

Article

Geometric Properties of Certain Analytic Functions Associated with the Dziok–Srivastava Operator

Cai-Mei Yan ¹ and Jin-Lin Liu ^{2,*}

¹ Information Engineering College, Yangzhou University, Yangzhou 225002, China; cmyan@yzu.edu.cn

² Department of Mathematics, Yangzhou University, Yangzhou 225002, China

* Correspondence: jlliu@yzu.edu.cn

Received: 20 January 2019; Accepted: 15 February 2019; Published: 19 February 2019



Abstract: The objective of the present paper is to derive certain geometric properties of analytic functions associated with the Dziok–Srivastava operator.

Keywords: analytic function; subordination; Dziok–Srivastava operator

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 30C45

1. Introduction

Throughout this paper, we assume that:

$$n, p \in \mathbb{N}, -1 \leq B < A \leq 1, \alpha > 0 \text{ and } \beta < 1. \quad (1)$$

Let $A_n(p)$ denote the class of functions of the form:

$$f(z) = z^p + \sum_{k=n+p}^{\infty} a_k z^k \quad (2)$$

which are analytic in the open unit disk $U = \{z : |z| < 1\}$. If $f(z) = z^p + \sum_{k=n+p}^{\infty} a_k z^k \in A_n(p)$ and $g(z) = z^p + \sum_{k=n+p}^{\infty} b_k z^k \in A_n(p)$, then the Hadamard product (or convolution) of f and g is defined by:

$$(f * g)(z) = z^p + \sum_{k=n+p}^{\infty} a_k b_k z^k$$

For:

$$\alpha_j \in \mathbb{C} \ (j = 1, 2, \dots, l) \text{ and } \beta_j \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0, -1, -2, \dots\} \ (j = 1, 2, \dots, m)$$

the generalized hypergeometric function ${}_lF_m(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_l; \beta_1, \dots, \beta_m; z)$ is defined by:

$${}_lF_m(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_l; \beta_1, \dots, \beta_m; z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\alpha_1)_k \cdots (\alpha_l)_k}{(\beta_1)_k \cdots (\beta_m)_k} \frac{z^k}{k!}$$

$$(l \leq m+1; l, m \in \mathbb{N}_0 = \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}; z \in U)$$

where $(x)_k$ is the Pochhammer symbol given by $(x)_k = x(x+1) \cdots (x+k-1)$ for $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $(x)_0 = 1$. Corresponding to the function $z^p {}_lF_m(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_l; \beta_1, \dots, \beta_m; z)$, the well-known Dziok–Srivastava operator [1] $H(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_l; \beta_1, \dots, \beta_m) : A_n(p) \rightarrow A_n(p)$ is defined by:

$$H(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_l; \beta_1, \dots, \beta_m)f(z) = (z^p {}_lF_m(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_l; \beta_1, \dots, \beta_m; z)) * f(z)$$

$$(l \leq m+1; l, m \in \mathbb{N}_0; z \in U)$$

If $f \in A_n(p)$ is given by (2), then we have:

$$H(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_l; \beta_1, \dots, \beta_m)f(z) = z^p + \sum_{k=n+p}^{\infty} \frac{(\alpha_1)_k \cdots (\alpha_l)_k}{(\beta_1)_k \cdots (\beta_m)_k} \frac{a_k}{k!} z^k$$

For convenience, we write:

$$H_m^l(\alpha_1) = H(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_l; \beta_1, \dots, \beta_m) \quad (l \leq m+1; l, m \in \mathbb{N}_0)$$

It is noteworthy to mention that the Dziok–Srivastava operator is a generalization of certain linear operators considered in earlier investigations.

Next, we consider the function $h(A, B; z) = (1 + Az)/(1 + Bz)$ for $z \in U$. It is known that the function $h(A, B; z)$ is the conformal map of U onto a disk, symmetrical with respect to the real axis, which is centered at the point $(1 - AB)/(1 - B^2)$ ($B \neq \pm 1$) and with its radius equal to $(A - B)/(1 - B^2)$ ($B \neq \pm 1$). Furthermore, the boundary circle of this disk intersects the real axis at the points $(1 - A)/(1 - B)$ and $(1 + A)/(1 + B)$ with $B \neq \pm 1$.

