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#### Abstract

A set to locate all eigenvalues for matrices with a constant main diagonal entry is given, and it is proved that this set is tighter than the well-known Geršgorin set, the Brauer set and the set proposed in (Linear and Multilinear Algebra, 60:189-199, 2012). Furthermore, by applying this result to Toeplitz matrices as a subclass of matrices with a constant main diagonal, we obtain a set including all eigenvalues of Toeplitz matrices.
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## 1. Introduction

Eigenvalue localization is an important topic in Matrix theory and its applications. Many eigenvalue inclusion sets for a matrix $A=\left[a_{i j}\right] \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}[1-11]$ have been established, such as the well-known Geršgorin set [5,11] and the Brauer set [1,11]. However, as Melman [9] pointed out, for the special class of matrices with a constant main diagonal (c.m.d.), both the Geršgorin and Brauer sets each consists of a single disc, a rather uninteresting outcome. In fact, if a matrix $A=\left[a_{i j}\right] \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ satisfies $a_{11}=a_{22}=\cdots=a_{n n}=\bar{a}$, then both $\Gamma(A)$ and $\mathcal{K}(A)$ reduce, respectively, to the following forms:

$$
\Gamma(A)=\left\{z \in \mathbb{C}:|z-\bar{a}| \leq \max _{i \in N} r_{i}(A)\right\}
$$

and

$$
\mathcal{K}(A)=\left\{z \in \mathbb{C}:|z-\bar{a}| \leq \max _{i, j \in N, i \neq j} \sqrt{r_{i}(A) r_{j}(A)}\right\}
$$

where $r_{i}(A)=\sum_{j \neq i}\left|a_{i j}\right|$ and $N=\{1,2, \ldots, n\}$. Obviously, the Geršgorin and Brauer sets are just discs [9].
To localize all eigenvalues of matrices with a c.m.d. more precisely, Melman also [9] gave an eigenvalue inclusion set (see Theorem 1), which is tighter than $\Gamma(A)$ and $\mathcal{K}(A)$.

Theorem 1 ([9] Theorem 2.1). Let $A=\left[a_{i j}\right] \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ with $a_{i i}=\bar{a}$ for all $i \in N, n \geq 2$. Let $\sigma(A)$ be the spectrum of the matrix $A$, that is, $\sigma(A)=\{\lambda \in \mathbb{C}: \operatorname{det}(\lambda I-A)=0\}$. Then,

$$
\sigma(A) \subseteq \Omega(A)=\bigcup_{i \in N} \Omega_{i}(A)
$$

where $A_{0}=A-\bar{a} I,\left(A_{0}^{2}\right)_{i j}$ denotes the $(i, j)$ th entry of $A_{0}^{2}$ and

$$
\Omega_{i}(A)=\left\{z \in \mathbb{C}:\left|z-\bar{a}-\sqrt{\left(A_{0}^{2}\right)_{i i}}\right|\left|z-\bar{a}+\sqrt{\left(A_{0}^{2}\right)_{i i}}\right| \leq r_{i}\left(A_{0}^{2}\right)\right\}
$$

Furthermore, $\Omega(A) \subseteq \mathcal{K}(A) \subseteq \Gamma(A)$.
In [7], Li and Li provided two tighter sets including all eigenvalues of a matrix with a c.m.d. (see Theorems 2 and 3).

Theorem 2 ([7] Theorem 2.4). Let $A=\left[a_{i j}\right] \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ with $a_{i i}=\bar{a}$ for all $i \in N, n \geq 2$. Then,

$$
\sigma(A) \subseteq \Omega^{1}(A)=\bigcap_{0 \leq \alpha \leq 1} \bigcup_{i \in N} \Omega_{i}^{1 \alpha}(A)
$$

where

$$
\Omega_{i}^{1 \alpha}(A)=\left\{z \in C:\left|z-\bar{a}-\sqrt{\left(A_{0}^{2}\right)_{i i}}\right|\left|z-\bar{a}+\sqrt{\left(A_{0}^{2}\right)_{i i}}\right| \leq \alpha r_{i}\left(A_{0}^{2}\right)+(1-\alpha) c_{i}\left(A_{0}^{2}\right)\right\} .
$$

