



Article Forward Order Law for the Reflexive Inner Inverse of Multiple Matrix Products[†]

Wanna Zhou, Zhiping Xiong * and Yingying Qin

School of Mathematics and Computational Science, Wuyi University, Jiangmen 529020, China; wannzhou@163.com (W.Z.); qyy04@163.com (Y.Q.)

* Correspondence: xzpwhere@163.com

This work was supported by the project for characteristic innovation of 2018 Guangdong University (No: 2018KTSCX234) and and the Natural Science Foundation of GuangDong (No: 2014A030313625) and the basic Theory and Scientific Research of Science and Technology Project of Jiangmen City, China (No: 2020JC01010, 2021030102610005049) and the joint research and development fund of Wuyi University, Hong Kong and Macao (No: 2019WGALH20).

Abstract: The generalized inverse has numerous important applications in aspects of the theoretic research of matrices and statistics. One of the core problems of generalized inverse is finding the necessary and sufficient conditions for the reverse (or the forward) order laws for the generalized inverse of matrix products. In this paper, by using the extremal ranks of the generalized Schur complement, some necessary and sufficient conditions are given for the forward order law for $A_1\{1,2\}A_2\{1,2\}\dots A_n\{1,2\} \subseteq (A_1A_2\dots A_n)\{1,2\}$.

Keywords: generalized inverse; reflexive inner inverse; forward order law; maximal and minimal ranks; generalized schur complement

MSC: 15A09; 15A24; 47A05

1. Introduction

Throughout this paper, all matrices will be over the complex number field *C*. $C^{m \times n}$ and C^m denote the set of $m \times n$ complex matrices and *m*-dimensional complex vectors, respectively. For a matrix *A* in the set $C^{m \times n}$ of all $m \times n$ matrices over *C*, the symbols r(A) and A^* denote the rank and the conjugate transpose of the matrix *A*, respectively. As usual, the identity matrix of order *k* is denoted by I_k , and the $m \times n$ matrix of all zero entries is denoted by $O_{m \times n}$ (if no confusion occurs, we will drop the subscript).

For various applications, we will introduce some generalized inverses of matrices. Let $A \in C^{m \times n}$ and $\eta \subset \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$ be nonempty sets. If $X \in C^{n \times m}$ satisfies the following equations (*i*) for all $i \in \eta$:

(1)
$$AXA = A$$
; (2) $XAX = X$; (3) $(AX)^* = AX$; (4) $(XA)^* = XA$,

then *X* is said to be an η -inverse of *A*, which is denoted by $X = A^{\eta}$. The set of all η -inverses of *A* is denoted by $A\{\eta\}$. For example, *X* is called a $\{1\}$ -inverse or an inner inverse of *A* if it satisfies Equation (1), which is always denoted by $X = A^{(1)} \in A\{1\}$. An $n \times m$ matrix *X* of the set $A\{1,2\}$ is called a $\{1,2\}$ -inverse or a reflexive inner inverse of *A* and is denoted by $X = A^{(1,2)} \in A\{1,2\}$. The unique $\{1,2,3,4\}$ -inverse of *A* is denoted by $X = A^{(1,2,3,4)} = A^{\dagger}$, which is also called the Moore Penrose inverse of *A*. As is well-known, each kind of η -inverse has its own properties and functions; see [1–4].

Theories and computations of the reverse (or the forward) order laws for generalized inverse are important in many branches of applied sciences, such as in non-linear control



Citation: Zhou, W.; Xiong, Z.; Qin, Y. Forward Order Law for the Reflexive Inner Inverse of Multiple Matrix Products. *Axioms* **2022**, *11*, 123. https://doi.org/10.3390/ axioms11030123

Academic Editor: Sergey V. Ludkovsky

Received: 10 December 2021 Accepted: 4 March 2022 Published: 10 March 2022

Publisher's Note: MDPI stays neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.



Copyright: © 2022 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by/ 4.0/). theory [2], matrix analysis [1,4], statistics [5,6], and numerical linear algebra [1,5,7]. Suppose that $A_i \in C^{m \times m}$, i = 1, 2, ..., n, and $b \in C^m$, the least squares problems (LS):

$$\min_{x\in C^m}\|(A_1A_2\ldots A_n)x-b\|_2$$

is used in many practical scientific problems, see [4–9]. If the above LS is consistent, then any solution *x* for the above LS can be expressed as $x = (A_1A_2...A_n)^{(1,j,k)}b$, where $\{j,k\} \subseteq \{2,3,4\}$. For example, the minimum norm solution *x* has the form $x = (A_1A_2...A_n)^{(1,4)}b$. The unique minimal norm least square solution *x* of the LS above is $x = (A_1A_2...A_n)^{+}b$.

