

Article

# Generalized Hyers–Ulam Stability of the Additive Functional Equation

Yang-Hi Lee <sup>1</sup>  and Gwang Hui Kim <sup>2,\*</sup> 

<sup>1</sup> Department of Mathematics Education, Gongju National University of Education, Gongju 32553, Korea; yanghi2@hanmail.net

<sup>2</sup> Department of Mathematics, Kangnam University, Yongin 16979, Korea

\* Correspondence: ghkim@kangnam.ac.kr

Received: 2 May 2019; Accepted: 21 June 2019; Published: 25 June 2019



**Abstract:** We will prove the generalized Hyers–Ulam stability and the hyperstability of the additive functional equation  $f(x_1 + y_1, x_2 + y_2, \dots, x_n + y_n) = f(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) + f(y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n)$ . By restricting the domain of a mapping  $f$  that satisfies the inequality condition used in the assumption part of the stability theorem, we partially generalize the results of the stability theorems of the additive function equations.

**Keywords:** additive (Cauchy) equation; additive mapping; Hyers–Ulam stability; generalized Hyers–Ulam stability; hyperstability

**MSC:** 39B82; 39B5

## 1. Introduction

In 1940, Ulam [1] gave the question concerning the stability of homomorphisms in a conference of the mathematics club of the University of Wisconsin as follows:

Let  $(G, \cdot)$  be a group, and let  $(G', \cdot, d)$  be a metric group with the metric  $d$ . Given  $\delta > 0$ , does there exist  $\epsilon > 0$  such that if a mapping  $h : G \rightarrow G'$  satisfies the inequality

$$d(h(xy), h(x)h(y)) \leq \delta$$

for all  $x, y \in G$ , then there is a homomorphism  $H : G \rightarrow H$  with

$$d(h(x), H(x)) \leq \epsilon$$

for all  $x \in G$ ?

Next year, the Ulam's conjecture was partially solved by Hyers [2] for the additive functional equation.

**Theorem 1.** [2], Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be Banach spaces. Suppose that the mapping  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  satisfies the inequality

$$\|f(x + y) - f(x) - f(y)\| \leq \epsilon, \quad \forall x, y \in X, \quad \epsilon : \text{constant}.$$

Then, there exists a unique additive mapping

$$A(x + y) = A(x) + A(y),$$

such that  $\|f(x) - A(x)\| \leq \epsilon$ , where the limit  $A(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 2^{-n} f(2^n x)$ .

Thereafter, this phenomenon has been called the Hyers–Ulam stability.

**Theorem 2.** Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be Banach spaces. Suppose that the mapping  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  satisfies the inequality

$$\|f(x+y) - f(x) - f(y)\| \leq \theta(\|x\|^p + \|y\|^p) \quad (1)$$

for all  $x, y \in X \setminus \{0\}$ , where  $\theta$  and  $p$  are constants with  $\theta > 0$  and  $p \neq 1$ . Then, there exists a unique additive mapping  $T : X \rightarrow Y$  such that

$$\|f(x) - T(x)\| \leq \frac{\theta}{|1 - 2^{p-1}|} \|x\|^p \quad (2)$$

for all  $x \in X \setminus \{0\}$ .

Theorem 2 is due to Aoki [3] and Rassias [4] for  $0 < p < 1$ , Gajda [5] for  $p > 1$ , Hyers [2] for  $p = 0$ , and Rassias [6] for  $p < 0$ .

In 1994, Găvruta [7] generalized these results for additive mapping by replacing  $\theta(\|x\|^p + \|y\|^p)$  in (1) by a general function  $\varphi(x, y)$ , which is called the ‘generalized Hyers–Ulam stability’ in this paper.

In 2001, the term hyperstability was used for the first time probably by G. Maksa and Z. Páles in [8]. However, in 1949, it seems to have created by D. G. Bourgin [9] that the first hyperstability result concerned the ring homomorphisms.

We say that a functional equation  $\mathfrak{D}(f) = 0$  is hyperstable if any function  $f$  satisfying the equation  $\mathfrak{D}(f) = 0$  approximately is a true solution of  $\mathfrak{D}(f) = 0$ , which is a phenomenon called hyperstability.

The hyperstability results for the additive (Cauchy) equation were investigated by Brzdęk [10,11].

In this paper, let  $V$  and  $W$  be vector spaces,  $X$  be a real normed space, and  $Y$  be a real Banach space. We denote the set of natural numbers by  $\mathbb{N}$  and the set of real numbers by  $\mathbb{R}$ .

For a given mapping  $f : V^n \rightarrow W$ , where  $V^n$  denotes  $V \times V \times \cdots \times V$ , let us consider the additive functional equation

$$f(x_1 + y_1, x_2 + y_2, \dots, x_n + y_n) = f(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) + f(y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n), \quad (3)$$

for all  $x_i, y_i \in V$  ( $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ ).

Each solution of the additive functional Equation (3) is called an  $n$ -variable additive mapping. A typical example for the solutions of Equation (3) is the mapping  $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^l$  given by  $f(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) = (\sum_{i=1}^n a_{1i}x_i, \sum_{i=1}^n a_{2i}x_i, \dots, \sum_{i=1}^n a_{li}x_i)$  with real constants  $a_{ij}$ .

In this paper, we will prove the generalized Hyers–Ulam stability of the additive functional Equation (3) in the spirit of Găvruta [7], and the hyperstability of the additive functional Equation (3).

## 2. Main Results

For a given mapping  $f : V^n \rightarrow W$ , we use the following abbreviation:

$$Df(x_1, y_1, x_2, y_2, \dots, x_n, y_n) := f(x_1 + y_1, x_2 + y_2, \dots, x_n + y_n) - f(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) - f(y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n)$$

for all  $x_1, y_1, x_2, y_2, \dots, x_n, y_n \in V$ . We need the following lemma to prove main theorems.

**Lemma 1.** If a mapping  $f : V^n \rightarrow W$  satisfies (3) for all  $x_1, y_1, x_2, y_2, \dots, x_n, y_n \in V \setminus \{0\}$ , then  $f$  satisfies (3) for all  $x_1, y_1, x_2, y_2, \dots, x_n, y_n \in V$ .