Theorem 3 ([7] Theorems 2.5 and 2.7). Let $A=\left[a_{i j}\right] \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ with $a_{i i}=\bar{a}$ for all $i \in N, n \geq 2$. Then,

$$
\sigma(A) \subseteq \Omega^{2}(A)=\bigcap_{0 \leq \alpha \leq 1} \bigcup_{i \in N} \Omega_{i}^{2 \alpha}(A)
$$

where

$$
\Omega_{i}^{2 \alpha}(A)=\left\{z \in C:\left|z-\bar{a}-\sqrt{\left(A_{0}^{2}\right)_{i i}}\right|\left|z-\bar{a}+\sqrt{\left(A_{0}^{2}\right)_{i i}}\right| \leq\left(r_{i}\left(A_{0}^{2}\right)\right)^{\alpha}\left(c_{i}\left(A_{0}^{2}\right)\right)^{1-\alpha}\right\}
$$

Furthermore,

$$
\Omega^{2}(A) \subseteq \Omega^{1}(A) \subseteq\left(\Omega(A) \bigcap \Omega\left(A^{T}\right)\right) \subseteq\left(\mathcal{K}(A) \bigcap \mathcal{K}\left(A^{T}\right)\right) \subseteq\left(\Gamma(A) \bigcap \Gamma\left(A^{T}\right)\right)
$$

In this paper, we first give a sufficient condition for non-singular matrices, which leads to a new set including all eigenvalues of matrices with a c.m.d. As an application, in Section 3, we apply the result obtained in Section 2 to Toeplitz matrices as a subclass of matrices with a c.m.d. and obtain a new eigenvalue inclusion set. All the new eigenvalue inclusion sets are proved to be tighter than those in [9].

## 2. A New Eigenvalue Inclusion Set for Matrices with a c.m.d.

In this section, we present a new eigenvalue inclusion set for matrices with a c.m.d. First, a sufficient condition for non-singular matrices is given.

Lemma 1. For any $A=\left[a_{i j}\right] \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ with $a_{i i}=\bar{a}$ for all $i \in N$, and $n \geq 2$, if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\bar{a}^{2}-\left(A_{0}^{2}\right)_{i i}\right|\left|\bar{a}^{2}-\left(A_{0}^{2}\right)_{j j}\right|>r_{i}\left(A_{0}^{2}\right) r_{j}\left(A_{0}^{2}\right), \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $A_{0}=A-\bar{a} I$, then $A$ is non-singular.
Proof. Suppose on the contrary that $A=\left[a_{i j}\right] \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ satisfies Inequality (1) and is singular, then there is an $x=\left[x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right]^{T} \in \mathbb{C}^{n}$, with $x \neq 0$, such that $A x=0$. Let

$$
0<\left|x_{t}\right| \geq\left|x_{s}\right| \geq \max \left\{\left|x_{k}\right|: k \in N, k \neq s, k \neq t\right\}
$$

Note that $A_{0}=A-\bar{a} I$. Then, $A_{0} x=-\bar{a} x$, which leads to $A_{0}^{2} x=\bar{a}^{2} x$, equivalently, $\left(A_{0}^{2}-\bar{a}^{2} I\right) x=0$. This implies that for all $i \in N$,

$$
\left(\left(A_{0}^{2}\right)_{i i}-\bar{a}^{2}\right) x_{i}=-\sum_{j \in N, j \neq i}\left(A_{0}^{2}\right)_{i j} x_{j} .
$$

Hence,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\left(A_{0}^{2}\right)_{i i}-\bar{a}^{2}\right|\left|x_{i}\right| \leq \sum_{j \in N, j \neq i}\left|\left(A_{0}^{2}\right)_{i j} \| x_{j}\right|, \forall i \in N \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Taking $i=t$, Inequality (2) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\left(A_{0}^{2}\right)_{t t}-\bar{a}^{2}\right|\left|x_{t}\right| \leq \sum_{j \in N, j \neq t}\left|\left(A_{0}^{2}\right)_{t j}\right|\left|x_{j}\right| \leq r_{t}\left(A_{0}^{2}\right)\left|x_{s}\right| . \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $\left|x_{s}\right|=0$, then Inequality (3) reduces to $\left|\left(A_{0}^{2}\right)_{t t}-\bar{a}^{2}\right|\left|x_{t}\right|=0$, implying that $\left|\left(A_{0}^{2}\right)_{t t}-\bar{a}^{2}\right|=0$. However, this contradicts Inequality (1). Hence, $\left|x_{s}\right|>0$. We now take $i=s$ in Inequality (3), and obtain similarly