One of the core problems with the LS above is identifying the conditions under which the following reverse order laws hold:

$$A_{n}^{(1,j,\dots,k)}A_{n-1}^{(1,j,\dots,k)}\dots A_{1}^{(1,j,\dots,k)} \subseteq (A_{1}A_{2}\dots A_{n})^{(1,j,\dots,k)}$$
(1)

Another core problem with the LS above is identifying the conditions under which the following forward order laws hold:

$$A_{1}^{(1,j,\dots,k)}A_{2}^{(1,j,\dots,k)}\dots A_{n}^{(1,j,\dots,k)} \subseteq (A_{1}A_{2}\dots A_{n})^{(1,j,\dots,k)}$$
(2)

The reverse order laws for the generalized inverse of multiple matrix products (1) yield a class of interesting problems that are fundamental in the theory of the generalized inverse of matrices; see [1,4–6]. As a hot topic in current matrix research, the necessary and sufficient conditions for the reverse order laws for the generalized inverse of matrix products are useful in both theoretical study and practical scientific computing; hence, this has attracted considerable attention and several interesting results have been obtained; see [10–23].

The forward order law for the generalized inverse of multiple matrix products (2) originally arose in the study of the inverse of multiple matrix Kronecker products; see [1,4]. Recently, Xiong et al. studied the forward order laws for some generalized inverses of multiple matrix products by using the maximal and minimal ranks of the generalized Schur complement; see [24–27]. To our knowledge, the forward order law for the reflexive inner inverse of multiple matrix products has not yet been studied in the literature. In this paper, by using the extremal ranks of the generalized Schur complement, we will provide some necessary and sufficient conditions for the forward order law:

$$A_1\{1,2\}A_2\{1,2\}\dots A_n\{1,2\} \subseteq (A_1A_2\dots A_n)\{1,2\}.$$
(3)

As we all know, the most widely used generalized inverses of matrices, such as M-P inverses, Drazin inverses, group inverses, etc., are some special $\{1,2\}$ -inverses. Therefore, the forward order law for the $\{1,2\}$ -inverse of a multiple matrix product studied in this paper is broad and general and contains the forward order laws for the above-mentioned generalized inverses.

The main tools of the later discussion are the following lemmas.

Lemma 1 ([1]). Let $A \in C^{m \times n}$ and $X \in C^{n \times m}$. Then,

$$X \in A\{1,2\} \Leftrightarrow AXA = A \text{ and } r(X) \leq r(A).$$

Lemma 2 ([28]). Let $A \in C^{m \times n}$, $B \in C^{m \times k}$, $C \in C^{l \times n}$, and $D \in C^{l \times k}$. Then,

$$\max_{A^{(1,2)}} r(D - CA^{(1,2)}B) = \min\{r(A) + r(D), r(C, D), r\binom{B}{D}, r\binom{A - B}{C - D} - r(A)\},\$$

where $A^{(1,2)} \in A\{1,2\}$.

Lemma 3 ([27]). Let $A_i \in C^{m \times m}$, i = 1, 2, ..., n. Then,

$$(n-1)m + r(A_1A_2...A_n) \ge r(A_1) + r(A_2) + ... + r(A_n).$$

Lemma 4 ([29]). Let A, B have suitable sizes. Then,

$$r(A, B) \le r(A) + r(B)$$
 and $r(A, B) \ge max\{r(A), r(B)\}$.

2. Main Results

In this section, by using the extremal ranks of the generalized Schur complement, we will give some necessary and sufficient conditions for the forward order law for the reflexive inner inverse of multiple matrix products (3).

Let

$$S_{A_1A_2...A_n} = A_1A_2...A_n - A_1A_2...A_nX_1X_2...X_nA_1A_2...A_n,$$
(4)

where $A_i \in C^{m \times m}$, $X_i \in A_i \{1, 2\}$, i = 1, 2, ..., n. From Lemma 1, we know that (3) holds if and only if:

$$S_{A_1A_2...A_n} = 0 \text{ and } r(X_1X_2...X_n) \le r(A_1A_2...A_n),$$
 (5)

hold for any $X_i \in A_i\{1,2\}$, i = 1, 2, ..., n, which are respectively equivalent to the following two identities:

$$\max_{X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n} r(S_{A_1 A_2 \dots A_n}) = 0$$
(6)

and

$$\max_{X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n} r(X_1 X_2 \dots X_n) \le r(A_1 A_2 \dots A_n).$$
(7)

Hence, we can present the equivalent conditions for the forward order law (3) if the concrete expression of the maximal ranks involved in the identities in (6) and (7) are derived. The relative results are included in the following three theorems.

