

Table S1. The commercial name, common name (with percentage active ingredient), manufacturer, mode of action (MOA) for insecticides and rates used on stink bug spp.

	Commercial Name	Common Name (% a. i)	Manufacturer	Mode of Action	Amount of formulation per Acre	Pounds Active Ingredient per Acre
1	Vydate C-LV	Oxamyl (42%)	Dupont	1A	1.5-4 pt	0.24-0.64
2	Bracket	Acephate (97%)	Winfield Solution	1B	0.056-1.1 lb	0.056-1.1
3	Tundra®EC	Bifenthrin (25.1%)	Winfield Solution	3A	2.1-6.4 oz	0.033-0.1
4	Warrior II	λ -cyhalothrin (22.8%)	Syngenta	3A	1.60-2.56 oz	0.025-0.04
5	Advise®2FL	Imidacloprid (40.4%)	Winfield Solution	4A	2.0-3.0 oz	0.02-0.04
6	Centric 40WG	Thiamethoxam (40%)	Syngenta	4A	2.0-2.5 oz	0.02-0.03
7	Transform	Sulfoxaflor (50%)	Syngenta	4C	0.75-2.75 oz	0.023-0.086

Table S2. Spray bioassay results (LC₉₀) of seven formulated insecticides against the adult green stink bug, *Chinavia halaris*; southern green stink bug, *Nezara Viridula*; brown stink bug, *Euschistus Servus*; red banded stink bug, *Piezodorus guildinii* 48 hours post-treatment from SIMRU Farm, Leland, MS. during 2022 and 2023.

Compounds ¹	Population SIMRU-2022	LC ₉₀ (μ g/mL) ²	95% Confidence Intervals (μ g/mL) ²	Population SIMRU-2023	LC ₉₀ (μ g/mL) ²	95% Confidence Intervals (μ g/mL) ²
Oxamyl	<i>C. halaris</i>	305.3 ^a	213.7 – 562.8	<i>C. halaris</i>	347.9 ^b	158.9 – 1312.8
	<i>N. viridula</i>	371.2 ^a	287.6 – 567.2	<i>E. servus</i>	1835.6 ^a	836.6 – 4308.2
	<i>P. guildinii</i>	243.8 ^a	207.0 – 305.9	<i>P. guildinii</i>	227.3 ^b	183.2 – 321.5
Acephate	<i>C. halaris</i>	445.9 ^a	319.7 – 814.9	<i>C. halaris</i>	558.1 ^a	315.8 – 1537.1
	<i>N. viridula</i>	395.4 ^a	328.9 – 547.4	<i>E. servus</i>	489.6 ^a	397.6 – 920.7
	<i>P. guildinii</i>	138.2 ^b	114.3 – 181.6	<i>P. guildinii</i>	97.28 ^b	80.80 – 130.4
Bifenthrin	<i>C. halaris</i>	24.63 ^a	15.71 – 57.86	<i>C. halaris</i>	27.48 ^a	15.19 – 112.6
	<i>N. viridula</i>	21.81 ^a	14.92 – 42.91	<i>E. servus</i>	83.63 ^a	60.99 – 145.4
	<i>P. guildinii</i>	38.32 ^a	25.80 – 76.93	<i>P. guildinii</i>	27.21 ^a	15.80 – 98.45
λ -Cyhalothrin	<i>C. halaris</i>	55.51 ^a	20.16 – 153.7	<i>C. halaris</i>	36.37 ^b	12.64 – 89.39
	<i>N. viridula</i>	17.99 ^a	10.71 – 81.70	<i>E. servus</i>	204.20 ^a	98.51 – 479.4
	<i>P. guildinii</i>	50.83 ^a	33.68 – 101.0	<i>P. guildinii</i>	26.47 ^b	19.25 – 50.12
Imidacloprid	<i>C. halaris</i>	92.53 ^b	58.11 – 231.8	<i>C. halaris</i>	51.86 ^b	37.52 – 107.7
	<i>N. viridula</i>	309.41 ^a	191.6 – 775.3	<i>E. servus</i>	591.6 ^a	274.9 – 1692.4
	<i>P. guildinii</i>	92.08 ^b	66.26 – 153.15	<i>P. guildinii</i>	47.27 ^b	29.20 – 96.43
Thiamethoxam	<i>C. halaris</i>	56.57 ^a	34.36 – 217.0	<i>C. halaris</i>	79.56 ^b	57.81 – 175.5
	<i>N. viridula</i>	80.81 ^a	49.49 – 231.2	<i>E. servus</i>	296.6 ^a	183.4 – 983.4
	<i>P. guildinii</i>	65.76 ^a	47.13 – 112.5	<i>P. guildinii</i>	61.66 ^b	35.70 – 171.8
Sulfoxaflor	<i>C. halaris</i>	516.8 ^a	360.2 – 1074.0	<i>C. halaris</i>	429.8 ^a	358.6 – 695.0
	<i>N. viridula</i>	677.1 ^a	472.8 – 1278.4	<i>E. servus</i>	717.4 ^a	542.3 – 2338.8
	<i>P. guildinii</i>	307.1 ^a	193.9 – 821.1	<i>P. guildinii</i>	180.9 ^b	102.4 – 326.3