**Proof.** Let  $x \in V \setminus \{0\}$  be a fixed element, and let  $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ . For given  $x_i, y_i \in V$ , let  $x_i^{(1)}, x_i^{(2)}, y_i^{(1)}, y_i^{(2)}$  be

$$\begin{aligned} x_i^{(1)} &= x, x_i^{(2)} = -x, y_i^{(1)} = x, y_i^{(2)} = -x & \text{if } x_i = 0 \text{ and } y_i = 0, \\ x_i^{(1)} &= y_i, x_i^{(2)} = -y_i, y_i^{(1)} = \frac{y_i}{2}, y_i^{(2)} = \frac{y_i}{2} & \text{if } x_i = 0 \text{ and } y_i \neq 0, \\ x_i^{(1)} &= \frac{x_i}{2}, x_i^{(2)} = \frac{x_i}{2}, y_i^{(1)} = x_i, y_i^{(2)} = -x_i & \text{if } x_i \neq 0 \text{ and } y_i = 0, \\ x_i^{(1)} &= \frac{x_i}{2}, x_i^{(2)} = \frac{x_i}{2}, y_i^{(1)} = (k+1)y_i, y_i^{(2)} = -ky_i & \text{if } x_i \neq 0 \text{ and } y_i \neq 0, \end{aligned}$$

where  $k$  is a fixed integer, such that  $\frac{x_i}{2} + (k+1)y_i \neq 0, \frac{x_i}{2} - ky_i \neq 0$ . Then,  $x_i^{(1)}, x_i^{(2)}, y_i^{(1)}, y_i^{(2)}, x_i^{(1)} + y_i^{(1)}, x_i^{(2)} + y_i^{(2)} \in V \setminus \{0\}$  and  $x_i^{(1)} + y_i^{(1)} + x_i^{(2)} + y_i^{(2)} = x_i + y_i$  for all  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ .

Hence, the equalities  $Df(x_1^{(1)}, y_1^{(1)}, \dots, x_n^{(1)}, y_n^{(1)}) = 0, Df(x_1^{(2)}, y_1^{(2)}, \dots, x_n^{(2)}, y_n^{(2)}) = 0, Df(x_1^{(1)}, x_1^{(2)}, x_2^{(1)}, x_2^{(2)}, \dots, x_n^{(1)}, x_n^{(2)}) = 0$ , and  $Df(y_1^{(1)}, y_1^{(2)}, y_2^{(1)}, y_2^{(2)}, \dots, y_n^{(1)}, y_n^{(2)}) = 0$  hold for all  $x_1, y_1, x_2, y_2, \dots, x_n, y_n \in V$ . Since the equality

$$\begin{aligned} &Df(x_1, y_1, x_2, y_2, \dots, x_n, y_n) \\ &= Df(x_1^{(1)} + y_1^{(1)}, x_1^{(2)} + y_1^{(2)}, x_2^{(1)} + y_2^{(1)}, x_2^{(2)} + y_2^{(2)}, \dots, x_n^{(1)} + y_n^{(1)}, x_n^{(2)} + y_n^{(2)}) \\ &\quad + Df(x_1^{(1)}, y_1^{(1)}, x_2^{(1)}, y_2^{(1)}, \dots, x_n^{(1)}, y_n^{(1)}) + Df(x_1^{(2)}, y_1^{(2)}, x_2^{(2)}, y_2^{(2)}, \dots, x_n^{(2)}, y_n^{(2)}) \\ &\quad - Df(x_1^{(1)}, x_1^{(2)}, x_2^{(1)}, x_2^{(2)}, \dots, x_n^{(1)}, x_n^{(2)}) - Df(y_1^{(1)}, y_1^{(2)}, y_2^{(1)}, y_2^{(2)}, \dots, y_n^{(1)}, y_n^{(2)}) \end{aligned}$$

holds for all  $x_1, y_1, x_2, y_2, \dots, x_n, y_n \in V$ , we conclude that  $f$  satisfies  $Df(x_1, y_1, \dots, x_n, y_n) = 0$  for all  $x_1, y_1, x_2, y_2, \dots, x_n, y_n \in V$ .  $\square$

Thereafter, let  $i \in \{1, 2, 3, \dots, n\}$ . For a given element  $(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \neq (0, 0, \dots, 0)$ , we can choose a fixed element  $x' \neq 0$ , such that  $x' \in \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}$ . Moreover, let  $x_i^{(1)}, x_i^{(2)} \in V \setminus \{0\}$  be the elements defined by

$$\begin{aligned} x_i^{(1)} &= x_i, x_i^{(2)} = x_i & \text{if } x_i \neq 0, \\ x_i^{(1)} &= x', x_i^{(2)} = -x' & \text{if } x_i = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

By using Lemma 1, we can prove the following set of stability theorems.

**Theorem 3.** Suppose that  $f : V^n \rightarrow Y$  is a mapping for which there exists a function  $\varphi : (V \setminus \{0\})^{2n} \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ , such that

$$\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{\varphi(2^m x_1, 2^m y_1, 2^m x_2, 2^m y_2, \dots, 2^m x_n, 2^m y_n)}{2^m} < \infty \quad (5)$$

and

$$\|Df(x_1, y_1, x_2, y_2, \dots, x_n, y_n)\| \leq \varphi(x_1, y_1, x_2, y_2, \dots, x_n, y_n) \quad (6)$$

for all  $x_1, y_1, x_2, y_2, \dots, x_n, y_n \in V \setminus \{0\}$ . Then, there exists a unique mapping  $F : V^n \rightarrow Y$  that satisfies

$$DF(x_1, y_1, x_2, y_2, \dots, x_n, y_n) = 0 \quad (7)$$

for all  $x_1, y_1, x_2, y_2, \dots, x_n, y_n \in V$  and

$$\|f(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) - F(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)\| \leq \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{\mu(2^m x_1, 2^m x_2, \dots, 2^m x_n)}{2^{m+1}} \quad (8)$$