Theorem 1. Let $A_i \in C^{m \times m}$, $X_i \in A_i\{1,2\}$, i = 1, 2, ..., n and $S_{A_1A_2...A_n}$ be as in (4). Then,

$$= \max_{\substack{X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n \\ \min\{r(A_1 A_2 \dots A_n), \\ r(A_n A_{n-1} \dots A_1 - A_1 A_2 \dots A_n) + r(A_1 A_2 \dots A_n) + (n-1)m - \sum_{l=1}^n r(A_l)\}.$$
(8)

Proof. Suppose that $X_0 = I_m$. For $1 \le i \le n - 1$, we first prove the following:

$$\max_{\substack{X_{n-i}\\1\leq i\leq n-1}} r(A_n A_{n-1} \dots A_{n-i+1} - A_1 A_2 \dots A_n X_1 X_2 \dots X_{n-i}) \\= \min\{r(A_1 A_2 \dots A_n X_1 X_2 \dots X_{n-i-1}, A_n A_{n-1} \dots A_{n-i+1}), \\r(A_n A_{n-1} \dots A_{n-i} - A_1 A_2 \dots A_n X_1 X_2 \dots X_{n-i-1}) + m - r(A_{n-i})\}.$$
(9)

In fact, by Lemma 2, we have the equations below:

$$= \max_{X_{n-i}} r(A_n A_{n-1} \dots A_{n-i+1} - A_1 A_2 \dots A_n X_1 X_2 \dots X_{n-i})$$

=
$$\min\{r(A_{n-i}) + r(A_n A_{n-1} \dots A_{n-i+1}), r(A_1 A_2 \dots A_n X_1 X_2 \dots X_{n-i-1}, A_n A_{n-1} \dots A_{n-i+1}), r(A_1 A_2 \dots A_n X_1 X_2 \dots X_{n-i-1}, A_n A_{n-1} \dots A_{n-i+1}), r(A_1 A_2 \dots A_n X_1 X_2 \dots X_{n-i-1}, A_n A_{n-1} \dots A_{n-i+1}), r(A_1 A_2 \dots A_n X_1 X_2 \dots X_{n-i-1}, A_n A_{n-1} \dots A_{n-i+1}), r(A_1 A_2 \dots A_n X_1 X_2 \dots X_{n-i-1}, A_n A_{n-1} \dots A_{n-i+1}), r(A_1 A_2 \dots A_n X_1 X_2 \dots X_{n-i+1}), r(A_1 A_2 \dots A_n X_1 X_2 \dots X_{n-i+1}))$$

$$r\begin{pmatrix} I_{m} \\ A_{n}A_{n-1}\dots A_{n-i+1} \end{pmatrix}, r\begin{pmatrix} A_{n-i} & I_{m} \\ A_{1}A_{2}\dots A_{n}X_{1}X_{2}\dots X_{n-i-1} & A_{n}A_{n-1}\dots A_{n-i+1} \end{pmatrix} - r(A_{n-i}) \} = \min\{r(A_{1}A_{2}\dots A_{n}X_{1}X_{2}\dots X_{n-i-1}, A_{n}A_{n-1}\dots A_{n-i+1}), r(A_{n}A_{n-1}\dots A_{n-i} - A_{1}A_{2}\dots A_{n}X_{1}X_{2}\dots X_{n-i-1}) + m - r(A_{n-i}) \},$$

where the second equality holds, since by Lemma 4, we have:

 $r(A_{1}A_{2}...A_{n}X_{1}X_{2}...X_{n-i-1}, A_{n}A_{n-1}...A_{n-i+1})$ $\leq r(A_{1}A_{2}...A_{n}X_{1}X_{2}...X_{n-i-1}) + r(A_{n}A_{n-1}...A_{n-i+1})$ $\leq r(A_{n-i}) + r(A_{n}A_{n-1}...A_{n-i+1})$

and

$$r(A_1A_2...A_nX_1X_2...X_{n-i-1}, A_nA_{n-1}...A_{n-i+1}) \le m = r\binom{I_m}{A_nA_{n-1}...A_{n-i+1}}.$$

More specifically, when i = n - 1, we have the following:

$$\max_{X_1} r(A_n A_{n-1} \dots A_2 - A_1 A_2 \dots A_n X_1) \\ = \min\{r(A_1 A_2 \dots A_n, A_n A_{n-1} \dots A_2), \\ r(A_n A_{n-1} \dots A_1 - A_1 A_2 \dots A_n) + m - r(A_1)\}.$$
(10)