Let $P[A, B]$ denote the class of functions of the form $p(z) = 1 + p_1 z + \dots$, which are analytic in U and satisfy the subordination $p(z) \prec h(A, B; z)$. It is clear that $p \in P[A, B]$ if and only if:

$$\left| p(z) - \frac{1 - AB}{1 - B^2} \right| < \frac{A - B}{1 - B^2} \quad (-1 < B < A \leq 1; z \in U)$$

and:

$$\operatorname{Re} p(z) > \frac{1 - A}{2} \quad (B = -1; z \in U)$$

For two functions f and g analytic in U , f is said to be subordinate to g , written by $f(z) \prec g(z)$ ($z \in U$), if there exists a Schwarz function w in U such that:

$$|w(z)| \leq |z| \quad \text{and} \quad f(z) = g(w(z)) \quad (z \in U)$$

Furthermore, if the function g is univalent in U , then:

$$f(z) \prec g(z) \quad (z \in U) \iff f(0) = g(0) \quad \text{and} \quad f(U) \subset g(U)$$

Many properties of analytic functions have been investigated by several authors (see [1–11]). In this paper, we derive certain geometric properties of analytic functions associated with the well-known Dziok–Srivastava operator.

2. Main Results

Theorem 1. Let f belong to the class $A_n(p)$. Furthermore, let:

$$\frac{H_m^l(\alpha_1)f(z)}{z^p} \in P[A, B]. \quad (3)$$

Then:

$$\operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{H_m^l(\alpha_1)f(z)}{z^p} + \alpha z \left(\frac{H_m^l(\alpha_1)f(z)}{z^p} \right)' \right\} \leq \begin{cases} \frac{1+(A+B+n\alpha(A-B))r^n+ABr^{2n}}{(1+Br^n)^2} & \text{if } M_n(A, B, \alpha, r) \leq 0, \\ \frac{L_n^2-4\alpha^2K_AK_B}{4\alpha(A-B)r^{n-1}(1-r^2)K_B} & \text{if } M_n(A, B, \alpha, r) \geq 0, \end{cases} \quad (4)$$

$$\leq \begin{cases} \frac{1+(A+B+n\alpha(A-B))r^n+ABr^{2n}}{(1+Br^n)^2} & \text{if } M_n(A, B, \alpha, r) \leq 0, \\ \frac{L_n^2-4\alpha^2K_AK_B}{4\alpha(A-B)r^{n-1}(1-r^2)K_B} & \text{if } M_n(A, B, \alpha, r) \geq 0, \end{cases} \quad (5)$$

where:

$$\begin{cases} K_A = 1 - A^2 r^{2n} + nAr^{n-1}(1 - r^2), \\ K_B = 1 - B^2 r^{2n} + nBr^{n-1}(1 - r^2), \\ L_n = 2\alpha(1 - ABr^{2n}) + n\alpha(A + B)r^{n-1}(1 - r^2) + (A - B)r^{n-1}(1 - r^2), \\ M_n(A, B, \alpha, r) = 2\alpha K_B(1 + Ar^n) - L_n(1 + Br^n). \end{cases} \quad (6)$$

The result is sharp.

Proof. For $z = 0$, the equality in (4) holds true. Thus, we assume that $0 < |z| = r < 1$. From (3), we can write:

$$\frac{H_m^l(\alpha_1)f(z)}{z^p} = \frac{1 + Az^n\varphi(z)}{1 + Bz^n\varphi(z)} \quad (z \in U), \quad (7)$$

where $\varphi(z)$ is analytic and $|\varphi(z)| \leq 1$ in U . From (7), we have:

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{H_m^l(\alpha_1)f(z)}{z^p} + \alpha z \left(\frac{H_m^l(\alpha_1)f(z)}{z^p} \right)' \\ &= \frac{H_m^l(\alpha_1)f(z)}{z^p} + \frac{\alpha(A - B)z^n(n\varphi(z) + z\varphi'(z))}{(1 + Bz^n\varphi(z))^2} \\ &= \frac{H_m^l(\alpha_1)f(z)}{z^p} + \frac{n\alpha}{A - B}(A - BH_m^l(\alpha_1)f(z)/z^p)(H_m^l(\alpha_1)f(z)/z^p - 1) \\ &+ \frac{\alpha(A - B)z^{n+1}\varphi'(z)}{(1 + Bz^n\varphi(z))^2} \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

By using the Carathéodory inequality:

$$|\varphi'(z)| \leq \frac{1 - |\varphi(z)|^2}{1 - r^2},$$

we get:

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{z^{n+1}\varphi'(z)}{(1 + Bz^n\varphi(z))^2} \right\} &\leq \frac{r^{n+1}(1 - |\varphi(z)|^2)}{(1 - r^2)|1 + Bz^n\varphi(z)|^2} \\ &= \frac{r^{2n}|A - BH_m^l(\alpha_1)f(z)/z^p|^2 - |H_m^l(\alpha_1)f(z)/z^p - 1|^2}{(A - B)^2 r^{n-1}(1 - r^2)} \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

Set $\frac{H_m^l(\alpha_1)f(z)}{z^p} = u + iv$ ($u, v \in \mathbb{R}$). Then, (8) and (9) give:

$$\begin{aligned} & \operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{H_m^l(\alpha_1)f(z)}{z^p} + \alpha z \left(\frac{H_m^l(\alpha_1)f(z)}{z^p} \right)' \right\} \\ & \leq \left(1 + n\alpha \frac{A + B}{A - B} \right) u - \frac{n\alpha A}{A - B} - \frac{n\alpha B}{A - B}(u^2 - v^2) \\ & + \alpha \frac{r^{2n}((A - Bu)^2 + (Bv)^2) - ((u - 1)^2 + v^2)}{(A - B)r^{n-1}(1 - r^2)} \\ &= \left(1 + n\alpha \frac{A + B}{A - B} \right) u - \frac{n\alpha}{A - B}(A + Bu^2) \\ & + \alpha \frac{r^{2n}(A - Bu)^2 - (u - 1)^2}{(A - B)r^{n-1}(1 - r^2)} + \frac{\alpha}{A - B} \left(nB - \frac{1 - B^2 r^{2n}}{r^{n-1}(1 - r^2)} \right) v^2 \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

Note that:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1 - B^2 r^{2n}}{r^{n-1}(1 - r^2)} &\geq \frac{1 - r^{2n}}{r^{n-1}(1 - r^2)} = \frac{1}{r^{n-1}}(1 + r^2 + r^4 + \dots + r^{2(n-2)} + r^{2(n-1)}) \\ &= \frac{1}{2r^{n-1}}[(1 + r^{2(n-1)}) + (r^2 + r^{2(n-2)}) + \dots + (r^{2(n-1)} + 1)] \\ &\geq n \geq nB \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

Using (10) and (11), we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Re}\left\{\frac{H_m^l(\alpha_1)f(z)}{z^p} + \alpha z\left(\frac{H_m^l(\alpha_1)f(z)}{z^p}\right)'\right\} &\leq \left(1 + n\alpha \frac{A+B}{A-B}\right)u - \frac{n\alpha}{A-B}(A + Bu^2) \\ &\quad + \alpha \frac{r^{2n}(A - Bu)^2 - (u - 1)^2}{(A - B)r^{n-1}(1 - r^2)} = \psi_n(u) \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

It is known that for $|\xi| \leq \sigma$ ($\sigma < 1$),

$$\left| \frac{1 + A\xi}{1 + B\xi} - \frac{1 - AB\sigma^2}{1 - B^2\sigma^2} \right| \leq \frac{(A - B)\sigma}{1 - B^2\sigma^2} \quad (13)$$

and:

$$\frac{1 - A\sigma}{1 - B\sigma} \leq \operatorname{Re}\left\{\frac{1 + A\xi}{1 + B\xi}\right\} \leq \frac{1 + A\sigma}{1 + B\sigma} \quad (14)$$

Furthermore, (7) and (14) show that:

$$\frac{1 - Ar^n}{1 - Br^n} \leq \operatorname{Re}\left\{\frac{H_m^l(\alpha_1)f(z)}{z^p}\right\} \leq \frac{1 + Ar^n}{1 + Br^n}.$$

Now, we calculate the maximum value of $\psi_n(u)$ on the segment $\left[\frac{1-Ar^n}{1-Br^n}, \frac{1+Ar^n}{1+Br^n}\right]$. Obviously,

$$\begin{aligned} \psi'_n(u) &= 1 + n\alpha \frac{A+B}{A-B} - \frac{2n\alpha B}{A-B}u + 2\alpha \frac{(1 - ABr^{2n}) - (1 - B^2r^{2n})u}{(A - B)r^{n-1}(1 - r^2)} \\ \psi''_n(u) &= -\frac{2\alpha}{A-B} \left(nB + \frac{1 - B^2r^{2n}}{r^{n-1}(1 - r^2)}\right) < 0 \quad (\text{see (11)}) \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

and $\psi'_n(u) = 0$ if and only if:

$$\begin{aligned} u = u_n &= \frac{2\alpha(1 - ABr^{2n}) + n\alpha(A + B)r^{n-1}(1 - r^2) + (A - B)r^{n-1}(1 - r^2)}{2\alpha[1 - B^2r^{2n} + nBr^{n-1}(1 - r^2)]} \\ &= \frac{L_n}{2\alpha K_B} \quad (\text{see (6)}) \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