for all  $(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \in V^n \setminus \{(0, 0, \dots, 0)\}$ , where the function  $\mu : V^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \mu(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \\ := & \varphi\left(x_1^{(1)}, x_1^{(2)}, x_2^{(1)}, x_2^{(2)}, \dots, x_n^{(1)}, x_n^{(2)}\right) + 2\varphi\left(\frac{x_1^{(1)}}{2}, \frac{x_1^{(2)}}{2}, \frac{x_2^{(1)}}{2}, \frac{x_2^{(2)}}{2}, \dots, \frac{x_n^{(1)}}{2}, \frac{x_n^{(2)}}{2}\right) \\ & + \varphi\left(\frac{x_1^{(1)}}{2}, \frac{x_1^{(1)}}{2}, \frac{x_2^{(1)}}{2}, \frac{x_2^{(1)}}{2}, \dots, \frac{x_n^{(1)}}{2}, \frac{x_n^{(1)}}{2}\right) + \varphi\left(\frac{x_1^{(2)}}{2}, \frac{x_1^{(2)}}{2}, \frac{x_2^{(2)}}{2}, \frac{x_2^{(2)}}{2}, \dots, \frac{x_n^{(2)}}{2}, \frac{x_n^{(2)}}{2}\right) \end{aligned}$$

for all  $(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \in V^n \setminus \{(0, 0, \dots, 0)\}$ .

**Proof.** From the inequality (6) and the equalities

$$\begin{aligned} & f(2x_1, 2x_2, \dots, 2x_n) - 2f(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \\ &= f(2x_1, 2x_2, \dots, 2x_n) - f\left(x_1^{(1)}, x_2^{(1)}, \dots, x_n^{(1)}\right) - f\left(x_1^{(2)}, x_2^{(2)}, \dots, x_n^{(2)}\right) \\ & \quad - 2f(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) + 2f\left(\frac{x_1^{(1)}}{2}, \frac{x_2^{(1)}}{2}, \dots, \frac{x_n^{(1)}}{2}\right) + 2f\left(\frac{x_1^{(2)}}{2}, \frac{x_2^{(2)}}{2}, \dots, \frac{x_n^{(2)}}{2}\right) \\ & \quad + f\left(x_1^{(1)}, x_2^{(1)}, \dots, x_n^{(1)}\right) - 2f\left(\frac{x_1^{(1)}}{2}, \frac{x_2^{(1)}}{2}, \dots, \frac{x_n^{(1)}}{2}\right) \\ & \quad + f\left(x_1^{(2)}, x_2^{(2)}, \dots, x_n^{(2)}\right) - 2f\left(\frac{x_1^{(2)}}{2}, \frac{x_2^{(2)}}{2}, \dots, \frac{x_n^{(2)}}{2}\right) \\ &= Df\left(x_1^{(1)}, x_1^{(2)}, x_2^{(1)}, x_2^{(2)}, \dots, x_n^{(1)}, x_n^{(2)}\right) - 2Df\left(\frac{x_1^{(1)}}{2}, \frac{x_1^{(2)}}{2}, \frac{x_2^{(1)}}{2}, \frac{x_2^{(2)}}{2}, \dots, \frac{x_n^{(1)}}{2}, \frac{x_n^{(2)}}{2}\right) \\ & \quad + Df\left(\frac{x_1^{(1)}}{2}, \frac{x_1^{(1)}}{2}, \frac{x_2^{(1)}}{2}, \frac{x_2^{(1)}}{2}, \dots, \frac{x_n^{(1)}}{2}, \frac{x_n^{(1)}}{2}\right) \\ & \quad + Df\left(\frac{x_1^{(2)}}{2}, \frac{x_1^{(2)}}{2}, \frac{x_2^{(2)}}{2}, \frac{x_2^{(2)}}{2}, \dots, \frac{x_n^{(2)}}{2}, \frac{x_n^{(2)}}{2}\right) \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

for all  $(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \in V^n \setminus \{(0, 0, \dots, 0)\}$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\|f(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) - \frac{f(2x_1, 2x_2, \dots, 2x_n)}{2}\right\| \\ & \leq \left\|Df\left(x_1^{(1)}, x_1^{(2)}, x_2^{(1)}, x_2^{(2)}, \dots, x_n^{(1)}, x_n^{(2)}\right)\right\| + 2\left\|Df\left(\frac{x_1^{(1)}}{2}, \frac{x_1^{(2)}}{2}, \frac{x_2^{(1)}}{2}, \frac{x_2^{(2)}}{2}, \dots, \frac{x_n^{(1)}}{2}, \frac{x_n^{(2)}}{2}\right)\right\| \\ & \quad + \left\|Df\left(\frac{x_1^{(1)}}{2}, \frac{x_1^{(1)}}{2}, \frac{x_2^{(1)}}{2}, \frac{x_2^{(1)}}{2}, \dots, \frac{x_n^{(1)}}{2}, \frac{x_n^{(1)}}{2}\right)\right\| \\ & \quad + \left\|Df\left(\frac{x_1^{(2)}}{2}, \frac{x_1^{(2)}}{2}, \frac{x_2^{(2)}}{2}, \frac{x_2^{(2)}}{2}, \dots, \frac{x_n^{(2)}}{2}, \frac{x_n^{(2)}}{2}\right)\right\| \\ & \leq \frac{1}{2}\mu(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \end{aligned}$$

for all  $(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \in V^n \setminus \{(0, 0, \dots, 0)\}$ . From the above inequality, we get the (following-4 palces) inequality