We now prove (8). Again, by Lemma 2, we have the following equations:

$$\max_{X_{n}} r(S_{A_{1}A_{2}...A_{n}}) = \max_{X_{n}} r(A_{1}A_{2}...A_{n} - A_{1}A_{2}...A_{n}X_{1}X_{2}...X_{n}A_{1}A_{2}...A_{n}) = \min\{r(A_{n}) + r(A_{1}A_{2}...A_{n}), r(A_{1}A_{2}...A_{n}X_{1}X_{2}...X_{n-1}, A_{1}A_{2}...A_{n}), r(A_{1}A_{2}...A_{n}), r(A_{n} - A_{1}A_{2}...A_{n}), r(A_{n} - A_{1}A_{2}...A_{n}) + r(A_{1}A_{2}...A_{n}) - r(A_{n})\}, (11)$$

where the third equality holds, since by Lemma 4, we have:

$$r\begin{pmatrix}A_1A_2\dots A_n\\A_1A_2\dots A_n\end{pmatrix} = r(A_1A_2\dots A_n) \le r(A_1A_2\dots A_nX_1X_2\dots X_{n-1}, A_1A_2\dots A_n)$$

and

$$r\binom{A_1A_2\ldots A_n}{A_1A_2\ldots A_n} = r(A_1A_2\ldots A_n) \le r(A_n) + r(A_1A_2\ldots A_n)$$

Combining (9) with (11), we obtain the following equations:

$$\max_{X_{n-1},X_n} r(S_{A_1A_2...A_n})$$

$$= \min\{r(A_1A_2...A_n), \\ \max_{X_{n-1}} r(A_n - A_1A_2...A_nX_1X_2...X_{n-1}) + r(A_1A_2...A_n) - r(A_n)\}$$

$$= \min\{r(A_1A_2...A_n), \\ r(A_1A_2...A_nX_1X_2...X_{n-2}, A_n) + r(A_1A_2...A_n) - r(A_n), \\ r(A_nA_{n-1} - A_1A_2...A_nX_1X_2...X_{n-2}) + r(A_1A_2...A_n) + m - r(A_{n-1}) - r(A_n)\}$$

$$= \min\{r(A_1A_2...A_n), r(A_nA_{n-1} - A_1A_2...A_nX_1X_2...X_{n-2}) + r(A_1A_2...A_n) + m - r(A_{n-1}) - r(A_n)\},\$$

where the third equality holds, since by Lemma 4, we have:

$$r(A_1A_2\ldots A_nX_1X_2\ldots X_{n-2}, A_n) \ge r(A_n).$$

In general, for $1 \le i \le n - 2$, we have the equations below:

$$= \min_{\substack{X_{n-i}, X_{n-i+1}, \dots, X_n \\ 1 \le i \le n-2}} r(S_{A_1 A_2 \dots A_n})$$

=
$$\min\{r(A_1 A_2 \dots A_n), r(A_n A_{n-1} \dots A_{n-i} - A_1 A_2 \dots A_n X_1 X_2 \dots X_{n-i-1}) + r(A_1 A_2 \dots A_n) + im - \sum_{l=n-i}^n r(A_l)\}.$$
 (12)

Equation (12) can be proved by using induction on *i*. In fact, for i = 1, the statement in (12) is proved. Assuming the statement (12) is true for i - 1, that is:

$$= \max_{\substack{X_{n-i+1}, X_{n-i+2}, \dots, X_n \\ min\{r(A_1A_2...A_n), \\ r(A_nA_{n-1}...A_{n-i+1} - A_1...A_nX_1...X_{n-i}) + r(A_1...A_n) + (i-1)m - \sum_{l=n-i+1}^n r(A_l)\}.$$
(13)

We now prove that (12) is also true for *i*. By (9) and (13), we have the equations below:

$$\max_{X_{n-i},X_{n-i+1},...,X_{n}} r(S_{A_{1}A_{2}...A_{n}})$$

$$= \min\{r(A_{1}A_{2}...A_{n}),$$

$$\max_{X_{n-i}} r(A_{n}...A_{n-i+1} - A_{1}...A_{n}X_{1}...X_{n-i}) + r(A_{1}...A_{n}) + (i-1)m - \sum_{l=n-i+1}^{n} r(A_{l})\}$$

$$= \min\{r(A_{1}A_{2}...A_{n}),$$

$$r(A_{1}...A_{n}X_{1}...X_{n-i-1}, A_{n}...A_{n-i+1}) + r(A_{1}...A_{n}) + (i-1)m - \sum_{l=n-i+1}^{n} r(A_{l}),$$

$$r(A_{n}...A_{n-i} - A_{1}...A_{n}X_{1}...X_{n-i-1}) + m + r(A_{1}...A_{n}) - r(A_{n-i}) + (i-1)m - \sum_{l=n-i+1}^{n} r(A_{l}),$$

$$- \sum_{l=n-i+1}^{n} r(A_{l})\}.$$

From Lemma 4, we have the following:

$$r(A_1A_2...A_nX_1X_2...X_{n-i-1}, A_nA_{n-1}...A_{n-i+1}) \ge r(A_nA_{n-1}...A_{n-i+1})$$

and from Lemma 3, we have:

$$r(A_n A_{n-1} \dots A_{n-i+1}) + (i-1)m \ge r(A_{n-i+1}) + r(A_{n-i+2}) + \dots + r(A_n).$$