$$
\left|\left(A_{0}^{2}\right)_{s s}-\bar{a}^{2}\right|\left|x_{s}\right| \leq r_{s}\left(A_{0}^{2}\right)\left|x_{s}\right| .
$$

On multiplying the above inequality with Inequality (3), then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\left(A_{0}^{2}\right)_{t t}-\bar{a}^{2} \|\left(A_{0}^{2}\right)_{s s}-\bar{a}^{2}\right|\left|x_{t}\right|\left|x_{s}\right| \leq r_{t}\left(A_{0}^{2}\right) r_{s}\left(A_{0}^{2}\right)\left|x_{t}\right|\left|x_{s}\right| . \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that $\left|x_{t}\right|\left|x_{s}\right|>0$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\left(A_{0}^{2}\right)_{t t}-\bar{a}^{2}\right|\left|\left(A_{0}^{2}\right)_{s s}-\bar{a}^{2}\right| \leq r_{t}\left(A_{0}^{2}\right) r_{s}\left(A_{0}^{2}\right) \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

which contradicts Inequality (1). Therefore, $A$ is non-singular.
From Lemma 1, we can obtain a new eigenvalue inclusion set for matrices with a c.m.d.
Theorem 4. Let $A=\left[a_{i j}\right] \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ with $a_{i i}=\bar{a}$ for all $i \in N$, and $n \geq 2$. Then,

$$
\sigma(A) \subseteq \bar{\Omega}(A)=\bigcup_{i, j \in N, i \neq j} \bar{\Omega}_{i, j}(A)
$$

where

$$
\begin{gather*}
\bar{\Omega}_{i, j}(A)=\left\{z \in \mathbb{C}:\left|z-\bar{a}-\sqrt{\left(A_{0}^{2}\right)_{i i}}\right|\left|z-\bar{a}+\sqrt{\left(A_{0}^{2}\right)_{i i}}\right|\left|z-\bar{a}-\sqrt{\left(A_{0}^{2}\right)_{j j}}\right|\right.  \tag{6}\\
\left.\left|z-\bar{a}+\sqrt{\left(A_{0}^{2}\right)_{j j}}\right| \leq r_{i}\left(A_{0}^{2}\right) r_{j}\left(A_{0}^{2}\right)\right\}, \tag{7}
\end{gather*}
$$

and $A_{0}=A-\bar{a} I$.
Proof. Suppose that $\lambda \in \sigma(A)$, then $\lambda I-A$ is singular. If $\lambda \notin \bar{\Omega}(A)$, then $\lambda \notin \bar{\Omega}_{i j}(A)$ for any $i, j \in N, i \neq j$, which leads to that for any $i, j \in N, i \neq j$,

$$
\left|z-\bar{a}-\sqrt{\left(A_{0}^{2}\right)_{i i}}\right|\left|z-\bar{a}+\sqrt{\left(A_{0}^{2}\right)_{i i}}\right|\left|z-\bar{a}-\sqrt{\left(A_{0}^{2}\right)_{j j}}\right|\left|z-\bar{a}+\sqrt{\left(A_{0}^{2}\right)_{j j}}\right|>r_{i}\left(A_{0}^{2}\right) r_{j}\left(A_{0}^{2}\right),
$$

that is,

$$
\left|(z-\bar{a})^{2}-\left(A_{0}^{2}\right)_{i i}\right|\left|(z-\bar{a})^{2}-\left(A_{0}^{2}\right)_{j j}\right|>r_{i}\left(A_{0}^{2}\right) r_{j}\left(A_{0}^{2}\right) .
$$

From Lemma 1, we have that $\lambda I-A$ is non-singular. This contradicts that $\lambda I-A$ is singular. Hence, $\lambda \in \bar{\Omega}(A)$.