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \frac{f(2^m x_1, \dots, 2^m x_n)}{2^m} - \frac{f(2^{m+m'} x_1, \dots, 2^{m+m'} x_n)}{2^{m+m'}} \right\| \\ & \leq \sum_{k=m}^{m+m'-1} \left\| \frac{f(2^k x_1, \dots, 2^k x_n)}{2^k} - \frac{f(2^{k+1} x_1, \dots, 2^{k+1} x_n)}{2^{k+1}} \right\| \\ & \leq \sum_{k=m}^{m+m'-1} \frac{\mu(2^k x_1, 2^k x_2, \dots, 2^k x_n)}{2^{k+1}} \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

for all  $(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \in V^n \setminus \{(0, 0, \dots, 0)\}$  and all positive integers  $m, m'$ . Thus, the sequence  $\left\{ \frac{f(2^m x_1, \dots, 2^m x_n)}{2^m} \right\}_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$  is a Cauchy sequence for all  $(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \in V^n \setminus \{(0, 0, \dots, 0)\}$ . Since  $Y$  is a real Banach space and  $\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(2^m 0, 2^m 0, \dots, 2^m 0)}{2^m} = 0$ , we can define a mapping  $F : V^n \rightarrow Y$  by

$$F(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(2^m x_1, 2^m x_2, \dots, 2^m x_n)}{2^m}$$

for all  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n \in V$ . By putting  $m = 0$  and by letting  $m' \rightarrow \infty$  in the inequalities (10), we can obtain the inequalities (8) for all  $(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \in V^n \setminus \{(0, 0, \dots, 0)\}$ .

From the inequality (6), we can obtain

$$\left\| \frac{Df(2^m x_1, 2^m y_1, 2^m x_2, 2^m y_2, \dots, 2^m x_n, 2^m y_n)}{2^m} \right\| \leq \frac{\varphi(2^m x_1, 2^m y_1, 2^m x_2, \dots, 2^m x_n, 2^m y_n)}{2^m}$$

for all  $x_1, y_1, x_2, y_2, \dots, x_n, y_n \in V \setminus \{0\}$ . Since the right-hand side in the above equality tends to zero as  $m \rightarrow \infty$ , and the equality

$$DF(x_1, y_1, x_2, y_2, \dots, x_n, y_n) = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{Df(2^m x_1, 2^m y_1, 2^m x_2, 2^m y_2, \dots, 2^m x_n, 2^m y_n)}{2^m}$$

holds, then  $F$  satisfies the equality (7) for all  $x_1, y_1, \dots, x_n, y_n \in V \setminus \{0\}$ . By Lemma 1,  $F$  satisfies the equality (3) for all  $x_1, y_1, x_2, y_2, \dots, x_n, y_n \in V$ . If  $G : V^n \rightarrow Y$  is another  $n$ -variable additive mapping that satisfies (8), then we obtain  $G(0, 0, \dots, 0) = 0 = F(0, 0, \dots, 0)$  and

$$\begin{aligned} & \|G(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) - F(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)\| \\ & \leq \left\| \frac{G(2^k x_1, 2^k x_2, \dots, 2^k x_n)}{2^k} - \frac{f(2^k x_1, 2^k x_2, \dots, 2^k x_n)}{2^k} \right\| \\ & \quad + \left\| \frac{f(2^k x_1, 2^k x_2, \dots, 2^k x_n)}{2^k} - \frac{F(2^k x_1, 2^k x_2, \dots, 2^k x_n)}{2^k} \right\| \\ & \leq \sum_{m=k}^{\infty} \frac{\mu(2^m x_1, 2^m x_2, \dots, 2^m x_n)}{2^m} \end{aligned}$$

for all  $(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \in V^n \setminus \{(0, 0, \dots, 0)\}$  and all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . Since  $\sum_{m=k}^{\infty} \frac{\mu(2^m x_1, 2^m x_2, \dots, 2^m x_n)}{2^m} \rightarrow 0$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ , we have  $G(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) = F(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$  for all  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n \in V$ . Hence, the mapping  $F$  is the unique  $n$ -variable additive mapping, as desired.  $\square$

The condition  $x_1, y_1, x_2, y_2, \dots, x_n, y_n \in V \setminus \{0\}$  used in the inequality (6) differs from the condition  $(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \neq (0, 0, \dots, 0)$  and  $(y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n) \neq (0, 0, \dots, 0)$  handled by the other authors. If the function  $f$  satisfies the inequality (3.2) for all  $(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \neq (0, 0, \dots, 0)$  and  $(y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n) \neq$

$(0, 0, \dots, 0)$ , then the function  $f$  satisfies the inequality (3.2) for all  $x_1, y_1, x_2, y_2, \dots, x_n, y_n \in V \setminus \{0\}$ . Therefore, the condition  $x_1, y_1, x_2, y_2, \dots, x_n, y_n \in V \setminus \{0\}$  used in the inequality (3.2) in this paper is a generalization of the conditions used in the inequality (3.2) in the well-known pre-results ([10,11]). This condition will apply until Corollary 1.

**Theorem 4.** Suppose that  $f : V^n \rightarrow Y$  is a mapping for which there exists a function  $\varphi : (V \setminus \{0\})^{2n} \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  that satisfies

$$\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} 2^i \varphi \left( \frac{x_1}{2^i}, \frac{y_1}{2^i}, \frac{x_2}{2^i}, \frac{y_2}{2^i}, \dots, \frac{x_n}{2^i}, \frac{y_n}{2^i} \right) < \infty, \quad (11)$$

and (6) for all  $x_1, y_1, x_2, y_2, \dots, x_n, y_n \in V \setminus \{0\}$ . Then, there exists a unique mapping  $F : V^n \rightarrow Y$  that satisfies (7) for all  $x_1, y_1, x_2, y_2, \dots, x_n, y_n \in V$  and

$$\|f(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) - F(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)\| \leq \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} 2^m \mu \left( \frac{x_1}{2^{m+1}}, \frac{x_2}{2^{m+1}}, \dots, \frac{x_n}{2^{m+1}} \right) \quad (12)$$

for all  $(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \in V^n \setminus \{(0, 0, \dots, 0)\}$ , where the function  $\mu : V^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is defined as Theorem 3.