Then, we recognize that (12) holds, that is, for $1 \le i \le n - 2$:

$$= \max_{\substack{X_{n-i}, X_{n-i+1}, \dots, X_n \\ min\{r(A_1A_2...A_n), \\ r(A_n...A_{n-i} - A_1...A_nX_1...X_{n-i-1}) + r(A_1A_2...A_n) + im - \sum_{l=n-i}^n r(A_l)\}}$$

When i = n - 2, we get the following from (12):

$$= \max_{\substack{X_2, X_3, \dots, X_n \\ min\{r(A_1A_2 \dots A_n), \\ r(A_nA_{n-1} \dots A_2 - A_1 \dots A_nX_1) + r(A_1 \dots A_n) + (n-2)m - \sum_{l=2}^n r(A_l)\}.}$$
(14)

Hence, by (10) and (14), we have:

$$\min_{X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n} r(S_{A_1 A_2 \dots A_n})$$

$$= \min\{r(A_1 A_2 \dots A_n),$$

$$\max_{X_1} r(A_n \dots A_2 - A_1 \dots A_n X_1) + r(A_1 \dots A_n) + (n-2)m - \sum_{l=2}^n r(A_l)\}$$

$$= \min\{r(A_1 A_2 \dots A_n),$$

$$r(A_1 A_2 \dots A_n, A_n A_{n-1} \dots A_2) + r(A_1 \dots A_n) + (n-2)m - \sum_{l=2}^n r(A_l),$$

$$r(A_n \dots A_1 - A_1 \dots A_n) - r(A_1) + m + r(A_1 \dots A_n) + (n-2)m - \sum_{l=2}^n r(A_l)\}$$

$$= \min\{r(A_1 A_2 \dots A_n),$$

$$r(A_n A_{n-1} \dots A_1 - A_1 A_2 \dots A_n) + r(A_1 A_2 \dots A_n) + (n-1)m - \sum_{l=1}^n r(A_l)\},$$

where the third equality holds, since by Lemma 4, we have:

$$r(A_1A_2\ldots A_n, A_nA_{n-1}\ldots A_2) \ge r(A_nA_{n-1}\ldots A_2)$$

and

$$r(A_n A_{n-1} \dots A_2) + (n-2)m \ge \sum_{l=2}^n r(A_l).$$

The next theorem gives the expression in the ranks of the known matrices for:

$$\max_{X_n,X_{n-1},\ldots,X_1}r(X_1X_2\ldots X_n),$$

where X_i varies over A_i {1, 2} for i = 1, 2, ..., n.

Theorem 2. Let $A_i \in C^{m \times m}$, $X_i \in A_i\{1,2\}$, i = 1, 2, ..., n. Then,

$$\max_{X_n, X_{n-1}, \dots, X_1} r(X_1 X_2 \dots X_n) = \min\{r(A_1), r(A_2), \dots, r(A_n)\}.$$
(15)

Proof. We will divide the proof of Theorem 2 into two parts: first, n = 2; second, $n \ge 3$. When n = 2, according to Lemma 2, with $A = A_1$, $B = X_2$, $C = I_m$, and D = O, we have the following equations:

$$\max_{X_1} r(X_1 X_2)$$

$$= \min\{r(A_1), r(I_m, O), r\binom{X_2}{O}, r\binom{A_1 \quad X_2}{I_m \quad O} - r(A_1)\}$$

$$= \min\{r(A_1), m, r(X_2), r(X_2) + m - r(A_1)\}$$

$$= \min\{r(A_1), r(X_2)\}.$$
(16)

Since $X_2 \in A_2\{1,2\}$, then $r(X_2) = r(A_2)$. Thus, by (16), we have the equation below:

$$\max_{X_2, X_1} r(X_1 X_2) = \min\{r(A_1), r(A_2)\},\tag{17}$$

i.e., Theorem 2 is true when n = 2.