We now give a comparison between the new eigenvalue set $\bar{\Omega}(A)$ and the set $\Omega(A)$ in Theorem 1 .
Theorem 5. Let $A=\left[a_{i j}\right] \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ with $a_{i i}=\bar{a}$ for any $i \in N$, and $n \geq 2$. Then,

$$
\bar{\Omega}(A) \subseteq \Omega(A)
$$

Proof. Suppose that $z \in \bar{\Omega}(A)$, then there exist $i, j \in N$ with $i \neq j$ and $z \in \bar{\Omega}_{i j}(A)$, that is,

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left|z-\bar{a}-\sqrt{\left(A_{0}^{2}\right)_{i i}}\right|\left|z-\bar{a}+\sqrt{\left(A_{0}^{2}\right)_{i i}}\right|\left|z-\bar{a}-\sqrt{\left(A_{0}^{2}\right)_{j j}}\right| \\
\left|z-\bar{a}+\sqrt{\left(A_{0}^{2}\right)_{j j}}\right| \leq r_{i}\left(A_{0}^{2}\right) r_{j}\left(A_{0}^{2}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

Equivalently,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|(z-\bar{a})^{2}-\left(A_{0}^{2}\right)_{i i}\right|\left|(z-\bar{a})^{2}-\left(A_{0}^{2}\right)_{j j}\right| \leq r_{i}\left(A_{0}^{2}\right) r_{j}\left(A_{0}^{2}\right) \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $r_{i}\left(A_{0}^{2}\right) r_{j}\left(A_{0}^{2}\right)=0$, then $(z-\bar{a})^{2}=\left(A_{0}^{2}\right)_{i i}$ or $(z-\bar{a})^{2}=\left(A_{0}^{2}\right)_{j j}$. We can get $z \in \Omega_{i}(A)$ or $z \in \Omega_{j}(A)$ and hence $z \in \Omega_{i}(A) \cup \Omega_{j}(A)$. If $r_{i}\left(A_{0}^{2}\right) r_{j}\left(A_{0}^{2}\right)>0$, we have from Inequality (8),

$$
\left(\frac{\left|(z-\bar{a})^{2}-\left(A_{0}^{2}\right)_{i i}\right|}{r_{i}\left(A_{0}^{2}\right)}\right)\left(\frac{\left|(z-\bar{a})^{2}-\left(A_{0}^{2}\right)_{j j}\right|}{r_{j}\left(A_{0}^{2}\right)}\right) \leq 1
$$

that is, $\left|(z-\bar{a})^{2}-\left(A_{0}^{2}\right)_{i i}\right| \leq r_{i}\left(A_{0}^{2}\right)$ or $\left|(z-\bar{a})^{2}-\left(A_{0}^{2}\right)_{j j}\right| \leq r_{j}\left(A_{0}^{2}\right)$. Hence, $z \in \Omega_{i}(A)$ or $z \in \Omega_{j}(A)$, consequently, $z \in \Omega_{i}(A) \cup \Omega_{j}(A)$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{\Omega}_{i j}(A) \subseteq \Omega_{i}(A) \bigcup \Omega_{j}(A) \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

As Equation (9) holds for any $i$ and $j(i \neq j)$ in $N$, therefore $\bar{\Omega}(A) \subseteq \Omega(A)$.
Example 1. Consider the matrix $A$ (the matrix $A_{4}$ in [9]),

$$
A=\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
2 & i & -3 & -i \\
0 & 2 & 1 & -5 i \\
4 & 1 & 2 & 2 \\
i & -1 & 1 & 2
\end{array}\right]
$$

the sets $\Gamma(A), \mathcal{K}(A), \Omega(A)$, and $\bar{\Omega}(A)$ are shown in Figure 1, where $\Gamma(A)$ is represented by the outside boundary, $\mathcal{K}(A)$ by the middle, $\Omega(A)$ by the inner, and $\bar{\Omega}(A)$ is filled. The exact eigenvalues are plotted with asterisks. It is easy to see that

$$
\bar{\Omega}(A) \subset \Omega(A) \subset \mathcal{K}(A) \subset \Gamma(A)
$$

This example shows that the the new eigenvalue inclusion set in Theorem 4 is tighter than the Geršgorin set $\Gamma(A)$, the Brauer set $\mathcal{K}(A)$ and the set $\Omega(A)$ obtained in [9].


