

Supplemental Methods

Construction of mprF-mutants by allelic exchange

The introduction of a secondary *mprF* mutation into the three DAP-R backgrounds (which contain a single *mprF* mutation) was conducted using the allelic exchange protocol developed by Monk and Stinear [44] with modification (outlined below).

Oligonucleotides tailed with sequence complementary to pIMAY-Z were designed to amplify a ≈ 1.2 kb region surrounding the secondary *mprF* mutation (table S2), the LOX passaged DAP-resensitized strains serving as a donor for the sequence. Amplicons were gel extracted and three separate Seamless Ligation Cloning Extracts (SLiCE) were performed into the linearized pIMAY-Z backbone [45]. Each 10 μ L SLiCE reaction contained 1 μ L of 10 \times ligation buffer (NEB), 1 μ L pIMAY-Z (50–100 ng/ μ L), 3 μ L of gel extracted insert (20–30 ng/ μ L), 4 μ L of dH₂O, and 1 μ L of SLiCE, incubated at 37 °C for 30 min.

The generation of competent cells (for *E. coli*): an overnight culture of *E. coli* strain IM08B [46] grown in Luria–Bertani broth (LB) was diluted 1:200 and incubated at 37 °C with agitation (200 rpm) to an optical density at 600 nm (OD₆₀₀) of 0.5–0.7. Culture were moved to ice (minimum 15 min) to arrest growth, with all subsequent steps performed at 4 °C. Cells were collected by centrifugation (5,000 \times g, 20 min), washed twice with an equal volume of autoclaved ice-cold dH₂O, then washed twice with an equal volume of ice-cold 10 % (v/v) glycerol in dH₂O, and lastly resuspended 1:1000 in the original culture volume of ice-cold 10 % (v/v) glycerol in dH₂O. Aliquots of 40 μ L were frozen at –80 °C.

Before the transformation of IM08B, the SLiCE reaction was dialyzed for 10 min on a mixed cellulose ester membrane filter (0.025 μ M, MF-Millipore). Electrocompetent IM08B cells were thawed on ice and 5 μ L of SLiCE reaction was added to the cells; then, they were gently flicked to mix. Cells were transferred to a 1 mm electroporation cuvette (Bio-Rad) and electroporated at 1800 V, 200 Ω and 25 μ F. Cells were immediately resuspended in 1 mL of LB broth and incubated at 37 °C for 1 hour. IM08B transformants were selected in LB supplemented with 10 μ g/mL chloramphenicol, incubated overnight at 37 °C with agitation (200 rpm).

The FavorPrep Plasmid Extraction Mini Kit (Favorgen) was used to isolate pIMAY-Z, following the manufacturer's guidelines with the following exceptions: 25 mL of culture was run through each column, and 2.5 \times volume of buffers FAPD1, FAPD2 and FAPD3 were used. Plasmids were concentrated using the Novagen Pellet Paint Co-Precipitant precipitation protocol.

To create the electrocompetent *S. aureus* cells, once the cells were diluted to an OD₆₀₀ of 0.5 (Cell Density Meter, Biowave), they were incubated for ≈ 1.5 hours to an OD₆₀₀ of ≈ 1.0 . Concentrated pIMAY-Z was electroporated into the respective DAP-R strains (J03, D712 and C25) according to the published protocol [44].

Allelic exchange was performed following the “Slow (2015) Integration” approach [44]. At the final stage, white colonies (potential *mprF*-double mutants (DM)) were screened on MH agar supplemented with 4 μ g/mL daptomycin in parallel grown on BHI agar.

Suspected *mprF* DM colonies and cultures of the parent DAP-R strains used for allelic exchange underwent whole-genome sequencing

(WGS) to confirm their genotype, performed as previously described [41]. Briefly, gDNA was extracted from a single colony (Chemagic DNA/RNA kit, Perkin Elmer), WGS libraries prepared (Nextera XT DNA preparation kit, Illumina), and sequencing conducted on a NextSeq (Illumina) with 2 × 150 bp chemistry. The short-read sequence data were mapped to complete reference genomes J01 (CC8, RefSeq accession NZ_CP040619.1) or D592 (CC5, NZ_CP040665.1), and mutations were identified using Snippy v4.6.0 (<https://github.com/tseemann/snippy>). Both the primary (from the DAP-R parent) and secondary (introduced) *mprF* mutations were confirmed in all three backgrounds. Only one off-target missense mutations was identified: a A308V amino acid change in predicted gene FFX42_RS09315 in the D712 *mprF* DM (reference D592, CC5 background).

Table S1. Oligonucleotides used in allelic exchange protocol.

Oligonucleotide name	5' to 3' sequence
pIMAY-Z_C25_F	CCTCACTAAAGGGAACAAAAGCTGGGTACCTGGTGCTTATAATGTTGGGC
pIMAY-Z_C25_R	CGACTCACTATAGGGCGAATTGGAGCTCGACGTAACATCTTTAGCAGG
pIMAY-Z-J03_F	CCTCACTAAAGGGAACAAAAGCTGGGTACCCGTTAGGTGATGAAAATGCC
pIMAY-Z-J03_R	CGACTCACTATAGGGCGAATTGGAGCTCGACCCATTAGATACAGGTGG
PIMAY-Z-D712_F	CCTCACTAAAGGGAACAAAAGCTGGGTACCAAGAAGCACTCATAATCGGC
PIMAY-Z-D712_R	CGACTCACTATAGGGCGAATTGGAGCTCCGTAAGTGAAGAATGTGCC

Table S2. Fatty acid (FA) composition (%) of LOX-passaged strain.