When $n \ge 3$, by Lemma 2, with $A = A_1$, $B = X_2 X_3 \dots X_n$, $C = I_m$, and D = O, we have:

$$\max_{X_1} r(X_1 X_2 \dots X_n)$$

$$= \min\{r(A_1), r(I_m, O), r\begin{pmatrix} X_2 X_3 \dots X_n \\ O \end{pmatrix}, r\begin{pmatrix} A_1 & X_2 X_3 \dots X_n \\ I_m & O \end{pmatrix} - r(A_1)\}$$

$$= \min\{r(A_1), m, r(X_2 X_3 \dots X_n), r(X_2 X_3 \dots X_n) + m - r(A_1)\}$$

$$= \min\{r(A_1), r(X_2 X_3 \dots X_n)\}.$$
(18)

Again, by Lemma 2, with $A = A_2$, $B = X_3 X_4 \dots X_n$, $C = I_m$, and D = O, we have:

$$\max_{X_{2},X_{1}} r(X_{1}X_{2}...X_{n}) = \min\{r(A_{1}), \max_{X_{2}} r(X_{2}X_{3}...X_{n})\} = \min\{r(A_{1}), \min\{r(A_{2}), r(I_{m}, O), r\begin{pmatrix} X_{3}X_{4}...X_{n} \\ O \end{pmatrix}, r\begin{pmatrix} A_{2} & X_{3}X_{4}...X_{n} \\ I_{m} & O \end{pmatrix} - r(A_{2})\}\} = \min\{r(A_{1}), \min\{r(A_{2}), m, r(X_{3}X_{4}...X_{n}), r(X_{3}X_{4}...X_{n}) + m - r(A_{2})\}\} = \min\{r(A_{1}), r(A_{2}), r(X_{3}X_{4}...X_{n})\}.$$
(19)

We claim that for $2 \le i \le n - 1$:

$$\max_{X_i, X_{i-1}, \dots, X_1} r(X_1 X_2 \dots X_n)$$

= min{ $r(A_1), r(A_2), \dots, r(A_i), r(X_{i+1} X_{i+2} \dots X_n)$ }. (20)

Equation (20) can be proved by using induction on *i*. In fact, for i = 2, the statement in (20) has been proved. Assuming the statement in (20) is true for i - 1, that is:

$$\max_{X_{i-1}, X_{i-2}, \dots, X_1} r(X_1 X_2 \dots X_n) = \min\{r(A_1), r(A_2), \dots, r(A_{i-1}), r(X_i X_{i+1} \dots X_n)\}.$$
(21)

We now prove that (20) is also true for *i*. By (21) and Lemma 2, with $A = A_i$, $B = X_{i+1}X_{i+2} \dots X_n$, $C = I_m$, and D = O, we have the following:

$$\max_{X_i, X_{i-1}, \dots, X_1} r(X_1 X_2 \dots X_n)$$

$$= \min\{r(A_1), r(A_2), \dots, r(A_{i-1}), \max_{X_i} r(X_i X_{i+1} \dots X_n)\}$$

$$= \min\{r(A_1), r(A_2), \dots, r(A_{i-1}), \min\{r(A_i), r(I_m, O), r\binom{X_{i+1} X_{i+2} \dots X_n}{O}, r\binom{A_i \quad X_{i+1} X_{i+2} \dots X_n}{O} - r(A_i)\}\}$$

$$= \min\{r(A_1), r(A_2), \dots, r(A_{i-1}), \min\{r(A_i), m, r(X_{i+1} X_{i+2} \dots X_n), r(X_{i+1} X_{i+2} \dots X_n) + m - r(A_i)\}\}$$

$$= \min\{r(A_1), r(A_2), \dots, r(A_{i-1}), \min\{r(A_i), r(X_{i+1} X_{i+2} \dots X_n)\}\}$$

$$= \min\{r(A_1), r(A_2), \dots, r(A_{i-1}), \min\{r(A_i), r(X_{i+1} X_{i+2} \dots X_n)\}\}$$

$$= \min\{r(A_1), r(A_2), \dots, r(A_{i-1}), r(A_i), r(X_{i+1} X_{i+2} \dots X_n)\}.$$

When i = n - 1, from (20), we have the following equations:

$$\max_{X_{n-1}, X_{n-2}, \dots, X_1} r(X_1 X_2 \dots X_n) = \min\{r(A_1), r(A_2), \dots, r(A_{n-1}), r(X_n)\}.$$
 (22)

Since $X_n \in A_n\{1,2\}$, then $r(X_n) = r(A_n)$. Thus, by (22), we have the equation below:

$$\max_{X_n, X_{n-1}, \dots, X_1} r(X_1 X_2 \dots X_n) = \min\{r(A_1), r(A_2), \dots, r(A_n)\},$$
(23)

i.e., Theorem 2 is true when $n \ge 3$. \Box

Based on Theorem 1 and 2, we can immediately obtain the main result of this paper.