**Proof.** By choosing a fixed element  $x \in V \setminus \{0\}$ , we can obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|f(0, 0, \dots, 0)\| &= \left\| 2Df \left( \frac{x}{2^m}, \frac{-x}{2^m}, \dots, \frac{x}{2^m}, \frac{-x}{2^m} \right) - Df \left( \frac{x}{2^{m-1}}, \frac{-x}{2^{m-1}}, \dots, \frac{x}{2^{m-1}}, \frac{-x}{2^{m-1}} \right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - Df \left( \frac{x}{2^m}, \frac{x}{2^m}, \dots, \frac{x}{2^m}, \frac{x}{2^m} \right) - Df \left( \frac{-x}{2^m}, \frac{-x}{2^m}, \dots, \frac{-x}{2^m}, \frac{-x}{2^m} \right) \right\| \\ &\leq 2\varphi \left( \frac{x}{2^m}, \frac{-x}{2^m}, \dots, \frac{x}{2^m}, \frac{-x}{2^m} \right) + \varphi \left( \frac{x}{2^{m-1}}, \frac{-x}{2^{m-1}}, \dots, \frac{x}{2^{m-1}}, \frac{-x}{2^{m-1}} \right) \\ &\quad + \varphi \left( \frac{x}{2^m}, \frac{x}{2^m}, \dots, \frac{x}{2^m}, \frac{x}{2^m} \right) + \varphi \left( \frac{-x}{2^m}, \frac{-x}{2^m}, \dots, \frac{-x}{2^m}, \frac{-x}{2^m} \right) \\ &\rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } m \rightarrow \infty, \end{aligned}$$

so  $f(0, 0, \dots, 0) = 0$ . Since the equality (9) holds for all  $(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \in V \setminus \{(0, 0, \dots, 0)\}$ , the inequality (6) implies the inequality

$$\left\| f(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) - 2f \left( \frac{x_1}{2}, \frac{x_2}{2}, \dots, \frac{x_n}{2} \right) \right\| \leq \mu \left( \frac{x_1}{2}, \frac{x_2}{2}, \dots, \frac{x_n}{2} \right)$$

for all  $(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \in V^n \setminus \{(0, 0, \dots, 0)\}$ . From the above inequality, we can also obtain the inequality

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| 2^m f \left( \frac{x_1}{2^m}, \frac{x_2}{2^m}, \dots, \frac{x_n}{2^m} \right) - 2^{m+m'} f \left( \frac{x_1}{2^{m+m'}}, \frac{x_2}{2^{m+m'}}, \dots, \frac{x_n}{2^{m+m'}} \right) \right\| \\ \leq \sum_{k=m}^{m+m'-1} 2^k \mu \left( \frac{x_1}{2^{k+1}}, \frac{x_2}{2^{k+1}}, \dots, \frac{x_n}{2^{k+1}} \right) \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

for all  $(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \in V^n \setminus \{(0, 0, \dots, 0)\}$  and all positive integers  $m, m'$ . Thus, the sequences  $\{2^m f(\frac{x_1}{2^m}, \dots, \frac{x_n}{2^m})\}_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$  is a Cauchy sequence for all  $(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in V^n \setminus \{(0, \dots, 0)\}$ . Since  $f(0, 0, \dots, 0) = 0$  and  $Y$  is a real Banach space, we can define a mapping  $F : V^n \rightarrow Y$  by

$$F(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} 2^m f \left( \frac{x_1}{2^m}, \frac{x_2}{2^m}, \dots, \frac{x_n}{2^m} \right)$$

for all  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n \in V$ . By putting  $m = 0$  and by letting  $m' \rightarrow \infty$  in the inequality (13), we can obtain the inequality (12) for all  $(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \in V^n \setminus \{(0, 0, \dots, 0)\}$ .

From the inequality (6), we get

$$\left\| 2^m Df \left( \frac{x_1}{2^m}, \frac{y_1}{2^m}, \frac{x_2}{2^m}, \frac{y_2}{2^m}, \dots, \frac{x_n}{2^m}, \frac{y_n}{2^m} \right) \right\| \leq 2^m \varphi \left( \frac{x_1}{2^m}, \frac{y_1}{2^m}, \frac{x_2}{2^m}, \frac{y_2}{2^m}, \dots, \frac{x_n}{2^m}, \frac{y_n}{2^m} \right)$$

for all  $x_1, y_1, x_2, y_2, \dots, x_n, y_n \in V \setminus \{0\}$ . Since the right-hand side in the above equality tends to zero as  $m \rightarrow \infty$ , then  $F$  satisfies the equality (7) for all  $x_1, y_1, x_2, y_2, \dots, x_n, y_n \in V \setminus \{0\}$ . By Lemma 1,  $F$  satisfies the equality (3) for all  $x_1, y_1, x_2, y_2, \dots, x_n, y_n \in V$ . If  $G : V^n \rightarrow Y$  is another  $n$ -variable additive mapping satisfying (12), then we obtain  $G(0, 0, \dots, 0) = 0 = F(0, 0, \dots, 0)$  and

$$\begin{aligned} & \|G(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) - F(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)\| \\ & \leq \left\| 2^k G \left( \frac{x_1}{2^k}, \frac{x_2}{2^k}, \dots, \frac{x_n}{2^k} \right) - 2^k f \left( \frac{x_1}{2^k}, \frac{x_2}{2^k}, \dots, \frac{x_n}{2^k} \right) \right\| \\ & \quad + \left\| 2^k f \left( \frac{x_1}{2^k}, \frac{x_2}{2^k}, \dots, \frac{x_n}{2^k} \right) - 2^k F \left( \frac{x_1}{2^k}, \frac{x_2}{2^k}, \dots, \frac{x_n}{2^k} \right) \right\| \\ & \leq \sum_{m=k}^{\infty} 2^m \mu \left( \frac{x_1}{2^{m+1}}, \frac{x_2}{2^{m+1}}, \dots, \frac{x_n}{2^{m+1}} \right) \\ & \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } k \rightarrow \infty \end{aligned}$$

for all  $(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \in V^n \setminus \{(0, 0, \dots, 0)\}$ . Hence, the mapping  $F$  is the unique  $n$ -variable additive mapping, as desired.  $\square$

The following corollary follows from Theorems 3 and 4.

