

Article

Fixed Point Results for Frum-Ketkov Type Contractions in b -Metric Spaces

Cristian Chifu ¹, Erdal Karapinar ^{2,3,4,*}  and Gabriela Petrusel ¹

- ¹ Department of Business, Babeş-Bolyai University Cluj-Napoca, Horea Street, No. 7, 400000 Cluj-Napoca, Romania; cristian.chifu@ubbcluj.ro (C.C.); gabi.petrusel@ubbcluj.ro (G.P.)
² Division of Applied Mathematics, Thu Dau Mot University, Thu Dau Mot City 75000, Vietnam
³ Department of Mathematics, Çankaya University, Etimesgut 06790, Ankara, Turkey
⁴ Department of Medical Research, China Medical University, Taichung 40402, Taiwan
* Correspondence: erdalkarapinar@tdmu.edu.vn or erdalkarapinar@yahoo.com

Abstract: The purpose of this paper is to present some fixed point results for Frum-Ketkov type operators in complete b -metric spaces.

Keywords: b -metric space; Frum-Ketkov operators; φ -contractive mappings; weakly Picard operators



Citation: Chifu, C.; Karapinar, E.; Petrusel, G. Fixed Point Results for Frum-Ketkov Type Contractions in b -Metric Spaces. *Axioms* **2021**, *10*, 231. <https://doi.org/10.3390/axioms10030231>

Academic Editors: Hsien-Chung Wu and Chris Goodrich

Received: 9 June 2021

Accepted: 15 September 2021

Published: 18 September 2021

Publisher's Note: MDPI stays neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.



Copyright: © 2021 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).

1. Introduction and Preliminaries

In [1], Frum-Ketkov obtained a fixed point theorem, which was later generalized by Nussbaum [2] and Buley [3]. Later, Park and Kim [4] obtained other forms of the Frum-Ketkov theorem. Recently, Petrusel, Rus and Serban [5] gave sufficient conditions ensuring that a Frum-Ketkov operator is a weakly Picard operator and studied also some generalized Frum-Ketkov operators, see also [6].

The purpose of this paper is to obtain similar results for generalized Frum-Ketkov operators in the context of b -metric spaces.

We start by recalling the definition of Frum-Ketkov operators and some notions given in [5].

Let (M, d) be a metric space. We denote by $P(M)$ the family of all nonempty subsets of M , by $P_{cl}(M)$ the family of all nonempty closed subsets of M and by $P_{cp}(M)$ the family of all nonempty compact subsets of M .

The ω -limit set of $x \in M$ under the self-mapping f is defined as

$$\omega_f(x) = \bigcap_{n=0}^{+\infty} \overline{\{f^k(x) : k \geq n\}},$$

where f^k is the iterate of order k of f .

Remark 1. Ref. [5] $\omega_f(x) = \{x^* \in M : \text{there exists } n_k \text{ such that } f^{n_k}(x) \rightarrow x^*\}$.

Definition 1. Ref. [5] Let (M, d) be a metric space. A self-mapping $f : M \rightarrow M$ is called:

1. l -contraction if $l \in (0, 1)$ and $d(f(x), f(y)) \leq ld(x, y)$, for every $x, y \in M$;
2. Contractive if $d(f(x), f(y)) < d(x, y)$, for every $x, y \in M$ with $x \neq y$;
3. Nonexpansive if $d(f(x), f(y)) \leq d(x, y)$, for every $x, y \in M$;
4. Quasinonexpansive if $F_f \neq \emptyset$ and, if $x^* \in F_f$ then $d(f(x), x^*) \leq d(x, x^*)$, for every $x \in M$, where F_f is the set of fixed point of the mapping f ;
5. Asymptotical regular in a point $x \in M$, if $d(f^n(x), f^{n+1}(x)) \rightarrow 0$, as $n \rightarrow +\infty$.

Definition 2. Ref. [7] Let $X \in P_{cl}(M)$ and $f : X \rightarrow X$. f is called weakly Picard operator (WPO) if the sequence of successive approximation $\{f^k(x)\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges for all $x \in X$ and its limit

(which in general depends on x) is a fixed point of f . If f is a WPO with a unique fixed point, then f is called Picard operator (PO).

