55

3.5.2. FTIR Analysis

The infrared spectra of wheat straw hemicellulose samples are presented in Figure 6. Figure S1 shows the complete spectra for the analyzed samples. The band occurring at ~1645 cm⁻¹ was assigned to the absorbed water [64]. The absorptions at ~1550 cm⁻¹ are probably caused by impurities such as co-separated lignin. Peaks shown at about 1460 cm⁻¹ were assigned to the presence of the methyl groups. Peaks visible at ~1100 and ~1045 cm⁻¹ result from C-O stretching in C-O-C ether linkages (the first is inter-sugar units; and the second is from intra-sugar, in the alcoholic functional group). The ~898 cm⁻¹ peak is specific to β -1-4 bonds between xylose units of the xylan chain and is caused by the stretching vibration modes (both symmetric and antisymmetric) of C-O in this linkage [41].



Figure 6. FTIR spectra of hemicelluloses separated from wheat straw by using different sequences.

Other bands at lower wavenumbers, such as ~690 cm⁻¹, were attributed to the CH deformations—out of the plane. Peaks at ~3400 cm⁻¹—stretching vibrations of O-H groups; the ~2950 cm⁻¹ to -CH₂ antisymmetric stretching, while the 2850 cm⁻¹ results from -CH₂ symmetric stretching.

3.5.3. ¹H-NMR Spectroscopy Analysis

Figure 7 shows the results obtained by ¹H-NMR spectroscopy. The displayed spectra include three regions: (i) the region of α-anomers in the range 5.5–4.9 ppm; (ii) β-anomers' region assigned in the interval 4.9–4.4 ppm; and (iii) the β-(1-4)-D-anhidroxylopyranose units heterocycle proton region 4.4–3.0 ppm [65]. The first region includes signals for α-L arafuranosyde residues assigned to the anomeric H1_{Ara} at 5.4 ppm and for the H4_{Ara} proton assigned at 4.3 ppm. The second region displays signals for non-substituted xylose units (4.49 ppm) and for glucuronic-acid-substituted β-xylose (4.64 ppm) [66–68]. For the third region, the assignment was considered as follows: H2_{Ara}—4.11 ppm; H3_{Ara}—3.75 ppm; H5_{Ara}—3.68 ppm for the α-L arafuranosyde residues (Ara); and H5_{eq X}—4.11 ppm, H4_X—3.80 ppm, H3_X—3.56 ppm, H5_{ax}—3.38 ppm, H2_X—3.30 ppm for the β-D-xylopyranoside residues (X).



Figure 7. ¹H-NMR spectra of hemicelluloses separated from wheat straw.

3.5.4. Thermogravimetric Analysis

As Nurazzi et al. noted in their literature review on TG analysis of cellulose fiber and respective composites, hemicelluloses have typically lower decomposition temperatures than lignin [69]. Similar conclusions were previously highlighted by other authors, which showed that the biomass component decomposition temperatures increase in the order of hemicelluloses (max. weight loss 220–315 °C) < cellulose (max. weight loss 315–400 °C) < lignin (degradation extended up to 900 °C) [70].

Regarding the results obtained for the analyzed hemicelluloses, several phases are visible in the case of the mass variation graphs (Figure 8a,b). For the HC_C sample, the water removal (dehydration phase) occurs in two steps: one between 48 °C and 120 °C (mass removed yielded ~8.57%), and a short secondary step between 120 °C and 132 °C (with a DTG peak at 126 °C). Samples HC_O1 and HC_O2 displayed a single-step dehydration within the 50 °C to 101 °C range; and peaks on DTG curves occurring at 77 °C and 70 °C, respectively. The computed values of the mass loss were 15.8% for HC_O1 and 12.8% for HC_O2.



Figure 8. Thermogravimetric analysis: (a) mass-variation curves; (b) DTG curves.

The second stage of the thermo-gravimetric test corresponds to the polymeric chain degradation phase. For the HC_C sample, this occurs between 215 °C and 295 °C, with a mass loss of ~41% and a peak at 234 °C. HC_O1 and HC_O2 showed a degradation phase occurring in similar temperature ranges: (i) 248–303 °C for HC_O1 with a DTG peak observed at 283 °C, 37.6% mass loss; and (ii) 258–309 °C for HC_O2 with a peak at 290 °C and 39.3% mass loss. The higher temperatures of corresponding HC_O1 and HC_O2 peaks during the second stage compared with HC_C indicate their better thermal stability.

In the final stage of thermal decomposition, the oxidation of the remaining char occurs. The HC_C recorded range was 360–503 °C with a peak at 414 °C (~11% mass loss). The analysis of HC_O1 and HC_O2 revealed a similar interval of 360–490 °C with peaks at 490 °C (~12% mass loss).

Peng and Wu [71] proposed a mechanism for the breakdown of the hemicellulose polymer chain that involves dehydration, fragmentation of side chains, decarboxylation and decarbonylation, and charring. Carbon dioxide, acetic acid, and pentanal are the most widely encountered degradation products; and they are all released above 250 °C in varying amounts during the various stages of decomposition [71]. In the current work, the identified peaks are in accordance with literature data [69,71], and the hemicellulose samples showed thermal stabilities comparable with xylan-based polysaccharides [40,72–74].