Figure 1. $\bar{\Omega}(A) \subset \Omega(A) \subset \mathcal{K}(A) \subset \Gamma(A)$.

Remark 1. From Theorems 3 and 5, we have that

$$
\bar{\Omega}(A) \subseteq \Omega(A), \bar{\Omega}\left(A^{T}\right) \subseteq \Omega\left(A^{T}\right),\left(\bar{\Omega}(A) \bigcap \bar{\Omega}\left(A^{T}\right)\right) \subseteq\left(\Omega(A) \bigcap \Omega\left(A^{T}\right)\right)
$$

and

$$
\Omega^{2}(A) \subseteq \Omega^{1}(A) \subseteq\left(\Omega(A) \bigcap \Omega\left(A^{T}\right)\right)
$$

Note here that $\Omega^{1}(A)=\Omega^{1}\left(A^{T}\right)$ and $\Omega^{2}(A)=\Omega^{2}\left(A^{T}\right)$. However, the sets $\Omega^{2}(A)$ and $\bar{\Omega}(A) \cap \bar{\Omega}\left(A^{T}\right)\left(\right.$ also $\Omega^{1}(A)$ and $\left.\bar{\Omega}(A) \cap \bar{\Omega}\left(A^{T}\right)\right)$ cannot be compared with each other. In fact, we also consider the matrix $A$ in Example 1, and draw $\Omega^{2}(A)$, and $\bar{\Omega}(A) \cap \bar{\Omega}\left(A^{T}\right)$ in Figures 2 and 3. It is not difficult to see that

$$
\Omega^{2}(A) \nsubseteq \bar{\Omega}(A) \bigcap \bar{\Omega}\left(A^{T}\right)
$$

and

$$
\bar{\Omega}(A) \bigcap \bar{\Omega}\left(A^{T}\right) \nsubseteq \Omega^{2}(A) .
$$



Figure 2. $\Omega^{2}(A)$.


Figure 3. $\bar{\Omega}(A) \cap \bar{\Omega}\left(A^{T}\right)$.

## 3. Eigenvalue Inclusion Set for Toeplitz Matrices

Toeplitz matrices, a subclass of matrices with a c.m.d., arise in many fields of application [12-18], such as probability and statistics, signal processing, differential and integral equations, Markov chains, Padé approximation, etc. For example, consider an assigned Lebesgue integrable function $f$ defined on the fundamental interval $I=[-\pi, \pi)$ and periodically extended to the whole real axis, and the Fourier coefficients $a_{k}$ of $f$ that is

$$
a_{k}=\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f(x) e^{-\mathbf{i} k x} d x,\left(\mathbf{i}^{2}=-1\right)
$$

where $k$ is an integer number. From the coefficients $a_{k}$ one can build the infinite dimensional Toeplitz matrix $\operatorname{Tn}(f)$ with entries $(\operatorname{Tn}(f))_{s t}=a_{s-t}, s, t=1,2 \ldots, n[12,13,16]$.

Toeplitz matrices are constant along all their NW-SE diagonals [7,9], i.e., a Toeplitz matrix $T \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ has the following form:

$$
T=\left[\begin{array}{ccccc}
t_{0} & t_{1} & t_{2} & \cdots & t_{n-1} \\
t_{-1} & t_{0} & t_{1} & \cdots & t_{n-2} \\
\vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\
t_{2-n} & \cdots & t_{-1} & t_{0} & t_{1} \\
t_{1-n} & \cdots & t_{-2} & t_{-1} & t_{0}
\end{array}\right]
$$

Indeed, if $f$ is a real valued function, we have $a_{k}=\bar{a}_{-k}$ and, consequently, $T_{n}(f)$ is Hermitian; moreover, if $f(x)=f(-x)$, then the coefficients $a_{k}$ are real and $T_{n}(f)$ is symmetric. The following result can be found in $[12,19]$ and in a multilevel setting in $[16,17]$.

