



Figure S1. (a) Membrane nNOS-extracted images obtained by image processing. Based on the nNOS and dystrophin immunostaining images of the gastrocnemius muscle, the nNOS signal co-localized with dystrophin was extracted as a membrane nNOS by image

processing. The right side of the section was the deep region of the muscle. Fluorescence intensity is indicated by pseudo-colors. In the upper right corner, the pseudo-color conversion lookup table is shown. Inserts show magnified views of each image. **(b)** Frequency distribution of gray values for membrane nNOS immunostaining of the WT-Tg gastrocnemius muscle sections. Compared to WT-Tg CON (control) samples, the gray value distribution shifted to lower values in the WT-Tg DEN (denervated) samples. *: a significant difference was observed between CON and DEN. **(c)** Frequency distribution of gray values for membrane nNOS immunostaining of the *Hspg2^{-/-}*-Tg gastrocnemius muscle sections. A smaller shift in the frequency distribution was observed compared to *Hspg2^{-/-}*-Tg CON and DEN. **(d)** Immunofluorescence images of gastrocnemius muscle whole cross-sections showing dystrophin expression. **(e)** Frequency distribution of gray values for dystrophin immunostaining of the WT-Tg gastrocnemius muscle sections. Gray value distribution did not change significantly between WT-Tg CON and WT-Tg DEN ($P > 0.05$). **(f)** Frequency distribution of gray values for dystrophin immunostaining of the *Hspg2^{-/-}*-Tg gastrocnemius muscle sections. Comparison of *Hspg2^{-/-}*-Tg CON and DEN revealed significant differences at lower gray values ($P < 0.05$), but the overall shift was slight. **(g)** Percent change (from CON to DEN) in the mean gray value of dystrophin. A significant difference was not observed between WT-Tg CON and DEN nor between *Hspg2^{-/-}*-Tg CON and DEN ($P > 0.05$). Values are expressed as the mean \pm SD. **(h)** The corrected nNOS gray value calculated from the membrane nNOS gray value and dystrophin immunostaining gray value. †: a significant difference was observed between WT-Tg CON and WT-Tg DEN ($P < 0.05$). *: a significant difference was observed between WT-Tg CON and *Hspg2^{-/-}*-Tg CON ($P < 0.05$).