Definition 3. Ref. [5] Let (M, d) be a metric space, $X \in P_{cl}(M)$ and $K \in P_{cp}(M)$. A continuous operator $f : X \rightarrow X$ is said to be a Frum-Ketkov (l, K) -operator if $l \in (0, 1)$ and

$$d(f(x), K) \leq ld(x, K), \text{ for every } x \in X,$$

where

$$d(x, K) = \inf\{d(x, z) : z \in K\}.$$

In what follows, we recollect the definition of b -metric that was considered by several authors, including Bakhtin [8] and Czerwik [9].

Definition 4. Let M be a nonempty set and let $s \geq 1$ be a given real number. A functional $d : M \times M \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$ is said to be a b -metric with constant s , if

1. d is symmetric, that is, $d(x, y) = d(y, x)$ for all x, y ,
2. d is self-distance, that is, $d(x, y) = 0$ if and only if $x = y$,
3. d provides s -weighted triangle inequality, that is

$$d(x, z) \leq s[d(x, y) + d(y, z)], \text{ for all } x, y, z \in M.$$

In this case the triple (M, d, s) is called a b -metric space with constant $s \geq 1$.

It is evident that the notions of b -metric and standard metric coincide in case of $s = 1$. For more details on b -metric spaces see, e.g., [10–12] and corresponding references therein.

Example 1. Let $M = [0, +\infty)$ and $d : M \times M \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$ such that $d(x, y) = |x - y|^p, p > 1$. It's easy to see that d is a b -metric with $s = 2^{p-1}$, but is not a metric.

Definition 5. A mapping $\varphi : [0, +\infty) \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$ is called a comparison function if it is increasing and $\varphi^n(t) \rightarrow 0$, as $n \rightarrow +\infty$, for any $t \in [0, +\infty)$.

Lemma 1. Ref. [11] If $\varphi : [0, +\infty) \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$ is a comparison function, then:

1. Each iterate φ^k of $\varphi, k \geq 1$, is also a comparison function;
2. φ is continuous at 0;
3. $\varphi(t) < t$, for any $t > 0$.

Definition 6. A function $\varphi : [0, +\infty) \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$ is said to be a c -comparison function if

1. φ is increasing;
2. There exists $k_0 \in \mathbb{N}, a \in (0, 1)$ and a convergent series of nonnegative terms $\sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} v_k$ such that $\varphi^{k+1}(t) \leq a\varphi^k(t) + v_k$, for $k \geq k_0$ and any $t \in [0, +\infty)$.

In order to give some fixed point results to the class of b -metric spaces, the notion of c -comparison function was extended to b -comparison function by V. Berinde [12].

Definition 7. Ref. [12] Let $s \geq 1$ be a real number. A mapping $\varphi : [0, +\infty) \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$ is called a b -comparison function if the following conditions are fulfilled

1. φ is monotone increasing;
2. There exist $k_0 \in \mathbb{N}, a \in (0, 1)$ and a convergent series of nonnegative terms $\sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} v_k$ such that $s^{k+1}\varphi^{k+1}(t) \leq as^k\varphi^k(t) + v_k$, for $k \geq k_0$ and any $t \in [0, +\infty)$.

The following lemma is very important in the proof of our results.

Lemma 2. Ref. [12] If $\varphi : [0, +\infty) \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$ is a b -comparison function, then we have the following conclusions:

1. The series $\sum_{k=0}^{+\infty} s^k \varphi^k(t)$ converges for any $t \in [0, +\infty)$;
2. The function $S_b : [0, +\infty) \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$ defined by $S_b(t) = \sum_{k=0}^{+\infty} s^k \varphi^k(t)$, $t \in [0, +\infty)$, is increasing and continuous at 0.

Remark 2. Due to the Lemma 1.2, any b -comparison function is a comparison function.