Theorem $6([17,19])$. Let $\lambda_{j}^{(n)}$ be the eigenvalues of $T_{n}(f)$ sorted in nondecreasing order, and $m_{f}=\operatorname{ess} \inf f$, $M_{f}=\operatorname{ess} \sup f$.
a. If $m_{f}<M_{f}$, then $\lambda_{j}^{(n)} \in\left(m_{f}, M_{f}\right)$ for every $j$ and $n$; if $m_{f}=M_{f}$, then $f$ is constant and trivially $T_{n}(f)=m_{f} I_{n}$ with $I_{n}$ identity of size $n$;
b. The following asymptotic relationships hold: $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_{1}^{(n)}=m_{f}, \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_{n}^{(n)}=M_{f}$.

Furthermore, there exist further results establishing precisely how fast the convergence holds [13,17]. Since in applications (differential and fractional operators/equations, shift-invariant integral operators/equations, signal and image processing etc.) often the underlying Toeplitz matrices have large size $n$, then the results in $[12,13,16,17]$ are difficult to beat and improved. When $f$ is complex-values the theory is more complicated and in that case the convex hull of the essential range of $f$ plays a role (see $[13,18]$ ). Obviously, a Toeplitz matrix is persymmetric. Here, we call $A$ persymmetric if $A$ is symmetric with respect to the main anti-diagonal [9]. Furthermore, the square of a Toeplitz matrix $T$ is not necessary Toeplitz, but it is persymmetric.

In [9], Melman applied the eigenvalue inclusion Theorem (Theorem 1) of matrices with a c.m.d. to Toeplitz matrices, and obtained the following simpler form of the eigenvalue inclusion set.

Theorem 7 ([9] Theorem 3.1). Let $T=\left[t_{i j}\right] \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ be a Toeplitz matrix and $t_{i i}=\bar{t}, n \geq 2$. Then,

$$
\sigma(T) \subseteq \Omega(T)=\bigcup_{i=1}^{\left\lceil\frac{n}{2}\right\rceil} \Omega_{i}(T)
$$

where

$$
\begin{gathered}
\Omega_{i}(T)=\left\{z \in \mathbb{C}:\left|z-\bar{t}-\sqrt{\left(T_{0}^{2}\right)_{i i}}\right|\left|z-\bar{t}+\sqrt{\left(T_{0}^{2}\right)_{j j}}\right| \leq v_{i}\left(T_{0}^{2}\right)\right\} \\
T_{0}=T-\bar{t} I, v_{i}\left(T_{0}^{2}\right)=\max \left\{r_{i}\left(T_{0}^{2}\right), r_{n-i+1}\left(T_{0}^{2}\right)\right\}
\end{gathered}
$$

and

$$
\left\lceil\frac{n}{2}\right\rceil=\left\{\begin{array}{cc}
\frac{n}{2}, & \text { if } n \text { is even } \\
\frac{n+1}{2}, & \text { if } n \text { is odd }
\end{array}\right.
$$

Furthermore, $\Omega(T) \subseteq \mathcal{K}(T) \subseteq \Gamma(T)$.
Next, by applying Theorem 4 to Toeplitz matrices, we obtain a new eigenvalue inclusion set.
Theorem 8. Let $T=\left[t_{i j}\right] \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ be a Toeplitz matrix with $t_{11}=\bar{t}$ and $n \geq 2$. Then,

$$
\sigma(T) \subseteq \bar{\Omega}(T)=\left(\bigcup_{i, j \in\left\lceil\frac{n}{2}\right\rceil, i \neq j} \bar{\Omega}_{i j}^{1}(T)\right) \bigcup\left(\bigcup_{i \in\left\lceil\frac{n}{2}\right\rceil} \bar{\Omega}_{i}(T)\right)
$$