3.6. *Mechanism of Complementary Pretreatments and Comparison with Similar Studies* 3.6.1. Freeze–Thaw Cycles

Freeze-thaw effects are of a mechanical nature, based on the water's property to expand volume when frozen. Ice formation may expand, distort, and finally disrupt biomass pores, hastening deterioration [75]. FT is considered a "green" procedure that

does not require chemicals or a lot of energy. Furthermore, FT cycles occur naturally in a variety of areas around the world without the need for energy [52]. Contrariwise, a significant amount of energy is required in other parts of the world to achieve and maintain the required temperature. Table 7 shows a comparative analysis of literature examples that investigated FT potential as a complementary pretreatment method. As can be observed, the results obtained in this study for two cycles of FT followed by alkaline extraction fit the trends presented in the literature. In addition, the proposed method has the benefit of a significantly reduced freezing time, which directly correlates with a reduction in energy consumption.

Biomass Type	Extraction Procedure	No. of FT Cycles	Temperature (°C)	Freezing Time (h)	Reported Extraction Yields [*]	Reference No., Year
wheat straw	enzymatic hydrolysis	1	$-10 \\ -20 \\ -40 \\ -80$	12, 24, 48, 96	57.06% cellulose, 70.66% hemicelluloses	[75], 2022
wheat straw	enzymatic hydrolysis	1	-20	48	75.95% cellulose and hemicelluloses	[52], 2012
wheat straw	enzymatic hydrolysis	2	-20	12	67% cellulose and hemicelluloses	[10], 2013
bamboo chips	alkaline extraction	1	-30	12	64.71% hemicelluloses	[76], 2021
bamboo chips	alkaline extraction	1	-20 -30 -40 -50 -60 -70	12	73.26% hemicelluloses	[77], 2022
wheat straw	FT standalone alkaline extraction	2	-22	1	3.8% hemicelluloses 34.03% hemicelluloses	this work, 2023
	FT + alkaline extraction				63.43% hemicelluloses	

Table 7. Comparison of freeze-thaw enhancement of agro-waste biomass pretreatments.

* Highest reported values.

3.6.2. Microwave Pretreatments

Unlike conventional ovens that transmit heat by conduction-convection mechanisms, exposure to a microwave field generates heat inside the material, the heat transfer and energy yield being significantly higher. Microwaves do not directly affect the molecular structure, and heat propagation is realized by two mechanisms: ionic conduction and dipole rotation [78]. During microwave pretreatments of lignocellulosic biomass, the structure of the biomass can be swelled and fractured due to microwave-generated oscillations: dipolar rotation and molecular collisions [79]. The presence of water speeds up heat transfer and improves the hydrolysis process. The "thermal" and/or "non-thermal" effects of MW are still under debate [46,80]. The main advantages of MW complementary treatments are: reduction in chemical consumption, energy, and reaction time [26,46,78]. However, there are a few drawbacks related to the unequal distribution of microwave power inside non-homogeneous materials that may generate hot spots (local overheating); the energy absorption depends upon the dielectric properties of the material [78]. Table 8 presents a comparative analysis of MW-assisted wheat straw alkaline extraction. The difference in efficiency between the reported data and the results obtained in this work is because no additional treatment was applied (HA), which indicates that MW can be used as a standalone extraction procedure. It is worth mentioning that the reported yield was obtained only after 10 min of MW.

Alkali Concentration Range (%wt)	Temperature Range (°C)	Time Range (Minutes)	Reported Yields *	Reference No., Year
0.5–2.5	120–200	5–25	69.48% of lignin solubilized	[79], 2019
1–5	60–140	5-80	90.66% purity of cellulose	[46], 2021
2–5	60–140	10–60	80% of hemicelluloses 90% of lignin	[81], 2012
5	100	10	31.39% hemicelluloses	this work, 2023

Table 8. Comparison of MW-assisted wheat straw alkaline extraction.

* Highest reported values.

3.6.3. Ultrasound Pretreatments

Ultrasound exposure can generate both physical and chemical effects. Cavitation phenomena (formation, growth, and implosive bubble collapse) produce huge temperatures and high pressures for extremely short periods [82]. The corresponding shock waves enhance energy and mass transfer. Acoustic cavitation at high frequencies generates highly reactive free radicals, such as hydroxyl, superoxide, or singlet oxygen [83]. The main features of US employment as complementary pretreatment are reducing processing time, lowering the temperature and pressure, and diminishing the amount of chemicals [41]. The main drawbacks of US-assisted pretreatments are related to the sonic field's uniformity and reduced possibilities of upscaling the procedure, caused by the transducers overheating [41,82]. Nevertheless, some modern ultrasound reactors equipped with multiple transducers can surpass these inconveniences [41,82]. Table 9 shows that US pretreatment alone has a reasonable extraction yield concerning the time used.