2. Frum-Ketkov Operators in b -Metric Spaces

Definition 8. Let (M, d) be a b -metric space with constant $s \geq 1$, $X \in P_{cl}(M)$ and $K \in P_{cp}(M)$. A continuous function $f : X \rightarrow X$ is said to be a Frum-Ketkov (φ, K) -operator if there exists $\varphi : [0, +\infty) \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$ a b -comparison function such that

$$d(f(x), K) \leq \varphi(d(x, K)), \text{ for every } x \in X.$$

Example 2. Let $M = [0, +\infty)$, $d : M \times M \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$, $d(x, y) = (x - y)^2$, $s = 2$. From Example 1.1. we have that (M, d) is a b -metric space. Let $X = [0, 1]$, $K = \{0\}$, $f : X \rightarrow X$, $f(x) = \frac{x}{x+2}$, $\varphi : [0, +\infty) \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$, $\varphi(t) = \frac{t}{t+4}$. f is Frum-Ketkov operator.

Theorem 1. Let (M, d) be a b -metric space with constant $s \geq 1$, $X \in P_{cl}(M)$, $K \in P_{cp}(M)$ and $f : X \rightarrow X$ a Frum-Ketkov (φ, K) -operator. Then the following conclusion hold:

- (i) $\omega_f(x) \neq \emptyset$ and $\omega_f(x) \subset X \cap K$, for every $x \in X$;
- (ii) $F_f \subset X \cap K$;
- (iii) $f(X \cap K) \subset X \cap K$;
- (iv) If f is asymptotically regular, then $\omega_f(x) \subset F_f$, for every $x \in X$. If, in addition, f is quasinonexpansive, then f is WPO.

Proof. (i) Let $x \in X$ arbitrary. Because $K \in P_{cp}(M)$, there exists (y_n) such that $d(f(x), K) = d(f(x), y_n)$

$$\begin{aligned} d(f(x), y_n) &\leq \varphi(d(x, y_n)) \\ d(f^2(x), y_n) &\leq \varphi(d(f(x), y_n)) \leq \varphi^2(d(x, y_n)) \end{aligned}$$

Inductively, we obtain

$$d(f^n(x), y_n) \leq \varphi^n(d(x, y_n)) \rightarrow 0, \text{ as } n \rightarrow +\infty.$$

Hence, $d(f^n(x), y_n) \rightarrow 0$, as $n \rightarrow +\infty$.

As $K \in P_{cp}(M)$, there exists a subsequence (y_{n_k}) of (y_n) , such that $y_{n_k} \rightarrow y^*(x) \in K$, $n_k \rightarrow +\infty$.

Since $d(f^n(x), y_n) \rightarrow 0$, then $d(f^{n_k}(x), y^*(x)) \rightarrow 0$ and hence $f^{n_k}(x) \rightarrow y^*(x)$, $n_k \rightarrow +\infty$, and thus $y^*(x) \in \omega_f(x)$.

In this way $\omega_f(x) \neq \emptyset$ and $\omega_f(x) \subset X \cap K$, for every $x \in X$.

(ii) Let $x \in F_f$. Suppose $d(x, K) \neq 0$.

$$d(x, K) = d(f(x), K) \leq \varphi(d(x, K)) < d(x, K),$$

which is a contradiction.

Hence, $d(x, K) = 0$ which implies $x \in K$ and thus $F_f \subset X \cap K$.

(iii) Let $x \in X \cap K$

$$d(f(x), K) \leq \varphi(d(x, K)) = \varphi(0) = 0.$$

Hence, $f(x) \in K$.

(iv) From (i) we have that $\omega_f(x) \neq \emptyset$, for every $x \in X$. Let $x^*(x) \in \omega_f(x)$. There exists n_k such that $f^{n_k}(x) \rightarrow x^*(x)$ as $n_k \rightarrow +\infty$.

$$\begin{aligned} d(x^*, f(x^*)) &\leq sd(x^*, f^{n_k}(x^*)) + sd(f^{n_k}(x^*), f(x^*)) \\ &\leq sd(x^*, f^{n_k}(x^*)) + s^2 d(f^{n_k}(x^*), f^{n_k+1}(x^*)) + s^2 d(f^{n_k+1}(x^*), f(x^*)) \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

From (i) and (iii) since $x^*(x) \in \omega_f(x)$ we have that

$$d(f^2(x^*), f(x^*)) \leq \varphi(d(x^*, f(x^*))).$$

Inductively, we obtain

$$d(f^{n_k}(x^*), f^{n_k+1}(x^*)) \leq \varphi^{n_k}(d(x^*, f(x^*))).$$

Now, if in (1) we consider $n_k \rightarrow +\infty$, then we obtain $d(x^*, f(x^*))$, which implies that $x^* \in F_f$ and thus $\omega_f(x) \subset F_f$.