Since:

$$\begin{aligned} 2\alpha K_B(1 - Ar^n) - L_n(1 - Br^n) &= 2\alpha[(1 - Ar^n)(1 - B^2r^{2n}) - (1 - Br^n)(1 - ABr^{2n})] \\ &\quad - n\alpha r^{n-1}(1 - r^2)[(A + B)(1 - Br^n) - 2B(1 - Ar^n)] - (A - B)r^{n-1}(1 - r^2)(1 - Br^n) \\ &= -2\alpha(A - B)r^n(1 - Br^n) - n\alpha(A - B)r^{n-1}(1 - r^2)(1 + Br^n) \\ &\quad - (A - B)r^{n-1}(1 - r^2)(1 - Br^n) < 0 \end{aligned}$$

we see that:

$$u_n > \frac{1 - Ar^n}{1 - Br^n} \quad (17)$$

However, u_n is not always less than $\frac{1+Ar^n}{1+Br^n}$. The following two cases arise.

Case (I). $u_n \geq \frac{1+Ar^n}{1+Br^n}$, that is $M_n(A, B, \alpha, r) \leq 0$. In view of $\psi'_n(u_n) = 0$ and (15), the function $\psi_n(u)$ is increasing on the segment $\left[\frac{1-Ar^n}{1-Br^n}, \frac{1+Ar^n}{1+Br^n}\right]$. Thus, we deduce from (12) that, if $M_n(A, B, \alpha, r) \leq 0$, then:

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{H_m^l(\alpha_1)f(z)}{z^p} + \alpha z \left(\frac{H_m^l(\alpha_1)f(z)}{z^p} \right)' \right\} &\leq \psi_n \left(\frac{1+Ar^n}{1+Br^n} \right) \\ &= \left(1+n\alpha \frac{A+B}{A-B} \right) \left(\frac{1+Ar^n}{1+Br^n} \right) \\ &\quad - \frac{n\alpha}{A-B} \left(A+B \left(\frac{1+Ar^n}{1+Br^n} \right)^2 \right) \\ &= \frac{1+Ar^n}{1+Br^n} - \frac{n\alpha}{A-B} \left(1 - \frac{1+Ar^n}{1+Br^n} \right) \left(A-B \frac{1+Ar^n}{1+Br^n} \right) \\ &= \frac{1+(A+B+n\alpha(A-B))r^n+ABr^{2n}}{(1+Br^n)^2} \end{aligned}$$

This gives (4).

Next, we consider the function f defined by:

$$\frac{H_m^l(\alpha_1)f(z)}{z^p} = \frac{1+Az^n}{1+Bz^n}$$

which satisfies the condition (3). It is easy to check that:

$$\frac{H_m^l(\alpha_1)f(r)}{r^p} + \alpha r \left(\frac{H_m^l(\alpha_1)f(r)}{r^p} \right)' = \frac{1+(A+B+n\alpha(A-B))r^n+ABr^{2n}}{(1+Br^n)^2}$$

which implies that the inequality (4) is sharp.

Case (II). $u_n \leq \frac{1+Ar^n}{1+Br^n}$, that is $M_n(A, B, \alpha, r) \geq 0$. In this case, we easily have:

$$\operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{H_m^l(\alpha_1)f(z)}{z^p} + \alpha z \left(\frac{H_m^l(\alpha_1)f(z)}{z^p} \right)' \right\} \leq \psi_n(u_n) \quad (18)$$

In view of (6), $\psi_n(u)$ in (12) can be written as:

$$\psi_n(u) = \frac{-\alpha K_B u^2 + L_n u - \alpha K_A}{(A-B)r^{n-1}(1-r^2)} \quad (19)$$

Therefore, if $M_n(A, B, \alpha, r) \geq 0$, then it follows from (16), (18), and (19) that:

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{H_m^l(\alpha_1)f(z)}{z^p} + \alpha z \left(\frac{H_m^l(\alpha_1)f(z)}{z^p} \right)' \right\} &\leq \frac{-\alpha K_B u_n^2 + L_n u_n - \alpha K_A}{(A-B)r^{n-1}(1-r^2)} \\ &= \frac{L_n^2 - 4\alpha^2 K_A K_B}{4\alpha(A-B)r^{n-1}(1-r^2)K_B} \end{aligned}$$

To show the sharpness, we take:

$$\frac{H_m^l(\alpha_1)f(z)}{z^p} = \frac{1+Az^n\varphi(z)}{1+Bz^n\varphi(z)} \quad \text{and} \quad \varphi(z) = \frac{z-c_n}{1-c_n z}$$

where $c_n \in \mathbb{R}$ is determined by:

$$\frac{H_m^l(\alpha_1)f(r)}{r^p} = \frac{1 + Ar^n\varphi(r)}{1 + Br^n\varphi(r)} = u_n \in \left(\frac{1 - Ar^n}{1 - Br^n}, \frac{1 + Ar^n}{1 + Br^n} \right]$$

Clearly, $-1 < \varphi(r) \leq 1$, $-1 \leq c_n < 1$, $|\varphi(z)| \leq 1$ ($z \in U$), and so, f satisfies the condition (3). Since:

$$\varphi'(r) = \frac{1 - c_n^2}{(1 - c_n r)^2} = \frac{1 - |\varphi(r)|^2}{1 - r^2}$$

from the above argument, we find that:

$$\frac{H_m^l(\alpha_1)f(r)}{r^p} + \alpha r \left(\frac{H_m^l(\alpha_1)f(r)}{z^p} \right)' = \psi_n(u_n)$$

The proof of the theorem is now completed. \square

Corollary 1. Let $f \in A_1(p)$, and satisfy $\operatorname{Re}\{H_m^l(\alpha_1)f(z)/z^p\} > \beta$ ($\beta < 1$; $z \in U$). Then, for $|z| = r < 1$,

$$\operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{H_m^l(\alpha_1)f(z)}{z^p} + \alpha z \left(\frac{H_m^l(\alpha_1)f(z)}{z^p} \right)' \right\} \leq \beta + (1 - \beta) \frac{1 + 2\alpha r - r^2}{(1 - r)^2}$$

The result is sharp.

Proof. By considering $\frac{H_m^l(\alpha_1)f(z)/z^p - \beta}{1 - \beta}$ instead of $H_m^l(\alpha_1)f(z)/z^p$, we only need to prove the corollary for $\beta = 0$. Putting $n = A = 1$ and $B = -1$ in (6), we get:

$$K_1 = 2(1 - r^2), \quad K_{-1} = 0, \quad L_1 = 2\alpha(1 + r^2) + 2(1 - r^2)$$

and:

$$M_1(1, -1, \alpha, r) = -2(1 - r)[1 + \alpha - (1 - \alpha)r^2] \leq 0$$

Consequently, an application of (4) in Theorem 2.1 yields:

$$\operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{H_m^l(\alpha_1)f(z)}{z^p} + \alpha z \left(\frac{H_m^l(\alpha_1)f(z)}{z^p} \right)' \right\} \leq \frac{1 + 2\alpha r - r^2}{(1 - r)^2}$$

The sharpness follows immediately from that of Theorem 1. \square

Theorem 2. Let α_j ($j = 1, 2, \dots, l$) and β_s ($s = 1, 2, \dots, m$) be positive real numbers. Furthermore, let $f(z) = z^p + \sum_{k=n+p}^{\infty} a_k z^k \in A_n(p)$, and satisfy:

$$\frac{H_m^l(\alpha_1)f(z)}{z^p} + \alpha z \left(\frac{H_m^l(\alpha_1)f(z)}{z^p} \right)' \in P[A, B] \quad (20)$$

Then:

$$|a_k| \leq \frac{k!(A - B)(\beta_1)_k \cdots (\beta_m)_k}{(1 + \alpha(k - p))(\alpha_1)_k \cdots (\alpha_l)_k} \quad (k \geq n + p) \quad (21)$$

The result is sharp for each $k \geq n + p$.

Proof. It is well known that if:

$$g(z) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} b_k z^k \prec \varphi(z) \quad (z \in U)$$

where $g(z)$ is analytic in U and $\varphi(z) = z + \dots$ is convex univalent in U , then $|b_k| \leq 1$ ($k = 1, 2, 3, \dots$).