Table 9. Comparison of US-assisted wheat straw pretreatments.

Extraction Procedure	US Frequency (kHz)	Power (W)	Time, (Minutes)	Solvent	Reported Extraction Yields *	Reference No., Year
enzymatic	40	200	60	water,	59.2% lignin	
hydrolysis	25	2000 3000	120	diluted H ₂ SO ₄ , diluted NaOH	removal	[41], 2022
organosolv	20	100	5, 10, 15, 20, 25, 30, 35	solution NaOH/methanol/ water	78.5% lignin removal	[84], 2002
deep eutectic solvents	40	200	60, 120	acetic acid/glycerol/ choline chloride γ-valerolactone/ water	27% delignification	[85], 2018
ammonia	20	180, 270, 450, 540, 650	15, 30, 45, 60, 90	water	92% saccharification solubilization	[19], 2017
alkaline extraction	20	100	5, 10, 15, 20, 25, 30, 35	КОН	91.6% hemicelluloses, 91.4% lignin	[86], 2005
standalone ultrasonic treatment	40	50	10 20	NaOH	9.28% hemicelluloses 21.96% hemicelluloses	this work, 2023

* Highest reported values.

3.6.4. SEM Analysis

The samples' surface morphology, studied at $200 \times$ magnification and at a working distance of about 42 mm, are presented in Figure 9a–d. Figure 9a shows the secondary electrons image of wheat straw without any treatment. The damaging effect of alkali on the straw protective shell, exposing the inner fibers, is revealed in Figure 9b. Figure 9c demonstrates the disruptive effects of ice formation during freezing, as discussed in Section 3.6.1. Finally, the combination of freezing and alkali effects are displayed in Figure 9d, where it



can be observed that the fibers and cells are exposed and fractured. Since freezing causes mechanical damage, it sets the stage for alkaline action deep within the material.

(a)

200 µm

EGAN TESCAR

UTI-SIM

SEM MAG: 200 x

SEM MAG: 200

SEM MAG: 200 ×

SEM MAG: 200 x

SEM MAG: 200

SEM MAG: 200 ×

SEM MAG: 200 x

SEM MAG: 200 SEM MAG: 200 ×

SEM MAG: 200 x

SEM MAG: 200 × SEM MAG: 200 ×

SEM MAG: 200 x



200 µm





4. Conclusions

200 µm

The performance of three complementary pretreatments: freeze-thaw cycles, ultrasound, and microwaves, was investigated in an experimental trial for the hot alkaline extraction of hemicelluloses from wheat straw. During trials, it was discovered that two freeze-thaw cycles are sufficient to achieve satisfactory extraction yields.

The severity factor and alkali concentration were subsequently considered variables in a multi-objective optimization study for two freeze-thaw cycles followed by hot alkaline extraction. The predicted values were experimentally validated: (i) considering an optimal value within the range of process parameters; and (ii) considering an optimal value that extrapolates the process parameters. For the first case, the optimal conditions are represented by CNaOH = 7% and SF = 1.63. The hemicelluloses obtained in these conditions were then analyzed for purity (96.8%); and glucan (3.40%), xylan (85.51%), and arabinan (7.89%) content.

Although microwaves and ultrasounds outperformed the freeze-thaw procedure in terms of extraction yields, technical issues, such as temperature control, energy consumption, simplicity, the possibility of preserving the polymeric structure of the resulting materials, and upscaling perspectives, recommend the combination of classic hot alkaline extraction with freeze-thaw pretreatments for wheat straw processing.

Supplementary Materials: The following supporting information can be downloaded at: https://www.mdpi.com/article/10.3390/polym15041038/s1, Figure S1: Complete FTIR spectra of separated hemicelluloses; Table S1: ANOVA analysis results for the response model of the total extraction yield TY (%);Table S2 ANOVA analysis results for the response model of the xylan extraction yield XY (%); Table S3 ANOVA analysis results for the response model of the total hemicelluloses extraction yield HCY (%); Table S4 ANOVA analysis results for the response model of the acid insoluble lignin removal yield YAIL (%); Table S5 ANOVA analysis results for the response model of the acid of the acid soluble lignin removal yield YASL (%).

Author Contributions: Conceptualization and hemicelluloses extraction study, A.C.P. and G.D.S.; thermo-gravimetric analysis, M.D.; NMR spectroscopy, G.-L.A.; FTIR spectroscopy and HPLC analysis, A.C.P.; SEM analysis, D.-L.C.; sample preparation, C.D.B.; writing—review and editing, A.C.P., E.N.D. and M.T.N. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This work was supported by a grant from the Ministry of Research, Innovation, and Digitization, CCCDI-UEFISCDI; project number PN-III-P2-2.1-PED-2021-3384, within PNCDI III.

Institutional Review Board Statement: Not applicable.

Informed Consent Statement: Not applicable.

Data Availability Statement: The data supporting the reported results are presented in the manuscript.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest. The funders had no role in the design of the study; in the collection, analyses, or interpretation of data; in the writing of the manuscript; or in the decision to publish the results.