where

$$
\begin{gathered}
\bar{\Omega}_{i j}^{1}(T)=\left\{z \in \mathbb{C}: \begin{array}{c}
\left|z-t_{0}-\sqrt{\left(T_{0}^{2}\right)_{i i}}\right|\left|z-t_{0}+\sqrt{\left(T_{0}^{2}\right)_{i i}}\right| \\
\left.\left|z-t_{0}-\sqrt{\left(T_{0}^{2}\right)_{j j}}\right|\left|z-t_{0}+\sqrt{\left(T_{0}^{2}\right)_{j j}}\right| \leq V_{i}\left(T_{0}^{2}\right) V_{j}\left(T_{0}^{2}\right)\right\}, \\
\bar{\Omega}_{i}(T)=\left\{z \in \mathbb{C}:\left(\left|z-t_{0}-\sqrt{\left(T_{0}^{2}\right)_{i i}}\right|\left|z-t_{0}+\sqrt{\left(T_{0}^{2}\right)_{i i}}\right|\right)^{2} \leq r_{i}\left(T_{0}^{2}\right) r_{n-i+1}\left(T_{0}^{2}\right)\right\}, \\
V_{i}\left(T_{0}^{2}\right)=\max \left\{r_{i}\left(T_{0}^{2}\right), r_{n-i+1}\left(T_{0}^{2}\right)\right\}, \text { and } T_{0}=T-t_{0} I .
\end{array}\right.
\end{gathered}
$$

Proof. Since $T$ is Toeplitz and $T_{0}=T-\bar{t} I$, we have that $T_{0}$ is also Toeplitz and $T_{0}^{2}$ is persymmetric. Therefore, the main diagonal of $T_{0}^{2}$ has at most $\left\lceil\frac{n}{2}\right\rceil$ distinct values, and $\left(T_{0}^{2}\right)_{i i}=\left(T_{0}^{2}\right)_{n-i+1, n-i+1}$ for $i=1,2, \ldots,\left\lceil\frac{n}{2}\right\rceil$. Hence, by Theorem 4 and Equation (6), for any $\lambda \in \sigma(T), \lambda \in \bar{\Omega}(T)=\underset{i, j \in N, i \neq j}{\bigcup} \bar{\Omega}_{i j}(T)$. For the case $i, j \in\left\{1,2, \ldots,\left\lceil\frac{n}{2}\right\rceil\right\}, j \neq i$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|(\lambda-\bar{t})^{2}-\left(T_{0}^{2}\right)_{i i}\right|\left|(\lambda-\bar{t})^{2}-\left(T_{0}^{2}\right)_{j j}\right| \leq r_{i}\left(T_{0}^{2}\right) r_{j}\left(T_{0}^{2}\right) \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

For the case $i \in\left\{1,2, \ldots,\left\lceil\frac{n}{2}\right\rceil\right\}, j \in N \backslash\left\{1,2, \ldots,\left\lceil\frac{n}{2}\right\rceil\right\}, j \neq n-i+1$, we have

$$
\left|(\lambda-\bar{t})^{2}-\left(T_{0}^{2}\right)_{i i}\right|\left|(\lambda-\bar{t})^{2}-\left(T_{0}^{2}\right)_{n-j+1, n-j+1}\right| \leq r_{i}\left(T_{0}^{2}\right) r_{n-j+1}\left(T_{0}^{2}\right)
$$

Note that $\left(T_{0}^{2}\right)_{j j}=\left(T_{0}^{2}\right)_{n-j+1, n-j+1}$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|(\lambda-\bar{t})^{2}-\left(T_{0}^{2}\right)_{i i}\right|\left|(\lambda-\bar{t})^{2}-\left(T_{0}^{2}\right)_{j j}\right| \leq r_{i}\left(T_{0}^{2}\right) r_{n-j+1}\left(T_{0}^{2}\right) \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

From Inequalities (10) and (11), we can get that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|(\lambda-\bar{t})^{2}-\left(T_{0}^{2}\right)_{i i}\right|\left|(\lambda-\bar{t})^{2}-\left(T_{0}^{2}\right)_{j j}\right| \leq r_{i}\left(T_{0}^{2}\right) V_{j}\left(T_{0}^{2}\right) \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $V_{j}\left(T_{0}^{2}\right)=\max \left\{r_{j}\left(T_{0}^{2}\right), r_{n-j+1}\left(T_{0}^{2}\right)\right\}$. Similarly, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|(\lambda-\bar{t})^{2}-\left(T_{0}^{2}\right)_{n-i+1, n-i+1}\right|\left|(\lambda-\bar{t})^{2}-\left(T_{0}^{2}\right)_{j j}\right| \leq r_{n-i+1, n-i+1}\left(T_{0}^{2}\right) V_{j}\left(T_{0}^{2}\right) \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