¹ All the compounds were formulated pesticides.

² LC₅₀ values and 95% confidence intervals were calculated by Probit analyses using SPSS software. Letters a and b indicate significant difference with no overlap in the 95% confidence intervals between different stink bug populations.

Table S3. The enzyme activities of esterase, glutathione S-transferases (GST), cytochrome p450 complex (P450) and acetylcholinesterase (AChE) in green stink bug, *Chinavia halaris*; southern green stink bug, *Nezara Viridula*; brown stink bug, *Euschistus servus* and red banded stink bug, *Piezodorus guildinii* from three different populations: SIMRU-2022, SIMRU-2023 and Clarksdale-2023.

Species	Population	Esterase (nmol/min/mg)	GST (μmol/min/mg)	AChE (pmol/min/mg)	P450 (pmol/min/mg)
<i>C. halaris</i>	SIMRU-2022	56.86 ± 3.55	419.03 ± 24.73	0.19 ± 0.02	1.44 ± 0.25
	SIMRU-2023	38.16 ± 4.87	395.25 ± 44.97	0.18 ± 0.02	1.04 ± 0.13
	Clarksdale-2023	33.64 ± 3.08	302.24 ± 15.73	0.16 ± 0.01	1.36 ± 0.13
<i>N. viridula</i>	SIMRU-2022	27.20 ± 2.37	276.68 ± 13.56	0.15 ± 0.01	1.40 ± 0.15
	SIMRU-2023	28.14 ± 2.75	439.88 ± 51.26	0.19 ± 0.01	1.70 ± 0.26
<i>P. guildinii</i>	SIMRU-2022	65.71 ± 4.38	221.47 ± 12.08	0.17 ± 0.01	1.92 ± 0.10 ^a
	SIMRU-2023	37.09 ± 4.56	134.69 ± 5.78	0.17 ± 0.00	0.78 ± 0.04 ^b
	Clarksdale-2023	42.40 ± 4.97	218.65 ± 13.36	0.22 ± 0.02	2.15 ± 0.27 ^a
<i>E. servus</i>	SIMRU-2022	109.83 ± 6.81 ^a	62.76 ± 3.32	0.14 ± 0.01	0.63 ± 0.03 ^a
	SIMRU-2023	35.19 ± 3.75 ^b	67.49 ± 3.68	0.08 ± 0.01	0.34 ± 0.02 ^b
	Clarksdale-2023	89.96 ± 12.08 ^a	78.27 ± 8.61	0.12 ± 0.01	0.70 ± 0.14 ^a

Statistically significant differences were identified within each group of enzymes, sharing different letter are significantly different, as determined using one-way analysis of variance with Tukey's HSD test, and significant values were set at $p < 0.05$.