References

- Akbarian, A.; Andooz, A.; Kowsari, E.; Ramakrishna, S.; Asgari, S.; Cheshmeh, Z.A. Challenges and opportunities of lignocellulosic biomass gasification in the path of circular bioeconomy. *Bioresour. Technol.* 2022, 362, 127774. [CrossRef]
- Gil, A. Challenges on waste-to-energy for the valorization of industrial wastes: Electricity, heat and cold, bioliquids and biofuels. Environ. Nanotechnol. Monit. Manag. 2022, 17, 100615. [CrossRef]
- Babu, S.; Singh Rathore, S.; Singh, R.; Kumar, S.; Singh, V.K.; Yadav, S.K.; Yadav, V.; Raj, R.; Yadav, D.; Shekhawat, K.; et al. Exploring agricultural waste biomass for energy, food and feed production and pollution mitigation: A review. *Bioresour. Technol.* 2022, 360, 127566. [CrossRef]
- Popp, J.; Kovács, S.; Oláh, J.; Divéki, Z.; Balázs, E. Bioeconomy: Biomass and biomass-based energy supply and demand. *New Biotechnol.* 2021, 60, 76–84. [CrossRef]
- 5. McKendry, P. Energy production from biomass (part 2): Conversion technologies. Bioresour. Technol. 2002, 83, 47–54. [CrossRef]
- 6. Gallezot, P. Conversion of biomass to selected chemical products. Chem. Soc. Rev. 2012, 41, 1538–1558. [CrossRef]
- Qian, H.; Chen, W.; Zhu, W.; Liu, C.; Lu, X.; Guo, X.; Huang, D.; Liang, X.; Kontogeorgis, G.M. Simulation and evaluation of utilization pathways of biomasses based on thermodynamic data prediction. *Energy* 2019, 173, 610–625. [CrossRef]
- Bian, H.; Yang, Y.; Tu, P.; Chen, J.Y. Value-Added Utilization of Wheat Straw: From Cellulose and Cellulose Nanofiber to All-Cellulose Nanocomposite Film. *Membranes* 2022, 12, 475. [CrossRef]
- 9. Talebnia, F.; Karakashev, D.; Angelidaki, I. Production of bioethanol from wheat straw: An overview on pretreatment, hydrolysis and fermentation. *Bioresour. Technol.* 2010, 101, 4744–4753. [CrossRef]
- Wang, X.M.; Wang, L.J.; Yu, M.; Chen, H. Freeze-Thaw and Sulfuric Acid Pretreatment of Wheat Straw for Fermentable Sugar Release. Adv. Mater. Res. 2013, 724–725, 257–260. [CrossRef]
- Rahmani, A.M.; Tyagi, V.K.; Gunjyal, N.; Kazmi, A.A.; Ojha, C.S.P.; Moustakas, K. Hydrothermal and thermal-alkali pretreatments of wheat straw: Co-digestion, substrate solubilization, biogas yield and kinetic study. *Environ. Res.* 2023, 216, 114436. [CrossRef]