**Corollary 1.** Let  $(X, |||\cdot|||)$  be a normed space,  $\theta > 0$ , and let  $p$  be a real number with  $p \neq 1$ . Suppose that  $f : X^n \rightarrow Y$  is a mapping that satisfies

$$\|Df(x_1, y_1, x_2, y_2, \dots, x_n, y_n)\| \leq \theta(|||x_1|||^p + |||y_1|||^p + |||x_2|||^p + \dots + |||x_n|||^p + |||y_n|||^p) \quad (14)$$

for all  $x_1, y_1, x_2, y_2, \dots, x_n, y_n \in X \setminus \{0\}$ . Then, there exists a unique  $n$ -variable additive mapping  $F : X^n \rightarrow Y$ , such that

$$\|f(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) - F(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)\| \leq \frac{4(2^p + 4)n\theta}{2^p|2 - 2^p|} \max_{x_i \neq 0} \{|||x_i|||^p : 1 \leq i \leq n\} \quad (15)$$

for all  $(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \in X^n \setminus \{(0, 0, \dots, 0)\}$ .

**Proof.** Put  $\varphi(x_1, y_1, x_2, y_2, \dots, x_n, y_n) := \theta(|||x_1|||^p + |||y_1|||^p + |||x_2|||^p + |||y_2|||^p + \dots + |||x_n|||^p + |||y_n|||^p)$  for all  $x_1, y_1, x_2, y_2, \dots, x_n, y_n \in X \setminus \{0\}$ , then  $|||x_i^{(1)}|||, |||x_i^{(2)}||| \leq \max_{x_i \neq 0} \{|||x_i|||^p : 1 \leq i \leq n\}$  for all  $i$  from (4). Hence, due to  $\mu$  of Theorems 3 and 4, we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} & \mu(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \\ & = \varphi \left( x_1^{(1)}, x_1^{(2)}, x_2^{(1)}, x_2^{(2)}, \dots, x_n^{(1)}, x_n^{(2)} \right) + 2\varphi \left( \frac{x_1^{(1)}}{2}, \frac{x_1^{(2)}}{2}, \frac{x_2^{(1)}}{2}, \frac{x_2^{(2)}}{2}, \dots, \frac{x_n^{(1)}}{2}, \frac{x_n^{(2)}}{2} \right) \\ & \quad + \varphi \left( \frac{x_1^{(1)}}{2}, \frac{x_1^{(1)}}{2}, \frac{x_2^{(1)}}{2}, \frac{x_2^{(1)}}{2}, \dots, \frac{x_n^{(1)}}{2}, \frac{x_n^{(1)}}{2} \right) + \varphi \left( \frac{x_1^{(2)}}{2}, \frac{x_1^{(2)}}{2}, \frac{x_2^{(2)}}{2}, \frac{x_2^{(2)}}{2}, \dots, \frac{x_n^{(2)}}{2}, \frac{x_n^{(2)}}{2} \right) \\ & \leq (2n + \frac{8n}{2^p}) \max_{x_i \neq 0} \{|||x_i|||^p : 1 \leq i \leq n\} \end{aligned}$$

for all  $(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \in X^n \setminus \{(0, 0, \dots, 0)\}$ . Therefore, the inequality (15) can be obtained easily from (8) and (12) in Theorems 3 and 4.  $\square$

The following theorem for the hyperstability of  $n$ -variable additive functional equation follows from Corollary 1.

**Theorem 5.** Let  $(X, ||| \cdot |||)$  be a normed space and  $p$  be a real number with  $p < 0$ . Suppose that  $f : X^n \rightarrow Y$  is a mapping that satisfies (14) for all  $x_1, y_1, x_2, y_2, \dots, x_n, y_n \in X \setminus \{0\}$ . Then,  $f$  is an  $n$ -variable additive mapping itself.

**Proof.** By Corollary 1, there exists a unique  $n$ -variable additive mapping  $F : X^n \rightarrow Y$ , such that (15) for all  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n \in X^n \setminus \{(0, 0, \dots, 0)\}$  and  $DF(x_1, y_1, x_2, y_2, \dots, x_n, y_n) = 0$  for all  $x_1, y_1, x_2, y_2, \dots, x_n, y_n \in X$ .

For a given  $(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \neq (0, 0, \dots, 0)$ , let  $x' \neq 0$  be a nonzero fixed element in  $\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}$ , and let

$$\begin{aligned} x_i^{(3)} &= (m+1)x_i, x_i^{(4)} = -mx_i & \text{when } x_i \neq 0, \\ x_i^{(3)} &= mx', x_i^{(4)} = -mx' & \text{when } x_i = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Then, we can easily show that  $|||x_i^{(3)}|||, |||x_i^{(4)}||| \leq m^p \max_{x_i \neq 0} \{|||x_i|||^p : 1 \leq i \leq n\}$  for all  $i$  from (4). If  $(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \in X \setminus \{(0, 0, \dots, 0)\}$ , then the equality  $f(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) = F(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$  follows from the inequalities

$$\begin{aligned} & \|f(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) - F(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)\| \\ &= \left\| Df \left( x_1^{(3)}, x_1^{(4)}, x_2^{(3)}, x_2^{(4)}, \dots, x_n^{(3)}, x_n^{(4)} \right) - DF \left( x_1^{(3)}, x_1^{(4)}, x_2^{(3)}, x_2^{(4)}, \dots, x_n^{(3)}, x_n^{(4)} \right) \right. \\ & \quad \left. + f(x_1^{(3)}, x_2^{(3)}, \dots, x_n^{(3)}) + f(x_1^{(4)}, x_2^{(4)}, \dots, x_n^{(4)}) \right. \\ & \quad \left. - F(x_1^{(3)}, x_2^{(3)}, \dots, x_n^{(3)}) - F(x_1^{(4)}, x_2^{(4)}, \dots, x_n^{(4)}) \right\| \\ &\leq m^p \cdot 2n\theta \max_{x_i \neq 0} \{|||x_i|||^p : 1 \leq i \leq n\} + \left\| f(x_1^{(3)}, x_2^{(3)}, \dots, x_n^{(3)}) - F(x_1^{(3)}, x_2^{(3)}, \dots, x_n^{(3)}) \right\| \\ & \quad + \left\| f(x_1^{(4)}, x_2^{(4)}, \dots, x_n^{(4)}) - F(x_1^{(4)}, x_2^{(4)}, \dots, x_n^{(4)}) \right\| \\ &\leq m^p \left( 1 + \frac{4(2^p + 4)}{2^p |2 - 2^p|} \right) 2n\theta \max_{x_i \neq 0} \{|||x_i|||^p : 1 \leq i \leq n\} \end{aligned}$$