FAs	C24	C25	C25-LOX	D592	D712	D712-LOX	J01	J03	J03-LOX
% of Iso FAs									
14:0	9 ± 0.0	7 ± 0.01 ^a	7 ± 0.04 ^{b,c}	5 ± 0.2	5 ± 0.0 ^a	7 ± 0.03 ^{b,c}	11 ± 0.03	8 ± 0.1 ^b	8 ± 0.04 ^{b,c}
15:0	15 ± 0.02	14 ± 0.01 ^a	12 ± 0.01 ^{b,c}	14 ± 0.02	15 ± 0.02 ^a	14 ± 0.01 ^c	13 ± 0.02	13 ± 0.1 ^b	13 ± 0.1 ^c
16:0	3 ± 0.01	3 ± 0.0 ^a	2 ± 0.01 ^{b,c}	2 ± 0.02	2 ± 0.0	1 ± 0.01 ^{b,c}	4 ± 0.0	4 ± 0.0 ^b	3 ± 0.0 ^{b,c}
17:0	3 ± 0.01	4 ± 0.0 ^a	3 ± 0.01 ^c	2 ± 0.01	4 ± 0.01 ^a	2 ± 0.0 ^{b,c}	3 ± 0.01	4 ± 0.0 ^b	4 ± 0.0 ^{b,c}
Total iso FA	30 ± 0.1	27 ± 0.01 ^a	25 ± 0.07 ^{b,c}	24 ± 0.6	25 ± 0.01 ^a	25 ± 0.04 ^b	31 ± 0.03	29 ± 0.1 ^b	27 ± 0.1 ^{b,c}
% of Anteiso FAs									
15:0	4 ± 0.0	5 ± 0.01 ^a	5 ± 0.01 ^c	38 ± 0.1	40 ± 0.1 ^a	37 ± 0.03 ^{b,c}	36 ± 0.0	39 ± 0.2 ^a	37 ± 0.11 ^{b,c}
17:0	37 ± 0.08	39 ± 0.03 ^a	37 ± 0.2 ^{b,c}	4 ± 0.0	5 ± 0.01 ^a	3 ± 0.0 ^{b,c}	4 ± 0.0	5 ± 0.01 ^a	5 ± 0.0 ^b
Total Anteiso FA	41 ± 0.12	44 ± 0.02 ^a	42 ± 0.2 ^{b,c}	41 ± 0.1	45 ± 0.1 ^a	40 ± 0.03 ^{b,c}	40 ± 0.03	45 ± 0.2 ^a	43 ± 0.11 ^{b,c}
% of SFAs									
14:0	1 ± 0.01	1 ± 0.0 ^a	1 ± 0.0 ^{b,c}	1 ± 0.1	1 ± 0.01 ^a	2 ± 0.0 ^{b,c}	1 ± 0.01	1 ± 0.01	1 ± 0.01
16:0	4 ± 0.0	3 ± 0.0 ^a	4 ± 0.01 ^{b,c}	4 ± 0.0	4 ± 0.0 ^a	7 ± 0.01 ^{b,c}	5 ± 0.01	4 ± 0.02 ^a	4 ± 0.02 ^b
17:0	1 ± 0.0	1 ± 0.01 ^a	1 ± 0.01 ^{b,c}	1 ± 0.0	1 ± 0.1 ^a	2 ± 0.0 ^{b,c}	1 ± 0.01	1 ± 0.0	2 ± 0.0 ^{b,c}
18:0	11 ± 0.02	10 ± 0.01 ^a	13 ± 0.09 ^{b,c}	12 ± 0.0	12 ± 0.0 ^a	13 ± 0.02 ^{b,c}	12 ± 0.02	12 ± 0.1 ^a	12 ± 0.1 ^b
19:0	2 ± 0.01	2 ± 0.01	3 ± 0.05 ^{b,c}	2 ± 0.02	2 ± 0.02 ^a	3 ± 0.01 ^{b,c}	2 ± 0.0	1 ± 0.02 ^a	3 ± 0.02 ^{b,c}
20:0	6 ± 0.02	6 ± 0.03	7 ± 0.2 ^b	5 ± 0.04	5 ± 0.04 ^a	5 ± 0.02 ^{b,c}	4 ± 0.01	4 ± 0.04 ^a	4 ± 0.04 [*]
Total SFA	25 ± 0.03	22 ± 0.03 ^a	29 ± 0.3 ^{b,c}	31 ± 0.4	26 ± 0.2 ^a	31 ± 0.2 ^c	25 ± 0.02	22 ± 0.11 ^a	26 ± 0.04 ^{b,c}

^a P-value < 0.05; DAP-R vs. DAP=S; ^b P-value < 0.05; LOX passaged strains vs. DAP-S. ^c P-value < 0.05; LOX passaged strains vs. DAP-R.
SFA=Saturated/Straight Cha