- 12. Rodríguez-Sanz, A.; Fuciños, C.; Torrado, A.M.; Rúa, M.L. Extraction of the wheat straw hemicellulose fraction assisted by commercial endo-xylanases. Role of the accessory enzyme activities. *Ind. Crops Prod.* **2022**, *179*, 114655. [CrossRef]
- Huang, L.-Z.; Ma, M.-G.; Ji, X.-X.; Choi, S.-E.; Si, C. Recent Developments and Applications of Hemicellulose From Wheat Straw: A Review. *Front. Bioeng. Biotechnol.* 2021, 9. [CrossRef]
- 14. Ingrao, C.; Matarazzo, A.; Gorjian, S.; Adamczyk, J.; Failla, S.; Primerano, P.; Huisingh, D. Wheat-straw derived bioethanol production: A review of Life Cycle Assessments. *Sci. Total Environ.* **2021**, *781*, 146751. [CrossRef]
- 15. Jaffar, M.; Pang, Y.; Yuan, H.; Zou, D.; Liu, Y.; Zhu, B.; Korai, R.M.; Li, X. Wheat straw pretreatment with KOH for enhancing biomethane production and fertilizer value in anaerobic digestion. *Chin. J. Chem. Eng.* **2016**, *24*, 404–409. [CrossRef]
- 16. Townsend, T.J.; Sparkes, D.L.; Ramsden, S.J.; Glithero, N.J.; Wilson, P. Wheat straw availability for bioenergy in England. *Energy Policy* **2018**, *122*, 349–357. [CrossRef]
- 17. Liu, Y.; Ahmed, S.; Sameen, D.E.; Wang, Y.; Lu, R.; Dai, J.; Li, S.; Qin, W. A review of cellulose and its derivatives in biopolymerbased for food packaging application. *Trends Food Sci. Technol.* **2021**, *112*, 532–546. [CrossRef]
- 18. Sui, Y.; Cui, Y.; Wang, Y.; Zeb, S.; Sun, G. A green and efficient way to improve sugar recovery of wheat straw by ultrasonic-assisted xylanase pretreatment. *Biomass Convers. Biorefin.* **2021**. [CrossRef]
- Zhong, C.; Jia, H.; Wei, P. Enhanced saccharification of wheat straw with the application of ultrasonic-assisted quaternary ammonium hydroxide pretreatment. *Process Biochem.* 2017, 53, 180–187. [CrossRef]
- Serna-Loaiza, S.; Adamcyk, J.; Beisl, S.; Miltner, M.; Friedl, A. Sequential Pretreatment of Wheat Straw: Liquid Hot Water Followed by Organosolv for the Production of Hemicellulosic Sugars, Lignin, and a Cellulose-Enriched Pulp. *Waste Biomass Valorization* 2022, 13, 4771–4784. [CrossRef]
- Tian, S.-Q.; Zhao, R.-Y.; Chen, Z.-C. Review of the pretreatment and bioconversion of lignocellulosic biomass from wheat straw materials. *Renew. Sustain. Energy Rev.* 2018, 91, 483–489. [CrossRef]
- 22. Gou, G.; Meng, F.; Wang, H.; Jiang, M.; Wei, W.; Zhou, Z. Wheat straw-derived magnetic carbon foams: In-situ preparation and tunable high-performance microwave absorption. *Nano Res.* **2019**, *12*, 1423–1429. [CrossRef]
- 23. Liu, R.; Yu, H.; Huang, Y. Structure and morphology of cellulose in wheat straw. Cellulose 2005, 12, 25–34. [CrossRef]
- Gao, C.; Yang, J.; Zhang, H.; Xiao, W.; Han, L. Quantitative and qualitative characterization of dual scale mechanical enhancement on cellulosic and crystalline-structural variation of NaOH treated wheat straw. *Bioresour. Technol.* 2020, 312, 123535. [CrossRef]
- 25. Gao, Y.; Guo, M.; Wang, D.; Zhao, D.; Wang, M. Advances in extraction, purification, structural characteristics and biological activities of hemicelluloses: A review. *Int. J. Biol. Macromol.* **2022**, *15*, 467–483. [CrossRef]
- Mohammad Rahmani, A.; Gahlot, P.; Moustakas, K.; Kazmi, A.A.; Shekhar Prasad Ojha, C.; Tyagi, V.K. Pretreatment methods to enhance solubilization and anaerobic biodegradability of lignocellulosic biomass (wheat straw): Progress and challenges. *Fuel* 2022, 319, 123726. [CrossRef]
- Huang, C.; Xu, C.; Meng, X.; Wang, L.; Zhou, X. Isolation, Modification, and Characterization of the Constituents (Cellulose, Hemicellulose, Lignin, et al.) in Biomass and Their Bio-Based Applications. *Front. Bioeng. Biotechnol.* 2022, 10, 866531. [CrossRef]
- Goodman, B.A. Utilization of waste straw and husks from rice production: A review. J. Bioresour. Bioprod. 2020, 5, 143–162. [CrossRef]
- 29. Zhang, L.; Larsson, A.; Moldin, A.; Edlund, U. Comparison of lignin distribution, structure, and morphology in wheat straw and wood. *Ind. Crops Prod.* 2022, *187*, 115432. [CrossRef]
- Zheng, Q.; Zhou, T.; Wang, Y.; Cao, X.; Wu, S.; Zhao, M.; Wang, H.; Xu, M.; Zheng, B.; Zheng, J.; et al. Pretreatment of wheat straw leads to structural changes and improved enzymatic hydrolysis. *Sci. Rep.* 2018, *8*, 1321. [CrossRef]
- Chen, Z.; Wang, Y.; Cheng, H.; Zhou, H. Hemicellulose degradation: An overlooked issue in acidic deep eutectic solvents pretreatment of lignocellulosic biomass. *Ind. Crops Prod.* 2022, 187, 115335. [CrossRef]
- Lou, R.; Zhang, X. Evaluation of pretreatment effect on lignin extraction from wheat straw by deep eutectic solvent. *Bioresour. Technol.* 2022, 344, 126174. [CrossRef]
- Zhang, C.; Guo, K.-N.; Ma, C.-Y.; Bian, J.; Wen, J.-L.; Yuan, T.-Q. Assessing the availability of bamboo (Phyllostachys pubescens) fibers and parenchyma cells for producing lignin nanoparticles and fermentable sugars by rapid carboxylic acid-based deep eutectic solvents pretreatment. *Ind. Crops Prod.* 2023, 193, 116204. [CrossRef]
- 34. Yu, Y.; Wang, D.; Chen, L.; Qi, H.; Liu, A.; Deng, M.; Wu, X.; Wang, K. Recyclable choline chloride-based deep eutectic solvent pretreatment for accelerated enzymatic digestibility of Triarrhena lutarioriparia. *Ind. Crops Prod.* **2022**, *187*, 115542. [CrossRef]
- 35. Deng, Z.; Xia, A.; Liao, Q.; Zhu, X.; Huang, Y.; Fu, Q. Laccase pretreatment of wheat straw: Effects of the physicochemical characteristics and the kinetics of enzymatic hydrolysis. *Biotechnol. Biofuels* **2019**, *12*, 159. [CrossRef]
- Tan, J.; Tiwari, S.K.; Ramakrishna, S. Single-Use Plastics in the Food Services Industry: Can It Be Sustainable? *Mater. Circ. Econ.* 2021, 3, 7. [CrossRef]
- Sitch, D.A.; Marshall, H.B. The effect of hemicelluloses on the papermaking properties of white birch. *Can. J. Res.* 1950, 28f, 376–389. [CrossRef]
- Fahmy, Y.; Fahmy, T.Y.; Mobarak, F.; El-Sakhawy, M.; Fadl, M. Agricultural residues (wastes) for manufacture of paper, board, and miscellaneous products: Background overview and future prospects. *Int. J. ChemTech Res.* 2017, 10, 424–448.
- Lima, D.U.; Oliveira, R.C.; Buckeridge, M.S. Seed storage hemicelluloses as wet-end additives in papermaking. *Carbohydr. Polym.* 2003, 52, 367–373. [CrossRef]