From $\left(T_{0}^{2}\right)_{i i}=\left(T_{0}^{2}\right)_{n-i+1, n-i+1}$, Inequalities (12) and (13), we could easily get, for any $i, j \in\left\{1,2, \ldots,\left\lceil\frac{n}{2}\right\rceil\right\}$ and $j \neq i$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|(\lambda-\bar{t})^{2}-\left(T_{0}^{2}\right)_{i i}\right|\left|(\lambda-\bar{t})^{2}-\left(T_{0}^{2}\right)_{j j}\right| \leq V_{i}\left(T_{0}^{2}\right) V_{j}\left(T_{0}^{2}\right) \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Furthermore, for any $i \in\left\{1,2, \ldots,\left\lceil\frac{n}{2}\right\rceil\right\}, j=n-i+1$,

$$
\left|(\lambda-\bar{t})^{2}-\left(T_{0}^{2}\right)_{i i}\right|\left|(\lambda-\bar{t})^{2}-\left(T_{0}^{2}\right)_{n-i+1, n-i+1}\right| \leq r_{i}\left(T_{0}^{2}\right) r_{n-i+1}\left(T_{0}^{2}\right)
$$

which is equivalent to

$$
\left|(\lambda-\bar{t})^{2}-\left(T_{0}^{2}\right)_{i i} \|\left|(\lambda-\bar{t})^{2}-\left(T_{0}^{2}\right)_{i, i}\right| \leq r_{i}\left(T_{0}^{2}\right) r_{n-i+1}\left(T_{0}^{2}\right),\right.
$$

that is,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\left|z-t_{0}-\sqrt{\left(T_{0}^{2}\right)_{i i}}\right|\left|z-t_{0}+\sqrt{\left(T_{0}^{2}\right)_{i i}}\right|\right)^{2} \leq r_{i}\left(T_{0}^{2}\right) r_{n-i+1}\left(T_{0}^{2}\right) \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

The conclusion follows from Inequalities (14) and (15).
From Theorems 5, 7 and 8, we can obtain easily the comparison results as follows.
Theorem 9. Let $T=\left[t_{i j}\right] \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ be a Toeplitz matrix with $t_{11}=\bar{t}$ and $n \geq 2$. Then,

$$
\bar{\Omega}(T) \subseteq \Omega(T) \subseteq \mathcal{K}(T) \subseteq \Gamma(T)
$$

Example 2. Consider the Toeplitz matrix $Q$ in [9]:

$$
Q=\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
6 & 1 & -1 & -2 i \\
0 & 6 & 1 & -1 \\
-1 & 0 & 6 & 1 \\
4 & -1 & 0 & 6
\end{array}\right]
$$

In Figure 4 , the sets $\Gamma(Q), \mathcal{K}(Q), \Omega(Q)$, and $\bar{\Omega}(Q)$ are shown, where $\Gamma(Q)$ is represented by the outside boundary, $\mathcal{K}(Q)$ by the middle, $\Omega(Q)$ by the inner, and $\bar{\Omega}(Q)$ is filled. The exact eigenvalues are plotted with asterisks. As we can see,

$$
\bar{\Omega}(Q) \subset \Omega(Q) \subset \mathcal{K}(Q) \subset \Gamma(Q) .
$$

This example shows that the new eigenvalue inclusion set in Theorem 8 is tighter than the set obtained in [9], the Geršgorin set and the Brauer set for a Toeplitz matrix.


Figure 4. $\bar{\Omega}(Q) \subset \Omega(Q) \subset \mathcal{K}(Q) \subset \Gamma(Q)$.

## 4. Conclusions

In this paper, we obtain a new eigenvalue inclusion set for matrices with a c.m.d. We then apply this result to Toeplitz matrices, and get a set including all eigenvalues of Toeplitz matrices. Although they needs more computations to obtain the new eigenvalue sets than those in [9], the new sets capture all eigenvalues more precisely than those in [9].
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