Theorem 3. Let $A_i \in C^{m \times m}$, i = 1, 2, ..., n. Then, the following statements are equivalent:

- (1) $A_1\{1,2\}A_2\{1,2\}\ldots A_n\{1,2\} \subseteq (A_1A_2\ldots A_n)\{1,2\};$
- (2) $\min\{r(A_1...A_n), r(A_n...A_1 A_1...A_n) + r(A_1...A_n) + (n-1)m \sum_{l=1}^n r(A_l)\} = 0$ and $\min\{r(A_1), r(A_2), ..., r(A_n)\} \le r(A_1A_2...A_n);$
- (3) $A_1A_2...A_n = O \text{ or } r(A_1...A_n A_n...A_1) + r(A_1...A_n) + (n-1)m \sum_{l=1}^n r(A_l) = 0$ and $\min\{r(A_1), r(A_2), ..., r(A_n)\} \le r(A_1A_2...A_n);$
- (4) $A_1A_2...A_n = O \text{ or } A_1...A_n = A_n...A_1 \text{ and } r(A_1...A_n) + (n-1)m = \sum_{l=1}^n r(A_l)$
- and $\min\{r(A_1), r(A_2), \ldots, r(A_n)\} \le r(A_1A_2\ldots A_n);$ (5) $A_1A_2\ldots A_n = O$

or
$$A_1 \dots A_n = A_n \dots A_1$$
 and $r(A_1 \dots A_{n-i}) + (n-i-1)m = \sum_{l=1}^{n-i} r(A_l), i = 0, \dots, n-2,$
and $\min\{r(A_1), r(A_2), \dots, r(A_n)\} \le r(A_1A_2 \dots A_n).$

Proof. (1) \Leftrightarrow (2). From Lemma 1, we know that (3) holds if and only if Equations (6) and (7) hold. Then, according to Equation (8) in Theorem 1 and Equation (15) in Theorem 2, we have (1) \Leftrightarrow (2) in Theorem 3.

(2) \Leftrightarrow (3). In fact, r(A) = 0 if and only if A = O, so (2) \Leftrightarrow (3) is obvious. (3) \Leftrightarrow (4). Since

$$r(A_1A_2\ldots A_n - A_nA_{n-1}\ldots A_1) \ge 0$$

and from Lemma 3, we have:

$$r(A_1A_2...A_n) + (n-1)m \ge \sum_{l=1}^n r(A_l),$$

it is easy to obtain $(3) \Leftrightarrow (4)$.

(4) \Leftrightarrow (5). In fact, (5) \Rightarrow (4) is obvious. We now show (4) \Rightarrow (5). In fact, for the case of i = 0, the results in (4) are actually for (5). Assuming (5) holds for i - 1, where $1 \le i \le n - 2$, i.e.,:

$$r(A_1A_2\dots A_{n-i+1}) + (n-i)m = \sum_{l=1}^{n-i+1} r(A_l).$$
(24)

We now prove that (5) is also true for *i*. Based on Lemma 3, we know that:

$$r(A_1A_2...A_{n-i+1}) + m \ge r(A_1A_2...A_{n-i}) + r(A_{n-i+1}).$$
(25)

From (24) and (25), we have the following:

$$\sum_{l=1}^{n-i} r(A_l) \ge r(A_1 A_2 \dots A_{n-i}) + (n-i-1)m.$$
(26)

On the other hand, again by Lemma 3, we know the following:

$$r(A_1A_2...A_{n-i}) + (n-i-1)m \ge \sum_{l=1}^{n-i} r(A_l).$$
 (27)

Hence, from (26) and (27), we have:

$$\sum_{l=1}^{n-i} r(A_l) = r(A_1 A_2 \dots A_{n-i}) + (n-i-1)m.$$
(28)

This means that $(4) \Rightarrow (5)$ hold. \Box

Author Contributions: All authors have equally contributed to this work. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Funding: This work was supported by the project for characteristic innovation of 2018 Guangdong University (No: 2018KTSCX234) and the Natural Science Foundation of GuangDong (No: 2014A030313625) and the basic Theory and Scientific Research of Science and Technology Project of Jiangmen City, China (No: 2020JC01010, 2021030102610005049) and the joint research and development fund of Wuyi University, Hong Kong and Macao (No: 2019WGALH20).

Institutional Review Board Statement: Not applicable.

Informed Consent Statement: Not applicable.

Data Availability Statement: Not applicable.

Acknowledgments: The authors would like to thank Leila Zhang and the anonymous reviewers for their very detailed comments and constructive suggestions, which greatly improved the presentation of this paper.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