- 40. Puițel, A.C.; Suditu, G.D.; Danu, M.; Ailiesei, G.-L.; Nechita, M.T. An Experimental Study on the Hot Alkali Extraction of Xylan-Based Hemicelluloses from Wheat Straw and Corn Stalks and Optimization Methods. *Polymers* **2022**, *14*, 1662. [CrossRef]
- Calcio Gaudino, E.; Grillo, G.; Tabasso, S.; Stevanato, L.; Cravotto, G.; Marjamaa, K.; Pihlajaniemi, V.; Koivula, A.; Aro, N.; Uusitalo, J.; et al. Optimization of ultrasound pretreatment and enzymatic hydrolysis of wheat straw: From lab to semi-industrial scale. J. Clean. Prod. 2022, 380, 134897. [CrossRef]
- 42. Ouahabi, Y.R.; Bensadok, K.; Ouahabi, A. Optimization of the Biomethane Production Process by Anaerobic Digestion of Wheat Straw Using Chemical Pretreatments Coupled with Ultrasonic Disintegration. *Sustainability* **2021**, *13*, 7202. [CrossRef]
- 43. Liu, X.; Zicari, S.M.; Liu, G.; Li, Y.; Zhang, R. Improving the bioenergy production from wheat straw with alkaline pretreatment. *Biosyst. Eng.* **2015**, 140, 59–66. [CrossRef]
- 44. Yang, C.; Qian, J.; Zhang, H. Cyclic Freeze-Thaw Treatment on Enzymatic Saccharification of Wheat Straw and its Synergism Effect with Alkali. *BioEnergy Res.* 2023, 1–11. [CrossRef]
- Xu, J.K.; Sun, R.C. Chapter 19 Recent Advances in Alkaline Pretreatment of Lignocellulosic Biomass. In Biomass Fractionation Technologies for a Lignocellulosic Feedstock Based Biorefinery; Mussatto, S.I., Ed.; Elsevier: Amsterdam, The Netherlands, 2016; pp. 431–459.
- Liu, Q.; He, W.-Q.; Aguedo, M.; Xia, X.; Bai, W.-B.; Dong, Y.-Y.; Song, J.-Q.; Richel, A.; Goffin, D. Microwave-assisted alkali hydrolysis for cellulose isolation from wheat straw: Influence of reaction conditions and non-thermal effects of microwave. *Carbohydr. Polym.* 2021, 253, 117170. [CrossRef]
- 47. Sasaki, C.; Sumitomo, Y.; Odashima, K.; Asada, C.; Nakamura, Y. Microwave-Assisted Hydrolysis of Cellulose in Towel and Wheat Straw Using Freeze-Thawing with NaOH. *Waste Biomass Valorization* **2021**, *12*, 3331–3339. [CrossRef]
- Mikulski, D.; Kłosowski, G. Delignification efficiency of various types of biomass using microwave-assisted hydrotropic pretreatment. Sci. Rep. 2022, 12, 4561. [CrossRef]
- Bussemaker, M.J.; Mu, X.; Zhang, D. Ultrasonic Pretreatment of Wheat Straw in Oxidative and Nonoxidative Conditions Aided with Microwave Heating. *Ind. Eng. Chem. Res.* 2013, 52, 12514–12522. [CrossRef]
- Dedhia, B.S.; Csoka, L.; Rathod, V.K. Xylanase and Ultrasound Assisted Pulping of Wheat Straw. *Appl. Biochem. Biotechnol.* 2012, 168, 731–741. [CrossRef]
- 51. Korai, R.M.; Wachemo, A.C.; Yue, L.; Jaffar, M.; Li, Z.; Shahbaz, M.; Yuan, H.; Li, X. Effect of ultrasonic application during KOH pretreatment and anaerobic process on digestion performance of wheat straw. *RSC Adv.* **2020**, *10*, 9290–9298. [CrossRef]
- Yu, M.; Wang, L.J.; Wang, X.M. Preliminary Study on Natural Freeze-Thaw Pretreatment of Wheat Straw. Adv. Mater. Res. 2012, 550–553, 472–475. [CrossRef]