From (20), we have:

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{A-B} \left(\frac{H_m^l(\alpha_1)f(z)}{z^p} + \alpha z \left(\frac{H_m^l(\alpha_1)f(z)}{z^p} \right)' - 1 \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{A-B} \sum_{k=n+p}^{\infty} \frac{(1+\alpha(k-p))(\alpha_1)_k \cdots (\alpha_l)_k \cdot a_k}{k!(\beta_1)_k \cdots (\beta_m)_k} z^{k-p} \quad (22) \\ &\prec \frac{z}{1+Bz} \quad (z \in U) \end{aligned}$$

In view of the function $\frac{z}{1+Bz}$ being convex univalent in U , it follows from (22) that:

$$\frac{(1+\alpha(k-p))(\alpha_1)_k \cdots (\alpha_l)_k}{k!(A-B)(\beta_1)_k \cdots (\beta_m)_k} |a_k| \leq 1 \quad (k \geq n+p)$$

which gives (21).

Next, we consider the function $f_{k-p}(z)$ defined by:

$$f_{k-p}(z) = z^p + (A-B) \sum_{q=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-B)^{q-1}(\beta_1)_{qk} \cdots (\beta_m)_{qk}(qk)!}{(1+\alpha q(k-p))(\alpha_1)_{qk} \cdots (\alpha_l)_{qk}} z^{q(k-p)+p} \quad (z \in U; k \geq n+p)$$

Since:

$$\frac{H_m^l(\alpha_1)f_{k-p}(z)}{z^p} + \alpha z \left(\frac{H_m^l(\alpha_1)f_{k-p}(z)}{z^p} \right)' = \frac{1+Az^{k-p}}{1+Bz^{k-p}} \prec \frac{1+Az}{1+Bz} \quad (z \in U)$$

and:

$$f_{k-p}(z) = z^p + \frac{k!(A-B)(\beta_1)_k \cdots (\beta_m)_k}{(1+\alpha(k-p))(\alpha_1)_k \cdots (\alpha_l)_k} z^k + \dots$$

for each $k \geq n+p$, the proof of Theorem 2 is completed. \square

Author Contributions: All authors contributed equally.

Funding: This work is supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 11571299).

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

1. Dziok, J.; Srivastava, H.M. Classes of analytic functions associated with the generalized hypergeometric function. *Appl. Math. Comput.* **1999**, *103*, 1–13. [[CrossRef](#)]
2. Chichra, P.N. New subclasses of the class of close-to-convex functions. *Proc. Am. Math. Soc.* **1977**, *62*, 37–43. [[CrossRef](#)]
3. Ali, R.M. On a subclass of starlike functions. *Rocky Mt. J. Math.* **1994**, *24*, 447–451. [[CrossRef](#)]
4. Dziok, J.; Srivastava, H.M. Certain subclasses of analytic functions associated with the generalized hypergeometric function. *Integral Transforms Spec. Funct.* **2003**, *14*, 7–18. [[CrossRef](#)]
5. Gao, C.-Y.; Zhou, S.-Q. Certain subclass of starlike functions. *Appl. Math. Comput.* **2007**, *187*, 176–182. [[CrossRef](#)]
6. H. Silverman, A class of bounded starlike functions, *Int. J. Math. Math. Sci.* **1994**, *17*, 249–252. [[CrossRef](#)]
7. Singh, R.; Singh, S. Convolution properties of a class of starlike functions. *Proc. Am. Math. Soc.* **1989**, *106*, 145–152. [[CrossRef](#)]
8. Srivastava, H.M. Some Fox-Wright generalized hypergeometric functions and associated families of convolution operators. *Appl. Anal. Discret. Math.* **2007**, *1*, 56–71.

9. Srivastava, H.M.; Frasin, B.A.; Pescar, V. Univalence of integral operators involving Mittag-Leffler functions. *Appl. Math. Inf. Sci.* **2017**, *11*, 635–641. [[CrossRef](#)]
10. Srivastava, H.M.; Yang, D.-G.; Xu, N.-E. Subordination for multivalent analytic functions associated with the Dziok–Srivastava operator. *Integral Transforms Spec. Funct.* **2009**, *20*, 581–606. [[CrossRef](#)]
11. Srivastava, H.M.; Prajapati, A.; Gochhayat, P. Third-order differential subordination and differential superordination results for analytic functions involving the Srivastava-Attiya operator. *Appl. Math. Inf. Sci.* **2018**, *12*, 469–481. [[CrossRef](#)]



© 2019 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).