as  $m \rightarrow \infty$ . For  $(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) = (0, 0, \dots, 0)$ , if we choose a fixed element of  $x \in X \setminus \{0\}$ , then the equality  $f(0, 0, \dots, 0) = F(0, 0, \dots, 0)$  follows from the inequalities

$$\begin{aligned} & \|f(0, 0, \dots, 0) - F(0, 0, \dots, 0)\| \\ &= \left\| Df(mx, -mx, mx, -mx, \dots, mx, -mx) - DF(mx, -mx, mx, \dots, mx, -mx) \right. \\ & \quad \left. + f(mx, mx, \dots, mx) + f(-mx, -mx, \dots, -mx) \right. \\ & \quad \left. - F(mx, mx, \dots, mx) - F(-mx, -mx, \dots, -mx) \right\| \\ &\leq m^p \cdot 2n\theta \|x\|^p + \left\| f(mx, mx, \dots, mx) - F(mx, mx, \dots, mx) \right\| \\ & \quad + \left\| f(-mx, -mx, \dots, -mx) - F(-mx, -mx, \dots, -mx) \right\| \\ &\leq m^p \left( 1 + \frac{4(2^p + 4)}{2^p |2 - 2^p|} \right) 2n\theta |||x|||^p \end{aligned}$$

as  $m \rightarrow \infty$ . Therefore,  $f$  is an  $n$ -variable additive mapping itself.  $\square$

The following example follows from Theorem 5.



**Example 1.** Let  $(\mathbb{R}, |\cdot|)$  be a normed space with absolute value  $|\cdot|$ ,  $(\mathbb{R}^l, \|\cdot\|)$  be a Banach space with Euclid norm  $\|\cdot\|$ , and  $p < 0$  be a real number. Suppose that  $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^l$  is a continuous mapping such that

$$\|Df(x_1, y_1, x_2, y_2, \dots, x_n, y_n)\| \leq \theta(|x_1|^p + |y_1|^p + |x_2|^p + |y_2|^p + \dots + |x_n|^p + |y_n|^p)$$

for all  $x_1, y_1, x_2, y_2, \dots, x_n, y_n \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$ . Then, the mapping  $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^l$  given by

$$f(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) = \left( \sum_{i=1}^n a_{1i} x_i, \sum_{i=1}^n a_{2i} x_i, \dots, \sum_{i=1}^n a_{li} x_i \right), \quad (16)$$

where  $a_{1i}, a_{2i}, \dots, a_{li}$  are real constants, indicates that

$$\begin{aligned} f(1, 0, 0, \dots, 0) &= (a_{11}, a_{21}, \dots, a_{l1}), \\ f(0, 1, 0, \dots, 0) &= (a_{12}, a_{22}, \dots, a_{l2}), \\ &\vdots \\ f(0, \dots, 0, 1) &= (a_{1n}, a_{2n}, \dots, a_{ln}). \end{aligned}$$

**Proof.** Since  $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^l$  is a continuous  $n$ -variable additive mapping by Theorem 5, then the function  $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^l$  is given by (16).  $\square$

In the following theorems, we replace the domain  $(V \setminus \{0\})^{2n}$  of  $\varphi$  and  $Df$  in Theorems 3 and 4 with  $V^{2n}$ . Then, we can improve the result inequality (8).

**Theorem 6.** Suppose that  $f : V^n \rightarrow Y$  is a mapping for which there exists a function  $\varphi : V^{2n} \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  satisfying (5) and (6) for all  $x_1, y_1, x_2, y_2, \dots, x_n, y_n \in V$ . Then, there exists a unique mapping  $F : V^n \rightarrow Y$ , such that (7) for all  $x_1, y_1, x_2, y_2, \dots, x_n, y_n \in V$  and

$$\|f(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) - F(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)\| \leq \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{\varphi(2^m x_1, 2^m x_1, 2^m x_2, \dots, 2^m x_n, 2^m x_n)}{2^{m+1}} \quad (17)$$

for all  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n \in V$ .

**Proof.** The equality

$$f(2x_1, 2x_2, \dots, 2x_n) - 2f(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) = Df(x_1, x_1, x_2, x_2, \dots, x_n, x_n) \quad (18)$$

for all  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n \in V$  and the inequality (6) imply that the inequality

$$\left\| f(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) - \frac{f(2x_1, 2x_2, \dots, 2x_n)}{2} \right\| \leq \frac{1}{2} \varphi(x_1, x_1, x_2, x_2, \dots, x_n, x_n)$$

for all  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n \in V$ . From the above inequality, we can derive the inequalities

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \frac{f(2^m x_1, \dots, 2^m x_n)}{2^m} - \frac{f(2^{m+m'} x_1, \dots, 2^{m+m'} x_n)}{2^{m+m'}} \right\| \\ \leq \sum_{k=m}^{m+m'-1} \frac{\varphi(2^k x_1, 2^k x_1, 2^k x_2, 2^k x_2, \dots, 2^k x_n, 2^k x_n)}{2^{k+1}} \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

for all  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n \in V$  and all positive integers  $m, m'$ . The remainder of the proof of this theorem developed after inequality (19) is omitted because it is similar to that of Theorem 3.  $\square$

**Theorem 7.** Suppose that  $f : V^n \rightarrow Y$  is a mapping for which there exists a function  $\varphi : V^{2n} \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  satisfying (11) and (6) for all  $x_1, y_1, x_2, y_2, \dots, x_n, y_n \in V$ . Then, there exists a unique mapping  $F : V^n \rightarrow Y$  that satisfies (7) for all  $x_1, y_1, x_2, y_2, \dots, x_n, y_n \in V$  and

$$\|f(x_1, \dots, x_n) - F(x_1, \dots, x_n)\| \leq \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} 2^m \varphi\left(\frac{x_1}{2^{m+1}}, \frac{x_1}{2^{m+1}}, \frac{x_2}{2^{m+1}}, \dots, \frac{x_n}{2^{m+1}}, \frac{x_n}{2^{m+1}}\right) \quad (20)$$

for all  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n \in V$ .