- 53. Ziegler-Devin, I.; Chrusciel, L.; Brosse, N. Steam Explosion Pretreatment of Lignocellulosic Biomass: A Mini-Review of Theorical and Experimental Approaches. *Front. Chem.* **2021**, *9*. [CrossRef]
- 54. Technical Association of the Pulp and Paper Industry. 211 om-02. Ash in Wood, Pulp, Paper and Paperboard: Combustion at 525 °C; TAPPI: Atlanta, GA, USA, 2002; Volume 5.
- 55. Technical Association of the Pulp and Paper Industry. Water solubility of wood and pulp; TAPPI: Atlanta, GA, USA, 1988.
- 56. Technical Association of the Pulp and Paper Industry. T 204 cm-97: Solvent extractives of wood and pulp. In *TAPPI Test Methods*; TAPPI: Atlanta, GA, USA, 1997.
- 57. Sluiter, A.; Hames, B.; Hyman, D.; Payne, C.; Ruiz, R.; Scarlata, C.; Sluiter, J.; Templeton, D.; Wolfe, J. Determination of total solids in biomass and total dissolved solids in liquid process samples. *Natl. Renew. Energy Lab.* **2008**, *9*, 1–6.
- Sluiter, A.; Hames, B.; Ruiz, R.; Scarlata, C.; Sluiter, J.; Templeton, D.; Crocker, D. Determination of structural carbohydrates and lignin in biomass. *Lab. Anal. Proced.* 2008, 1617, 1–16.
- Serna-Loaiza, S.; Zikeli, F.; Adamcyk, J.; Friedl, A. Towards a wheat straw biorefinery: Combination of Organosolv and Liquid Hot Water for the improved production of sugars from hemicellulose and lignin hydrolysis. *Bioresour. Technol. Rep.* 2021, 14, 100667. [CrossRef]
- 60. Overend, R.P.; Chornet, E. Fractionation of lignocellulosics by steam-aqueous pretreatments. *Philos. Trans. R. Soc. London. Ser. A Math. Phys. Sci.* 1987, 321, 523–536.
- 61. Sun, R.C.; Tompkinson, J. Comparative study of organic solvent and water-soluble lipophilic extractives from wheat straw I: Yield and chemical composition. *J. Wood Sci.* **2003**, *49*, 0047–0052. [CrossRef]
- 62. Lu, Y.-C.; Lu, Y.; Lu, Z.-L.; Wei, X.-Y. Detailed Componential Characterization of Extractable Species with Organic Solvents from Wheat Straw. *Int. J. Anal. Chem.* 2017, 2017, 7305682. [CrossRef]
- 63. Kuchelmeister, C.; Bauer, S. Rapid Small-Scale Determination of Extractives in Biomass. BioEnergy Res. 2015, 8, 68–76. [CrossRef]
- 64. Hickey, D.T.; Hayes, D.J.; Pembroke, J.T.; Ryan, M.P.; Leahy, J.J. The Importance of Extraction Protocol on the Analysis of Novel Waste Sources of Lignocellulosic Biomass. *Energies* **2021**, *14*, 6406. [CrossRef]
- 65. Wen, J.-L.; Xiao, L.-P.; Sun, Y.-C.; Sun, S.-N.; Xu, F.; Sun, R.-C.; Zhang, X.-L. Comparative study of alkali-soluble hemicelluloses isolated from bamboo (*Bambusa rigida*). *Carbohydr. Res.* **2011**, *346*, 111–120. [CrossRef]
- 66. Vincent, P.; Ham-Pichavant, F.; Michaud, C.; Mignani, G.; Mastroianni, S.; Cramail, H.; Grelier, S. Extraction and Characterization of Hemicelluloses from a Softwood Acid Sulfite Pulp. *Polymers* **2021**, *13*, 2044. [CrossRef]
- Duan, J.; Karaaslan, M.A.; Cho, M.; Liu, L.-Y.; Johnson, A.M.; Renneckar, S. Investigation into electrospinning water-soluble xylan: Developing applications from highly absorbent and hydrophilic surfaces to carbonized fiber. *Cellulose* 2019, 26, 413–427. [CrossRef]