- 1. Ben-Israel, A.; Greville, T.N.E. Generalized Inverses Theory and Applications; John Wiley: New York, NY, USA, 2003.
- 2. Moore, E.H. On the reciprocal of general algebraic matrix (Abstract). Bull. Am. Math. Soc. 1920, 26, 394–395.
- 3. Penrose, R. A generalized inverse for matrix. Proc. Camb. Philos. Soc. 1955, 51, 406–413. [CrossRef]
- 4. Wang, G.R.; Wei, Y.M.; Qiao, S.Z. Generalized Inverses Theory and Computations; Science Press: Beijing, China, 2004.
- 5. Campbell, S.L.; Meyer, C.D. *Generalized Inverses of Linear Transformations*; Dover: New York, NY, USA, 1979.
- 6. Rao, C.R.; Mitra, S.K. Generalized Inverses of Matrices and its Applications; Wiley: New York, NY, USA, 1971.
- 7. Bjerhamar, A. Application of calculus of matrices to method of least squares with special reference to geodetic calculations. *Trans. R. Inst. Technol.* **1951**, *49*, 88–93.
- 8. Simeon, B.; Fuhrer, C.; Rentrop, P. The Drazin inverse in multibody system dynamics. Numer. Math. 1993, 64, 521–539. [CrossRef]
- 9. Staninirovic, R.; Tasic, M. Computing generalized inverses using LU factorrization of matrix product. *Int. Comput. Math.* 2008, *85*, 1865–1878. [CrossRef]
- 10. Cvetkovic-IIic, D.S.; Milosevic, J. Reverse order laws for {1,3}-generalized inverses. *Linear Multilinear Algebra* **2019**, *67*, 613–624. [CrossRef]
- Djordjevic, D.S. Futher results on the reverse order law for generalized inverses. SIAM J. Matrix. Anal. Appl. 2007, 29, 1242–1246. [CrossRef]
- 12. Greville, T.N.E. Note on the generalized inverses of a matrix products. SIAM Rev. 1966, 8, 518–521. [CrossRef]
- 13. Hartwing, R.E. The reverse order law revisited. Linear Algebra Appl. 1986, 76, 241–246. [CrossRef]
- 14. Liu, D.; Yan, H. The reverse order law for {1,3,4}-inverse of the product of two matrices. *Appl. Math. Comput.* **2010**, 215, 4293–4303. [CrossRef]
- 15. Liu, X.; Huanga, S.; Cvetkovic-Ilic, D.S. Mixed-tipe reverse-order law for {1,3}-inverses over Hilbert spaces. *Appl. Math. Comput.* **2012**, *218*, 8570–8577.
- 16. Nikolov Radenkovic, J. Reverse order law for multiple operator product. Linear Multilinear Algebra 2016, 64, 1266–1282. [CrossRef]
- 17. Shinozaki, N.; Sibuya, M. The Reverse order law $(AB)^- = B^-A^-$. Linear Algebra Appl. **1974**, 9, 29–40. [CrossRef]
- Tian, Y.G. Reverse order laws for generalized inverses of multiple matrix products. *Linear Algebra Appl.* 1994, 211, 85–100.
 [CrossRef]
- 19. Wei, M. Reverse order laws for generalized inverse of multiple matrix products. *Linear Algebra Appl.* **1999**, 293, 273–288. [CrossRef]
- 20. Wei, M.; Gao, W. Reverse order laws for least squares g-inverses and minimum-norm g-inverses of products of two matrices. *Linear Algebra Appl.* **2002**, 342, 117–132. [CrossRef]

- 21. Xiong, Z.P.; Zheng, B. The reverse order laws for {1,2,3} *and* {1,2,4}–Inverses of a two-matrix product. *Appl. Math. Lett.* 2008, 21, 649–655. [CrossRef]
- 22. Xiong, Z.P.; Liu, Z.S. The Forward Order Law for Least Square g-Inverse of Multiple Matrix Products. *Mathematics* 2019, 7, 277. [CrossRef]
- Xiong, Z.P.; Qin, Y.Y. Reverse order law for weighted Moore-Penrose inverses of multiple matrix products. *Math. Inequal. Appl.* 2014, 17, 121–135. [CrossRef]
- 24. Liu, Z.S.; Xiong, Z.P. The forward order laws for {1,2,3}- and {1,2,4}-inverses of a three matrix products. *Filomat* 2018, 32, 589–598. [CrossRef]
- 25. Liu, Z.S.; Xiong, Z.P.; Qin, Y.Y. A note on the forward order law for least square g-inverse of three matrix products. *Comput. Appl. Math.* **2019**, *38*, 48. [CrossRef]
- 26. Qin, Y.Y.; Xiong, Z.P.; Zhou, W.N. On the Drazin inverse and M-P inverse for sum of matrices. *Oper. Matrices* 2021, 15, 209–223. [CrossRef]
- 27. Xiong, Z.P.; Zheng, B. Forward order law for the generalized inverses of multiple matrix products. *J. Appl. Math. Comput.* 2007, 25, 415–424. [CrossRef]
- Tian, Y.G. More on maximal and minimal ranks of Schur complements with applications. *Appl. Math. Comput.* 2004, 152, 675–692.
 [CrossRef]
- 29. Marsaglia, G.; Styan, G.P. Equalities and inequalities for ranks of matrices. Linear Multilinear Algebra 1974, 2, 269–292. [CrossRef]