**Proof.** The equality (18) for all  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n \in V$  and the inequality (6) imply that the inequality

$$\|f(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) - 2f\left(\frac{x_1}{2}, \frac{x_2}{2}, \dots, \frac{x_n}{2}\right)\| \leq \varphi\left(\frac{x_1}{2}, \frac{x_1}{2}, \frac{x_2}{2}, \dots, \frac{x_n}{2}, \frac{x_n}{2}\right)$$

for all  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n \in V$ . From the above inequality, we can derive the inequality

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| 2^m f\left(\frac{x_1}{2^m}, \frac{x_2}{2^m}, \dots, \frac{x_n}{2^m}\right) - 2^{m+m'} f\left(\frac{x_1}{2^{m+m'}}, \frac{x_2}{2^{m+m'}}, \dots, \frac{x_n}{2^{m+m'}}\right) \right\| \\ & \leq \sum_{k=m}^{m+m'-1} 2^k \varphi\left(\frac{x_1}{2^{k+1}}, \frac{x_1}{2^{k+1}}, \frac{x_2}{2^{k+1}}, \dots, \frac{x_n}{2^k}, \frac{x_n}{2^k}\right) \end{aligned} \quad (21)$$

for all  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n \in V$  and all positive integers  $m, m'$ . The remainder of the proof of this theorem developed after inequality (21) is omitted because it is similar to that of Theorem 4.  $\square$

The following corollary follows from Theorems 6 and 7.

**Corollary 2.** Let  $(X, \|\cdot\|)$  be a normed space and  $p$  be a nonnegative real number with  $p \neq 1$ . Suppose that  $f : X^n \rightarrow Y$  is a mapping satisfying (14) for all  $x_1, y_1, x_2, y_2, \dots, x_n, y_n \in X$ . Then, there exists a unique  $n$ -variable additive mapping  $F : X^n \rightarrow Y$  such that

$$\|f(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) - F(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)\| \leq \frac{2\theta}{|2 - 2^p|} (\|x_1\|^p + \|x_2\|^p + \dots + \|x_n\|^p) \quad (22)$$

for all  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n \in X$ .

**Proof.** By putting  $\varphi(x_1, y_1, x_2, y_2, \dots, x_n, y_n) := \theta(\|x_1\|^p + \|y_1\|^p + \|x_2\|^p + \|y_2\|^p + \dots + \|x_n\|^p + \|y_n\|^p)$  for all  $x_1, y_1, x_2, y_2, \dots, x_n, y_n \in X$ , then we easily obtain (22) from (17) and (20) of Theorems 6 and 7.  $\square$

### 3. Conclusions

We obtained two stability results.

Theorems 3 and 4 are the generalized Hyers–Ulam stability for the additive functional Equation (3) on  $V^n$ , which is a generalization for the stability of the Cauchy functional equation in papers of Aoki [3], Rassias [4], Gajda [5], Hyers [2], and Găvruta [7].

Theorems 6 and 7 are the hyperstability of the additive functional Equation (3) on  $V^n$ , which is a generalization of the Brzdęk's results [10,11] for the Cauchy functional equation.

If the function  $f$  satisfies the inequality (6) for all  $(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \neq (0, 0, \dots, 0)$  and  $(y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n) \neq (0, 0, \dots, 0)$ , then the function  $f$  satisfies the inequality (6) for all  $x_1, y_1, x_2, y_2, \dots, x_n, y_n \in V \setminus \{0\}$ . Therefore, the condition  $x_1, y_1, x_2, y_2, \dots, x_n, y_n \in V \setminus \{0\}$  used in the inequality (3.2) of this paper is a generalization of the conditions used in the inequality (6) in well-known pre-results ([10,11]).

**Author Contributions:** Conceptualization, Y.-H.L. and G.H.K.; Investigation, Y.-H.L. and G.H.K.

**Funding:** This research received no external funding.

**Conflicts of Interest:** The authors declare no conflict of interest.

## References

1. Ulam, S.M. *Problems in Modern Mathematics*; Wiley: New York, NY, USA, 1964.
2. Hyers, D.H. On the stability of the linear functional equation. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **1941**, *27*, 222–224. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
3. Aoki, T. On the stability of the linear transformation in Banach algebras. *J. Math. Soc. Japan* **1950**, *2*, 64–66. [[CrossRef](#)]
4. Rassias, T.M. On the stability of the linear mapping in Banach spaces. *Proc. Am. Math. Soc.* **1978**, *72*, 297–300. [[CrossRef](#)]
5. Gajda, Z. On stability of additive mappings. *Int. J. Math. Math. Sci.* **1991**, *14*, 431–434. [[CrossRef](#)]
6. Rassias, T.M.; Semrl, P. On the behavior of mappings which do not satisfy Hyers-Ulam stability. *Proc. Am. Math. Soc.* **1992**, *114*, 989–993. [[CrossRef](#)]
7. Găvruta, P. A generalization of the Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability of approximately additive mappings. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **1994**, *184*, 431–436. [[CrossRef](#)]
8. Maksa, G.; Páles, Z. Hyperstability of a class of linear functional equations. *Acta Math. Acad. Paedagog. Nyházi* **2001**, *17*, 107–112.
9. Bourgin, D.G. Approximately isometric and multiplicative transformations on continuous function rings. *Duke Math. J.* **1949**, *16*, 385–397. [[CrossRef](#)]
10. Brzdęk, J. Remarks on hyperstability of the the Cauchy equation. *Aequationes Math.* **2013**, *86*, 255–267. [[CrossRef](#)]
11. Brzdęk, J. A hyperstability result for the Cauchy equation. *Bull. Aust. Math. Soc.* **2014**, *89*, 33–40. [[CrossRef](#)]



© 2019 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).