Consider now that, in addition, f is quasicontractive and let $x \in X$ and $f^{n_k}(x) \rightarrow y^*(x)$, $n_k \rightarrow +\infty$ (see (i)). Because f is asymptotically regular, $y^*(x) \in F_f$.

$$\begin{aligned} d(f(x), y^*) &\leq \varphi(d(x, y^*)) \\ d(f^2(x), y^*) &\leq \varphi(d(f(x), y^*)) < d(f(x), y^*). \end{aligned}$$

Hence the sequence $(d(f^n(x), y^*))$ is decreasing and since $(d(f^{n_k}(x), y^*)) \rightarrow 0$ as $n_k \rightarrow +\infty$, we obtain $d(f^n(x), y^*) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow +\infty$ and thus f is WPO. \square

3. Conclusions

Frum-Ketkov type contractions are an interesting topic that has been overlooked and has not attracted anyone's attention for many years. The very attractive recent publication of Petrusel–Rus–Serban [5] is the one that brought this shadowy concept to light. In this paper, we consider the Frum-Ketkov type contractions in the framework of b-metric space. For this reason, this paper should be considered as an initial paper that opens a new trend in metric fixed point theory.

Author Contributions: Writing—original draft, C.C.; Writing—review and editing, E.K. and G.P. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This research received no external funding.

Institutional Review Board Statement: Not applicable.

Informed Consent Statement: Not applicable.

Data Availability Statement: Not applicable.

Acknowledgments: Authors are thankful to the reviewers for their suggestions to improve the presentation of this paper.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

1. Frum-Ketkov, R.L. Mapping into a sphere of a Banach space (Russian). *Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR* **1967**, *175*, 1229–1231.
2. Nussbaum, R.D. Some asymptotic fixed point theorems. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **1972**, *171*, 349–375. [[CrossRef](#)]
3. Buley, H. Fixed point theorems of Rothe-type for Frum-Ketkov and 1-set-contractions. *Comment. Math. Univ. Carol.* **1978**, *19*, 213–225.
4. Park, S.; Kim, W.K. On the Frum-Ketkov type fixed point theorems. *Bull. Korean Math. Soc.* **1983**, *20*, 5–8.
5. Petrusel, A.; Rus, I.A.; Serban, M.A. Frum-Ketkov operators which are weakly Picard. *Carpathian J. Math.* **2020**, *36*, 295–302. [[CrossRef](#)]
6. Karapinar, E.; Petrusel, A.; Petrusel, G. Frum-Ketkov type multivalued operators. *Carpathian J. Math.* **2021**, *37*, 203–210. [[CrossRef](#)]

7. Rus, I.A. Relevant classes of weakly Picard operators. *Ann. West Univ. Timis. Mat.-Inf.* **2016**, *54*, 3–19. [[CrossRef](#)]
8. Bakhtin, I.A. The contraction mapping principle in quasimetric spaces. *Funct. Anal.* **1989**, *30*, 26–37.
9. Czerwik, S. Nonlinear set-valued contraction mappings in b -metric spaces. *Atti Sem. Mat. Univ. Modena* **1998**, *46*, 263–276.
10. Berinde, V. Generalized contractions in quasimetric spaces. *Semin. Fixed Point Theory* **1993**, *3*, 3–9.
11. Berinde, V. *Contractiții Generalizate și Aplicații*; Editura Club Press 22: Baia Mare, Romania, 1997.
12. Berinde, V. Sequences of operators and fixed points in quasimetric spaces. *Stud. Univ. Babeș-Bolyai Math.* **1996**, *16*, 23–27.