- 68. Peng, F.; Bian, J.; Peng, P.; Guan, Y.; Xu, F.; Sun, R.-C. Fractional separation and structural features of hemicelluloses from sweet sorghum leaves. *BioResources* **2012**, *7*, 4744–4759. [CrossRef]
- Nurazzi, N.M.; Asyraf, M.R.M.; Rayung, M.; Norrrahim, M.N.F.; Shazleen, S.S.; Rani, M.S.A.; Shafi, A.R.; Aisyah, H.A.; Radzi, M.H.M.; Sabaruddin, F.A.; et al. Thermogravimetric Analysis Properties of Cellulosic Natural Fiber Polymer Composites: A Review on Influence of Chemical Treatments. *Polymers* 2021, 13, 2710. [CrossRef]
- Lanjewar, R.; Thakur, L.; Parmar, H.; Verma, A.; Hinge, V. A Review on Physicochemical Characterization and Pyrolysis Kinetics of Biomass. Int. J. Manag. Technol. Eng. 2019.
- 71. Peng, Y.; Wu, S. The structural and thermal characteristics of wheat straw hemicellulose. *J. Anal. Appl. Pyrolysis* **2010**, *88*, 134–139. [CrossRef]
- 72. Díez, D.; Urueña, A.; Piñero, R.; Barrio, A.; Tamminen, T. Determination of Hemicellulose, Cellulose, and Lignin Content in Different Types of Biomasses by Thermogravimetric Analysis and Pseudocomponent Kinetic Model (TGA-PKM Method). *Processes* **2020**, *8*, 1048. [CrossRef]
- 73. Werner, K.; Pommer, L.; Broström, M. Thermal decomposition of hemicelluloses. J. Anal. Appl. Pyrolysis 2014, 110, 130–137. [CrossRef]
- Lazdovica, K.; Kampars, V.; Liepina, L.; Vilka, M. Comparative study on thermal pyrolysis of buckwheat and wheat straws by using TGA-FTIR and Py-GC/MS methods. J. Anal. Appl. Pyrolysis 2017, 124, 1–15. [CrossRef]
- Sun, J.; Deng, Y.; Li, S.; Xu, W.; Liu, G. Enhanced efficiency of enzymatic hydrolysis of wheat straw via freeze-thaw pretreatment. *Environ. Sci. Pollut. Res.* 2022, 29, 56696–56704. [CrossRef]
- Li, J.; Liu, Z.; Feng, C.; Liu, X.; Qin, F.; Liang, C.; Bian, H.; Qin, C.; Yao, S. Green, efficient extraction of bamboo hemicellulose using freeze-thaw assisted alkali treatment. *Bioresour. Technol.* 2021, 333, 125107. [CrossRef]
- 77. Zeng, F.; Wang, S.; Liang, J.; Cao, L.; Liu, X.; Qin, C.; Liang, C.; Si, C.; Yu, Z.; Yao, S. High-efficiency separation of hemicellulose from bamboo by one-step freeze–thaw-assisted alkali treatment. *Bioresour. Technol.* **2022**, *361*, 127735. [CrossRef]
- Aguilar-Reynosa, A.; Romaní, A.; Rodríguez-Jasso, R.M.; Aguilar, C.N.; Garrote, G.; Ruiz, H.A. Microwave heating processing as alternative of pretreatment in second-generation biorefinery: An overview. *Energy Convers. Manag.* 2017, 136, 50–65. [CrossRef]
- Tsegaye, B.; Balomajumder, C.; Roy, P. Optimization of microwave and NaOH pretreatments of wheat straw for enhancing biofuel yield. *Energy Convers. Manag.* 2019, 186, 82–92. [CrossRef]
- 80. Bichot, A.; Lerosty, M.; Radoiu, M.; Méchin, V.; Bernet, N.; Delgenès, J.-P.; García-Bernet, D. Decoupling thermal and non-thermal effects of the microwaves for lignocellulosic biomass pretreatment. *Energy Convers. Manag.* 2020, 203, 112220. [CrossRef]
- Janker-Obermeier, I.; Sieber, V.; Faulstich, M.; Schieder, D. Solubilization of hemicellulose and lignin from wheat straw through microwave-assisted alkali treatment. *Ind. Crops Prod.* 2012, *39*, 198–203. [CrossRef]
- Iskalieva, A.; Yimmou, B.M.; Gogate, P.R.; Horvath, M.; Horvath, P.G.; Csoka, L. Cavitation assisted delignification of wheat straw: A review. *Ultrason. Sonochemistry* 2012, 19, 984–993. [CrossRef]
- Calcio Gaudino, E.; Cravotto, G.; Manzoli, M.; Tabasso, S. Sono- and mechanochemical technologies in the catalytic conversion of biomass. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* 2021, 50, 1785–1812. [CrossRef]
- 84. Sun, R.; Sun, X.F.; Xu, X.P. Effect of ultrasound on the physicochemical properties of organosolv lignins from wheat straw. J. Appl. Polym. Sci. 2002, 84, 2512–2522. [CrossRef]
- Calcio Gaudino, E.; Tabasso, S.; Grillo, G.; Cravotto, G.; Dreyer, T.; Schories, G.; Altenberg, S.; Jashina, L.; Telysheva, G. Wheat straw lignin extraction with bio-based solvents using enabling technologies. *Comptes Rendus Chim.* 2018, 21, 563–571. [CrossRef]
- 86. Sun, R.; Tomkinson, J. Separation and Characterization of Cellulose from Wheat Straw. *Sep. Sci. Technol.* **2005**, 39, 391–411. [CrossRef]

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.